

1 **BALABAN & SPIELBERGER, LLP**
11999 San Vicente Blvd., Suite 345
2 Los Angeles, CA 90049
3 Tel: (424) 832-7677
4 Fax: (424) 832-7702
5 Daniel K. Balaban, SBN 243652
6 Andrew J. Spielberger, SBN 120231
Vanessa L. Loftus-Brewer, SBN 265213

7 **LAW OFFICES OF TERESA LI, PC**
315 Montgomery Street, 9th Floor
8 San Francisco, California 94104
9 Tel: (415) 423-3377
10 Fax: (888) 646-5493
Teresa Li, SBN 278779

11 Attorneys for Plaintiffs
12

13
14 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
15 **CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

16 RUBEN JUAREZ, an individual and
17 ISELA HERNANDEZ, an individual

18 Plaintiffs,

19 v.

20 PRECISION VALVE & AUTOMATION,
21 Inc., a corporation and DOES 1-20

22 Defendants.

23 Case No.: **2:17-cv-03342**

24
25 **STATEMENT OF GENUINE
DISPUTES IN OPPOSITION TO
MOTION FOR SUMMARY
JUDGMENT**

26 Date: October 1, 2018

27 Time: 1:30 p.m.

28 Ctrm: 5D, 5th Floor

Judge: Hon. Otis D. Wright II

1 Plaintiffs submit this statement of genuine disputes pursuant to Central District
 2 of California Local Rule 56-2 in opposition to the motion for summary judgment herein
 3 filed by Facts 1 through 83 below correspond to the facts and supporting evidence
 4 presented in the Statement of Uncontroverted Facts filed by the moving party. These
 5 facts are followed by additional material facts and supporting evidence showing
 6 genuine issues of fact.

7 **I. STATEMENT OF GENUINE DISPUTES IN OPPOSITION TO MOTION**
 8 **FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT (Central District) BASED ON CALIFORNIA'S**
TWO-YEAR STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS

| <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|--|---|
| <p>11 1. Plaintiffs reside in Granada Hills, 12 California, in the County of Los Angeles. 13 Complaint, Notice of Removal and First 14 Amended Complaint. 15 (Declaration of Alex P. Catalona 16 ("Catalona Dec."), 12:22-23, 23:11-12, 57:2- 4.)</p> | <p>11 1. Undisputed.</p> |
| <p>17 2. PVA is headquartered and 18 incorporated in the State of New York. 19 Notice of Removal and Declaration of 20 Jonathan Urquhart. 21 (Catalona Dec., 23:13-15, 24:17-19; 22 Declaration of Jonathan Urquhart ("Urquhart 23 Dec."), 1:4-7.)</p> | <p>17 2. Undisputed.</p> |
| <p>23 3. The PVA 350 is a conformal coating 24 machine manufactured by PVA which is 25 known in the industry as a "workcell." 26 Urquhart Dec. and PVA manual. 27 (Urquhart Dec., 2:4-5, 77.)</p> | <p>23 3. Undisputed as to the PVA 350 24 being a conformal coating machine 25 manufactured by PVA. However, 26 disputed to the extent the fact infers 27 the PVA 350 is not a selective 28 conformal coating machine. This 29 selective conformal coating machine 30 required Mr. Juarez to place his head 31 in the machine to verify the spray was 32 only sprayed on the selected areas</p> |

| <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|--|---|
| <p>versus a conformal coating machine that would not have required that step.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • (Dec. of Ruben Juarez) <p>Disputed to the extent the PVA350 is a work cell. The PVA 350 is a standalone device, which is a mechanism or system that can perform its function without the need of another device, computer, or connection. In contrast, a work cell is a term used in the manufacturing industry for an arrangement of resources in manufacturing to improve quality, speed, and the costs of the processes.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • (Dec. Ruben Juarez) • Mr. Juarez never called the machine a work cell. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II: 204 :4-5) | |
| <p>4. PVA sold a single PVA 350 to SpaceX in 2009.</p> <p>Urquhart Dec. and March, 2018 Deposition of Ruben Juarez (“Juarez Depo.”), and exhibits.</p> <p>(Urquhart Dec., 1:11-18, 1:25-27, 5-6, 8, 12-14, 23; Catalona Dec., 265:21-24, 266:13-18, 267:19-24, 359-61.).</p> | <p>4. Undisputed to the extent PVA sold a PVA 350 to Space X in 2009.</p> <p>Disputed to the extent PVA Inc. attempts to infer that PVA Inc. only sold a single PVA 350 to Space X in 2009 and disappeared and never interacted with Space X again. In fact, PVA Inc. sold additional equipment, parts, and machines to Space X and had ongoing communications with Space X after 2009 and continued to</p> |

| <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|--|---|
| | <p>service and modify the PVA 350 without ever warning the user of the dangers of the product. (Exhibit 25, Sales Documents, Order, and Quotations)</p> |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • (Exhibit 25, PVA 222-234) • (Exhibit 25, PVA 226) • (Exhibit 25, PVA 228) • (Exhibit 25, PVA 230-232) • (Exhibit 32) • (Exhibit 33) • (Exhibit 34, PVA 420-421, 422, 423, 1183-1304) • (Exhibit 34, PVA 423) |
| | <p><u><i>The evidence below demonstrates the ongoing relationship between PVA and Space X regarding the additional parts, machines, and services provided by Space X. Specific factual references with citations are set forth below for the court's convenience.</i></u></p> |
| | <p>PVA Invoices and Sales Docs to SpaceX are attached hereto. (Exhibit 25, PVA 222-234)</p> |
| | <p>On 5-29-12, PVA purchase order included the FC100-MC (front closing dispenser), metal cartridge retainer, mounting brackets, fitting package to connect one cartridge retainer to one valve, 6oz plastic cartridge, one gallon pressure tank for flushing solvent, hoses and fittings. (Exhibit 25)</p> |
| | <p>Invoice dated 5/23/13 shows the purchase of a rework kit (B72-1242) and a side levan window number 114-</p> |

| <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|--|---|
| | 3546. (Exhibit 25, PVA 226) Purchase order dated 5/7/13 shows a purchase for a B72-1242 for a wire two black LED strips with one off switch and lexon windows. (Exhibit 25, PVA 228) |
| | Invoice dated 10/11/13 shows a solvent flush machine for W3267 B72-01433 as well as the purchase orders. (Exhibit 25, PVA 230-232) |
| | PVA INC. HAD AN ONGOING RELATIONSHIP WITH SPACEX AND SOLD MORE MACHINES THAN PVA 350 |
| | On December 7, 2012, Mr. Ignaut from SpaceX sent an email to David Gomez and Scott Abarta (PVA) inquiring as to how many dispense head (PC200) they can install on a single "PVA3000?" (Exhibit 33) |
| | On December 7, 2012 at 8:59 A.M. there is an email from David Gomez (PVA) to Brian Ignaut (Space X) and Scott Abarta asking Space X to review the budget proposal for the MX3000 and PVA 3000 robot indicating if there is a 1 to 1 mix proportion, low viscosity, and no fillers or corrosive chemicals in it, the equipment configuration will do just fine. (Exhibit 33) |
| | On December 3, 2012 Scott Abarta emailed David Gomez (PVA) with what appears to be a cc to Brian Ignaut with Space X, but the email is indicating it is from Brian Ignaut (Responsible Engineer with Solar Arrays) requesting the need for a quote for the following PVA |

| <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|--|
| <p>1</p> <p>2</p> <p>3</p> <p>4</p> <p>5</p> <p>6</p> <p>7</p> <p>8</p> <p>9</p> <p>10</p> <p>11</p> <p>12</p> <p>13</p> <p>14</p> <p>15</p> <p>16</p> <p>17</p> <p>18</p> <p>19</p> <p>20</p> <p>21</p> <p>22</p> <p>23</p> <p>24</p> <p>25</p> <p>26</p> <p>27</p> <p>28</p> | <p>equipment: Mx 3000 MMD machine, PVA 200 dispense valve, and PVA 3000 dispense robot. (Exhibit 33)</p> <p>BILLS OF MATERIALS SHOW ONGOING RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN PVA INC. AND SPACE X</p> <p>There are PVA Materials being ordered by Space X in 5/6/03, 4/3/06, 5/8/09, 6/14/12, 10/14/13, 10/8/13, and 12/30/10. (Exhibit 34, PVA 420-421, 422, 423, 1183-1304) Specifically, PVA Bill of Material dated 6/14/12 showed a purchase of PVA Inc products including the following gallons, fittings, hose, ball valve, cap, cartridge, fitting, fitting, tubing, regulator, air pressure gauge, felon tube, FCS300-ES extended spray, and FC100 MS dispense valve. (Exhibit 34, PVA 423)</p> |
| <p>5. This machine coats printed circuit boards with a thin polymeric film that “conforms” to the board’s contours to protect against moisture, dust, chemicals and temperature extremes.</p> <p>Urquhart Dec.</p> <p>(Urquhart Dec., 1:14-17.).</p> | <p>5. Undisputed.</p> |
| <p>6. When it was manufactured, SpaceX specified that the machine would spray Electrolube “NVOC,” a 100% solids content material that did not contain solvent material (such as Humiseal or Arathane.)</p> <p>Urquhart Dec., and exhibits.</p> <p>(Urquhart Dec., 28, 30, 63-64.)</p> | <p>6. Disputed to the extent this statement attempts to infer that PVA Inc. was unaware how the PVA 350 was utilized by Space X with chemicals (such as Arathane and Humiseal) as set forth in numerous emails and even on plans produced by PVA Inc.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • (Exhibit 22) • (See Exhibit 22, PVA |

| <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|--|--|
| | <p>4463-4481)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • (See Exhibit 9 and Exhibit 22) • (Exhibit 22, PVA 23 and 24) • (Exhibit 22 PVA 4449-4452) • (Exhibit 22 PVA 4463-4481) |
| | <p>On July 30, 2009, PVA Inc. employee Andrew Haraburda serviced the W3267 and recommended that Space X utilize a different solvent because the “needle is still clogging in the solvent cup” and he recommended Xylene. The MSDS sheet for Xylene shows extensive toxic effects on individuals. PVA Inc. was aware the selective conformal coating machines were often utilized with toxic chemicals.</p> |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • (Exhibit 22, PVA 4449-4452) • (Exhibit 28, Exemplar MSDS sheet for Xylene) |
| | <p><u><i>Specific factual references with citations are set forth below for the court's convenience.</i></u></p> |
| | <p><u>PVA HAD KNOWLEDGE OF THE CHEMICALS BEING UTILIZED BY SPACE X AND WAS INVOLVED IN SUBSEQUENT MODIFICATIONS TO THE MACHINE INVOLVING VARIOUS CHEMICALS</u></p> |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|--|
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> PVA Inc was aware of the chemicals being utilized with the PVA 350, including the transition to Arathane. (See Exhibit 22, PVA 4463-4481) The April 11, 2012 conformal coating video with Space X boards utilized the same chemicals as used at Space X at PVA Inc. (See Exhibit 9 and Exhibit 22) On September 19, 2013, PVA Inc. made further modifications to the subject machine including reworking the dispense system on the machine to connect one cartridge to the one gallon tank for "automatic solvent flush of Arathane 5705." (Exhibit 22, PVA 23 and 24) On July 30, 2009, PVA/Andrew Haraburda serviced the W3267 (subject PVA 350 machine) and this description of the work/changes included: adding solvent flush option (6 oz cartridge, 3 way ball valve, O-ISPSI Precision Regulator, <u>which shows PVA Inc. was continuing to modify and upgrade the machine.</u> Then <u>PVA Inc. specifically recommended Space X alter the solvent to a different solvent stating "Space X may need to use a different solvent</u> (i.e. Xylene). (Exhibit 22 PVA 4449-4452) Pursuant to email chain dated March 13, 2012 Jonathan |

1 **MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED**
 2 **UNCONTROVERTED FACTS**

RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION

3 Urquhart (PVA) was aware of
 4 Space X's use of Arathane
 5 **5750** The email specifically
 6 states, "I think David has
 7 spoken to you about our
 8 customer Space X. They build
 9 full blown rocket ships. They
 10 plan on pre-mixing this
 11 material part A part B and a
 12 3rd part, thinner in small
 13 batches apply to board and
 14 the purge system because of
 15 shelf life once mixed. Sounds
 16 screwy to me." They were
 17 looking for a demo on the 27th
 18 of March. (Exhibit 22, PVA
 19 4463-4481)

20 • Pursuant to email dated
 21 March 15, 2012 to Jonathan
 22 Urquhart, PVA was preparing a
 23 demo on the PVA 650 and PVA
 24 were working with the same
 25 chemical materials Space X
 26 was utilizing, but PVA did not
 27 want Space X to know and
 28 PVA indicated they were
going to convey to Space X
that the materials were
similar, but not the exact
same. . (Exhibit 22, PVA
 4463-4481)

• Pursuant to email dated
 March 30, 2012, the test at PVA
 Inc. was rescheduled to 4-11-12
 to view the 3 part mixing
 process. (Exhibit 22, PVA
 4463-4481)

• Pursuant to email from
 David Gomez to Johnathan
 (PVA) Urquhart dated May 11,
 2012 at 2:11 p.m., Space X
 talked about retrofitting the

1 **MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED**
2 **UNCONTROVERTED FACTS**

1 **RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION**

3 PVA 350, and then
4 subsequently there was
5 confirmation from Jon
6 indicating **Space X wanted to**
duplicate what was done
during the visit. (Exhibit 22,
PVA 4463-4481)

- 7 • Jon Urquart performed
8 the demo for Space X on 4-11-
9 12. (Exhibit 22, PVA 4463-
10 4481)
- 11 • Email dated December 2,
12 2014 at 9:03 a.m. Mr. Urquhart
13 (PVA) indicated to Mr.
14 Matupang there would be 2
15 demos including a coating with
Arathane 2 part mix and
spray and dispensing using a
650 or Delta 6 with board
head, JDX (underfill), SVX
(past), and FC100 (solder
mask). (Exhibit 22)
- 16 • On November 17, 2014,
17 Mr. Urquhart (PVA) asked if
18 Space X wanted to mix on the
19 fly with **5750?** (Exhibit 22)
- 20 • On January 9, 2013 at
21 10:38 a.m. David Gomez (PVA)
22 emailed Brian Ignaut (Space X)
doing follow up on the “two
part material application
project you were working on
and kindly presented us with.”
23 There was inquiry as to whether
24 there were any developments in
25 the first few months of 2013.
26 (Exhibit 22)
- 27 • On December 3, 2012 at
28 12:01 PM David Gomez (PVA)
 wrote Scott Abarta asking for

1 **MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED**
2 **UNCONTROVERTED FACTS**

RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION

3 the **two part material brand**
4 **and part # as well as any**
5 **MSDS and data sheets.** Also,
6 there was a request for a shot
7 size and cycle time per part if
8 possible. (Exhibit 22)

9 • On **September 10, 2013,**
10 Mr. Gomez (PVA) indicated
11 “they only want a 20 oz
12 cartridge retainer & cap with
13 spray & needle valve for the
14 pre-mix material and 6oz
15 cartridge retainer & cap **for the**
16 **solvent, so it can flush the**
17 **premixed material out right**
18 **after doing a batch of**
19 **boards.** Mr. Gomez indicated
20 he was **familiar with the “fluid**
21 **delivery line” because he had**
22 **done it “several times.”** He
23 also indicated they were **“not**
24 **there yet” for the two part**
25 **coating spraying.** (Exhibit 22)

26 • On September 9, 2013,
27 Richard Bievenue (PVA) wrote
28 Jonathan Connelly (ccing David
29 Filbert, David Gomez, and
30 Michael R. Leonard) indicating
31 that **he spoke with Duc Phan**
32 **(Space X) about the 350**
33 **machine and how Space X**
34 **was switching to utilizing a 2**
35 **part material including**
36 **Arathane 5750. There was**
37 **discussion about premising**
38 **the solvents and flushing the**
39 **solvent valves.** Also, there was
40 interest regarding a 2
41 component meter mix system.
42 (Exhibit 22)

43 • On September 18, 2013 at
44 12:41 p.m., **Mr. Gomez (PVA)**

1 **MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED**
2 **UNCONTROVERTED FACTS**

RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION

3 indicated that this is not the
4 first time they have done a
5 two part coating system and
6 he thought they were putting
7 to many resources into this.
8 The email specifically
9 references Arathane 5750.
10 (Exhibit 22)

11 • On September 13, 2013, from Duc Phan (Space X) to Jonathan Connelly, Michael R. Leonard (ccing Richard Bievenue and David Filbert) explained the process with pictures. Specifically, he indicated that Space X removed the hoses from the spray dispensing head and connected the hoses from the Arathane material and solvent to it. He further explained when the Arathane material was not in use they vaped the solvent hose and connect the Arathane material hose to the other head just for a place holder. He also explained that Arathane 5750 part A and B is mixed together and poured in the first reservoir and the second reservoir is the solvent use to thin out the mixed Arathane for spray requirement. (Exhibit 22)

12 • On September 13, 2013 at 6:07 a.m. Jonathan Connelly wrote Duc Phan (Space X). Michael R. Leonard and ccng Richard Bievenue and David Filbert inquiring about the process, requesting a picture, and asking if the Arathane and the solvent was being run

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 |
|---|--|
| <p>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</p> | <p>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</p> <p><u>out of the cartridges mounted on the side of the machine.</u> (Exhibit 22)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• On September 10, 2013, Duc Phan (Space X) wrote Michael R. Leonard and Jonathan Connelly, <u>and there was a clear indication that Space X was using Arathane 5750 material with a 2 hour cure time, and they were concerned the operator would forget to purge and rinse the material when the job is done. They were looking to automate the process after coating.</u> (Exhibit 22)o The sales order dated September 25, 2013 indicated PVA job number SPCX2115 W3267 indicated <u>PVA was there to provide onsite support for Mechanical Electrical Programming Fluid Delivery.</u> (Exhibit 22)o The sales quotation dated September 19, 2013, stated that PVA was to <u>"rework the dispense system on machine serial number W3267 to connect one cartridge to (1) one gallon tank for automatic solvent flush of Arathane 5705.</u> This was to include 1) all hoses and fittings needed to complete the integration, 2) pneumatic ball valve for automatic solvent flush, 3) hardware and software needed for integration, and one tank. Further, PVA was to travel to Space X to install the new auto |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|--|---|
| | | <p>solvent flush system. (Exhibit 22)</p> <p>o The purchase order dated September 24, 2013 issued to PVA and shipping to Space X on a Space X purchase order identified a supplier part number B72-01433 and <u>there is a full description of the work to be done including reworking the dispense system for an automatic flush of Arathane 5750</u> and in similar detail as stated above. There is a separate purchase order for the travel expenditures. (Exhibit 22)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• On July 21, 2014 at 6:48 p.m. Duc Phan (Space X) emailed Rex indicating the <u>current machine uses both Humiseal and Arathane and there are separate spray valves for both material.</u> They need the pendant purge button to work for both materials. (Exhibit 22)• PVA Inc. circulated diagram clearly states <u>Arathane</u>. Additionally, there is a quote work sheet for the 3 way assembly, pressure tank, hoses, fittings, valves as well as programming and engineering. (Ex 22, PVA 340-347) |
| | <p>7. In any event, the PVA 350 was built with safety features to prevent users from breathing materials used inside the machine. First, the machine monitored its exhaust flow and turned off if the exhaust system was not</p> | <p>7. Disputed. A number of safety items are not included automatically included with the PVA 350 machines such as the</p> |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|---|
| | <p>operating.</p> <p>Urquhart Dec., PVA Manual.</p> <p>(Urquhart Dec., 2:24-26, 98-99.)</p> | <p>following: conveyor type, passive programming camera, XY fiducial camera, exhaust blower, onboard computer, and flow monitoring. Further, disputed to the extent PVA Inc. trained end users to put their heads inside the machines to program the PVA machine, and the PVA 350 had design defects that caused users to put their heads inside the machines while the machines were spraying to properly program the machine.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• (Exhibit 17, PVA 220)• (Exhibit 36, PVA 449-4452)• (Declaration of Glen Stevick)• (Declaration of Ruben Juarez)• (Exhibit 11 and 29 PVA 279-280)• (Exhibit 10, PVA 304)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 77:21-78:2)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume I, 79:25-80:4)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume I: 87:22-88:1)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 158:3-9)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 61:21-63:14, 58:4-6, 58:12-59:4)• (Exhibit 29, PVA 63, 64, 69, 220, 4537, 4538, 4539, 4540, 4542) |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|---|
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none">• (Exhibit 9 and 22)• (Exhibit 5, Video of the PVA 350 shows the machine is far too small for someone to use while wearing a ventilator.)• (Video Exhibit 11 shows the conformal coating process in a PVA machine in 2010.)• (Video Exhibit 8 shows all the different types of coating and also shows various designs that were not defective so it is great for comparison and explanation.)• .(Exhibit 15, PVA 69)• (Exhibit 20)• (Exhibit 21, PVA 4537, 4538, 4540)• (Exhibit 29)• (Exhibit 11)• (Exhibit 23, PVA 1181 (Wet Film Thickness Gauge))• (Exhibit 23, PVA 1181 (Wet Film Thickness Gauge)) <p><u>The following evidence demonstrates various safety features were not built into the PVA 350 or were insufficient as provided to Space X. Specific factual allegations are set forth below for the court's convenience.</u></p> <p>The PVA 350 ventilation indicated</p> |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|--|
| | | <p>there was “no PVA blower.”</p> <p>(Exhibit 29, PVA 279-280)</p> <p><u>Specific factual references with citations are set forth below for the court's convenience.</u></p> |
| | | <p>THE MSDS SHEET ESTABLISHES PVA (AS ENGINEERS/ MANUFACTURES) OF THE PVA 350 HAD A DUTY TO ENSURE ADEQUATE VENTILATION</p> <p>PVA Inc. failed to ensure users were safe as PVA Inc. failed to comply with their engineering duty as set forth in the MSDS Arathane sheet.</p> <p>Engineering Measures: Use only adequate ventilation. <u>Use process enclosures, local exhaust ventilation or other engineering controls to keep worker exposure to airborne contaminants below any recommended or statutory limits.</u></p> <p>(Exhibit 10, 304)</p> |
| | | <p>PLAINTIFF TESTIFIED ABOUT A NUMBER OF DEFECTS IN THE PVA 350 AND INADEQUATE SAFETY FEATURES IN HIS DEPOSITIONS, WHICH ALL TOOK PLACE WITHIN THE STATUTORY TIME FRAM FOR FILING A COMPLAINT</p> <p>The PVA 350 was built so a programmer had to bypass the safety mechanisms to program the machine. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume I: 87:22-88:1)</p> <p>The PVA 350 was defectively designed because a programmer would</p> |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 |
|---|--|
| <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
| | <p>have to <u>put their head inside the machine to look where the machine was spraying.</u> (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 158:3-9)</p> <p>Mr. Juarez would program the PVA 350, set up a circuit board in the machine, make sure the pattern is correct, do a dry run, do a wet run, put his head inside the PVA 350 to check the thickness of the layer, clean it up, rerun it again until they got the desired thickness and width. To facilitate the fine tuning process, the machine has to switch to a bypass door so a programmer can put <u>their head inside the machine to make sure the spraying head is parallel to the door. Then the programmer checks the thickness of the layer. The layer has to be about 1.5 thousandths of an inch.</u> (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 61:21-63:14, 58:4-6, 58:12-59:4)</p> <p>BELOW PLAINTIFFS ASSERT SPECIFIC DISPUTES OF FACT REGARDING THE PVA 350'S MACHINE DEFECTS, INADEQUATE SAFETY MEASURES, AND THE VENTILATION SYSTEM.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• The alleged PVA safety guide states: "Some machines are equipped with an exhaust fan. The exhaust fan is provided to exhaust fumes from the work area. The exhaust flange should be connected to an appropriate ducting system that is capable of receiving 150 CFM. Insufficient airflow through the exhaust system generates an error." However, <u>this manual was not provided in a hardcopy form to Space X based on the pictures PVA provided.</u> (Exhibit 29, PVA 63)• The Exhaust Verification Process shows some machines have |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 |
|---|---|
| <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
| | <p>an auto shut down. (Exhibit 29, PVA 64)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• <u>A user has to visually inspect the position of a needle with respect to a calibration point and the user may have to physically reposition the needle.</u> (Exhibit 29, PVA 69)• The PVA Product Overview indicated a number of safety items are not included on the PVA 350 automatically such as: conveyor type, passive programming camera, XY fiducial camera, exhaust blower, onboard computer, and flow monitoring. (Exhibit 29, PVA 220)• There is a picture of the module, and there appears to be an insufficient exhaust system in the back of the machine. (Exhibit 29, PVA 4537, 4538, 4539, 4540, and 4542).• The April 11, 2012 conformal coating video with Space X boards utilized the same chemicals as used at Space X at PVA Inc. (See Exhibit 9 and Exhibit 22)• Exhibit 5, Video of the PVA 350 shows the machine is far too small for someone to use while wearing a ventilator.• Video Exhibit 11 shows the conformal coating process in a PVA machine in 2010.• Video Exhibit 8 shows all the different types of coating and also shows various designs that were not defective so it is great for comparison and explanation. |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|--|
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none">• The comparison PVA 350 shows there is a <u>low exhaust and no conveyer</u> belt option on the PVA 350. (Exhibit 20) <p>THE PVA DID NOT COME WITH VARIOUS SAFETY MEASURES INCLUDING A PVA BLOWER</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• On April 24, 2009, Alex Duggan wrote Nicholas Wong (Space X) regarding Frank Hart (Space X)'s order for a PVA 350 forwarding preliminary machine specifications. (Exhibit 29)<ul style="list-style-type: none">o The attachment to this email is a Workcell Specification dated 4-24-09. Essentially, the machine was a 350 with no custom requirements. The needle was not "calibrated." The ventilation was listed at a Minimum CFM of 300 without a "PVA blower" with an exhaust switch. The coating material and solvents are listed as "unknown." There are 2 solvent cups listed and 2 purge pans listed as well. (Exhibit 29)• Attached to an email dated October 14, 2013, there is an excel sheet for the parts, which is relevant as there is a <u>low exhaust</u> flow listed. (Exhibit 29)• The breakdown showing the <u>PVA 350 was sold with no PVA blower and less than 10 CFM</u> is referenced as Exhibit 11. <p>END USERS MUST PUT THEIR HEAD INTO THE PVA 350 MACHINE TO CHECK THE THICKNESS OF THE CHEMICAL COATING AND TO</p> |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 |
|---|---|
| MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS | RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION |
| <p>8. As stated in the manual provided to SpaceX in 2009, it “must exhaust at a rate of no less than 150 cubic feet per minute, otherwise a critical fault will occur shutting the motors down.”</p> <p>Urquhart Dec., PVA Manual and exhibits.</p> <p>(Urquhart Dec., 2:23-26, 21, 98-99.)</p> | <p>SPRAY THE PROPER AREAS OF THE CIRCUIT BOARD</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">The Wet Film Thickness Gauge shows how Mr. Juarez <u>had to measure the level of the fluid on the boards and is relevant to show he had to stick his head in the machine.</u> (Exhibit 23, PVA 1181 (Wet Film Thickness Gauge))Pursuant to email dated December 15, 2014 on 11:47 a.m. Bievenue emailed to Matupang indicating the <u>only way to know for sure the mix ratio is coming out of a spray valve is with flow monitors and if you don't have flow monitors you are “spraying blind.”</u> (Exhibit 30) <p>8. Disputed to the extent this infers that Mr. Juarez saw the manual. The manual was not provided to Mr. Juarez. Also, disputed because a number of safety items are not included with the PVA 350 machine such as the following: conveyor type, passive programming camera, XY fiducial camera, exhaust blower, onboard computer, and flow monitoring.</p> <p>(Exhibit 29, PVA 220)</p> <p>The work order on 7-28-2009 to 7-30-09 did not clarify the ventilation system was operational even after PVA Inc. added a <u>solvent flush</u> option flushed the material, and rebuilt the FCM100 valve.</p> |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|---|
| | | (Exhibit 22 and 36 PVA 4449-4452) |
| | | Disputed to the extent the fact infers the PVA 350 was not defectively designed by not being large enough to allow users to utilize a ventilation mask when the PVA 350 was being utilized. |
| | | (Dec. Glen Stevick) |
| | | The PVA 350 was designed without a conveyer belt to ensure employees of Space X did not have to put their head inside the machine and be exposed to toxic chemicals. |
| | | (Dec. Glen Stevick) |
| | | PVA Inc. trained Mr. Juarez to put his head inside the machine to check various chemical levels without the ventilation system being operations. |
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none">• (Dec. Ruben Juarez)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 91:3-93:24, 96:10-19, 98:20-21, 100:4-9)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 77:21-78:2)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume I, 79:25-80:4)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume I: 87:22-88:1)• (Exhibit 31, PVA 51)• (Exhibit 31, PVA30-32)• (Exhibit 31, PVA 40-41)• (Exhibit 15, PVA 69)• (Exhibit 16, PVA 0272)• (Exhibit 31, PVA 30-32, 51)• (Exhibit 29, PVA 63, 64, 69, |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|--|
| | | <p>220)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• (PVA 4537, 4538, 4539, 4540, and 4542).• (See Exhibit 9 and Exhibit 22)• Exhibit 5, Video of the PVA 350 shows the machine is far too small for someone to use while wearing a ventilator.• Video Exhibit 11 shows the conformal coating process in a PVA machine in 2010.• Video Exhibit 8 shows all the different types of coating and also shows various designs that were not defective so it is great for comparison and explanation.• (Exhibit 15, PVA 69)• (Exhibit 20)• (Exhibit 21, PVA 4537, 4538, 4540) <p><i><u>Specific factual references with citations are set forth below for the court's convenience that address safety concerns, defects in the PVA 350, and concerns regarding the manual.</u></i></p> <p>Mr. Juarez never read the manual for the PVA 350 because it was not available to him, but he was trained by PVA Inc. on how to use the PVA machines, and at these trainings PVA Inc. never told Mr. Juarez to review any manual. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 91:3-93:24, 96:10-19, 98:20-21, 100:4-9)</p> <p>In the first room, the ventilation for the PVA 350 was a manual switch. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 77:21-78:2)</p> |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 |
|---|---|
| <p><u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u></p> | <p><u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u></p> <p>The PVA 350 was built so a programmer had to bypass the safety mechanisms to program the machine. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume I: 87:22-88:1)</p> <p>THE PVA INC. MANUAL WAS NOT PROVIDED TO MR. JUAREZ AND THE MANUAL WAS INSUFFICIENT</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">PVA Inc. specifically indicated the <u>manual was for production and operators not technical personnel, but it might be “useful for introduction or training.”</u> (Exhibit 31, PVA 51)The <u>manual was only produced electronically.</u> (No hardcopy.) (Exhibit 31, PVA30-32)The Operations and Maintenance manual <u>does not warn to wear a face mask</u> or a ventilator. (Exhibit 31, PVA 40-41)The manual indicated the PVA machines required that end users must visually inspect the position of the needle with response to a calibration point and physically reposition the needle. (Exhibit 15, PVA 69)The Final Shipment Sign-Off does not indicate the operating manual was even sent to Space X only machine photos were taken. Also, there is an issue of fact as to whether the exhaust switch was included. (Exhibit 16, PVA 0272)The PVA manual <u>was not physically produced</u> and <u>there was no requirement to review it.</u> |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|--|
| | | <p>(Exhibit 31, PVA 30-32, 51)</p> <p>BELOW PLAINTIFFS ASSERT SPECIFIC DISPUTES OF FACT REGARDING THE PVA 350'S MACHINE DEFECTS, INADEQUATE SAFETY MEASURES, AND THE VENTILATION SYSTEM.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• The alleged PVA safety guide states: "Some machines are equipped with an exhaust fan. The exhaust fan is provided to exhaust fumes from the work area. The exhaust flange should be connected to an appropriate ducting system that is capable of receiving 150 CFM. Insufficient airflow through the exhaust system generates an error." However, <u>this manual was not provided in a hardcopy form to Space X based on the pictures PVA provided.</u> (Exhibit 29, PVA 63)• The Exhaust Verification Process shows <u>some machines</u> have an auto shut down. (Exhibit 29, PVA 64)• <u>A user has to visually inspect the position of a needle with respect to a calibration point and the user may have to physically reposition the needle.</u> (Exhibit 29, PVA 69)• The PVA Product Overview indicated a number of safety items are not included on the PVA 350 automatically such as: conveyor type, passive programming camera, XY fiducial camera, exhaust blower, onboard computer, and flow monitoring. (Exhibit 29, PVA 220)• There is a picture of the module. |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|---|
| | | <p>and there appears to be an insufficient exhaust system in the back of the machine. (Exhibit 29, PVA 4537, 4538, 4539, 4540, and 4542).</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• The April 11, 2012 conformal coating video with Space X boards utilized the same chemicals as used at Space X at PVA Inc. (See Exhibit 9 and Exhibit 22)• Exhibit 5, Video of the PVA 350 shows the machine is far too small for someone to use while wearing a ventilator.• Video Exhibit 11 shows the conformal coating process in a PVA machine in 2010.• Video Exhibit 8 shows all the different types of coating and also shows various designs that were not defective so it is great for comparison and explanation.• The comparison PVA 350 shows there is a <u>low exhaust and no conveyer</u> belt option on the PVA 350. (Exhibit 20) |
| 9. Second, the machine is a closed system with a door and negative air pressure to prevent chemicals from escaping. Urquhart Dec. (Urquhart Dec., 2:27-28.) | 9. Disputed. The PVA 350 is not equipped with a positive or negative air pressure system. (Dec. Ruben Juarez) Further, the PVA 350 had a defective Lexon door that permitted chemicals to escape and even had to be replaced at least once. | |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|--|---|
| | | (Exhibit 13) PVA 277 |
| | | The PVA 350 ventilation indicated there was " <u>no PVA blower.</u> " |
| | | (Exhibit 17, PVA 279-280) |
| | | PVA Inc specifically trained users to utilize the PVA 350 with the door open and with their heads inside without providing a warning to utilize a ventilation mask because the machine was too small to permit a user to utilize a ventilation mask. |
| | | (Dec. Ruben Juarez) |
| | | (Dec. Glen Stevick) |
| | | The second room at a positive pressure ventilation system, but that was not connected to the PVA 350. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume I: 84:6-13) |
| | 10. If the door of the machine is opened, the spraying of materials will stop. Urquhart Dec., PVA Manual and exhibits. (Urquhart Dec., 2:28-3:1, 21, 96-97.) | 10. Disputed to the extent that PVA Inc. attempts to infer that the PVA 350 was not defective we PVA trained users to open the door, put their heads inside the machine while it was spraying, and utilize the bypass system to ensure the circuit boards were uniformly coated without the use of a ventilation mask. PVA Inc. specifically provided a bypass system with the machine and trained Space X employees to utilize it without providing adequate safety controls to the bypass system. • (Dec. Glen Stevick) • (Dec. Ruben Juarez) • (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume I: |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|--|
| | | <p>87:22-88:1)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 89:14-18, 90:8-11)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 121:16-19)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 121: 22- 122:20, 122:22-123:1)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume I: 123:19-124:12)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II: 226:12-227:6)• (Ex. 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II232:1-7, 232: 23-25)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 61:21-63:14)• (Exhibit 13, PVA 277)• .(Exhibit 17, PVA 63, 64, 219-220, 277, 279-280)• (Exhibit 29, PVA 63)• (Exhibit 29, PVA 64, 69, 220,)• (PVA 4537, 4538, 4539, 4540, and 4542).• (See Exhibit 9 and Exhibit 22)• Exhibit 5, Video of the PVA 350 shows the machine is far too small for someone to use while wearing a ventilator.• Video Exhibit 11 shows the conformal coating process in a PVA machine in 2010.• Video Exhibit 8 shows all the different types of coating and also shows various designs that were not defective so it is great for comparison and explanation.• .(Exhibit 15, PVA 69)• (Exhibit 20) |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|--|
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none">• (Exhibit 21, PVA 4537, 4538, 4540)• (Exhibit 24, 4458-4462)• (Exhibit 24)• (Exhibit 23, PVA 1181 (Wet Film Thickness Gauge))• (Exhibit 30) |
| | | <p><i><u>Specific factual references with citations are set forth below for the court's convenience.</u></i></p> |
| | | <p>DEPOSITION TESTIMONY PORTRAYING THE DEFECTIVE DESIGN OF THE PVA 350 AND HOW END USERS WERE TRAINED TO USE THE MACHINE WITH THE DOOR OPEN</p> |
| | | <p>The PVA 350 was built so a programmer had to bypass the safety mechanisms to program the machine. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume I: 87:22-88:1)</p> |
| | | <p>PVA Inc. trained Mr. Juarez to put his head in the PVA 350 machine and bypass the machine. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume I: 89:14-18, 90:8-11)</p> |
| | | <p>Part of Mr. Juarez' training by PVA Inc. was to bypass the safety switch to do the programming of the PVA 350 machine. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume I: 121:16-19)</p> |
| | | <p>Mr. Juarez was trained by PVA Inc. to open the door of the machine to perform programming job duties. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume I: 121: 22-122:20, 122:22-123:1)</p> |
| | | <p>Mr. Juarez believe it was unsafe for the operators to open the machine door and operate the PVA 350 because they could get their hand stuck in the machine, but he did not have a</p> |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|---|
| | | chemistry background so he did not know whether the chemicals were harmful or not, <i>and he relied on the training provided by PVA Inc. that he could put his head safely in the machine while programming the machine.</i> (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume I: 123:19-124:12) |
| | | Mr. Juarez had to place his head into the machine to see what portions of the circuit boards were covered because he would not be able to see into the machine and view the fine spray pattern by just looking through the window. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II: 226:12-227:6) |
| | | Mr. Juarez was trained in 2011 by PVA Inc. through a hands on approach on how to use the PVA machine without any hazardous chemical training. (Ex. 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II232:1-7, 232: 23-25) |
| | | Mr. Juarez would program the PVA 350, set up a circuit board in the machine, make sure the pattern is correct, do a dry run, do a wet run, make sure his head was inside to check the thickness of the layer, clean it up, rerun it again until they got the desired thickness and width. The programmer has to fine tune the thickness of the conformal coating layer. To facilitate the fine tuning process, the machine has to switch to a bypass door so a programmer can put their head inside the machine to make sure the spraying head is parallel to the door. Then a programmer does a dry run, a wet run, and checks the thickness of the layer. The layer has to be about 1.5 thousandths of an inch. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 61:21-63:14) |
| | | ADDITIONAL SAFETY MEASURES WERE INACTIVE, BYPASSED PURSUANT TO TRAINING BY PVA, AND NOT INCLUDED WITH EACH PVA 350 |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 |
|---|--|
| <p><u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u></p> | <p><u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• The system debug dated 5-1-09 indicates the machine needed the material lines, fixed or replaced the Lexon side, valve/2 micrometer needs to be, and they needed to add a camera cable in the back of the machine. Further, PVA Inc. presents no evidence the Lexon glass door was timely replaced or the camera cord was ever installed. (Exhibit 13, PVA 277)• Not all PVA 350s have the alleged safety features including an exhaust fan/conveyer belt/an auto shut down/exhaust blower/flow monitoring, and cameras. Further, pursuant to training provided by PVA Inc., there are bypass systems that must be utilized so programmers can complete their jobs. (Exhibit 17, PVA 63, 64, 219-220, 277, 279-280) <p>BELOW PLAINTIFFS ASSERT SPECIFIC DISPUTES OF FACT REGARDING THE PVA 350'S MACHINE DEFECTS, INADEQUATE SAFETY MEASURES, AND THE VENTILATION SYSTEM.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• The alleged PVA safety guide states: "Some machines are equipped with an exhaust fan. The exhaust fan is provided to exhaust fumes from the work area. The exhaust flange should be connected to an appropriate ducting system that is capable of receiving 150 CFM. Insufficient airflow through the exhaust system generates an error." However, this manual was not provided in a hardcopy form to Space X based on the pictures PVA provided. (Exhibit 29, PVA 63)• The Exhaust Verification |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|---|
| | | <p>Process shows <u>some machines</u> have an auto shut down. (Exhibit 29, PVA 64)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• <u>A user has to visually inspect the position of a needle with respect to a calibration point and the user may have to physically reposition the needle.</u> (Exhibit 29, PVA 69)• The PVA Product Overview indicated a number of safety items are not included on the PVA 350 automatically such as: conveyor type, passive programming camera, XY fiducial camera, exhaust blower, onboard computer, and flow monitoring. (Exhibit 29, PVA 220)• There is a picture of the module, and there appears to be an insufficient exhaust system in the back of the machine. (Exhibit 29, PVA 4537, 4538, 4539, 4540, and 4542).• The April 11, 2012 conformal coating video with Space X boards utilized the same chemicals as used at Space X at PVA Inc. (See Exhibit 9 and Exhibit 22)• Exhibit 5, Video of the PVA 350 shows the machine is far too small for someone to use while wearing a ventilator.• Video Exhibit 11 shows the conformal coating process in a PVA machine in 2010.• Video Exhibit 8 shows all the different types of coating and also shows various designs that were not defective so it is great for comparison |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|--|
| | | <p>and explanation.</p> |
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none">The comparison PVA 350 shows there is a <u>low exhaust and no conveyer</u> belt option on the PVA 350. (Exhibit 20) |
| | | <p>PVA INC. PROVIDED TRAINING AND TRAINED MR. JUAREZ TO PUT HIS HEAD INSIDE THE PVA MACHINE WITHOUT ANY PROTECTIVE GEAR</p> |
| | | <p>Ruben Juarez attended training at PVA Inc., and PVA trained him to put his head inside the machine while spraying without any protective gear. He attended these trainings while working for EMI and Space X. (See Exhibit 24, 4458-4462)</p> |
| | | <p>END USERS MUST PUT THEIR HEAD INTO THE PVA 350 MACHINE TO CHECK THE THICKNESS OF THE CHEMICAL COATING AND TO SPRAY THE PROPER AREAS OF THE CIRCUIT BOARD</p> |
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none">The Wet Film Thickness Gauge shows how Mr. Juarez <u>had to measure the level of the fluid on the boards and is relevant to show he had to stick his head in the machine.</u> (Exhibit 23, PVA 1181 (Wet Film Thickness Gauge)) |
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none">Pursuant to email dated December 15, 2014 on 11:47 a.m. Bievenue emailed to Matupang indicating the <u>only way to know for sure the mix ratio is coming out of a spray valve is with flow monitors and if you don't have flow monitors you are "spraying blind."</u> (Exhibit |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|--|--|
| | 11. "When the door is opened power to the motors and pneumatics is disconnected." Urquhart Dec., PVA Manual and exhibits. (Urquhart Dec., 2:28-3:1, 21, 96-97.) | 30) 11. Disputed to the extent the statement alleges inaccurate factual inferences. PVA Inc. trained users to open the door, put their heads inside the machine, and utilize the bypass system to ensure the circuit boards were uniformly coated without the use of a ventilation mask. PVA Inc. specifically provided a bypass system with the machine and trained Space X employees to utilize the PVA machine without providing adequate safety controls to the bypass system. <ul style="list-style-type: none">• (Dec. Glen Stevick)• (Dec. Ruben Juarez)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume I: 87:22-88:1)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 89:14-18, 90:8-11)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 121: 22- 122:20, 122:22-123:1)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume I: 123:19-124:12)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II: 226:12-227:6)• (Ex. 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II: 232:1-7, 232: 23-25)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 61:21-63:14)• (Exhibit 13, PVA 277)• (Exhibit 17, PVA 63, 64, 219- |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|--|
| | | <p>220, 277, 279-280)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• (Exhibit 29, PVA 63, 69, 220)• (PVA 4537, 4538, 4539, 4540, and 4542).• (See Exhibit 9 and Exhibit 22)• Exhibit 5, Video of the PVA 350 shows the machine is far too small for someone to use while wearing a ventilator.• Video Exhibit 11 shows the conformal coating process in a PVA machine in 2010.• Video Exhibit 8 shows all the different types of coating and also shows various designs that were not defective so it is great for comparison and explanation.• (Exhibit 15, PVA 69)• (Exhibit 20)• (Exhibit 21, PVA 4537, 4538, 4540)• (Exhibit 24, 4458-4462)• (Exhibit 24)• (Exhibit 23, PVA 1181 (Wet Film Thickness Gauge))• (Exhibit 30) <p><i><u>Specific factual references with citations are set forth below for the court's convenience that portray PVA 350 operated when the door was open as PVA Inc. trained Mr. Juarez.</u></i></p> <p>DEPOSITION TESTIMONY PORTRAYING THE DEFECTIVE DESIGN OF THE PVA 350 AND HOW END USERS WERE TRAINED TO USE THE MACHINE WITH THE DOOR</p> |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|--|
| | | OPEN BY PVA INC. |
| | | The PVA 350 was built so a programmer had to bypass the safety mechanisms to program the machine. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume I: 87:22-88:1) |
| | | PVA Inc. trained Mr. Juarez to put his head in the PVA 350 machine and bypass the machine. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 89:14-18, 90:8-11) |
| | | Part of Mr. Juarez' training by PVA Inc. was to bypass the safety switch to do the programming of the PVA 350 machine. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 121:16-19) |
| | | Mr. Juarez was trained by PVA Inc. to open the door of the machine to perform programming job duties. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 121: 22-122:20, 122:22-123:1) |
| | | Mr. Juarez believe it was unsafe for the operators to open the machine door and operate the PVA 350 because they could get their hand stuck in the machine, but he did not have a chemistry background so he did not know whether the chemicals were harmful or not, <i>and he relied on the training provided by PVA Inc. that he could put his head safely in the machine while programming the machine.</i> (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume I: 123:19-124:12) |
| | | <u>Mr. Juarez had to place his head into the machine to see what portions of the circuit boards were covered because he would not be able to see into the machine and view the fine spray pattern by just looking through the window.</u> (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II: 226:12-227:6) |
| | | Mr. Juarez was trained in 2011 by PVA Inc. through a hands on approach on how to use the PVA |

1 **MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED**
2 **UNCONTROVERTED FACTS**

RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION

3 **machine without any hazardous**
4 **chemical training.** (Ex. 4 Depo.
5 Juarez Volume II 232:1-7, 232: 23-25)

6 Mr. Juarez would program the PVA
7 350, set up a circuit board in the
8 machine, make sure the pattern is
9 correct, do a dry run, do a wet run,
10 make sure his head was inside to
11 check the thickness of the layer, clean
12 it up, rerun it again until they got the
13 desired thickness and width. The
14 programmer has to fine tune the
15 thickness of the conformal coating
16 layer. **To facilitate the fine tuning**
17 **process, the machine has to switch**
18 **to a bypass door so a programmer**
19 **can put their head inside the**
20 **machine to make sure the spraying**
21 **head is parallel to the door.** Then a
22 programmer does a dry run, a wet
23 run, and checks the thickness of the
24 layer. The layer has to be about 1.5
25 thousandths of an inch. (Ex 3 Depo.
26 Juarez Volume 1: 61:21-63:14)

27 **ADDITIONAL SAFETY**
28 **MEASURES WERE INACTIVE,**
29 **BYPASSED PURSUANT TO**
30 **TRAINING BY PVA, AND NOT**
31 **INCLUDED WITH EACH PVA 350**

- 32 • The system debug dated 5-1-09
33 indicates the machine needed the
34 material lines, fixed or replaced the
35 **Lexon side**, valve/2 micrometer needs
36 to be, and they needed **to add a**
37 **camera cable in the back of the**
38 **machine.** Further, PVA Inc. presents
39 no evidence the Lexon glass door was
40 timely replaced or the camera cord
41 was ever installed. (Exhibit 13, PVA
42 277)
- 43 • **Not all PVA 350s have the**
44 **alleged safety features including an**
45 **exhaust fan/conveyer belt/an auto**
46 **shut down/exhaust blower/flow**
47 **monitoring, and cameras.** Further,
48 pursuant to training provided by PVA
49 Inc., there are **bypass systems that**
50 **must be utilized so programmers**

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|---|
| | | <p>can complete their jobs. (Exhibit 17, PVA 63, 64, 219-220, 277, 279-280)</p> <p>BELOW PLAINTIFFS ASSERT SPECIFIC DISPUTES OF FACT REGARDING THE PVA 350'S MACHINE DEFECTS, INADEQUATE SAFETY MEASURES, AND THE VENTILATION SYSTEM.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">The alleged PVA safety guide states: "Some machines are equipped with an exhaust fan. The exhaust fan is provided to exhaust fumes from the work area. The exhaust flange should be connected to an appropriate ducting system that is capable of receiving 150 CFM. Insufficient airflow through the exhaust system generates an error." However, <u>this manual was not provided in a hardcopy form to Space X based on the pictures PVA provided</u>. (Exhibit 29, PVA 63)The Exhaust Verification Process shows <u>some machines</u> have an auto shut down. (Exhibit 29, PVA 64)<u>A user has to visually inspect the position of a needle with respect to a calibration point and the user may have to physically reposition the needle.</u> (Exhibit 29, PVA 69)The PVA Product Overview indicated a number of safety items are not included on the PVA 350 automatically such as: conveyor type, passive programming camera, XY fiducial camera, exhaust blower, onboard computer, and flow |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|--|
| | | <p>monitoring. (Exhibit 29, PVA 220)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• There is a picture of the module, and there appears to be an insufficient exhaust system in the back of the machine. (Exhibit 29, PVA 4537, 4538, 4539, 4540, and 4542).• The April 11, 2012 conformal coating video with Space X boards utilized the same chemicals as used at Space X at PVA Inc. (See Exhibit 9 and Exhibit 22)• Exhibit 5, Video of the PVA 350 shows the machine is far too small for someone to use while wearing a ventilator.• Video Exhibit 11 shows the conformal coating process in a PVA machine in 2010.• Video Exhibit 8 shows all the different types of coating and also shows various designs that were not defective so it is great for comparison and explanation.• The comparison PVA 350 shows there is a <u>low exhaust and no conveyer</u> belt option on the PVA 350. (Exhibit 20) <p>PVA INC. PROVIDED TRAINING AND TRAINED MR. JUAREZ TO PUT HIS HEAD INSIDE THE PVA MACHINE WITHOUT ANY PROTECTIVE GEAR</p> <p>Ruben Juarez attended training at PVA Inc., and PVA trained him to put his head inside the machine while spraying without any protective gear. He attended these trainings while</p> |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 |
|---|---|
| <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
| 12. Third, the machine cannot be operated until a machine safety check is performed which ensures that all safety features are working properly: "The machine safety check ensures the workcell safety devices (emergency stop, door interlocks, light curtain, etc.) are operating properly. During startup, the operator must enter the safety check and complete it successfully. Otherwise the machine halts all operations." Urquhart Dec., PVA Manual and exhibits. | working for EMI and Space X. (Exhibit 24, 4458-4462) END USERS MUST PUT THEIR HEAD INTO THE PVA 350 MACHINE TO CHECK THE THICKNESS OF THE CHEMICAL COATING AND TO SPRAY THE PROPER AREAS OF THE CIRCUIT BOARD <ul style="list-style-type: none">The Wet Film Thickness Gauge shows how Mr. Juarez <u>had to measure the level of the fluid on the boards and is relevant to show he had to stick his head in the machine.</u> (Exhibit 23, PVA 1181 (Wet Film Thickness Gauge))Pursuant to email dated December 15, 2014 on 11:47 a.m. Bievenue emailed to Matupang indicating the <u>only way to know for sure the mix ratio is coming out of a spray valve is with flow monitors and if you don't have flow monitors you are "spraying blind."</u> (Exhibit 30) 12. Disputed. The PVA 350 does a quick check at the start up or a "0" check. However, PVA Inc. trained users to bypass this system with the bypass key. Further, a number of safety features are options that are not included with the PVA 350, inadequate, or were not operational. <ul style="list-style-type: none">(Dec. Ruben Juarez)(Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|--|
| | (Urquhart Dec., 3:2-6, 21, 96-99.) | <p>I: 87:22-88:1)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume I: 89:14-18, 90:8-11)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume I: 121:16-19)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume I: 121: 22- 122:20, 122:22-123:1)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume I: 123:19-124:12)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II: 226:12-227:6)• (Ex. 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II232:1-7, 232: 23-25)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume I: 61:21-63:14)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume I: 74:22-75:7)• (Exhibit 13, PVA 277)• (Exhibit 17, PVA 63, 64, 219-220, 277, 279-280)• (Exhibit 29, PVA 63, 64, 69, 220)• (PVA 4537, 4538, 4539, 4540, and 4542).• Exhibit 5, Video of the PVA 350 shows the machine is far too small for someone to use while wearing a ventilator.• Video Exhibit 11 shows the conformal coating process in a PVA machine in 2010.• Video Exhibit 8 shows all the different types of |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|---|
| | | <p>coating and also shows various designs that were not defective so it is great for comparison and explanation.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• .(Exhibit 15, PVA 69)• (Exhibit 20)• (Exhibit 21, PVA 4537, 4538, 4540)• (Exhibit 24, 4458-4462)• (Exhibit 23, PVA 1181 (Wet Film Thickness Gauge))• (Exhibit 30) |
| | | <p><i><u>Specific factual references with citations are set forth below for the court's convenience.</u></i></p> |
| | | <p>DEPOSITION TESTIMONY PORTRAYING THE DEFECTIVE DESIGN OF THE PVA 350 AND HOW END USERS WERE TRAINED TO USE THE MACHINE WITH THE DOOR OPEN BY PVA INC.</p> |
| | | <p>The PVA 350 was built so a programmer had to bypass the safety mechanisms to program the machine. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume I: 87:22-88:1)</p> |
| | | <p>PVA Inc. trained Mr. Juarez to put his head in the PVA 350 machine and bypass the machine. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 89:14-18, 90:8-11)</p> |
| | | <p>Part of Mr. Juarez' training by PVA Inc. was to bypass the safety switch to do the programming of the PVA 350 machine. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 121:16-19)</p> |
| | | <p>Mr. Juarez was trained by PVA Inc. to open the door of the machine to</p> |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|---|
| | | perform programming job duties. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 121: 22-122:20, 122:22-123:1) |
| | | Mr. Juarez believe it was unsafe for the operators to open the machine door and operate the PVA 350 because they could get their hand stuck in the machine, but he did not have a chemistry background so he did not know whether the chemicals were harmful or not, <i>and he relied on the training provided by PVA Inc. that he could put his head safely in the machine while programming the machine.</i> (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume I: 123:19-124:12) |
| | | Mr. Juarez had to place his head into the machine to see what portions of the circuit boards were covered because he would not be able to see into the machine and view the fine spray pattern by just looking through the window. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II: 226:12-227:6) |
| | | Mr. Juarez was trained in 2011 by PVA Inc. through a hands on approach on how to use the PVA machine without any hazardous chemical training. (Ex. 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II232:1-7, 232: 23-25) |
| | | Mr. Juarez would program the PVA 350, set up a circuit board in the machine, make sure the pattern is correct, do a dry run, do a wet run, make sure his head was inside to check the thickness of the layer, clean it up, rerun it again until they got the desired thickness and width. The programmer has to fine tune the thickness of the conformal coating layer. To facilitate the fine tuning process, the machine has to switch to a bypass door so a programmer can put their head inside the machine to make sure the spraying head is parallel to the door. Then a programmer does a dry run, a wet run, and checks the thickness of the layer. The layer has |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|---|
| | | <p>to be about 1.5 thousandths of an inch. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 61:21-63:14)</p> <p>The PVA 350 was programmed by a handheld controller, and it was a rudimentary interface. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 74:22-75:7)</p> |
| | | <p>ADDITIONAL SAFETY MEASURES WERE INACTIVE, BYPASSED PURSUANT TO TRAINING BY PVA, AND NOT INCLUDED WITH EACH PVA 350</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• The system debug dated 5-1-09 indicates the machine needed the material lines, fixed or replaced the Lexon side, valve/2 micrometer needs to be, and they needed to add a camera cable in the back of the machine. Further, PVA Inc. presents no evidence the Lexon glass door was timely replaced or the camera cord was ever installed. (Exhibit 13, PVA 277)• Not all PVA 350s have the alleged safety features including an exhaust fan/conveyer belt/an auto shut down/exhaust blower/flow monitoring, and cameras. Further, pursuant to training provided by PVA Inc., there are bypass systems that must be utilized so programmers can complete their jobs. (Exhibit 17, PVA 63, 64, 219-220, 277, 279-280) |
| | | <p>BELOW PLAINTIFFS ASSERT SPECIFIC DISPUTES OF FACT REGARDING THE PVA 350'S MACHINE DEFECTS, INADEQUATE SAFETY MEASURES, AND THE VENTILATION SYSTEM.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• The alleged PVA safety guide states: "Some machines are equipped with an exhaust fan. The exhaust fan |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|--|
| | | <p>is provided to exhaust fumes from the work area. The exhaust flange should be connected to an appropriate ducting system that is capable of receiving 150 CFM. Insufficient airflow through the exhaust system generates an error.” However, <u>this manual was not provided in a hardcopy form to Space X based on the pictures PVA provided.</u> (Exhibit 29, PVA 63)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• The Exhaust Verification Process shows <u>some machines</u> have an auto shut down. (Exhibit 29, PVA 64)• <u>A user has to visually inspect the position of a needle with respect to a calibration point and the user may have to physically reposition the needle.</u> (Exhibit 29, PVA 69)• The PVA Product Overview indicated a number of safety items are not included on the PVA 350 automatically such as: conveyor type, passive programming camera, XY fiducial camera, exhaust blower, onboard computer, and flow monitoring. (Exhibit 29, PVA 220)• There is a picture of the module, and there appears to be an insufficient exhaust system in the back of the machine. (Exhibit 29, PVA 4537, 4538, 4539, 4540, and 4542).• The April 11, 2012 conformal coating video with Space X boards utilized the same chemicals as used at Space X at PVA Inc. (See Exhibit 9 and Exhibit 22)• Exhibit 5, Video of the PVA 350 shows the machine is far too small |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|--|
| | | <p>for someone to use while wearing a ventilator.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Video Exhibit 11 shows the conformal coating process in a PVA machine in 2010.• Video Exhibit 8 shows all the different types of coating and also shows various designs that were not defective so it is great for comparison and explanation.• The comparison PVA 350 shows there is a <u>low exhaust and no conveyor</u> belt option on the PVA 350. (Exhibit 20) |
| | | <p>PVA INC. PROVIDED TRAINING AND TRAINED MR. JUAREZ TO PUT HIS HEAD INSIDE THE PVA MACHINE WITHOUT ANY PROTECTIVE GEAR</p> <p>Ruben Juarez attended training at PVA Inc., and PVA trained him to put his head inside the machine while spraying without any protective gear. He attended these trainings while working for EMI and Space X. (Exhibit 24, 4458-4462)</p> |
| | | <p>END USERS MUST PUT THEIR HEAD INTO THE PVA 350 MACHINE TO CHECK THE THICKNESS OF THE CHEMICAL COATING AND TO SPRAY THE PROPER AREAS OF THE CIRCUIT BOARD</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• The Wet Film Thickness Gauge shows how Mr. Juarez <u>had to measure the level of the fluid on the boards and is relevant to show he had to stick his head in the machine.</u> |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 |
|---|---|
| <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
| <p>13. The machine's manual lists all of these features and explicitly warn users not to "disable the safety features of the machine."</p> <p>PVA Manual.</p> <p>(Urquhart Dec., 96.)</p> | <p>(Exhibit 23, PVA 1181 (Wet Film Thickness Gauge))</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">Pursuant to email dated December 15, 2014 on 11:47 a.m. Bievenue emailed to Matupang indicating the <u>only way to know for sure the mix ratio is coming out of a spray valve is with flow monitors and if you don't have flow monitors you are "spraying blind."</u> (Exhibit 30) <p>13. Disputed to the extent Defendant infers that Mr. Juarez saw the manual as Mr. Juarez was never provided the manual. Further, the manual was not available in the computer connected to the PVA 350 because the PVA 350 is a standalone machine, the manual was not uploaded to the company network.</p> <p>(Dec. Ruben Juarez)</p> <p>Also, PVA provided photographs of the PVA 350 machine when it shipped and there is no hardcopy of the manual included in the delivery. Further, PVA Inc. specifically trained Mr. Juarez to bypass the safety systems so the machine could be utilized as it was designed defectively and could not be utilized otherwise.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">(Dec. Ruben Juarez)(Dec. Glen Stevick)(Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|---|
| | | <p>1: 91:3-93:24, 96:10-19, 98:20-21, 100</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume I: 87:22-88:1)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume I: 121:16-19)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume I: 121: 22- 122:20, 122:22-123:1)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume I: 123:19-124:12)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II: 226:12-227:6)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume I: 61:21-63:14)• (Exhibit 31, PVA 51)• (Exhibit 31, PVA30-32)• (Exhibit 31, PVA 40-41)• (Exhibit 15, PVA 69)• (Exhibit 16, PVA 0272)• (Exhibit 31, PVA 30-32, 51)• (Exhibit 29, PVA 63, 64, 69, 220)• (See Exhibit 9 and Exhibit 22)• Exhibit 5, Video of the PVA 350 shows the machine is far too small for someone to use while wearing a ventilator.• Video Exhibit 11 shows the conformal coating process in a PVA machine in 2010.• Video Exhibit 8 shows all the different types of |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|--|
| | | <p>coating and also shows various designs that were not defective so it is great for comparison and explanation.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• . (Exhibit 15, PVA 69)• (Exhibit 20)• (Exhibit 21, PVA 4537, 4538, 4540)• (Exhibit 18, PVA 40)• (Exhibit 35)• (Exhibit 24, 4458-4462)• (Exhibit 24)• (Exhibit 23, PVA 1181 (Wet Film Thickness Gauge))• (Exhibit 30) <p><u>Specific factual references with citations are set forth below for the court's convenience.</u></p> <p>DEPOSITION TESTIMONY SHOWING THERE IS DISPUTE AS TO THE CONTENTS OF THE PVA MANUAL, THE SAFETY OF THE PVA 350, THE DEFECTS OF THE PVA 350, AND PVA INC.'S TRAINING</p> <p>Mr. Juarez never read the manual for the PVA 350 because it was not available to him, but he was trained by PVA Inc. on how to use the PVA machines, and at these trainings PVA Inc. never told Mr. Juarez to review any manual. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 91:3-93:24, 96:10-19, 98:20-21, 100)</p> <p>The PVA 350 was built so a programmer had to bypass the safety mechanisms to program the machine.</p> |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|--|
| | | (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume I: 87:22-88:1) |
| | | PVA Inc. trained Mr. Juarez to put his head in the PVA 350 machine and bypass the machine. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 89:14-18, 90:8-11) |
| | | Part of Mr. Juarez' training by PVA Inc. was to bypass the safety switch to do the programming of the PVA 350 machine. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 121:16-19) |
| | | Mr. Juarez was trained by PVA Inc. to open the door of the machine to perform programming job duties. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 121: 22-122:20, 122:22-123:1) |
| | | Mr. Juarez believe it was unsafe for the operators to open the machine door and operate the PVA 350 because they could get their hand stuck in the machine, but he did not have a chemistry background so he did not know whether the chemicals were harmful or not, <i>and he relied on the training provided by PVA Inc. that he could put his head safely in the machine while programming the machine.</i> (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume I: 123:19-124:12) |
| | | Mr. Juarez had to place his head into the machine to see what portions of the circuit boards were covered because he would not be able to see into the machine and view the fine spray pattern by just looking through the window. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II: 226:12-227:6) |
| | | Mr. Juarez was trained in 2011 by PVA Inc. through a hands on approach on how to use the PVA machine without any hazardous chemical training. (Ex. 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II232:1-7, 232: 23-25) |
| | | Mr. Juarez would program the PVA 350, set up a circuit board in the |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|---|
| | | <p>machine, make sure the pattern is correct, do a dry run, do a wet run, make sure his head was inside to check the thickness of the layer, clean it up, rerun it again until they got the desired thickness and width. The programmer has to fine tune the thickness of the conformal coating layer. To facilitate the fine tuning process, the machine has to switch to a bypass door so a programmer can put their head inside the machine to make sure the spraying head is parallel to the door. Then a programmer does a dry run, a wet run, and checks the thickness of the layer. The layer has to be about 1.5 thousandths of an inch. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 61:21-63:14)</p> |
| | | <p>The PVA 350 was programmed by a handheld controller, and it was a rudimentary interface. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 74:22-75:7)</p> <p><u>BELOW ARE FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS REGARDING THE MANUAL NOT BEING PRODUCED AND PVA MANUAL BEING INEFFECTIVE AND INSUFFICIENT</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• PVA specifically indicated the manual was for production and <u>operators not technical personnel</u>, but it might be “useful for introduction or training.” (Exhibit 31, PVA 51)<li>• The manual was <u>only produced electronically</u>. (No hardcopy.) (Exhibit 31, PVA30-32)<li>• The Operations and Maintenance manual <u>does not warn to wear a face mask or protection</u> from any inhalants. (Exhibit 31, PVA |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 |
|---|---|
| <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
| | 40-41) <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• The manual indicates the PVA machines require that end users must <u>visually inspect the position of the needle with response to a calibration point and physically reposition the needle.</u> (Exhibit 15, PVA 69)<li>• The Final Shipment Sign-Off does not indicate the operating manual was sent to Space X only machine photos were taken. Also, there is an issue of facts as to whether the <u>exhaust switch was included.</u> (Exhibit 16, PVA 0272) |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• The PVA manual was not <u>physically produced and there was no requirement to review it.</u> (Exhibit 31, PVA 30-32, 51) |
| | <p>BELOW PLAINTIFFS ASSERT SPECIFIC DISPUTES OF FACT REGARDING THE PVA 350'S MACHINE DEFECTS, INADEQUATE SAFETY MEASURES, AND THE VENTILATION SYSTEM.</p> |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• The alleged PVA safety guide states: "Some machines are equipped with an exhaust fan. The exhaust fan is provided to exhaust fumes from the work area. The exhaust flange should be connected to an appropriate ducting system that is capable of receiving 150 CFM. Insufficient airflow through the exhaust system generates an error." However, <u>this manual was not provided in a hardcopy form to Space X based on the pictures PVA provided.</u> (Exhibit 29, PVA 63)<li>• The Exhaust Verification |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|---|
| | | <p>Process shows <u>some machines</u> have an auto shut down. (Exhibit 29, PVA 64)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• <u>A user has to visually inspect the position of a needle with respect to a calibration point and the user may have to physically reposition the needle.</u> (Exhibit 29, PVA 69)• The PVA Product Overview indicated a number of safety items are not included on the PVA 350 automatically such as: conveyor type, passive programming camera, XY fiducial camera, exhaust blower, onboard computer, and flow monitoring. (Exhibit 29, PVA 220)• There is a picture of the module, and there appears to be an insufficient exhaust system in the back of the machine. (Exhibit 29, PVA 4537, 4538, 4539, 4540, and 4542).• The April 11, 2012 conformal coating video with Space X boards utilized the same chemicals as used at Space X at PVA Inc. (See Exhibit 9 and Exhibit 22)• Exhibit 5, Video of the PVA 350 shows the machine is far too small for someone to use while wearing a ventilator.• Video Exhibit 11 shows the conformal coating process in a PVA machine in 2010.• Video Exhibit 8 shows all the different types of coating and also shows various designs that were not defective so it is great for comparison |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|--|
| | | <p>and explanation.</p> |
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none">The comparison PVA 350 shows there is a <u>low exhaust and no conveyer</u> belt option on the PVA 350. (Exhibit 20) |
| | | <p>PVA INC. PROVIDED TRAINING AND TRAINED MR. JUAREZ TO PUT HIS HEAD INSIDE THE PVA MACHINE WITHOUT ANY PROTECTIVE GEAR</p> |
| | | <p>Ruben Juarez attended training at PVA Inc., and PVA trained him to put his head inside the machine while spraying without any protective gear. He attended these trainings while working for EMI and Space X. (Exhibit 24, 4458-4462)</p> |
| | | <p>END USERS MUST PUT THEIR HEAD INTO THE PVA 350 MACHINE TO CHECK THE THICKNESS OF THE CHEMICAL COATING AND TO SPRAY THE PROPER AREAS OF THE CIRCUIT BOARD</p> |
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none">The Wet Film Thickness Gauge shows how Mr. Juarez <u>had to measure the level of the fluid on the boards and is relevant to show he had to stick his head in the machine.</u> (Exhibit 23, PVA 1181 (Wet Film Thickness Gauge)) |
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none">Pursuant to email dated December 15, 2014 on 11:47 a.m. Bievenue emailed to Matupang indicating the <u>only way to know for sure the mix ratio is coming out of a spray valve is with flow monitors and if you don't have flow monitors you are "spraying blind."</u> (Exhibit |

| <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|--|---|
| <p>14. It also states: "NOTE: The safety features should NEVER be bypassed, disabled or tampered with. Precision Valve & Automation, Inc. is not responsible for any damages incurred, mechanical or human, because of alteration or destruction of any safety features."</p> <p>PVA Manual.</p> <p>(Urquhart Dec., 74, 96.)</p> | <p>30)</p> <p>14. Disputed to the extent Defendant infers that Mr. Juarez saw the manual as Mr. Juarez was never provided the manual. Further, the manual was not available in the computer connected to the PVA 350 because the PVA 350 is a standalone machine, the manual was not uploaded to the company network.</p> <p>Mr. Juarez never read the manual for the PVA 350 because it was not available to him, but he was trained by PVA Inc. on how to use the PVA machines, and at these trainings PVA Inc. never told Mr. Juarez to review any manual. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 91:3-93:24, 96:10-19, 98:20-21, 100</p> <p>Also, PVA provided photographs of the PVA 350 machine when it shipped and there is no hardcopy of the manual included in the delivery. Further, PVA Inc. specifically trained Mr. Juarez to bypass the safety systems so the machine could be utilized as it was designed defectively and could not be utilized otherwise.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• (Dec. Glen Stevick)• (Dec. Ruben Juarez)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 91:3-93:24, 96:10-19, 98:20-21, 100)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume I: 87:22-88:1) |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|--|
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none">• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 89:14-18, 90:8-11)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 121:16-19)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 121: 22- 122:20, 122:22-123:1)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume I: 123:19-124:12)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II: 226:12-227:6)• (Ex. 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II: 232:1-7, 232: 23-25)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 61:21-63:14)• (Exhibit 31, PVA 51)• (Exhibit 31, PVA30-32)• (Exhibit 31, PVA 40-41)• (Exhibit 15, PVA 69)• (Exhibit 16, PVA 0272)• (Exhibit 31, PVA 30-32, 51)• (Exhibit 29, PVA 63, 64, 69, 220)• (See Exhibit 9 and Exhibit 22)• Exhibit 5, Video of the PVA 350 shows the machine is far too small for someone to use while wearing a ventilator.• Video Exhibit 11 shows the conformal coating process in a PVA machine in 2010.• Video Exhibit 8 shows all the different types of coating and also shows various designs that were not defective so it is great for comparison and explanation.• (Exhibit 15, PVA 69)• (Exhibit 20) |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• (Exhibit 21, PVA 4537, 4538, 4540)• (Exhibit 18, PVA 40)• (Exhibit 35)• (Exhibit 24, 4458-4462)• (Exhibit 24)• (Exhibit 23, PVA 1181 (Wet Film Thickness Gauge))• (Exhibit 30) <p><i><u>Specific factual references with citations are set forth below for the court's convenience.</u></i></p> <p>DEPOSITION TESTIMONY PORTRAYING THE DEFECTIVE DESIGN OF THE PVA 350 AND HOW END USERS WERE TRAINED TO USE THE MACHINE WITH THE DOOR OPEN BY PVA INC.</p> <p>Mr. Juarez never read the manual for the PVA 350 because it was not available to him, but he was trained by PVA Inc. on how to use the PVA machines, and at these trainings PVA Inc. never told Mr. Juarez to review any manual. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 91:3-93:24, 96:10-19, 98:20-21, 100)</p> <p>The PVA 350 was built so a programmer had to bypass the safety mechanisms to program the machine. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume I: 87:22-88:1)</p> <p>PVA Inc. trained Mr. Juarez to put his head in the PVA 350 machine and bypass the machine. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 89:14-18, 90:8-11)</p> |
|---|---|
|---|---|

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|--|
| | | Part of Mr. Juarez' training by PVA Inc. was to bypass the safety switch to do the programming of the PVA 350 machine. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume I: 121:16-19) |
| | | Mr. Juarez was trained by PVA Inc. to open the door of the machine to perform programming job duties. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume I: 121: 22-122:20, 122:22-123:1) |
| | | Mr. Juarez believe it was unsafe for the operators to open the machine door and operate the PVA 350 because they could get their hand stuck in the machine, but he did not have a chemistry background so he did not know whether the chemicals were harmful or not, <i>and he relied on the training provided by PVA Inc. that he could put his head safely in the machine while programming the machine.</i> (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume I: 123:19-124:12) |
| | | Mr. Juarez had to place his head into the machine to see what portions of the circuit boards were covered because he would not be able to see into the machine and view the fine spray pattern by just looking through the window. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II: 226:12-227:6) |
| | | Mr. Juarez was trained in 2011 by PVA Inc. through a hands on approach on how to use the PVA machine without any hazardous chemical training. (Ex. 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II232:1-7, 232: 23-25) |
| | | Mr. Juarez would program the PVA 350, set up a circuit board in the machine, make sure the pattern is correct, do a dry run, do a wet run, make sure his head was inside to check the thickness of the layer, clean it up, rerun it again until they got the desired thickness and width. The programmer has to fine tune the thickness of the conformal coating |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|---|
| | | <p>layer. To facilitate the fine tuning process, the machine has to switch to a bypass door so a programmer can put their head inside the machine to make sure the spraying head is parallel to the door. Then a programmer does a dry run, a wet run, and checks the thickness of the layer. The layer has to be about 1.5 thousandths of an inch. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 61:21-63:14)</p> <p>The PVA 350 was programmed by a handheld controller, and it was a rudimentary interface. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 74:22-75:7)</p> <p><u>BELOW ARE FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS REGARDING THE MANUAL NOT BEING PRODUCED AND PVA MANUAL BEING INEFFECTIVE AND INSUFFICIENT</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• PVA specifically indicated the manual was for production and <u>operators not technical personnel, but it might be “useful for introduction or training.”</u> (Exhibit 31, PVA 51)• The manual was <u>only produced electronically.</u> (No hardcopy.) (Exhibit 31, PVA30-32)• The Operations and Maintenance manual <u>does not warn to wear a face mask or protection</u> from any inhalants. (Exhibit 31, PVA 40-41)• The manual indicates the PVA machines require that end users must <u>visually inspect the position of the needle with response to a calibration point and physically reposition the</u> |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 |
|---|---|
| | <p><u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u></p> <p><u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u></p> <p><u>needle.</u> (Exhibit 15, PVA 69)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">The Final Shipment Sign-Off does not indicate the operating manual was sent to Space X only machine photos were taken. Also, there is an issue of facts as to whether the <u>exhaust switch was included.</u> (Exhibit 16, PVA 0272)The PVA manual was not <u>physically produced and there was no requirement to review it.</u> (Exhibit 31, PVA 30-32, 51) <p>BELOW PLAINTIFFS ASSERT SPECIFIC DISPUTES OF FACT REGARDING THE PVA 350'S MACHINE DEFECTS, INADEQUATE SAFETY MEASURES, AND THE VENTILATION SYSTEM.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">The alleged PVA safety guide states: "Some machines are equipped with an exhaust fan. The exhaust fan is provided to exhaust fumes from the work area. The exhaust flange should be connected to an appropriate ducting system that is capable of receiving 150 CFM. Insufficient airflow through the exhaust system generates an error." However, <u>this manual was not provided in a hardcopy form to Space X based on the pictures PVA provided.</u> (Exhibit 29, PVA 63)The Exhaust Verification Process shows <u>some machines</u> have an auto shut down. (Exhibit 29, PVA 64)<u>A user has to visually inspect the position of a needle with respect to a calibration point and the user</u> |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 |
|---|--|
| <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
| | <p><u>may have to physically reposition the needle.</u> (Exhibit 29, PVA 69)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• The PVA Product Overview indicated a number of safety items are not included on the PVA 350 automatically such as: conveyor type, passive programming camera, XY fiducial camera, exhaust blower, onboard computer, and flow monitoring. (Exhibit 29, PVA 220)• There is a picture of the module, and there appears to be an insufficient exhaust system in the back of the machine. (Exhibit 29, PVA 4537, 4538, 4539, 4540, and 4542).• The April 11, 2012 conformal coating video with Space X boards utilized the same chemicals as used at Space X at PVA Inc. (See Exhibit 9 and Exhibit 22)• Exhibit 5, Video of the PVA 350 shows the machine is far too small for someone to use while wearing a ventilator.• Video Exhibit 11 shows the conformal coating process in a PVA machine in 2010.• Video Exhibit 8 shows all the different types of coating and also shows various designs that were not defective so it is great for comparison and explanation.• The comparison PVA 350 shows there is a <u>low exhaust and no conveyer</u> belt option on the PVA 350. (Exhibit 20) <p>PVA INC. PROVIDED TRAINING</p> |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|--|--------------------------------------|
| | <p>AND TRAINED MR. JUAREZ TO PUT HIS HEAD INSIDE THE PVA MACHINE WITHOUT ANY PROTECTIVE GEAR</p> <p>Ruben Juarez attended training at PVA Inc., and PVA trained him to put his head inside the machine while spraying without any protective gear. He attended these trainings while working for EMI and Space X. (Exhibit 24, 4458-4462)</p> <p>END USERS MUST PUT THEIR HEAD INTO THE PVA 350 MACHINE TO CHECK THE THICKNESS OF THE CHEMICAL COATING AND TO SPRAY THE PROPER AREAS OF THE CIRCUIT BOARD</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">The Wet Film Thickness Gauge shows how Mr. Juarez had to measure the level of the fluid on the boards and is relevant to show he had to stick his head in the machine. (Exhibit 23, PVA 1181 (Wet Film Thickness Gauge))Pursuant to email dated December 15, 2014 on 11:47 a.m. Bievenue emailed to Matupang indicating the only way to know for sure the mix ratio is coming out of a spray valve is with flow monitors and if you don't have flow monitors you are "spraying blind." (Exhibit 30) | |
| 15. “Operation of your workcell involves, air pressure, electrical power and mechanical devices <i>and the use of Hazardous materials....</i> There are no dangerous materials or chemicals used in the operation of the machine <i>except for the required</i> | 15. Disputed to the extent Defendant infers that Mr. Juarez saw the manual as Mr. Juarez was never provided the manual. Further, the manual was not available in the computer connected to the PVA 350 because the PVA 350 is | |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|--|---|
| | <p><i>application material.</i> The application material <i>should include a Material Safety Data Sheet (MSDS)</i>, which specifies known dangers and toxicity.”</p> <p>PVA Manual.</p> <p>(Urquhart Dec., 86-87 (emphasis added).).</p> | <p>a standalone machine, the manual was not uploaded to the company network.</p> <p>Also, PVA provided photographs of the PVA 350 machine when it shipped and there is no hardcopy of the manual included in the delivery. Further, PVA Inc. specifically trained Mr. Juarez to bypass the safety systems so the machine could be utilized as it was designed defectively and could not be utilized otherwise.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• (Dec. Glen Stevick)• (Dec. Ruben Juarez)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 91:3-93:24, 96:10-19, 98:20-21, 100)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume I: 87:22-88:1)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 89:14-18, 90:8-11)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 121:16-19)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 121: 22- 122:20, 122:22-123:1)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume I: 123:19-124:12)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II: 226:12-227:6)• (Ex. 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II232:1-7, 232: 23-25)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 61:21-63:14)• (Exhibit 31, PVA 51)• (Exhibit 31, PVA30-32)• (Exhibit 31, PVA 40-41) |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|--|
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none">• (Exhibit 15, PVA 69)• (Exhibit 16, PVA 0272)• (Exhibit 31, PVA 30-32, 51)• (Exhibit 29, PVA 63, 64, 69, 220)• (See Exhibit 9 and Exhibit 22)• Exhibit 5, Video of the PVA 350 shows the machine is far too small for someone to use while wearing a ventilator.• Video Exhibit 11 shows the conformal coating process in a PVA machine in 2010.• Video Exhibit 8 shows all the different types of coating and also shows various designs that were not defective so it is great for comparison and explanation.• (Exhibit 15, PVA 69)• (Exhibit 20)• (Exhibit 21, PVA 4537, 4538, 4540)• (Exhibit 18, PVA 40)• (Exhibit 35)• (Exhibit 24, 4458-4462)• (Exhibit 24)• (Exhibit 23, PVA 1181 (Wet Film Thickness Gauge))• (Exhibit 30)• (See Exhibit 22, PVA |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|--|
| | | <p>4463-4481)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• (See Exhibit 9 and Exhibit 22)• (Exhibit 22, PVA 23 and 24)• (Exhibit 22 PVA 4449-4452)• (Exhibit 22 PVA 4463-4481)• (Exhibit 22) |
| | | <p><i><u>Specific factual references with citations are set forth below for the court's convenience.</u></i></p> <p>FAILURE TO WARN/WARNING ON MACHINE IS REGARDING HAZARD OF VOLTAGE NOT CHEMICALS</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• The PVA Manual does not warn users to use a face mask or ventilator. (Exhibit 18, PVA 40)• The warning on the sides states, "WARNING, Hazardous voltage. Power shall be disconnected before enclosure is opened. Enclosure shall be closed before power is restored." (Exhibit 35) |
| | | <p>DEPOSITION TESTIMONY REGARDING TRAINING RECEIVED BY MR. JUAREZ AND INADEQUATE WARNINGS.</p> <p>Mr. Juarez never read the manual for the PVA 350 because it was not available to him, but he was trained by PVA Inc. on how to use the PVA machines, and at these trainings PVA Inc. never told Mr. Juarez to review any manual. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 91:3-93:24, 96:10-19,</p> |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|---|
| | | 98:20-21, 100:4-9) |
| | | Mr. Juarez never called the machine a work cell. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II: 204 :4-5) |
| | | Mr. Juarez was never given any written instructions with the incoming Humiseal products that were delivered to Space X. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II: 220:5-11) |
| | | Mr. Juarez indicated he does not have a chemistry background at his deposition on March 8, 2018. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 55:21-56:5) |
| | | Mr. Juarez was trained by PVA Inc. to open the door of the machine to perform programming job duties. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 121: 22-122:20, 122:22-123:1) |
| | | Mr. Juarez believed it was unsafe for the operators to open the machine door and operate the PVA 350 because they could get their hand stuck in the machine. Additionally, he did not have a chemistry background so he did not know whether the chemicals were harmful or not, and he relied on the training provided by PVA Inc. that he could put is head safely in the machine while programming the machine. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume I: 123:19-124:12) |
| | | BELOW ARE FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS REGARDING THE MANUAL NOT BEING PRODUCED AND PVA MANUAL BEING INEFFECTIVE AND INSUFFICIENT |
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none">PVA specifically indicated the manual was for production and <u>operators not technical personnel,</u> but it might be “useful for introduction or training.” (Exhibit |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 |
|---|---|
| <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
| | <p>31, PVA 51)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• The manual was <u>only produced electronically.</u> (No hardcopy.) (Exhibit 31, PVA30-32)• The Operations and Maintenance manual <u>does not warn to wear a face mask or protection</u> from any inhalants. (Exhibit 31, PVA 40-41)• The manual indicates the PVA machines require that end users must <u>visually inspect the position of the needle with response to a calibration point and physically reposition the needle.</u> (Exhibit 15, PVA 69)• The Final Shipment Sign-Off does not indicate the operating manual was sent to Space X only machine photos were taken. Also, there is an issue of facts as to whether the <u>exhaust switch was included.</u> (Exhibit 16, PVA 0272)• The PVA manual was not <u>physically produced and there was no requirement to review it.</u> (Exhibit 31, PVA 30-32, 51) <p>BELOW PLAINTIFFS ASSERT SPECIFIC DISPUTES OF FACT REGARDING THE PVA 350'S MACHINE DEFECTS, INADEQUATE SAFETY MEASURES, AND THE VENTILATION SYSTEM.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• The alleged PVA safety guide states: "Some machines are equipped with an exhaust fan. The exhaust fan is provided to exhaust fumes from the work area. The exhaust flange should |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|--|
| | | <p>be connected to an appropriate ducting system that is capable of receiving 150 CFM. Insufficient airflow through the exhaust system generates an error.” However, <u>this manual was not provided in a hardcopy form to Space X based on the pictures PVA provided.</u> (Exhibit 29, PVA 63)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• The Exhaust Verification Process shows <u>some machines</u> have an auto shut down. (Exhibit 29, PVA 64)• <u>A user has to visually inspect the position of a needle with respect to a calibration point and the user may have to physically reposition the needle.</u> (Exhibit 29, PVA 69)• The PVA Product Overview indicated a number of safety items are not included on the PVA 350 automatically such as: conveyor type, passive programming camera, XY fiducial camera, exhaust blower, onboard computer, and flow monitoring. (Exhibit 29, PVA 220)• There is a picture of the module, and there appears to be an insufficient exhaust system in the back of the machine. (Exhibit 29, PVA 4537, 4538, 4539, 4540, and 4542).• The April 11, 2012 conformal coating video with Space X boards utilized the same chemicals as used at Space X at PVA Inc. (See Exhibit 9 and Exhibit 22)• Exhibit 5, Video of the PVA 350 shows the machine is far too small for someone to use while wearing a |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|---|
| | | <p>ventilator.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Video Exhibit 11 shows the conformal coating process in a PVA machine in 2010.• Video Exhibit 8 shows all the different types of coating and also shows various designs that were not defective so it is great for comparison and explanation.• The comparison PVA 350 shows there is a <u>low exhaust and no conveyer</u> belt option on the PVA 350. (Exhibit 20) |
| | | <p>PVA INC. PROVIDED TRAINING AND TRAINED MR. JUAREZ TO PUT HIS HEAD INSIDE THE PVA MACHINE WITHOUT ANY PROTECTIVE GEAR</p> <p>Ruben Juarez attended training at PVA Inc., and PVA trained him to put his head inside the machine while spraying without any protective gear. He attended these trainings while working for EMI and Space X. (Exhibit 24, 4458-4462)</p> |
| | | <p>END USERS MUST PUT THEIR HEAD INTO THE PVA 350 MACHINE TO CHECK THE THICKNESS OF THE CHEMICAL COATING AND TO SPRAY THE PROPER AREAS OF THE CIRCUIT BOARD</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• The Wet Film Thickness Gauge shows how Mr. Juarez <u>had to measure the level of the fluid on the boards and is relevant to show he had to stick his head in the machine.</u> (Exhibit 23, PVA 1181 (Wet Film |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 |
|---|--|
| <p><u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u></p> | <p><u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u></p> <p>Thickness Gauge))</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">Pursuant to email dated December 15, 2014 on 11:47 a.m. Bievenue emailed to Matupang indicating the <u>only way to know for sure the mix ratio is coming out of a spray valve is with flow monitors and if you don't have flow monitors you are "spraying blind."</u> (Exhibit 30) <p><u>PVA HAD KNOWLEDGE OF THE CHEMICALS BEING UTILIZED BY SPACE X AND WAS INVOLVED IN SUBSEQUENT MODIFICATIONS TO THE MACHINE INVOLVING VARIOUS CHEMICALS</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">PVA Inc was aware of the chemicals being utilized with the PVA 350. including the <u>transition to Arathane.</u> (See Exhibit 22, PVA 4463-4481)The April 11, 2012 conformal coating video with Space X boards utilized the <u>same chemicals as used at Space X at PVA Inc.</u> (See Exhibit 9 and Exhibit 22)On September 19, 2013, PVA Inc. made further modifications to the subject machine including reworking the dispense system on the machine to connect one cartridge to the one gallon tank for "<u>automatic solvent flush of Arathane 5705.</u>" (Exhibit 22, PVA 23 and 24)On July 30, 2009, |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 |
|---|--|
| <p><u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u></p> | <p><u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u></p> <p>PVA/Andrew Haraburda serviced the W3267 (subject PVA 350 machine) and this description of the work/changes included: adding solvent flush option (6 oz cartridge, 3 way ball valve, O-ISPSI Precision Regulator, which shows <u>PVA Inc. was continuing to modify and upgrade the machine.</u> Then PVA Inc. specifically recommended Space X alter the solvent to a different solvent stating “Space X may need to use a different solvent (i.e. Xylene).” (Exhibit 22 PVA 4449-4452)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Pursuant to email chain dated March 13, 2012 Jonathan Urquhart (<u>PVA</u>) was aware of <u>Space X's use of Arathane 5750</u> The email specifically states, “I think David has spoken to you <u>about our customer Space X</u>. They build full blown rocket ships. <u>They plan on pre-mixing this material part A part B and a 3rd part, thinner in small batches apply to board and the purge system</u> because of shelf life once mixed. <u>Sounds screwy to me.</u>” They were looking for a demo on the 27th of March. (Exhibit 22, PVA 4463-4481)• Pursuant to email dated March 15, 2012 to Jonathan Urquhart, PVA was preparing a demo on the PVA 650 and <u>PVA were working with the same chemical materials Space X was utilizing, but PVA did not</u> |

1 **MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED**
2 **UNCONTROVERTED FACTS**

1 **RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION**

3
4
5
6
want Space X to know and
PVA indicated they were
going to convey to Space X
that the materials were
similar, but not the exact
same. . (Exhibit 22, PVA
4463-4481)

- 7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
- Pursuant to email dated March 30, 2012, the test at PVA Inc. was rescheduled to **4-11-12** **to view the** 3 part mixing process. (Exhibit 22, PVA 4463-4481)
 - Pursuant to email from David Gomez to Johnathan (PVA) Urquhart dated May 11, 2012 at 2:11 p.m., Space X talked about retrofitting the PVA 350, and then subsequently there was confirmation from Jon indicating **Space X wanted to duplicate what was done during the visit.** (Exhibit 22, PVA 4463-4481)
 - Jon Urquart performed **the demo for Space X on 4-11-12.** (Exhibit 22, PVA 4463-4481)
 - Email dated December 2, 2014 at 9:03 a.m. Mr. Urquhart (PVA) indicated to Mr. Matupang there would be 2 demos including a coating with **Arathane 2 part mix and spray and dispensing using a 650 or Delta 6 with board head,** JDX (underfill), SVX (past), and FC100 (solder mask). (Exhibit 22)

1 **MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED**
2 **UNCONTROVERTED FACTS**

RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION

- On November 17, 2014, Mr. Urquhart (PVA) asked if Space X wanted to mix on the fly with **5750**? (Exhibit 22)
- On January 9, 2013 at 10:38 a.m. David Gomez (PVA) emailed Brian Ignaut (Space X) **doing follow up on the “two part material application project you were working on and kindly presented us with.”** There was inquiry as to whether there were any developments in the first few months of 2013. (Exhibit 22)
- On December 3, 2012 at 12:01 PM David Gomez (PVA) wrote Scott Abarta asking for the **two part material brand and part # as well as any MSDS and data sheets.** Also, there was a request for a shot size and cycle time per part if possible. (Exhibit 22)
- On **September 10, 2013**, Mr. Gomez (PVA) indicated “they only want a 20 oz cartridge retainer & cap with spray & needle valve for the pre-mix material and 6oz cartridge retainer & cap **for the solvent, so it can flush the premixed material out right after doing a batch of boards.**” Mr. Gomez indicated he was **familiar with the “fluid delivery line” because he had done it “several times.”** He also indicated they were **“not there yet” for the two part coating spraying.** (Exhibit 22)

BALABAN & SPIELBERGER LLP
11999 SAN VICENTE BOULEVARD, SUITE 345
LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90049

1 **MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED**
2 **UNCONTROVERTED FACTS**

RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION

3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

- On September 9, 2013, Richard Bievenue (PVA) wrote Jonathan Connelly (ccing David Filbert, David Gomez, and Michael R. Leonard) indicating that he spoke with Duc Phan (Space X) about the 350 machine and how Space X was switching to utilizing a 2 part material including Arathane 5750. There was discussion about premising the solvents and flushing the solvent valves. Also, there was interest regarding a 2 component meter mix system. (Exhibit 22)

- On September 18, 2013 at 12:41 p.m., Mr. Gomez (PVA) indicated that this is not the first time they have done a two part coating system and he thought they were putting to many resources into this. The email specifically references Arathane 5750. (Exhibit 22)

- On September 13, 2013, from Duc Phan (Space X) to Jonathan Connelly, Michael R. Leonard (ccing Richard Bievenue and David Filbert) explained the process with pictures. Specifically, he indicated that Space X removed the hoses from the spray dispensing head and connected the hoses from the Arathane material and solvent to it. He further explained when the Arathane material was not in use they vaped the solvent hose and connect the

1 **MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED**
2 **UNCONTROVERTED FACTS**

RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION

3 Arathane material hose to the
4 other head just for a place
5 holder. He also explained that
6 Arathane 5750 part A and B
7 is mixed together and poured
8 in the first reservoir and the
9 second reservoir is the solvent
10 use to thin out the mixed
11 Arathane for spray
12 requirement. (Exhibit 22)

13 • On September 13, 2013 at
14 6:07 a.m. Jonathan Connelly
15 wrote Duc Phan (Space X).
16 Michael R. Leonard and ccng
17 Richard Bievenue and David
18 Filbert inquiring about the
19 process, requesting a picture,
20 and asking if the Arathane
21 and the solvent was being run
22 out of the cartridges mounted
23 on the side of the machine.
24 (Exhibit 22)

25 • On September 10, 2013,
26 Duc Phan (Space X) wrote
27 Michael R. Leonard and
28 Jonathan Connelly, and there
29 was a clear indication that
30 Space X was using Arathane
31 5750 material with a 2 hour
32 cure time, and they were
33 concerned the operator would
34 forget to purge and rinse the
35 material when the job is done.
36 They were looking to
37 automate the process after
38 coating. (Exhibit 22)

39 o The sales order dated
40 September 25, 2013 indicated
41 PVA job number SPCX2115
42 W3267 indicated PVA was
43 there to provide onsite
44 support for Mechanical

1 **MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED**
2 **UNCONTROVERTED FACTS**

RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION

Electrical Programming Fluid Delivery. (Exhibit 22)

o The sales quotation dated September 19, 2013, stated that PVA was to "rework the dispense system on machine serial number W3267 to connect one cartridge to (1) one gallon tank for automatic solvent flush of Arathane 5705". This was to include 1) all hoses and fittings needed to complete the integration, 2) pneumatic ball valve for automatic solvent flush, 3) hardware and software needed for integration, and one tank. Further, PVA was to travel to Space X to install the new auto solvent flush system. (Exhibit 22)

o The purchase order dated September 24, 2013 issued to PVA and shipping to Space X on a Space X purchase order identified a supplier part number B72-01433 and there is a full description of the work to be done including reworking the dispense system for an automatic flush of Arathane 5750 and in similar detail as stated above. There is a separate purchase order for the travel expenditures. (Exhibit 22)

• On July 21, 2014 at 6:48 p.m. Duc Phan (Space X) emailed Rex indicating the current machine uses both Humiseal and Arathane and there are separate spray valves for both material. They

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|---|
| | | <p>need the pendant purge button to work for both materials. (Exhibit 22)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• PVA Inc. circulated diagram clearly states Arathane. Additionally, there is a quote work sheet for the 3 way assembly, pressure tank, hoses, fittings, valves as well as programming and engineering. (Ex 22, PVA 340-347) |
| | <p>16. Juarez testified that when he worked at SpaceX he never looked at this manual or asked to look at this manual for the PVA 350.</p> <p>Deposition of Ruben Juarez ("Juarez Depo.).</p> <p>(Catalona Dec., 279:16, 291:17-18, 293:4-17.)</p> | <p>16. Disputed to the extent Defendant infers that Mr. Juarez saw the manual as Mr. Juarez was never provided the manual. Further, the manual was not available in the computer connected to the PVA 350 because the PVA 350 is a standalone machine, the manual was not uploaded to the company network.</p> <p>(Dec. Ruben Juarez)</p> <p>Also, PVA provided photographs of the PVA 350 machine when it shipped and there is no hardcopy of the manual included in the delivery. Further, PVA Inc. specifically trained Mr. Juarez to bypass the safety systems so the machine could be utilized as it was designed defectively and could not be utilized otherwise.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• (Dec. Glen Stevick)• (Dec. Ruben Juarez)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|---|
| | | <p>1: 91:3-93:24, 96:10-19, 98:20-21, 100:4-9)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• (Exhibit 31, PVA 51)• (Exhibit 31, PVA 30-32)• (Exhibit 31, PVA 40-41)• (Exhibit 15, PVA 69)• (Exhibit 16, PVA 0272)• (Exhibit 31, PVA 30-32, 51) |
| | | <p><i><u>Specific factual references with citations are set forth below for the court's convenience regarding PVA Inc. inadequate training of Mr. Juarez and PVA Inc. failure to provide a hardcopy of the manual and sufficient warnings.</u></i></p> |
| | | <p>DEPOSITION TESTIMONY REGARDING PVA INC. NOT PROVIDING THE MANUAL AND PROVIDING INADEQUATE TRAINING</p> <p>Mr. Juarez never read the manual for the PVA 350 because it was not available to him, but he was trained by PVA Inc. on how to use the PVA machines, and at these trainings PVA Inc. never told Mr. Juarez to review any manual. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 91:3-93:24, 96:10-19, 98:20-21, 100:4-9)</p> <p>BELOW ARE FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS REGARDING THE MANUAL NOT BEING PRODUCED AND PVA MANUAL BEING INEFFECTIVE AND INSUFFICIENT</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• PVA specifically indicated the manual was for production and |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|-------------------------------|
| | <p><u>operators not technical personnel, but it might be “useful for introduction or training.”</u> (Exhibit 31, PVA 51)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• The manual was <u>only produced electronically.</u> (No hardcopy.) (Exhibit 31, PVA30-32)• The Operations and Maintenance manual <u>does not warn to wear a face mask or protection</u> from any inhalants. (Exhibit 31, PVA 40-41)• The manual indicates the PVA machines require that end users must <u>visually inspect the position of the needle with response to a calibration point and physically reposition the needle.</u> (Exhibit 15, PVA 69)• The Final Shipment Sign-Off does not indicate the operating manual was sent to Space X only machine photos were taken. Also, there is an issue of facts as to whether the <u>exhaust switch was included.</u> (Exhibit 16, PVA 0272)• The PVA manual was not <u>physically produced and there was no requirement to review it.</u> (Exhibit 31, PVA 30-32, 51) <p>17. SpaceX is a designer and manufacturer of advanced rockets and spacecraft.</p> <p>Declaration of Gregory Maxwell.</p> <p>(Declaration of Gregory Maxwell (“Maxwell Dec.”), 1:5-6.)</p> | 17. Undisputed. |

| 1 2 <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED</u> <u>UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|--|--|
| <p>3 18. After purchasing the PVA 350 in 4 2009, SpaceX did not begin to use Humiseal 5 and Arathane materials, which were not 6 originally specified for the machine, until 7 2012 at the earliest.</p> <p>8 Urquhart Dec., and exhibits; Declaration of 9 David Hwang ("Hwang Dec.") and exhibits. 10 (Urquhart Dec., 3:15-17, 28, 63, 230; Hwang 11 Dec., 2:6-12, 35-41.)</p> | <p>12 18. Disputed. Humiseal was being 13 utilized before Mr. Juarez started 14 working with Space X in 2010.</p> <p>15 • (Dec. Christopher Mendoza, 16 paragraph 2) • (Exhibit 46) • (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume I: 17 113 :1-3) • (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II: 18 224:14-17) • (See Exhibit 22, PVA 4463- 19 4481) • (See Exhibit 9 and Exhibit 22) • (Exhibit 22, PVA 23 and 24) • (Exhibit 22 PVA 4449-4452) • (Exhibit 22 PVA 4463-4481) • (Exhibit 22) • (Exhibit 36 PVA 4449-4452) • (Exhibit 36) • (Exhibit 36, PVA 1727-1731) • (Exhibit 25, PVA 7-9, 17-29, 20 222-234, 250-251, 4449-4452)</p> <p>21 <i>Specific factual references with 22 citations are set forth below for the 23 court's convenience.</i></p> <p>24 Mr. Mendoza a <u>former employee of</u> 25 <u>Space X indicated that Space X was</u> 26 <u>using Humiseal in 2010.</u></p> <p>27 • (Dec. Christopher Mendoza, 28 paragraph 2)</p> <p>29 DEPOSITION TESTIMONY 30 REGARDING MR. JUAREZ' 31 UNDERSTANDING IN 2015 OF 32 THE DIFFERENT CHEMICALS 33 HE WAS EXPOSED TO WHILE 34 WORKING WITH PVA INC.</p> |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 |
|---|--|
| <p><u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u></p> | <p><u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u></p> <p>Mr. Juarez utilized HumiSeal and Arathane with the PVA 350. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume I: 113 :1-3)</p> <p>Mr. Juarez was exposed to Arathane products at Space X while programming the machine. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II: 224:14-17)</p> <p><u>PVA HAD KNOWLEDGE OF THE CHEMICALS BEING UTILIZED BY SPACE X AND WAS INVOLVED IN SUBSEQUENT MODIFICATIONS TO THE MACHINE INVOLVING VARIOUS CHEMICALS</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• PVA Inc was aware of the chemicals being utilized with the PVA 350, including the <u>transition to Arathane</u>. (See Exhibit 22, PVA 4463-4481)• The April 11, 2012 conformal coating video with Space X boards utilized the <u>same chemicals as used at Space X at PVA Inc.</u> (See Exhibit 9 and Exhibit 22)• On September 19, 2013, PVA Inc. made further modifications to the subject machine including reworking the dispense system on the machine to connect one cartridge to the one gallon tank for <u>“automatic solvent flush of Arathane 5705.”</u> (Exhibit 22, PVA 23 and 24)• On July 30, 2009, PVA/Andrew Haraburda serviced the W3267 (subject PVA 350 machine) and this description of the work/changes |

1 **MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED**
2 **UNCONTROVERTED FACTS**

RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION

3 included: adding solvent flush
4 option (6 oz cartridge, 3 way
5 ball valve, O-ISPSI Precision
6 Regulator, which shows **PVA**
7 **Inc. was continuing to modify**
8 **and upgrade the machine.**
9 **Then PVA Inc. specifically**
10 **recommended Space X alter**
11 **the solvent to a different**
12 **solvent stating “Space X may**
13 **need to use a different solvent**
14 **(i.e. Xylene).**” (Exhibit 22 PVA
15 4449-4452)

16 • Pursuant to email chain
17 dated March 13, 2012 Jonathan
18 Urquhart (**PVA**) **was aware of**
19 **Space X’s use of Arathane**
20 **5750** The email specifically
21 states, “I think David has
22 spoken to you **about our**
23 **customer Space X.** They build
24 full blown rocket ships. **They**
25 **plan on pre-mixing this**
26 **material part A part B and a**
27 **3rd part, thinner in small**
28 **batches apply to board and**
 the purge system because of
 shelf life once mixed. **Sounds**
 screwy to me.” They were
 looking for a demo on the 27th
 of March. (Exhibit 22, PVA
 4463-4481)

1 • Pursuant to email dated
2 March 15, 2012 to Jonathan
3 Urquhart, PVA was preparing a
4 demo on the PVA 650 and **PVA**
5 **were working with the same**
6 **chemical materials Space X**
7 **was utilizing, but PVA did not**
8 **want Space X to know and**
9 **PVA indicated they were**
10 **going to convey to Space X**
11 **that the materials were**

1 **MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED**
2 **UNCONTROVERTED FACTS**

RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION

3 **similar, but not the exact**
4 **same.** . . (Exhibit 22, PVA
5 4463-4481)

- 6 • Pursuant to email dated
7 March 30, 2012, the test at PVA
8 Inc. was rescheduled to **4-11-12**
9 **to view the** 3 part mixing
10 process. (Exhibit 22, PVA
11 4463-4481)
- 12 • Pursuant to email from
13 David Gomez to Johnathan
14 (PVA) Urquhart dated May 11,
15 2012 at 2:11 p.m., Space X
16 talked about retrofitting the
17 PVA 350, and then
18 subsequently there was
19 confirmation from Jon
20 indicating **Space X wanted to**
21 **duplicate what was done**
22 **during the visit.** (Exhibit 22,
23 PVA 4463-4481)
- 24 • Jon Urquart performed
25 **the demo for Space X on 4-11-**
26 **12.** (Exhibit 22, PVA 4463-
27 4481)
- 28 • Email dated December 2,
29 2014 at 9:03 a.m. Mr. Urquhart
30 (PVA) indicated to Mr.
31 Matupang there would be 2
32 demos including a coating with
33 **Arathane 2 part mix and**
34 **spray and dispensing using a**
35 **650 or Delta 6 with board**
36 **head,** JDX (underfill), SVX
37 (past), and FC100 (solder
38 mask). (Exhibit 22)
- 39 • On November 17, 2014,
40 Mr. Urquhart (PVA) asked is
41 Space X wanted to mix on the

1 **MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED**
2 **UNCONTROVERTED FACTS**

RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION

3 fly with **5750?** (Exhibit 22)

4 • On January 9, 2013 at
5 10:38 a.m. David Gomez (PVA)
6 emailed Brian Ignaut (Space X)
7 **doing follow up on the “two**
8 **part material application**
9 **project you were working on**
10 **and kindly presented us with.”**
11 There was inquiry as to whether
12 there were any developments in
13 the first few months of 2013.
14 (Exhibit 22)

15 • On December 3, 2012 at
16 12:01 PM David Gomez (PVA)
17 wrote Scott Abarta asking for
18 the **two part material brand**
19 **and part # as well as any**
20 **MSDS and data sheets.** Also,
21 there was a request for a shot
22 size and cycle time per part if
23 possible. (Exhibit 22)

24 • On **September 10, 2013,**
25 Mr. Gomez (PVA) indicated
26 “they only want a 20 oz
27 cartridge retainer & cap with
28 spray & needle valve for the
 pre-mix material and 6oz
 cartridge retainer & cap **for the**
 solvent, so it can flush the
 premixed material out right
 after doing a batch of
 boards. Mr. Gomez indicated
 he was **familiar with the “fluid**
 delivery line” because he had
 done it “several times.” He
 also indicated they were **“not**
 there yet” for the two part
 coating spraying. (Exhibit 22)

29 • On September 9, 2013,
30 Richard Bievenue (PVA) wrote
31 Jonathan Connolly (ccing David

1 **MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED**
2 **UNCONTROVERTED FACTS**

RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION

3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
Filbert, David Gomez, and Michael R. Leonard) indicating that he spoke with Duc Phan (Space X) about the 350 machine and how Space X was switching to utilizing a 2 part material including Arathane 5750. There was discussion about premising the solvents and flushing the solvent valves. Also, there was interest regarding a 2 component meter mix system. (Exhibit 22)

- On September 18, 2013 at 12:41 p.m., Mr. Gomez (PVA) indicated that this is not the first time they have done a two part coating system and he thought they were putting to many resources into this. The email specifically references Arathane 5750. (Exhibit 22)

- On September 13, 2013, from Duc Phan (Space X) to Jonathan Connelly, Michael R. Leonard (ccing Richard Bievenue and David Filbert) explained the process with pictures. Specifically, he indicated that Space X removed the hoses from the spray dispensing head and connected the hoses from the Arathane material and solvent to it. He further explained when the Arathane material was not in use they vaped the solvent hose and connect the Arathane material hose to the other head just for a place holder. He also explained that

1 **MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED**
2 **UNCONTROVERTED FACTS**

RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION

3 **Arathane 5750 part A and B**
4 **is mixed together and poured**
5 **in the first reservoir and the**
6 **second reservoir is the solvent**
7 **use to thin out the mixed**
8 **Arathane for spray**
9 **requirement.** (Exhibit 22)

10 • On September 13, 2013 at
11 6:07 a.m. Jonathan Connally
12 wrote Duc Phan (Space X).
13 Michael R. Leonard and ccng
14 Richard Bievenue and David
15 Filbert inquiring about the
16 process, requesting a picture,
17 **and asking if the Arathane**
18 **and the solvent was being run**
19 **out of the cartridges mounted**
20 **on the side of the machine.**
21 (Exhibit 22)

22 • On September 10, 2013,
23 Duc Phan (Space X) wrote
24 Michael R. Leonard and
25 Jonathan Connally, **and there**
26 **was a clear indication that**
27 **Space X was using Arathane**
28 **5750 material with a 2 hour**
 cure time, and they were
 concerned the operator would
 forget to purge and rinse the
 material when the job is done.
 They were looking to
 automate the process after
 coating. (Exhibit 22)

o The sales order dated
September 25, 2013 indicated
PVA job number SPCX2115
W3267 indicated **PVA was**
there to provide onsite
support for Mechanical
Electrical Programming Fluid
Delivery. (Exhibit 22)

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|---|
| | | <p>o The sales quotation dated September 19, 2013, stated that PVA was to "<u>rework the dispense system on machine serial number W3267 to connect one cartridge to (1) one gallon tank for automatic solvent flush of Arathane 5705</u>". This was to include 1) all hoses and fittings needed to complete the integration, 2) pneumatic ball valve for automatic solvent flush, 3) hardware and software needed for integration, and one tank. Further, PVA was to travel to Space X to install the new auto solvent flush system. (Exhibit 22)</p> |
| | | <p>o The purchase order dated September 24, 2013 issued to PVA and shipping to Space X on a Space X purchase order identified a supplier part number B72-01433 and <u>there is a full description of the work to be done including reworking the dispense system for an automatic flush of Arathane 5750</u> and in similar detail as stated above. There is a separate purchase order for the travel expenditures. (Exhibit 22)</p> |
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none">• On July 21, 2014 at 6:48 p.m. Duc Phan (Space X) emailed Rex indicating the <u>current machine uses both Humiseal and Arathane and there are separate spray valves for both material</u>. They need the pendant purge button to work for both materials. |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|--------------------------------------|
| | <p>(Exhibit 22)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">PVA Inc. circulated diagram clearly states Arathane. Additionally, there is a quote work sheet for the 3 way assembly, pressure tank, hoses, fittings, valves as well as programming and engineering. (Ex 22, PVA 340-347) <p>PVA INC. INSTALLED THE PVA 350 AND CONTINUED TO MODIFIED PROGRAM THROUGHOUT THE PVA 350'S LIFETIME</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">On 6-23-2009 to 6-24-2009, PVA Inc. installed and serviced the W3267 machine at Space X. The work was performed by Andrew Haraburde. He trained Juan Sotelo and Marsha Thompson in installation and training. The installation check list specifically indicated various items were working, but there is an X versus a check mark on the pump and SEMEA boxes. The description of the work/changes including "modified main program (mo2.)" (Exhibit 36 PVA 4449-4452) <p>There are a series of work orders regarding modifications and sales showing PVA Inc. continued to service and support the use and modification of the PVA 350. (Exhibit 25, PVA 7-9, 17-29, 222-234, 250-251, 4449-4452)</p> | |
| 19. When SpaceX originally began using these chemicals, numerous employees from the Avionics department were brought together to determine the appropriate formulation of Arathane and Humiseal | 19. Disputed. Mr. Juarez was not brought in to determine the appropriate formulation of Arathane and Humiseal materials for Space X's | |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 |
|--|--|
| <p><u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u></p> <p>materials for SpaceX's conformal coating applications.</p> <p>Maxwell Dec.</p> <p>(Maxwell Dec., 2:19-28.)</p> | <p><u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u></p> <p>conformal coating applications. Space X originally began using the chemicals Humiseal, which was set up by Space X with the assistance of PVA Inc. in 2010. Further, PVA Inc. assisted Space X with the transition to the use of Arathane.</p> <p>(Dec. Ruben Juarez)</p> <p>(Dec. Christopher Mendoza)</p> <p>(Dec. Manuel Gutierrez)</p> <p>Arathane was introduced by David Hwang to replace Humiseal. PVA Inc. was aware of this transition, and David Hwang indicated he purchased parts to the PVA 350 can spray Arathane</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• (Dec. Ruben Juarez)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 55:21-56:5)• (Ex. 1 Depo. Ruben Juarez Volume 1 p. 60:6-25; Dec. Ruben Juarez)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume I: 139:17-20)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1 144:12-16)• (See Exhibit 22, PVA 4463-4481)• (See Exhibit 9 and Exhibit 22)• (Exhibit 22, PVA 23 and 24)• (Exhibit 22 PVA 4449-4452) |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|--|
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none">• (Exhibit 22 PVA 4463-4481)• (Exhibit 22)• (Ex 22, PVA 340-347)• (Exhibit 36 PVA 4449-4452)• (Exhibit 36, PVA 1728-1731)• (Exhibit 25, PVA 7-9, 17-29, 222-234, 250-251, 4449-4452)• (Exhibit 36) |

Specific factual references with citations are set forth below for the court's convenience to show there is a factual dispute regarding PVA Inc. having no knowledge and or involvement in Space X's use of Arathane and Humiseal and the inference that Mr. Juarez was involved.

Mr. Juarez indicated he does not have a chemistry background at his deposition on March 8, 2018. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 55:21-56:5)

PVA HAD KNOWLEDGE OF THE CHEMICALS BEING UTILIZED BY SPACE X AND WAS INVOLVED IN SUBSEQUENT MODIFICATIONS TO THE MACHINE INVOLVING VARIOUS CHEMICALS

- PVA Inc was aware of the chemicals being utilized with the PVA 350. including the **transition to Arathane**. (See

1 **MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED**
2 **UNCONTROVERTED FACTS**

RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION

3 Exhibit 22, PVA 4463-4481)

- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25
- 26
- 27
- 28
- The April 11, 2012 conformal coating video with Space X boards utilized the **same chemicals as used at Space X at PVA Inc.** (See Exhibit 9 and Exhibit 22)
 - On September 19, 2013, PVA Inc. made further modifications to the subject machine including reworking the dispense system on the machine to connect one cartridge to the one gallon tank for "**automatic solvent flush of Arathane 5705.**" (Exhibit 22, PVA 23 and 24)
 - On July 30, 2009, PVA/Andrew Haraburda serviced the W3267 (subject PVA 350 machine) and this description of the work/changes included: adding solvent flush option (6 oz cartridge, 3 way ball valve, O-ISPSI Precision Regulator, which shows **PVA Inc. was continuing to modify and upgrade the machine.** **Then PVA Inc. specifically recommended Space X alter the solvent to a different solvent stating "Space X may need to use a different solvent (i.e. Xylene).**" (Exhibit 22 PVA 4449-4452)
 - Pursuant to email chain dated March 13, 2012 Jonathan Urquhart (**PVA was aware of Space X's use of Arathane 5750**) The email specifically states, "I think David has

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 |
|---|---|
| <p>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</p> | <p>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</p> <p>spoken to you <u>about our customer Space X</u>. They build full blown rocket ships. <u>They plan on pre-mixing this material part A part B and a 3rd part, thinner in small batches apply to board and the purge system</u> because of shelf life once mixed. <u>Sounds screwy to me.</u>" They were looking for a demo on the 27th of March. (Exhibit 22, PVA 4463-4481)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">Pursuant to email dated March 15, 2012 to Jonathan Urquhart, PVA was preparing a demo on the PVA 650 and <u>PVA were working with the same chemical materials Space X was utilizing, but PVA did not want Space X to know and PVA indicated they were going to convey to Space X that the materials were similar, but not the exact same</u>. . (Exhibit 22, PVA 4463-4481)Pursuant to email dated March 30, 2012, the test at PVA Inc. was rescheduled to <u>4-11-12 to view the</u> 3 part mixing process. (Exhibit 22, PVA 4463-4481)Pursuant to email from David Gomez to Johnathan (PVA) Urquhart dated May 11, 2012 at 2:11 p.m., Space X talked about retrofitting the PVA 350, and then subsequently there was confirmation from Jon indicating Space X wanted to |

1 **MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED**
2 **UNCONTROVERTED FACTS**

RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION

3 **duplicate what was done**
4 **during the visit.** (Exhibit 22,
5 PVA 4463-4481)

- 6 • **Jon Urquart performed**
7 **the demo for Space X on 4-11-**
8 **12.** (Exhibit 22, PVA 4463-
9 4481)
- 10 • Email dated December 2,
11 2014 at 9:03 a.m. Mr. Urquhart
12 (PVA) indicated to Mr.
13 Matupang there would be 2
14 demos including a coating with
15 **Arathane 2 part mix and**
16 **spray and dispensing using a**
17 **650 or Delta 6 with board**
18 **head, JDX (underfill), SVX**
19 (past), and FC100 (solder
20 mask). (Exhibit 22)
- 21 • On November 17, 2014,
22 Mr. Urquhart (PVA) asked if
23 Space X wanted to mix on the
24 fly with **5750?** (Exhibit 22)
- 25 • On January 9, 2013 at
26 10:38 a.m. David Gomez (PVA)
27 emailed Brian Ignaut (Space X)
28 **doing follow up on the “two**
 part material application
 project you were working on
 and kindly presented us with.”
 There was inquiry as to whether
 there were any developments in
 the first few months of 2013.
 (Exhibit 22)
- On December 3, 2012 at
 12:01 PM David Gomez (PVA)
 wrote Scott Abarta asking for
 the **two part material brand**
 and part # as well as any
 MSDS and data sheets. Also,
 there was a request for a shot

1 **MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED**
2 **UNCONTROVERTED FACTS**

RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION

3 size and cycle time per part if
4 possible. (Exhibit 22)

5 • On September 10, 2013,
6 Mr. Gomez (PVA) indicated
7 “they only want a 20 oz
8 cartridge retainer & cap with
9 spray & needle valve for the
10 pre-mix material and 6oz
11 cartridge retainer & cap for the
12 solvent, so it can flush the
13 premixed material out right
14 after doing a batch of
15 boards.” Mr. Gomez indicated
16 he was familiar with the “fluid
17 delivery line” because he had
18 done it “several times.” He
19 also indicated they were “not
20 there yet” for the two part
21 coating spraying. (Exhibit 22)

22 • On September 9, 2013,
23 Richard Bievenue (PVA) wrote
24 Jonathan Connelly (ccing David
25 Filbert, David Gomez, and
26 Michael R. Leonard) indicating
27 that he spoke with Duc Phan
28 (Space X) about the 350
machine and how Space X
was switching to utilizing a 2
part material including
Arathane 5750. There was
discussion about premising
the solvents and flushing the
solvent valves. Also, there was
interest regarding a 2
component meter mix system.
(Exhibit 22)

29 • On September 18, 2013 at
30 12:41 p.m., Mr. Gomez (PVA)
31 indicated that this is not the
32 first time they have done a
33 two part coating system and
34 he thought they were putting

1 **MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED**
2 **UNCONTROVERTED FACTS**

RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION

3 to many resources into this.
4 The email specifically
5 references Arathane 5750.
6 (Exhibit 22)

7 • On September 13, 2013,
8 from Duc Phan (Space X) to
9 Jonathan Connelly, Michael R.
10 Leonard (ccing Richard
11 Bievenue and David Filbert)
12 explained the process with
13 pictures. Specifically, he
14 indicated that Space X
15 removed the hoses from the
16 spray dispensing head and
17 connected the hoses from the
18 Arathane material and solvent
19 to it. He further explained
20 when the Arathane material
21 was not in use they vaped the
22 solvent hose and connect the
23 Arathane material hose to the
24 other head just for a place
25 holder. He also explained that
26 Arathane 5750 part A and B
27 is mixed together and poured
28 in the first reservoir and the
 second reservoir is the solvent
 use to thin out the mixed
 Arathane for spray
 requirement. (Exhibit 22)

• On September 13, 2013 at
6:07 a.m. Jonathan Connelly
wrote Duc Phan (Space X).
Michael R. Leonard and ccng
Richard Bievenue and David
Filbert inquiring about the
process, requesting a picture,
and asking if the Arathane
and the solvent was being run
out of the cartridges mounted
on the side of the machine.
(Exhibit 22)

1 **MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED**
2 **UNCONTROVERTED FACTS**

RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION

3 • On September 10, 2013,
4 Duc Phan (Space X) wrote
5 Michael R. Leonard and
6 Jonathan Connelly, and there
7 was a clear indication that
8 Space X was using Arathane
9 5750 material with a 2 hour
10 cure time, and they were
11 concerned the operator would
12 forget to purge and rinse the
13 material when the job is done.
14 They were looking to
15 automate the process after
16 coating. (Exhibit 22)

17 o The sales order dated
18 September 25, 2013 indicated
19 PVA job number SPCX2115
20 W3267 indicated PVA was
21 there to provide onsite
22 support for Mechanical
23 Electrical Programming Fluid
24 Delivery. (Exhibit 22)

25 o The sales quotation dated
26 September 19, 2013, stated that
27 PVA was to "rework the
28 dispense system on machine
29 serial number W3267 to
30 connect one cartridge to (1)
31 one gallon tank for automatic
32 solvent flush of Arathane
33 5705. This was to include 1) all
34 hoses and fittings needed to
35 complete the integration, 2)
36 pneumatic ball valve for
37 automatic solvent flush, 3)
38 hardware and software needed
39 for integration, and one tank.
40 Further, PVA was to travel to
41 Space X to install the new auto
42 solvent flush system. (Exhibit
43 22)

44 o The purchase order dated

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|--|
| | | <p>September 24, 2013 issued to PVA and shipping to Space X on a Space X purchase order identified a supplier part number B72-01433 and <u>there is a full description of the work to be done including reworking the dispense system for an automatic flush of Arathane 5750</u> and in similar detail as stated above. There is a separate purchase order for the travel expenditures. (Exhibit 22)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• On July 21, 2014 at 6:48 p.m. Duc Phan (Space X) emailed Rex indicating the <u>current machine uses both Humiseal and Arathane and there are separate spray valves for both material</u>. They need the pendant purge button to work for both materials. (Exhibit 22)• PVA Inc. circulated diagram clearly states <u>Arathane</u>. Additionally, there is a quote work sheet for the 3 way assembly, pressure tank, hoses, fittings, valves as well as programming and engineering. (Ex 22, PVA 340-347) |
| | | <p>PVA INC. INSTALLED THE PVA 350 AND CONTINUED TO MODIFIED PROGRAM THROUGHOUT THE PVA 350'S LIFETIME</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• On 6-23-2009 to 6-24-2009, PVA Inc. installed and serviced the W3267 machine at Space X. The |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|--|--|---|
| | | <p>work was performed by Andrew Haraburde. He trained Juan Sotelo and Marsha Thompson in installation and training. The installation check list specifically indicated various items were working, but there is an X versus a check mark on the pump and SEMEA boxes. The description of the work/changes including "<u>modified main program (mo2).</u>" (Exhibit 36 PVA 4449-4452)</p> <p>There are a series of work orders regarding modifications and sales showing PVA Inc. continued to service and support the use and modification of the PVA 350. (Exhibit 25, PVA 7-9, 17-29, 222-234, 250-251, 4449-4452)</p> |
| 20. These employees included Ruben Juarez who Avionics Department supervisor Gregory Maxwell testified hand-mixed experimental and test batches of Arathane and Humiseal to make different formulations. | Maxwell Dec.; Juarez Depo. (Maxwell Dec., 2:21-23; Catalona Dec., 246:10-12, 247:18-22, 322:1-2, 322:9-15, 329:17-330:4, 686-88.) | 20. Disputed Mr. Juarez was not part of the team handmixing batches of Arathane. Francisco was the operator of the PVA 350. Mixing the chemicals was part of Francisco's job duties. The only person that tested the chemical interaction was David Hwang since he was the main person to substitute Humiseal to Arathane. <ul style="list-style-type: none">• (Dec. Jose Vasequez)• (Dec. Christopher Mendoza)• (Dec. Ruben Juarez)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 55:21-56:5)• (Ex. 1 Depo. Ruben Juarez Volume 1 p. 60:6-25; Dec. Ruben Juarez)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|--|
| | | <p>I: 139:17-20)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume I 144:12-16)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 235:1-238: 5, 238: 19-22)• (See Exhibit 22, PVA 4463-4481)• (See Exhibit 9 and Exhibit 22)• (Exhibit 22, PVA 23 and 24)• (Exhibit 22 PVA 4449-4452)• (Exhibit 22 PVA 4463-4481)• (Exhibit 22)• (Ex 22, PVA 340-347) <p><u>Specific factual references with citations are set forth below for the court's convenience regarding Mr. Juarez not mixing chemicals at Space X.</u></p> <p>Mr. Juarez indicated he does not have a chemistry background at his deposition on March 8, 2018. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 55:21-56:5)</p> <p>Mr. Juarez called Francisco and received a list of the chemicals that Mr. Juarez was exposed to, and he thus requested the MSDS sheets from Space X to forward to his attorney so that could figure out what was going on and what was making him sick. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 235:1-238: 5, 238: 19-22)</p> <p><u>PVA HAD KNOWLEDGE OF THE CHEMICALS BEING UTILIZED BY SPACE X AND</u></p> |

1 **MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED**
2 **UNCONTROVERTED FACTS**

1 **RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION**

2
3 **WAS INVOLVED IN**
4 **SUBSEQUENT**
5 **MODIFICATIONS TO THE**
6 **MACHINE INVOLVING**
7 **VARIOUS CHEMICALS**

- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25
- 26
- 27
- 28
- PVA Inc was aware of the chemicals being utilized with the PVA 350, including the **transition to Arathane**. (See Exhibit 22, PVA 4463-4481)
 - The April 11, 2012 conformal coating video with Space X boards utilized the **same chemicals as used at Space X at PVA Inc.** (See Exhibit 9 and Exhibit 22)
 - On September 19, 2013, PVA Inc. made further modifications to the subject machine including reworking the dispense system on the machine to connect one cartridge to the one gallon tank for "**automatic solvent flush of Arathane 5705.**" (Exhibit 22, PVA 23 and 24)
 - On July 30, 2009, PVA/Andrew Haraburda serviced the W3267 (subject PVA 350 machine) and this description of the work/changes included: adding solvent flush option (6 oz cartridge, 3 way ball valve, O-ISPSI Precision Regulator, which shows **PVA Inc. was continuing to modify and upgrade the machine.** **Then PVA Inc. specifically recommended Space X alter the solvent to a different solvent stating "Space X may**

1 **MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED**
2 **UNCONTROVERTED FACTS**

RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION

3 need to use a different solvent
4 (i.e. Xylene)." (Exhibit 22 PVA
5 4449-4452)

6 • Pursuant to email chain
7 dated March 13, 2012 Jonathan
8 Urquhart (PVA) was aware of
9 Space X's use of Arathane
10 5750 The email specifically
11 states, "I think David has
12 spoken to you about our
13 customer Space X. They build
14 full blown rocket ships. They
15 plan on pre-mixing this
16 material part A part B and a
17 3rd part, thinner in small
18 batches apply to board and
19 the purge system because of
20 shelf life once mixed. Sounds
21 screwy to me." They were
22 looking for a demo on the 27th
23 of March. (Exhibit 22, PVA
24 4463-4481)

25 • Pursuant to email dated
26 March 15, 2012 to Jonathan
27 Urquhart, PVA was preparing a
28 demo on the PVA 650 and PVA
29 were working with the same
30 chemical materials Space X
31 was utilizing, but PVA did not
32 want Space X to know and
33 PVA indicated they were
34 going to convey to Space X
35 that the materials were
36 similar, but not the exact
37 same. . (Exhibit 22, PVA
38 4463-4481)

39 • Pursuant to email dated
40 March 30, 2012, the test at PVA
41 Inc. was rescheduled to 4-11-12
42 to view the 3 part mixing
43 process. (Exhibit 22, PVA
44 4463-4481)

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|---|
| | | <p>4463-4481)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Pursuant to email from David Gomez to Johnathan (PVA) Urquhart dated May 11, 2012 at 2:11 p.m., Space X talked about retrofitting the PVA 350, and then subsequently there was confirmation from Jon indicating <u>Space X wanted to duplicate what was done during the visit.</u> (Exhibit 22, PVA 4463-4481)• Jon Urquart performed <u>the demo for Space X on 4-11-12.</u> (Exhibit 22, PVA 4463-4481)• Email dated December 2, 2014 at 9:03 a.m. Mr. Urquhart (PVA) indicated to Mr. Matupang there would be 2 demos including a coating with <u>Arathane 2 part mix and spray and dispensing using a 650 or Delta 6 with board head,</u> JDX (underfill), SVX (past), and FC100 (solder mask). (Exhibit 22)• On November 17, 2014, Mr. Urquhart (PVA) asked is Space X wanted to mix on the fly with <u>5750?</u> (Exhibit 22)• On January 9, 2013 at 10:38 a.m. David Gomez (PVA) emailed Brian Ignaut (Space X) <u>doing follow up on the “two part material application project you were working on and kindly presented us with.”</u> There was inquiry as to whether |

1 **MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED**
2 **UNCONTROVERTED FACTS**

RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION

3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
there were any developments in
the first few months of 2013.
(Exhibit 22)

- On December 3, 2012 at 12:01 PM David Gomez (PVA) wrote Scott Abarta asking for the two part material brand and part # as well as any MSDS and data sheets. Also, there was a request for a shot size and cycle time per part if possible. (Exhibit 22)

- On September 10, 2013, Mr. Gomez (PVA) indicated “they only want a 20 oz cartridge retainer & cap with spray & needle valve for the pre-mix material and 6oz cartridge retainer & cap for the solvent, so it can flush the premixed material out right after doing a batch of boards.” Mr. Gomez indicated he was familiar with the “fluid delivery line” because he had done it “several times.” He also indicated they were “not there yet” for the two part coating spraying. (Exhibit 22)

- On September 9, 2013, Richard Bievenue (PVA) wrote Jonathan Connelly (ccing David Filbert, David Gomez, and Michael R. Leonard) indicating that he spoke with Duc Phan (Space X) about the 350 machine and how Space X was switching to utilizing a 2 part material including Arathane 5750. There was discussion about premising the solvents and flushing the

1 **MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED**
2 **UNCONTROVERTED FACTS**

RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION

3 **solvent valves.** Also, there was
4 interest regarding a 2
5 component meter mix system.
6 (Exhibit 22)

7 • On September 18, 2013 at
8 12:41 p.m., **Mr. Gomez (PVA)**
9 **indicated that this is not the**
10 **first time they have done a**
11 **two part coating system and**
12 **he thought they were putting**
13 **to many resources into this.**
14 **The email specifically**
15 **references Arathane 5750.**
16 (Exhibit 22)

17 • On September 13, 2013, from Duc Phan (Space X) to
18 Jonathan Connelly, Michael R. Leonard (ccing Richard
19 Bievenue and David Filbert) explained the process with
20 pictures. **Specifically, he**
21 **indicated that Space X**
22 **removed the hoses from the**
23 **spray dispensing head and**
24 **connected the hoses from the**
25 **Arathane material and solvent**
26 **to it. He further explained**
27 **when the Arathane material**
28 **was not in use they vaped the**
 solvent hose and connect the
 Arathane material hose to the
 other head just for a place
 holder. He also explained that
 Arathane 5750 part A and B
 is mixed together and poured
 in the first reservoir and the
 second reservoir is the solvent
 use to thin out the mixed
 Arathane for spray
 requirement. (Exhibit 22)

104
11 • On September 13, 2013 at
12 6:07 a.m. Jonathan Connelly

1 **MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED**
2 **UNCONTROVERTED FACTS**

RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION

wrote Duc Phan (Space X). Michael R. Leonard and cc'ing Richard Bievenue and David Filbert inquiring about the process, requesting a picture, and asking if the Arathane and the solvent was being run out of the cartridges mounted on the side of the machine. (Exhibit 22)

• On September 10, 2013, Duc Phan (Space X) wrote Michael R. Leonard and Jonathan Connolly, and there was a clear indication that Space X was using Arathane 5750 material with a 2 hour cure time, and they were concerned the operator would forget to purge and rinse the material when the job is done. They were looking to automate the process after coating. (Exhibit 22)

o The sales order dated September 25, 2013 indicated PVA job number SPCX2115 W3267 indicated PVA was there to provide onsite support for Mechanical Electrical Programming Fluid Delivery. (Exhibit 22)

o The sales quotation dated September 19, 2013, stated that PVA was to "rework the dispense system on machine serial number W3267 to connect one cartridge to (1) one gallon tank for automatic solvent flush of Arathane 5705. This was to include 1) all hoses and fittings needed to

1 **MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED**
2 **UNCONTROVERTED FACTS**

3 **RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION**

4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
complete the integration, 2) pneumatic ball valve for automatic solvent flush, 3) hardware and software needed for integration, and one tank. Further, PVA was to travel to Space X to install the new auto solvent flush system. (Exhibit 22)

o The purchase order dated September 24, 2013 issued to PVA and shipping to Space X on a Space X purchase order identified a supplier part number B72-01433 and **there is a full description of the work to be done including reworking the dispense system for an automatic flush of Arathane 5750** and in similar detail as stated above. There is a separate purchase order for the travel expenditures. (Exhibit 22)

• On July 21, 2014 at 6:48 p.m. Duc Phan (Space X) emailed Rex indicating the **current machine uses both Humiseal and Arathane and there are separate spray valves for both material.** They need the pendant purge button to work for both materials. (Exhibit 22)

• PVA Inc. circulated diagram clearly states **Arathane.** Additionally, there is a quote work sheet for the 3 way assembly, pressure tank, hoses, fittings, valves as well as programming and engineering. (Ex 22, PVA 340-347)

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 |
|--|--|
| <p><u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u></p> | <p><u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u></p> <p>PVA INC. INSTALLED THE PVA 350 AND CONTINUED TO MODIFIED PROGRAM THROUGHOUT THE PVA 350'S LIFETIME</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">On 6-23-2009 to 6-24-2009, PVA Inc. installed and serviced the W3267 machine at Space X. The work was performed by Andrew Haraburde. He trained Juan Sotelo and Marsha Thompson in installation and training. The installation check list specifically indicated various items were working, but there is an X versus a check mark on the pump and SEMEA boxes. The description of the work/changes including "<u>modified main program (mo2.)</u>" (Exhibit 36 PVA 4449-4452) <p>There are a series of work orders regarding modifications and sales showing PVA Inc. continued to service and support the use and modification of the PVA 350. (Exhibit 25, PVA 7-9, 17-29, 222-234, 250-251, 4449-4452)</p> |
| <p>21. Before hand-mixing these materials, Juarez reviewed the MSDS sheets for Arathane and Humiseal to ensure they cured and "set up" properly so SpaceX's desired result was achieved.</p> <p>Maxwell Dec.</p> <p>(Maxwell Dec., 2:25-28.)</p> | <p>21. Disputed. Mr. Juarez was not the process engineer or materials engineer that would have mixed the chemicals. Per David Hwang's instructions and with the support of PVA Inc, Mr. Juarez only installed the separate dispensing canisters and materials lines, but not the chemicals.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">(Dec. Chris Mendoza)(Dec. Manuel Guiterrez) |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|--|
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none">• (Dec. of Juarez Ruben)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 55:21-56:5)• (Ex. 1 Depo. Ruben Juarez Volume 1 p. 60:6-25; Dec. Ruben Juarez)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume I: 139:17-20)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1 144:12-16)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 235:1-238: 5, 238: 19-22)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 54:11-13)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 103:18-104:10, 104:25-105:7, 107:5-24, 108:5-13)• (See Exhibit 22, PVA 4463-4481)• (See Exhibit 9 and Exhibit 22)• (Exhibit 22, PVA 23 and 24)• (Exhibit 22 PVA 4449-4452)• (Exhibit 22 PVA 4463-4481)• (Exhibit 22)• (Ex 22, PVA 340-347)• (Exhibit 36 PVA 4449-4452)• (Exhibit 36)• (Exhibit 36, PVA 1728-1731)• (Exhibit 25, PVA 7-9, 17- |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|--|
| | | <p>29, 222-234, 250-251, 4449-4452)</p> <p><u>Specific factual references with citations are set forth below for the court's convenience disputing the alleged facts that Mr. Juarez reviewed the MSDS sheets and allegedly mixed the Arathane and Humiseal.</u></p> |
| | | <p>Mr. Mendoza never saw Ruben mixing chemicals at Space X. Further, while being an employee at Space X. Mr. Mendoza was never was never trained regarding how to read, interpret, and locate MSDS sheets. Further, Mr. Mendoza indicated Space X was using Humiseal since 2010, and he was never provided any Standard Operating Procedures. (Dec. Christopher Mendoza)</p> |
| | | <p>Mr. Gutierrez indicated he never saw a binder of MSDS sheets nor did he ever see any MSDS sheets in the conformal coating area. Further, he never saw any Standard of Practice while working with Space X. Moreover, Mr. Gutierrez never saw Ruben mix chemicals, but he saw Francisco mix chemicals. (Dec. Manuel Gutierrez)</p> |
| | | <p>Mr. Juarez indicated he does not have a chemistry background at his deposition on March 8, 2018. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 55:21-56:5)</p> |
| | | <p>Mr. Juarez called Francisco and received a list of the chemicals that Mr. Juarez was exposed to, and he</p> |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|--|---|--|
| | | <p>thus requested the MSDS sheets from Space X to forward to his attorney so that could figure out what was going on and what was making him sick. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 235:1-238: 5, 238: 19-22)</p> <p>Mr. Juarez testified at his deposition on March 8, 2018 that Space X did modify the machine to be able to spray other new products and new coating materials. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 54:11-13)</p> <p>PVA 350 was modified when Mr. Juarez worked at Space X so it would spray a different coating material with PVA Inc.'s support over the telephone by installing hardware. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 103:18-104:10, 104:25-105:7, 107:5-24, 108:5-13)</p> |
| 22. The Avionics Department also required workers who used the PVA 350, including Juarez, to follow SpaceX's "standard operating procedures" entitled "Avionics Standard Operating Procedure: Polymeric Application of Electronic Assemblies." | Hwang Dec.; Maxwell Dec., Declaration of Duc Q. Phan ("Phan Dec."); SpaceX Standard Operating Procedures. (Hwang Dec., 1:15-26, 4, 14, 24; Maxwell Dec., 1:23-2:2, 5, 15, 25, Phan Dec., 1:14-20, 4, 14; Dec. of SpaceX records custodian, Lynette Dhillon ("Dhillon Dec."), 1:16-17, 3:11-17, 5, 15, 25.) | 22. Disputed. Mr. Juarez was never provided with an Standard Operating Procedure ("SOP") for programmers for any assembly area in Space X. Upon asking the lead person, Mr. Juan Soltelo about the SOP, Mr. Soltelo laughed at him. <ul style="list-style-type: none">• (Dec. Ruben Juarez)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II: 182:1-5)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II: 220:5-11) <p><u>Specific factual references with citations are set forth below for the court's convenience disputing the alleged facts that Mr. Juarez reviewed the MSDS sheets and</u></p> |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 |
|---|--|
| <p>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</p> | <p>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</p> <p><u><i>allegedly mixed the Arathane and Humiseal.</i></u></p> <p>Mr. Mendoza never saw Ruben mixing chemicals at Space X. Further, while being an employee at Space X. Mr. Mendoza was never was never trained regarding how to read, interpret, and locate MSDS sheets. Further, Mr. Mendoza indicated Space X was using Humiseal since 2010, and he was never provided any Standard Operating Procedures. (Dec. Christopher Mendoza)</p> <p>Mr. Gutierrez indicated he never saw a binder of MSDS sheets nor did he ever see any MSDS sheets in the conformal coating area. Further, he never saw any Standard of Practice while working with Space X. Moreover, Mr. Gutierrez never saw Ruben mix chemicals, but he saw Francisco mix chemicals. (Dec. Manuel Gutierrez</p> <p>Mr. Juarez was not given any Standard Operating Procedures that pertained to how he was to perform his job. (Ex. 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II: 172:17-25) Initially, when Mr. Juarez was hired, he was hired as an SMT and thus the SOP cited by defense was not applicable. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II: 182:1-5)</p> |
| 23. These Standard Operating Procedures (“SOPs”) instructed SpaceX employees to use a facemask and operate the machine in a well-ventilated area, pursuant to instructions in MSDS sheets for the specific coating materials that were used in the machine. | 23. Disputed. Mr. Juarez was never provided with an Standard Operating Procedure (“SOP”) for programmers for any assembly area in Space X. Upon asking the lead person, Mr. Juan Soltelo about the SOP, |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 |
|---|---|
| <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> Hwang Dec.; SpaceX Standard Operating Procedures. (Hwang Dec., 1:25-26, 5, 6, 15, 16, 25, 26.) | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> Mr. Soltelo laughed at him. • (Dec. Ruben Juarez) As a result of the defective design of the PVA 350, it would be impossible to utilize the machine with a ventilation mask because the machine is too small. • (Dec. Glen Stevick) • (Ex. 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II: 172:17-25) • (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II: 182:1-5) • (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II: 220:5-11) • (Exhibit 31, PVA 51) • (Exhibit 31, PVA30-32) • (Exhibit 31, PVA 40-41) • (Exhibit 15, PVA 69) • (Exhibit 16, PVA 0272) • (Exhibit 31, PVA 30-32, 51) • (Exhibit 18, PVA 40) • (Exhibit 35) <i><u>Specific factual references with citations are set forth below for the court's convenience disputing the alleged facts that Mr. Juarez reviewed the MSDS sheets and allegedly mixed the Arathane and Humiseal.</u></i> Mr. Mendoza never saw Ruben mixing chemicals at Space X. Further, while being an employee at Space X. Mr. Mendoza was never was never trained regarding how to read, interpret, and locate MSDS sheets. Further, Mr. Mendoza indicated Space |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|--|---|
| | | <p>X was using Humiseal since 2010, and he was never provided any Standard Operating Procedures. (Dec. Christopher Mendoza)</p> <p>Mr. Gutierrez indicated he never saw a binder of MSDS sheets nor did he ever see any MSDS sheets in the conformal coating area. Further, he never saw any Standard of Practice while working with Space X. Moreover, Mr. Gutierrez never saw Ruben mix chemicals, but he saw Francisco mix chemicals. (Dec. Manuel Gutierrez</p> <p>Mr. Juarez was not given any Standard Operating Procedures that pertained to how he was to perform his job. (Ex. 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II: 172:17-25)</p> <p>Initially, when Mr. Juarez was hired, he was hired as an SMT and thus the SOP cited by defense was not applicable. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II: 182:1-5)</p> |
| 24. These SOPs also specified that the machine's coating materials included Humiseal 1A33 conformal coating and Humiseal 521 thinner. Hwang Dec.; SpaceX Standard Operating Procedures. (Hwang Dec., 1:15-26, 6, 16, 26.) | 24. Disputed. Mr. Juarez was never provided with an Standard Operating Procedure ("SOP") for programmers for any assembly area in Space X. Upon asking the lead person, Mr. Juan Soltelo about the SOP, Mr. Soltelo laughed at him. • (Dec. Ruben Juarez) <i><u>Specific factual references with citations are set forth below for the court's convenience disputing the alleged facts that Mr. Juarez reviewed the MSDS sheets and allegedly mixed the Arathane and</u></i> | |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|--|
| | | <p><u>Humiseal.</u></p> |
| | | <p>Mr. Mendoza never saw Ruben mixing chemicals at Space X. Further, while being an employee at Space X. Mr. Mendoza was never trained regarding how to read, interpret, and locate MSDS sheets. Further, Mr. Mendoza indicated Space X was using Humiseal since 2010, and he was never provided any Standard Operating Procedures. (Dec. Christopher Mendoza)</p> |
| | | <p>Mr. Gutierrez indicated he never saw a binder of MSDS sheets nor did he ever see any MSDS sheets in the conformal coating area. Further, he never saw any Standard of Practice while working with Space X. Moreover, Mr. Gutierrez never saw Ruben mix chemicals, but he saw Francisco mix chemicals. (Dec. Manuel Gutierrez)</p> |
| | | <p>As a result of the defective design of the PVA 350, it would be impossible to utilize the machine with a ventilation mask because the machine is too small.</p> |
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• (Dec. Ruben Juarez) |
| | | <p>The SOPs did not indicate the chemicals utilized with the machine were dangerous and potentially toxic.</p> |
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Defendant Hwang Dec.; SpaceX Standard Operating Procedures; (Hwang Dec., 1:15-26, 6, 16, 26.)<li>(Ex. 4 Depo. Juarez Volume |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|--|--|
| | | <p>II: 172:17-25)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II: 182:1-5)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II: 220:5-11)• (Exhibit 31, PVA 51)• (Exhibit 31, PVA30-32)• (Exhibit 31, PVA 40-41)• (Exhibit 15, PVA 69)• (Exhibit 16, PVA 0272)• (Exhibit 31, PVA 30-32, 51)• (Exhibit 18, PVA 40)• (Exhibit 35) <p><u>Specific factual references with citations are set forth below for the court's convenience supporting the factual dispute that the SOPs were not provided to Mr. Juarez.</u></p> |
| 25. These SOPs also instructed SpaceX employees to “[p]rogram the machine per operating instructions in the PVA Manual.” Hwang Dec.; SpaceX Standard Operating Procedures. (Hwang Dec., 1:15-26, 9, 11, 19, 21, 29, 32.) | Mr. Juarez was not given any Standard Operating Procedures that pertained to how he was to perform his job. (Ex. 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II: 172:17-25) Initially, when Mr. Juarez was hired, he was hired as an SMT and thus the SOP cited by defense was not applicable. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II: 182:1-5) | 25. Disputed. The PVA Manual and SOPs were not provided to Mr. Juarez. Further, the manual was not available in the computer connected to the PVA 350. Since the PVA 350 is a standalone machine, the manual was not uploaded to the company network. (Dec. Ruben Juarez) |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 |
|---|--|
| <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
| | <p><i><u>Specific factual references with citations are set forth below for the court's convenience disputing the alleged facts that Mr. Juarez reviewed the MSDS sheets and allegedly mixed the Arathane and Humiseal.</u></i></p> |
| | <p>Mr. Mendoza never saw Ruben mixing chemicals at Space X. Further, while being an employee at Space X. Mr. Mendoza was never was never trained regarding how to read, interpret, and locate MSDS sheets. Further, Mr. Mendoza indicated Space X was using Humiseal since 2010, and he was never provided any Standard Operating Procedures. (Dec. Christopher Mendoza)</p> |
| | <p>Mr. Gutierrez indicated he never saw a binder of MSDS sheets nor did he ever see any MSDS sheets in the conformal coating area. Further, he never saw any Standard of Practice while working with Space X. Moreover, Mr. Gutierrez never saw Ruben mix chemicals, but he saw Francisco mix chemicals. (Dec. Manuel Gutierrez)</p> |
| | <p>Also, PVA provided photographs of the PVA 350 machine when it shipped and there is no hardcopy of the manual included in the delivery. Further, PVA Inc. specifically trained Mr. Juarez to bypass the safety systems so the machine could be utilized as it was designed defectively and could not be utilized otherwise.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">•(Dec. Glen Stevick)</p> |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|--|
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none">•(Dec. Ruben Juarez)•(Ex. 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II: 172:17-25)•(Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II: 182:1-5)•(Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II: 220:5-11)•(Exhibit 31, PVA 51)•(Exhibit 31, PVA30-32)•(Exhibit 31, PVA 40-41)•(Exhibit 16, PVA 0272)•(Exhibit 31, PVA 30-32, 51)•(Exhibit 18, PVA 40)•(Exhibit 35) |
| | | <p>Mr. Juarez was not given any Standard Operating Procedures that pertained to how he was to perform his job. (Ex. 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II: 172:17-25)</p> |
| | | <p>Initially, when Mr. Juarez was hired, he was hired as an SMT and thus the SOP cited by defense was not applicable. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II: 182:1-5)</p> |
| | | <p>BELOW ARE FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS REGARDING THE MANUAL NOT BEING PRODUCED AND PVA MANUAL BEING INEFFECTIVE AND INSUFFICIENT</p> |
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none">• PVA specifically indicated the manual was for production and <u>operators not technical personnel,</u> but it might be “useful for introduction or training.” (Exhibit |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 |
|---|---|
| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 |
| <p>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</p> <p>31, PVA 51)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• The manual was only produced electronically. (No hardcopy.) (Exhibit 31, PVA30-32)• The Operations and Maintenance manual does not warn to wear a face mask or protection from any inhalants. (Exhibit 31, PVA 40-41)• The manual indicates the PVA machines require that end users must visually inspect the position of the needle with response to a calibration point and physically reposition the needle. (Exhibit 15, PVA 69)• The Final Shipment Sign-Off does not indicate the operating manual was sent to Space X only machine photos were taken. Also, there is an issue of facts as to whether the exhaust switch was included. (Exhibit 16, PVA 0272)• The PVA manual was not physically produced and there was no requirement to review it. (Exhibit 31, PVA 30-32, 51) <p>Mr. Mendoza a former employee of Space X indicated that Space X was using Humiseal in 2010.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• (Dec. Christopher Mendoza, paragraph 2) <p>26. SpaceX maintained copies of all manufacturer operating instructions and specifications for its purchased equipment</p> | <p>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</p> <p>31, PVA 51)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• The manual was only produced electronically. (No hardcopy.) (Exhibit 31, PVA30-32)• The Operations and Maintenance manual does not warn to wear a face mask or protection from any inhalants. (Exhibit 31, PVA 40-41)• The manual indicates the PVA machines require that end users must visually inspect the position of the needle with response to a calibration point and physically reposition the needle. (Exhibit 15, PVA 69)• The Final Shipment Sign-Off does not indicate the operating manual was sent to Space X only machine photos were taken. Also, there is an issue of facts as to whether the exhaust switch was included. (Exhibit 16, PVA 0272)• The PVA manual was not physically produced and there was no requirement to review it. (Exhibit 31, PVA 30-32, 51) <p>Mr. Mendoza a former employee of Space X indicated that Space X was using Humiseal in 2010.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• (Dec. Christopher Mendoza, paragraph 2) <p>26. Disputed to the extent it infers that Mr. Juarez saw copies of the manufacturer operating instructions and specifications</p> |

| 1 2 <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED</u> <u>UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|--|--|
| <p>3 including the PVA 350. 4 Hwang Dec. 5 (Hwang Dec., 1:15-17.)</p> | <p>6 for its purchase. Further, the 7 manual was not available in the 8 computer connected to the PVA 9 350. Since the PVA 350 is a 10 standalone machine, the manual 11 was not uploaded to the 12 company network. 13 14 (Dec. Ruben Juarez) 15 16 Also, PVA provided 17 photographs of the PVA 350 18 machine when it shipped and 19 there is no hardcopy of the 20 manual included in the delivery. 21 Further, PVA Inc. specifically 22 trained Mr. Juarez to bypass the 23 safety systems so the machine 24 could be utilized as it was 25 designed defectively and could 26 not be utilized otherwise. 27 28 • -(Dec. Ruben Juarez) • (Dec. Glen Stevick) • (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 91:3-93:24, 96:10-19, 98:20-21, 100:4-9) • (Exhibit 31, PVA 51) • (Exhibit 31, PVA30-32) • (Exhibit 31, PVA 40-41) • (Exhibit 15, PVA 69) • (Exhibit 16, PVA 0272) • (Exhibit 31, PVA 30-32, 51) • (Exhibit 18, PVA 40) • (Exhibit 35) <i><u>Specific factual references with</u></i> <i><u>citations are set forth below for the</u></i> <i><u>court's convenience regarding</u></i> <i><u>disputes of fact regarding the PVA</u></i> <i><u>Manual and the SOPs.</u></i></p> |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 |
|---|--|
| <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
| | <p><i>Specific factual references with citations are set forth below for the court's convenience disputing the alleged facts that Mr. Juarez reviewed the MSDS sheets and allegedly mixed the Arathane and Humiseal.</i></p> |
| | <p>Mr. Mendoza never saw Ruben mixing chemicals at Space X. Further, while being an employee at Space X. Mr. Mendoza was never was never trained regarding how to read, interpret, and locate MSDS sheets. Further, Mr. Mendoza indicated Space X was using Humiseal since 2010, and he was never provided any Standard Operating Procedures. (Dec. Christopher Mendoza)</p> |
| | <p>Mr. Gutierrez indicated he never saw a binder of MSDS sheets nor did he ever see any MSDS sheets in the conformal coating area. Further, he never saw any Standard of Practice while working with Space X. Moreover, Mr. Gutierrez never saw Ruben mix chemicals, but he saw Francisco mix chemicals. (Dec. Manuel Gutierrez)</p> |
| | <p>Mr. Juarez never read the manual for the PVA 350 because it was not available to him, but he was trained by PVA Inc. on how to use the PVA machines, and at these trainings PVA Inc. never told Mr. Juarez to review any manual. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 91:3-93:24, 96:10-19, 98:20-21, 100:4-9)</p> |
| | <p>BELOW ARE FACTUAL</p> |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 |
|---|---|
| <p><u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u></p> | <p><u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u></p> <p>ALLEGATIONS REGARDING THE MANUAL NOT BEING PRODUCED AND PVA MANUAL BEING INEFFECTIVE AND INSUFFICIENT</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">PVA specifically indicated the manual was for production and <u>operators not technical personnel, but it might be “useful for introduction or training.”</u> (Exhibit 31, PVA 51)The manual was <u>only produced electronically.</u> (No hardcopy.) (Exhibit 31, PVA30-32)The Operations and Maintenance manual <u>does not warn to wear a face mask or protection</u> from any inhalants. (Exhibit 31, PVA 40-41)The manual indicates the PVA machines require that end users must <u>visually inspect the position of the needle with response to a calibration point and physically reposition the needle.</u> (Exhibit 15, PVA 69)The Final Shipment Sign-Off does not indicate the operating manual was sent to Space X only machine photos were taken. Also, there is an issue of facts as to whether the <u>exhaust switch was included.</u> (Exhibit 16, PVA 0272)The PVA manual was not <u>physically produced and there was no requirement to review it.</u> (Exhibit 31, PVA 30-32, 51) <p>Mr. Mendoza a former employee of Space X indicated that Space X was</p> |

| <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|
| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | using Humiseal in 2010. • (Dec. Christopher Mendoza, paragraph 2) FAILURE TO WARN/HAZARD COMMENTS • The PVA Manual does not warn users to use a face mask or ventilator. (Exhibit 18, PVA 40) • The warning on the sides states, "WARNING, Hazardous voltage. Power shall be disconnected before enclosure is opened. Enclosure shall be closed before power is restored." (Exhibit 35) |
| 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | 27. Ruben Juarez worked as a programmer at SpaceX from January, 2012 to the end of March, 2014. Juarez Depo.; job offer letter with acceptance; Workers' Compensation ("Comp.") Claim. (Catalona Dec., 261:21-24, 301:17-22, 303:25-304:8, 307:14-19, 317:7-9, 355, 357.) |
| 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | 27.Undisputed. 28. During this entire period, his job duties never changed. Juarez Depo.; Deposition of Ruben Juarez in Workers' Compensation Action ("Juarez Workers' Comp. Depo."). (Catalona Dec., 256:23-24, 443:22-444:9.) 28. Disputed. Mr. Juarez's job duties were changed to include AOI programming and SMT programming. • (Dec. Ruben Juarez) • (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II: 182:1-5) • (Ex. 2 Depo. Ruben Juarez Volume 2 p. 78:15-79:12, 81:10-15) • (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 61:21-63:14) • (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 152:11-15) |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 |
|---|---|
| <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
| | |
| <p>Initially, when Mr. Juarez was hired, he was hired as an SMT and thus the SOP cited by defense was not applicable. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II: 182:1-5)</p> <p>29. Juarez testified that his job was to program the PVA 350, for which he was its “main support.”</p> <p>Juarez Depo.</p> <p>(Catalona Dec., 257:25-258:6, 263:1-5.)</p> | <p>29. Undisputed to the extent that Mr. Juarez worked with the PVA 350, but disputed to the extent that there is an inference that PVA Inc. was not responsible for the service and maintenance of the PVA 350 machine. As stated at Mr. Juarez’ deposition on March 30, 2015 (within the two year filing period) Mr. Juarez did not operate the equipment; he was a programmer.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• (Ex. 2 Depo. Ruben Juarez Volume 2 p. 78:15-79:12, 81:10-15)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 58:4-6, 58:12-59:4)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 61:21-63:14)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume I: 145:25-147:2)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 152:11-15)• (See Exhibit 22, PVA 4463-4481)• (See Exhibit 9 and Exhibit 22)• (Exhibit 22, PVA 23 and 24)• (Exhibit 22 PVA 4449-4452)• (Exhibit 22 PVA 4463-4481)• (Exhibit 22)• (Ex 22, PVA 340-347)• (Exhibit 36 PVA 4449-4452)• (Exhibit 36)• (Exhibit 36, PVA 1728-1731) |

1 **MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED**
2 **UNCONTROVERTED FACTS**

3 **RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION**

- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25
- 26
- 27
- 28
- (Exhibit 25, PVA 7-9, 17-29, 222-234, 250-251, 4449-4452)

5 *Specific factual references with*
6 *citations are set forth below for the*
7 *court's convenience regarding Mr.*
8 *Juarez' job duties.*

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Mr. Juarez was a programmer and did mostly machinery, programming, troubleshooting, ordering equipment, Automatic Optical Inspection work, equipment repair, and other manufacturing engineering work. (Ex. 2 Depo. Ruben Juarez Volume 2 p. 78:15-79:12, 81:10-15)

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Mr. Juarez' job was to program the machine not to operate the machine, and he would spend 8 to 10 hours developing a program and working inside the machine itself. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 58:4-6, 58:12-59:4)

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Mr. Juarez would program the PVA 350, set up a circuit board in the machine, make sure the pattern is correct, do a dry run, do a wet run, make sure his head was inside to check the thickness of the layer, clean it up, rerun it again until they got the desired thickness and width. The programmer has to fine tune the thickness of the conformal coating. To facilitate the fine tuning process, the machine has to switch to a bypass door so a programmer can put their head inside the machine to make sure the spraying head is parallel to the

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|---|
| | | <p>door. Then a programmer does a dry run, a wet run, and checks the thickness of the layer. The layer has to be about 1.5 thousandths of an inch. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 61:21-63:14)</p> <p>Mr. Juarez would program the machine, check the thickness of the PVA 350 spray, ensure the tanks had enough materials, make sure the materials were consistent, and deal with the fixtures involved in the process. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume I: 145:25-147:2)</p> <p>Mr. Juarez would leave the operators after the PVA 350 was programmed sometimes because he was called back for a new product and to work on a variety of assemblies and subassemblies. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 152:11-15)</p> <p><u>PVA HAD KNOWLEDGE OF THE CHEMICALS BEING UTILIZED BY SPACE X AND WAS INVOLVED IN SUBSEQUENT MODIFICATIONS TO THE MACHINE INVOLVING VARIOUS CHEMICALS</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• PVA Inc was aware of the chemicals being utilized with the PVA 350. including the <u>transition to Arathane</u>. (See Exhibit 22, PVA 4463-4481)• The April 11, 2012 conformal coating video with Space X boards utilized the <u>same chemicals as used at Space X at PVA Inc.</u> (See |

1 **MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED**
2 **UNCONTROVERTED FACTS**

RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION

3 Exhibit 9 and Exhibit 22)

4 • On September 19, 2013,
5 PVA Inc. made further
6 modifications to the subject
7 machine including reworking
8 the dispense system on the
9 machine to connect one
cartridge to the one gallon tank
for "**automatic solvent flush of**
Arathane 5705." (Exhibit 22,
PVA 23 and 24)

10 • On July 30, 2009,
11 PVA/Andrew Haraburda
12 serviced the W3267 (subject
13 PVA 350 machine) and this
14 description of the work/changes
15 included: adding solvent flush
16 option (6 oz cartridge, 3 way
17 ball valve, O-ISPSI Precision
18 Regulator, which shows **PVA**
19 **Inc. was continuing to modify**
20 **and upgrade the machine.**
21 Then **PVA Inc. specifically**
22 **recommended Space X alter**
23 **the solvent to a different**
24 **solvent stating "Space X may**
25 **need to use a different solvent**
26 **(i.e. Xylene).**" (Exhibit 22 PVA
27 4449-4452)

28 • Pursuant to email chain
dated March 13, 2012 Jonathan
Urquhart (**PVA**) **was aware of**
Space X's use of Arathane
5750 The email specifically
states, "I think David has
spoken to you **about our**
customer Space X. They build
full blown rocket ships. **They**
plan on pre-mixing this
material part A part B and a
3rd part, thinner in small
batches apply to board and

1 **MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED**
2 **UNCONTROVERTED FACTS**

RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION

3 the purge system because of
4 shelf life once mixed. Sounds
5 screwy to me." They were
6 looking for a demo on the 27th
7 of March. (Exhibit 22, PVA
8 4463-4481)

- 9 • Pursuant to email dated
10 March 15, 2012 to Jonathan
11 Urquhart, PVA was preparing a
12 demo on the PVA 650 and PVA
13 were working with the same
14 chemical materials Space X
15 was utilizing, but PVA did not
16 want Space X to know and
17 PVA indicated they were
18 going to convey to Space X
19 that the materials were
20 similar, but not the exact
21 same. . (Exhibit 22, PVA
22 4463-4481)
- 23 • Pursuant to email dated
24 March 30, 2012, the test at PVA
25 Inc. was rescheduled to 4-11-12
26 to view the 3 part mixing
27 process. (Exhibit 22, PVA
28 4463-4481)
- 29 • Pursuant to email from
30 David Gomez to Johnathan
31 (PVA) Urquhart dated May 11,
32 2012 at 2:11 p.m., Space X
33 talked about retrofitting the
34 PVA 350, and then
35 subsequently there was
36 confirmation from Jon
37 indicating Space X wanted to
38 duplicate what was done
39 during the visit. (Exhibit 22,
40 PVA 4463-4481)
- 41 • Jon Urquart performed
42 the demo for Space X on 4-11-
43 12. (Exhibit 22, PVA 4463-
44 4481)

1 **MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED**
2 **UNCONTROVERTED FACTS**

RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION

3 4481)

- 4 • Email dated December 2,
5 2014 at 9:03 a.m. Mr. Urquhart
6 (PVA) indicated to Mr.
7 Matupang there would be 2
8 demos including a coating with
Arathane 2 part mix and
spray and dispensing using a
650 or Delta 6 with board
head, JDX (underfill), SVX
(past), and FC100 (solder
mask). (Exhibit 22)
- 9 • On November 17, 2014,
10 Mr. Urquhart (PVA) asked if
11 Space X wanted to mix on the
12 fly with **5750?** (Exhibit 22)
- 13 • On January 9, 2013 at
14 10:38 a.m. David Gomez (PVA)
15 emailed Brian Ignaut (Space X)
doing follow up on the “two
part material application
project you were working on
and kindly presented us with.”
16 There was inquiry as to whether
17 there were any developments in
18 the first few months of 2013.
19 (Exhibit 22)
- 20 • On December 3, 2012 at
21 12:01 PM David Gomez (PVA)
22 wrote Scott Abarta asking for
23 the **two part material brand**
and part # as well as any
MSDS and data sheets. Also,
24 there was a request for a shot
25 size and cycle time per part if
26 possible. (Exhibit 22)
- 27 • On **September 10, 2013,**
28 Mr. Gomez (PVA) indicated
“they only want a 20 oz
cartridge retainer & cap with

1 **MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED**
2 **UNCONTROVERTED FACTS**

RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION

3 spray & needle valve for the
4 pre-mix material and 6oz
5 cartridge retainer & cap **for the**
solvent, so it can flush the
premixed material out right
after doing a batch of
boards. Mr. Gomez indicated
6 he was **familiar with the “fluid**
delivery line” because he had
done it “several times.” He
7 also indicated they were **“not**
8 **there yet” for the two part**
9 **coating spraying.** (Exhibit 22)

10 • On September 9, 2013,
11 Richard Bievenue (PVA) wrote
12 Jonathan Connelly (ccing David
13 Filbert, David Gomez, and
14 Michael R. Leonard) indicating
15 that **he spoke with Duc Phan**
(Space X) about the 350
machine and how Space X
was switching to utilizing a 2
part material including
Arathane 5750. There was
discussion about premising
the solvents and flushing the
solvent valves. Also, there was
16 interest regarding a 2
17 component meter mix system.
18 (Exhibit 22)

19 • On September 18, 2013 at
20 12:41 p.m., **Mr. Gomez (PVA)**
21 **indicated that this is not the**
first time they have done a
two part coating system and
he thought they were putting
to many resources into this.
The email specifically
references Arathane 5750.
22 (Exhibit 22)

23 • On September 13, 2013,
24 from Duc Phan (Space X) to

1 **MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED**
2 **UNCONTROVERTED FACTS**

RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION

3 Jonathan Connelly, Michael R.
4 Leonard (ccing Richard
5 Bievenue and David Filbert)
6 explained the process with
7 pictures. Specifically, he
8 indicated that Space X
9 removed the hoses from the
10 spray dispensing head and
11 connected the hoses from the
12 Arathane material and solvent
13 to it. He further explained
14 when the Arathane material
15 was not in use they vaped the
16 solvent hose and connect the
17 Arathane material hose to the
18 other head just for a place
19 holder. He also explained that
20 Arathane 5750 part A and B
21 is mixed together and poured
22 in the first reservoir and the
23 second reservoir is the solvent
24 use to thin out the mixed
25 Arathane for spray
26 requirement. (Exhibit 22)

- On September 13, 2013 at 6:07 a.m. Jonathan Connelly wrote Duc Phan (Space X). Michael R. Leonard and ccng Richard Bievenue and David Filbert inquiring about the process, requesting a picture, and asking if the Arathane
and the solvent was being run
out of the cartridges mounted
on the side of the machine.
(Exhibit 22)
- On September 10, 2013, Duc Phan (Space X)wrote Michael R. Leonard and Jonathan Connelly, and there
was a clear indication that
Space X was using Arathane
5750 material with a 2 hour

1 **MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED**
2 **UNCONTROVERTED FACTS**

RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION

3 cure time, and they were
4 concerned the operator would
5 forget to purge and rinse the
6 material when the job is done.
7 They were looking to
8 automate the process after
9 coating. (Exhibit 22)

10 o The sales order dated
11 September 25, 2013 indicated
12 PVA job number SPCX2115
13 W3267 indicated PVA was
14 there to provide onsite
15 support for Mechanical
16 Electrical Programming Fluid
17 Delivery. (Exhibit 22)

18 o The sales quotation dated
19 September 19, 2013, stated that
20 PVA was to "rework the
21 dispense system on machine
22 serial number W3267 to
23 connect one cartridge to (1)
24 one gallon tank for automatic
25 solvent flush of Arathane
26 5705. This was to include 1) all
27 hoses and fittings needed to
28 complete the integration, 2)
 pneumatic ball valve for
 automatic solvent flush, 3)
 hardware and software needed
 for integration, and one tank.
Further, PVA was to travel to
Space X to install the new auto
solvent flush system. (Exhibit
22)

19 o The purchase order dated
20 September 24, 2013 issued to
21 PVA and shipping to Space X
22 on a Space X purchase order
23 identified a supplier part
24 number B72-01433 and there is
25 a full description of the work
26 to be done including

1 **MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED**
2 **UNCONTROVERTED FACTS**

RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION

3 **reworking the dispense system**
4 **for an automatic flush of**
5 **Arathane 5750** and in similar
6 detail as stated above. There is
7 a separate purchase order for the
8 travel expenditures. (Exhibit 22)

9
10 • On July 21, 2014 at 6:48
11 p.m. Duc Phan (Space X)
12 emailed Rex indicating the
13 **current machine uses both**
14 **Humiseal and Arathane and**
15 **there are separate spray**
16 **valves for both material.** They
17 need the pendant purge button
18 to work for both materials.
(Exhibit 22)

19
20 • PVA Inc. circulated
21 diagram clearly states
22 **Arathane.** Additionally, there
23 is a quote work sheet for the 3
24 way assembly, pressure tank,
25 hoses, fittings, valves as well as
26 programming and engineering.
27 (Ex 22, PVA 340-347)

28
19 **PVA INC. INSTALLED THE PVA**
20 **350 AND CONTINUED TO**
21 **MODIFIED PROGRAM**
22 **THROUGHOUT THE PVA 350'S**
23 **LIFETIME**

24
25 • On 6-23-2009 to 6-24-2009,
26 PVA Inc. installed and serviced the
27 W3267 machine at Space X. The
28 work was performed by Andrew
Haraburde. He trained Juan Sotelo
and Marsha Thompson in installation
and training. The installation check
list specifically indicated various items
were working, but there is an X versus
a check mark on the pump and

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 |
|---|--|
| <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
| <p>30. Plaintiffs also admitted in their complaint that “[d]uring Plaintiff Ruben Juarez’s time at Space X, Plaintiff Ruben Juarez was <i>in charge of</i> programming the PVA 350 to spray Arathane 5750A, Arathane 5750B, Arathane 5750 A/B, and Humiseal thinner.”</p> <p>First Amended Complaint (“FAC.”)</p> <p>(Catalona Dec, 59:5-8 (emphasis added).)</p> | <p>SEMEA boxes. The description of the work/changes including “<u>modified main program (mo2)</u>.” (Exhibit 36 PVA 4449-4452)</p> <p>There are a series of work orders regarding modifications and sales showing PVA Inc. continued to service and support the use and modification of the PVA 350. (Exhibit 25, PVA 7-9, 17-29, 222-234, 250-251, 4449-4452)</p> <p>30. Disputed to the extent the fact infers Mr. Juarez knew he was spraying Arathane prior to obtaining the MSDS sheets for said products in March of 2015. Mr. Juarez was not aware of the danger of the chemicals being loaded in the PVA 350. Further, Mr. Juarez traveled to PVA Inc. with John Pena to see if the PVA machines could spray various chemicals. Mr. Pena and Mr. Juarez were at PVA Inc. for 2 days, and during this time, PVA Inc. employees did not wear any safety gear and they programmed the machines the same way Mr. Juarez had been programming the machines.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• (Dec. Ruben Juarez)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 55:21-56:5)• (See Exhibit 22, PVA 4463-4481)• (See Exhibit 9 and Exhibit 22)• (Exhibit 22, PVA 23 and 24) |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|---|
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none">• (Exhibit 22 PVA 4449-4452)• (Exhibit 22 PVA 4463-4481)• (Exhibit 22)• (Ex 22, PVA 340-347) |
| | | <p><u>Specific factual references with citations are set forth below for the court's convenience regarding PVA Inc.'s knowledge of the chemicals used with the PVA 350 and the role of Mr. Juarez at Space X.</u></p> <p>Mr. Juarez indicated he does not have a chemistry background at his deposition on March 8, 2018. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 55:21-56:5)</p> <p><u>PVA HAD KNOWLEDGE OF THE CHEMICALS BEING UTILIZED BY SPACE X AND WAS INVOLVED IN SUBSEQUENT MODIFICATIONS TO THE MACHINE INVOLVING VARIOUS CHEMICALS</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• PVA Inc was aware of the chemicals being utilized with the PVA 350, including the <u>transition to Arathane</u>. (See Exhibit 22, PVA 4463-4481)• The April 11, 2012 conformal coating video with Space X boards utilized the <u>same chemicals as used at Space X at PVA Inc.</u> (See Exhibit 9 and Exhibit 22)• On September 19, 2013, |

1 **MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED**
2 **UNCONTROVERTED FACTS**

RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION

3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
PVA Inc. made further modifications to the subject machine including reworking the dispense system on the machine to connect one cartridge to the one gallon tank for "**automatic solvent flush of Arathane 5705.**" (Exhibit 22, PVA 23 and 24)

- On July 30, 2009, PVA/Andrew Haraburda serviced the W3267 (subject PVA 350 machine) and this description of the work/changes included: adding solvent flush option (6 oz cartridge, 3 way ball valve, O-ISPSI Precision Regulator, which shows **PVA Inc. was continuing to modify and upgrade the machine.** Then **PVA Inc. specifically recommended Space X alter the solvent to a different solvent stating "Space X may need to use a different solvent (i.e. Xylene).**" (Exhibit 22 PVA 4449-4452)

- Pursuant to email chain dated March 13, 2012 Jonathan Urquhart (**PVA was aware of Space X's use of Arathane 5750**) The email specifically states, "I think David has spoken to you **about our customer Space X.** They build full blown rocket ships. **They plan on pre-mixing this material part A part B and a 3rd part, thinner in small batches apply to board and the purge system** because of shelf life once mixed. **Sounds screwy to me.**" They were

1 **MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED**
2 **UNCONTROVERTED FACTS**

1 **RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION**

2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
looking for a demo on the 27th
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
of March. (Exhibit 22, PVA
4463-4481)

- 1 • Pursuant to email dated
2 March 15, 2012 to Jonathan
3 Urquhart, PVA was preparing a
4 demo on the PVA 650 and **PVA**
5 **were working with the same**
6 **chemical materials Space X**
7 **was utilizing, but PVA did not**
8 **want Space X to know and**
9 **PVA indicated they were**
10 **going to convey to Space X**
11 **that the materials were**
12 **similar, but not the exact**
13 **same.** . . (Exhibit 22, PVA
14 4463-4481)

- 1 • Pursuant to email dated
2 March 30, 2012, the test at PVA
3 Inc. was rescheduled to **4-11-12**
4 **to view the** 3 part mixing
5 process. (Exhibit 22, PVA
6 4463-4481)

- 1 • Pursuant to email from
2 David Gomez to Johnathan
3 (PVA) Urquhart dated May 11,
4 2012 at 2:11 p.m., Space X
5 talked about retrofitting the
6 PVA 350, and then
7 subsequently there was
8 confirmation from Jon
9 indicating **Space X wanted to**
10 **duplicate what was done**
11 **during the visit.** (Exhibit 22,
12 PVA 4463-4481)

- 1 • Jon Urquart performed
2 **the demo for Space X on 4-11-**
3 **12.** (Exhibit 22, PVA 4463-
4 4481)

- 1 • Email dated December 2,

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|---|
| | | <p>2014 at 9:03 a.m. Mr. Urquhart (PVA) indicated to Mr. Matupang there would be 2 demos including a coating with <u>Arathane 2 part mix and spray and dispensing using a 650 or Delta 6 with board head</u>, JDX (underfill), SVX (past), and FC100 (solder mask). (Exhibit 22)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• On November 17, 2014, Mr. Urquhart (PVA) asked if Space X wanted to mix on the fly with <u>5750</u>? (Exhibit 22)• On January 9, 2013 at 10:38 a.m. David Gomez (PVA) emailed Brian Ignaut (Space X) <u>doing follow up on the “two part material application project you were working on and kindly presented us with.”</u> There was inquiry as to whether there were any developments in the first few months of 2013. (Exhibit 22)• On December 3, 2012 at 12:01 PM David Gomez (PVA) wrote Scott Abarta asking for the <u>two part material brand and part # as well as any MSDS and data sheets.</u> Also, there was a request for a shot size and cycle time per part if possible. (Exhibit 22)• On <u>September 10, 2013</u>, Mr. Gomez (PVA) indicated “they only want a 20 oz cartridge retainer & cap with spray & needle valve for the pre-mix material and 6oz cartridge retainer & cap <u>for the</u> |

1 **MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED**
2 **UNCONTROVERTED FACTS**

RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION

3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

solvent, so it can flush the premixed material out right after doing a batch of boards. Mr. Gomez indicated he was **familiar with the “fluid delivery line” because he had done it “several times.”** He also indicated they were **“not there yet” for the two part coating spraying.** (Exhibit 22)

- On September 9, 2013, Richard Bievenue (PVA) wrote Jonathan Connelly (ccing David Filbert, David Gomez, and Michael R. Leonard) indicating that **he spoke with Duc Phan (Space X) about the 350 machine and how Space X was switching to utilizing a 2 part material including Arathane 5750. There was discussion about premising the solvents and flushing the solvent valves.** Also, there was interest regarding a 2 component meter mix system. (Exhibit 22)
- On September 18, 2013 at 12:41 p.m., **Mr. Gomez (PVA) indicated that this is not the first time they have done a two part coating system and he thought they were putting to many resources into this.** The email specifically references **Arathane 5750.** (Exhibit 22)
- On September 13, 2013, from Duc Phan (Space X) to Jonathan Connelly, Michael R. Leonard (ccing Richard Bievenue and David Filbert)

1 **MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED**
2 **UNCONTROVERTED FACTS**

RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION

3 explained the process with
4 pictures. Specifically, he
5 indicated that Space X
6 removed the hoses from the
7 spray dispensing head and
8 connected the hoses from the
9 Arathane material and solvent
10 to it. He further explained
11 when the Arathane material
12 was not in use they vaped the
13 solvent hose and connect the
14 Arathane material hose to the
15 other head just for a place
16 holder. He also explained that
17 Arathane 5750 part A and B
18 is mixed together and poured
19 in the first reservoir and the
20 second reservoir is the solvent
21 use to thin out the mixed
22 Arathane for spray
23 requirement. (Exhibit 22)

- On September 13, 2013 at 6:07 a.m. Jonathan Connelly wrote Duc Phan (Space X). Michael R. Leonard and cc'ng Richard Bievenue and David Filbert inquiring about the process, requesting a picture, and asking if the Arathane and the solvent was being run out of the cartridges mounted on the side of the machine. (Exhibit 22)

- On September 10, 2013, Duc Phan (Space X) wrote Michael R. Leonard and Jonathan Connelly, and there was a clear indication that Space X was using Arathane 5750 material with a 2 hour cure time, and they were concerned the operator would forget to purge and rinse the

1 **MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED**
2 **UNCONTROVERTED FACTS**

RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION

3 material when the job is done.
4 They were looking to
5 automate the process after
6 coating. (Exhibit 22)

7 o The sales order dated
8 September 25, 2013 indicated
9 PVA job number SPCX2115
10 W3267 indicated PVA was
11 there to provide onsite
12 support for Mechanical
13 Electrical Programming Fluid
14 Delivery. (Exhibit 22)

15 o The sales quotation dated
16 September 19, 2013, stated that
17 PVA was to “rework the
18 dispense system on machine
19 serial number W3267 to
20 connect one cartridge to (1)
21 one gallon tank for automatic
22 solvent flush of Arathane
23 5705. This was to include 1) all
24 hoses and fittings needed to
25 complete the integration, 2)
26 pneumatic ball valve for
27 automatic solvent flush, 3)
28 hardware and software needed
 for integration, and one tank.
 Further, PVA was to travel to
 Space X to install the new auto
 solvent flush system. (Exhibit
 22)

 o The purchase order dated
 September 24, 2013 issued to
 PVA and shipping to Space X
 on a Space X purchase order
 identified a supplier part
 number B72-01433 and there is
 a full description of the work
 to be done including
 reworking the dispense system
 for an automatic flush of
 Arathane 5750 and in similar

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|---|
| | | <p>detail as stated above. There is a separate purchase order for the travel expenditures. (Exhibit 22)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• On July 21, 2014 at 6:48 p.m. Duc Phan (Space X) emailed Rex indicating the <u>current machine uses both Humiseal and Arathane and there are separate spray valves for both material.</u> They need the pendant purge button to work for both materials. (Exhibit 22)• PVA Inc. circulated diagram clearly states <u>Arathane</u>. Additionally, there is a quote work sheet for the 3 way assembly, pressure tank, hoses, fittings, valves as well as programming and engineering. (Ex 22, PVA 340-347) |
| 31. This required Juarez to spend 60% of his time inside the conformal coating room which housed the machine. Juarez Depo. (Catalona Dec., 258:12-259:4, 261:21-24, 264:1-9.) | 31. Disputed to the extent the PVA 350 was not the only machine in the area where Mr. Juarez spent 60% of his time. Disputed to the extent there is an inference that Mr. Juarez was not surrounded by other potential hazards during this time while working at Space X such as the lead wiring and the chemical baths. <ul style="list-style-type: none">• (Ex 3 Depo. Ruben Juarez Volume 1, 36:17-37:22)• (Ex 3 Depo. Ruben Juarez Volume 1, 43:12-14)• (Ex 3 Depo. Ruben Juarez Volume 1, 43:12-46:19)• (Ex 1. Depo. Ruben Juarez | |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|---|
| | | <p>Volume I, p. 49:22-50:8, 51:17, 52:8-16, 53:15-21, 54:11-55:15, 55:21-56:8, 56:14-18, 57:16-23-58:18, 59: 4-5, 59: 22-24, 60:17-61:20, 62:1-15)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• (Ex. 2 Depo. Ruben Juarez Volume 2 p. 77:5-78:3)• (Ex 3 Depo. Ruben Juarez Volume 1, 49:25-50:3)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 66:19-22, 116:1-14)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 108:20-109:12)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 332:7-337:14)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 249:22-250:12)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 262:21-263: 13)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 287:6-288:3, 288:24-289:6)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 235:1-238: 5, 238: 19-22)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 241:4-15) <p><i><u>Specific factual references with citations are set forth below for the court's convenience regarding Mr. Juarez' job duties and exposure while working at Space X to machines and chemicals.</u></i></p> <p>Mr. Juarez' work station was outside the conformal coating room, and the wash area was next to my computer. (Ex 3 Depo. Ruben Juarez Volume 1, 43:12-14)</p> <p>At Mr. Juarez' deposition on March 30, 2015, (within the two year filing period) he implicated a number of reasons he could have been injured as he was not yet clear on the specific cause of his injuries, whether wrongdoing had occurred, or whether</p> |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|--|
| | | <p>any wrongdoing specifically caused his injuries. Specifically, Mr. Juarez indicated he was exposed to Humiseal 527 thinner while soaking parts to be cleaned (cleaning bath), doing maintenance work, flushing equipment, verifying fluids were flushed, bleeding the lines, and doing detailed cleaning of the machines so the operators could try new chemicals to better protect the electronic devices. Further, Mr. Juarez also discussed his exposure to a product called solder wire as a potential cause of injuries when he would replace the fume extractor filters while cleaning reworkstations that were similar to a fountain also called a cleaning bath where Mr. Juarez would remove soldering tools and reassembling them, which took a couple of hours. Mr. Juarez also discussed his exposure to Humiseal 1A33 for conformal coating by programming the machines and tooling and doing dry/wet runs while programming the machines. (Ex 1, Depo. Ruben Juarez Volume I, p. 49:22-50:8, 51:17, 52:8-16, 53:15-21, 54:11-55:15, 55:21-56:8, 56:14-18, 57:16-23-58:18, 59: 4-5, 59: 22-24,60:17-61:20, 62:1-15)</p> <p>On May 20, 2015, Mr. Juarez indicated at the second session of his deposition (within the two year filing period), the wash station for printed circuit board assemblies was right next to his station. (Ex. 2 Depo. Ruben Juarez Volume 2 p. 77:5-78:3)</p> <p>The equipment in the rooms where Mr. Juarez was working was constantly changing. (Ex 3 Depo. Ruben Juarez Volume 1, 49:25-50:3)</p> <p>Initially, Mr. Juarez thought his problems were caused by alcohol chemical baths and solder wire, but later he found out that lead-free solder wire was used. Upon this discovery, Mr. Juarez reached out to Francisco</p> |

| <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|
| <p>32. He testified that he was actually required to work <i>inside the machine</i> itself to verify the appropriate thickness of the coatings sprayed on SpaceX components.</p> <p>Juarez Depo.</p> <p>(Catalona Dec., 258:12-259:4, 261:25-262:25, 449:24-450:24, 451:17-23, 452:2-10, 460:6-13, 461:1-12.)</p> | <p>and then to Space X to get the MSDS sheets. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 241:4-15)</p> <p>32. Disputed as to the inaccurate factual inferences. PVA Inc. specifically trained to Mr. Juarez to put his head inside the machine itself to verify the appropriate thickness of the coatings sprayed on the Space X components. Further, disputed to the extent that users are able to utilize the PVA 350 to do their job any other way other than placing their heads inside the machine to verify the thickness as a result of the size of the machine and the design of the machine.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• (Dec. Ruben Juarez)• (Dec. Glen Stevick)• (Ex 3 Depo. Ruben Juarez Volume 1 : 30 :16-18, 30 :24-31 :5, 72:9-14)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 89:14-18, 90:8-11)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 121:16-19)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 121: 22- 122:20, 122:22-123:1)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume I: 123:19-124:12)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II: 226:12-227:6) |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|---|
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none">• (Ex. 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II232:1-7, 232: 23-25)• (Exhibit 18, PVA 40)• (Exhibit 35)• (Exhibit 24, 4458-4462)• (Exhibit 24)• (Exhibit 23, PVA 1181 (Wet Film Thickness Gauge))• (Exhibit 30)• (Exhibit 29, PVA 63, 64, 69, 220)• (See Exhibit 9 and Exhibit 22)• Exhibit 5, Video of the PVA 350 shows the machine is far too small for someone to use while wearing a ventilator.• Video Exhibit 11 shows the conformal coating process in a PVA machine in 2010.• Video Exhibit 8 shows all the different types of coating and also shows various designs that were not defective so it is great for comparison and explanation.• (Exhibit 15, PVA 69)• (Exhibit 20)• (Exhibit 21, PVA 4537, 4538, 4540) |

*Specific factual references with
citations are set forth below for the*

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 |
|---|---|
| <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
| | <p><i>court's convenience showing PVA Inc. trained end users and designed the PVA 350 so end users had to work inside the machine.</i></p> |
| | <p>Mr. Juarez was trained on the PVA 650 machine. (Ex 3 Depo. Ruben Juarez Volume 1 : 30 :16-18, 30 :24-31 :5, 72:9-14)</p> |
| | <p>PVA Inc. trained Mr. Juarez to put his head in the PVA 350 machine. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 89:14-18, 90:8-11)</p> |
| | <p>Part of Mr. Juarez would bypass the safety switch to do the programming of the PVA 350 machine. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 121:16-19)</p> |
| | <p>Mr. Juarez was trained by PVA Inc. to open the door of the machine to perform programming job duties. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 121: 22-122:20, 122:22-123:1)</p> |
| | <p>Mr. Juarez believe it was unsafe for the operators to open the machine door and operate the PVA 350 because they could get their hand stuck in the machine, but he did not have a chemistry background so he did not know whether the chemicals were harmful or not, and he relied on the training provided by PVA Inc. that he could put is head safely in the machine while programming the machine. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume I: 123:19-124:12)</p> |
| | <p>Mr. Juarez had to place his head into the machine to see what portions were covered because he would not be able to see into the machine by just looking through the window. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II: 226:12-227:6)</p> |
| | <p>Mr. Juarez was trained in 2011 by PVA Inc. through a hands on approach on how to use the PVA 650 machine, but there was no hazardous chemical</p> |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|--|--------------------------------------|
| | <p>training. (Ex. 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II232:f-7, 232: 23-25)</p> <p>PVA INC. PROVIDED TRAINING AND TRAINED MR. JUAREZ TO PUT HIS HEAD INSIDE THE PVA MACHINE WITHOUT ANY PROTECTIVE GEAR</p> <p>Ruben Juarez attended training at PVA Inc., and PVA trained him to put his head inside the machine while spraying without any protective gear. He attended these trainings while working for EMI and Space X. (Exhibit 24, 4458-4462)</p> <p>END USERS MUST PUT THEIR HEAD INTO THE PVA 350 MACHINE TO CHECK THE THICKNESS OF THE CHEMICAL COATING AND TO SPRAY THE PROPER AREAS OF THE CIRCUIT BOARD</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• The Wet Film Thickness Gauge shows how Mr. Juarez <u>had to measure the level of the fluid on the boards and is relevant to show he had to stick his head in the machine.</u> (Exhibit 23, PVA 1181 (Wet Film Thickness Gauge))• Pursuant to email dated December 15, 2014 on 11:47 a.m. Bievenue emailed to Matupang indicating the <u>only way to know for sure the mix ratio is coming out of a spray valve is with flow monitors and if you don't have flow monitors you are “spraying blind.”</u> (Exhibit 30) <p>BELOW PLAINTIFFS ASSERT</p> | |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|---|
| | | <p>SPECIFIC DISPUTES OF FACT REGARDING THE PVA 350'S MACHINE DEFECTS, INADEQUATE SAFETY MEASURES, AND THE VENTILATION SYSTEM.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>The alleged PVA safety guide states: "Some machines are equipped with an exhaust fan. The exhaust fan is provided to exhaust fumes from the work area. The exhaust flange should be connected to an appropriate ducting system that is capable of receiving 150 CFM. Insufficient airflow through the exhaust system generates an error." However, <u>this manual was not provided in a hardcopy form to Space X based on the pictures PVA provided.</u> (Exhibit 29, PVA 63)<li>The Exhaust Verification Process shows <u>some machines</u> have an auto shut down. (Exhibit 29, PVA 64)<li><u>A user has to visually inspect the position of a needle with respect to a calibration point and the user may have to physically reposition the needle.</u> (Exhibit 29, PVA 69)<li>The PVA Product Overview indicated a number of safety items are not included on the PVA 350 automatically such as: conveyor type, passive programming camera, XY fiducial camera, exhaust blower, onboard computer, and flow monitoring. (Exhibit 29, PVA 220)<li>There is a picture of the module, and there appears to be an insufficient exhaust system in the back of the machine. (Exhibit 29, PVA 4537, |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|--|---|
| | | <p>4538, 4539, 4540, and 4542).</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• The April 11, 2012 conformal coating video with Space X boards utilized the same chemicals as used at Space X at PVA Inc. (See Exhibit 9 and Exhibit 22)• Exhibit 5, Video of the PVA 350 shows the machine is far too small for someone to use while wearing a ventilator.• Video Exhibit 11 shows the conformal coating process in a PVA machine in 2010.• Video Exhibit 8 shows all the different types of coating and also shows various designs that were not defective so it is great for comparison and explanation.• The comparison PVA 350 shows there is a <u>low exhaust and no conveyor</u> belt option on the PVA 350. (Exhibit 20) |
| | <p>33. Throughout his time with SpaceX, he worked with one of the conformal coating materials, Humiseal thinner 521, on a daily basis.</p> <p>Juarez Depo.</p> <p>(Catalona Dec., 336:3-8, 336:17-19, 385, 448:12-449:12.)</p> | <p>33. Disputed to the extent that this fact infers that Mr. Juarez was aware of the danger of working with Humiseal, the chemical make up of Humiseal, or the defective PVA 350 prior to obtaining the MSDS sheets in March 2015. Further, disputed to the extent that Mr. Juarez was also working around other chemicals, machines, and a chemical bath.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 55:21-56:5)• (Ex 1. Depo. Ruben Juarez |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|--|
| | | <p>Volume I, p. 49:22-50:8, 51:17, 52:8-16, 53:15-21, 54:11-55:15, 55:21-56:8, 56:14-18, 57:16-23-58:18, 59: 4-5, 59: 22-24, 60:17-61:20, 62:1-15)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• (Ex. 2 Depo. Ruben Juarez Volume 2 p. 77:5-78:3)• (Ex 3 Depo. Ruben Juarez Volume 1, 49:25-50:3)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 66:19-22, 116:1-14)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 108:20-109:12)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 332:7-337:14)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 249:22-250:12)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 262:21-263: 13)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 262:21-263:13)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 287:6-288:3, 288:24-289:6)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 235:1-238: 5, 238: 19-22)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 241:4-15)• (See Exhibit 22, PVA 4463-4481)• (See Exhibit 9 and Exhibit 22)• (Exhibit 22, PVA 23 and 24)• (Exhibit 22 PVA 4449-4452)• (Exhibit 22 PVA 4463-4481)• (Exhibit 22)• (Ex 22, PVA 340-347)• (Exhibit 36 PVA 4449-4452)• (Exhibit 36)• (Exhibit 36, PVA 1728-1731)• (Exhibit 25, PVA 7-9, 17-29, 222-234, 250-251, 4449-4452) <p><i><u>Specific factual references with citations are set forth below for the court's convenience portraying the</u></i></p> |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> <i>factual dispute that Mr. Juarez was not aware of the danger of Humiseal and defects with the PVA 350..</i> Mr. Juarez indicated he does not have a chemistry background at his deposition on March 8, 2018. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 55:21-56:5) On May 20, 2015, Mr. Juarez indicated at the second session of his deposition (within the two year filing period), the wash station for printed circuit board assemblies was right next to his station. (Ex. 2 Depo. Ruben Juarez Volume 2 p. 77:5-78:3) The equipment in the rooms where Mr. Juarez was working was constantly changing. (Ex 3 Depo. Ruben Juarez Volume 1, 49:25-50:3) Initially, Mr. Juarez did not know what chemicals were in the chemical baths, and he found out, but the chemical baths did not have anything to do with the PVA 350, and when he filed the worker's compensation claim his claim was derived from the chemical washes. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 66:19-22, 116:1-14) Mr. Juarez called a co-worker to get a list of the chemicals utilized in the wash area at Space X, and he may have offered to give the names of the rest of the chemicals used in the conformal coating area. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 108:20-109:12) Mr Juarez tried to get a list of chemicals from Space X, which were not provided initially. Afterwhich, Mr. Juarez called Francisco to get a list of chemicals he had worked with. After Francisco provided the list of chemicals, Mr. Juarez emailed Space X requested the MSDS sheets for the chemicals that Francisco listed. (Ex 4 |
|---|--|
|---|--|

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|--|
| | | Depo. Juarez Volume II, 332:7-337:14) |
| | | Mr. Juarez filed his worker's compensation claim based on his belief the chemical baths were making him sick as well as a solder wire until he learned only alcohol was used in the baths and only lead free solder wire were used in the filters. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 262:21-263:13) |
| | | Mr. Juarez had no reason to suspect any wrongdoing on behalf of PVA and Space X, and he did not know the reason he was getting sick when he filed the worker's compensation claim. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 262:21-263:13) |
| | | Mr. Juarez first suspected he was working in a toxic work environment when he received the MSDS sheets from Space X after requesting the MSDS sheets via email after March 3, 2015. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 287:6-288:3, 288:24-289:6) |
| | | Initially, Mr. Juarez thought his problems were caused by alcohol chemical baths and solder wire, but later he found out that lead-free solder wire was used. Upon this discovery, Mr. Juarez reached out to Francisco and then to Space X to get the MSDS sheets. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 241:4-15) |
| | | <u>PVA HAD KNOWLEDGE OF THE CHEMICALS BEING UTILIZED BY SPACE X AND WAS INVOLVED IN SUBSEQUENT MODIFICATIONS TO THE MACHINE INVOLVING VARIOUS CHEMICALS</u> |
| | | • PVA Inc was aware of |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 |
|---|---|
| <p><u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u></p> | <p><u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u></p> <p>the chemicals being utilized with the PVA 350, including the <u>transition to Arathane</u>. (See Exhibit 22, PVA 4463-4481)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• The April 11, 2012 conformal coating video with Space X boards utilized the <u>same chemicals as used at Space X at PVA Inc.</u> (See Exhibit 9 and Exhibit 22)• On September 19, 2013, PVA Inc. made further modifications to the subject machine including reworking the dispense system on the machine to connect one cartridge to the one gallon tank for "<u>automatic solvent flush of Arathane 5705.</u>" (Exhibit 22, PVA 23 and 24)• On July 30, 2009, PVA/Andrew Haraburda serviced the W3267 (subject PVA 350 machine) and this description of the work/changes included: adding solvent flush option (6 oz cartridge, 3 way ball valve, O-ISPSI Precision Regulator, which shows <u>PVA Inc. was continuing to modify and upgrade the machine.</u> Then <u>PVA Inc. specifically recommended Space X alter the solvent to a different solvent stating "Space X may need to use a different solvent (i.e. Xylene).</u>" (Exhibit 22 PVA 4449-4452)• Pursuant to email chain dated March 13, 2012 Jonathan Urquhart (<u>PVA</u>) was aware of |

1 **MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED**
2 **UNCONTROVERTED FACTS**

RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION

3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Space X's use of Arathane 5750 The email specifically states, “I think David has spoken to you **about our customer Space X.** They build full blown rocket ships. **They plan on pre-mixing this material part A part B and a 3rd part, thinner in small batches apply to board and the purge system** because of shelf life once mixed. **Sounds screwy to me.**” They were looking for a demo on the 27th of March. (Exhibit 22, PVA 4463-4481)

- Pursuant to email dated March 15, 2012 to Jonathan Urquhart, PVA was preparing a demo on the PVA 650 and **PVA were working with the same chemical materials Space X was utilizing, but PVA did not want Space X to know and PVA indicated they were going to convey to Space X that the materials were similar, but not the exact same.**. . (Exhibit 22, PVA 4463-4481)
- Pursuant to email dated March 30, 2012, the test at PVA Inc. was rescheduled to **4-11-12 to view the** 3 part mixing process. (Exhibit 22, PVA 4463-4481)
- Pursuant to email from David Gomez to Johnathan (PVA) Urquhart dated May 11, 2012 at 2:11 p.m., Space X talked about retrofitting the PVA 350, and then

1 **MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED**
2 **UNCONTROVERTED FACTS**

RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION

3 subsequently there was
4 confirmation from Jon
5 indicating **Space X wanted to**
6 **duplicate what was done**
7 **during the visit.** (Exhibit 22,
8 PVA 4463-4481)

- 9 • Jon Urquart performed
10 **the demo for Space X on 4-11-**
11 **12.** (Exhibit 22, PVA 4463-
12 4481)
- 13 • Email dated December 2,
14 2014 at 9:03 a.m. Mr. Urquhart
15 (PVA) indicated to Mr.
16 Matupang there would be 2
17 demos including a coating with
18 **Arathane 2 part mix and**
19 **spray and dispensing using a**
20 **650 or Delta 6 with board**
21 **head.** JDX (underfill), SVX
22 (past), and FC100 (solder
23 mask). (Exhibit 22)
- 24 • On November 17, 2014,
25 Mr. Urquhart (PVA) asked if
26 Space X wanted to mix on the
27 fly with **5750?** (Exhibit 22)
- 28 • On January 9, 2013 at
29 10:38 a.m. David Gomez (PVA)
30 emailed Brian Ignaut (Space X)
31 **doing follow up on the “two**
32 **part material application**
33 **project you were working on**
34 **and kindly presented us with.”**
35 There was inquiry as to whether
36 there were any developments in
37 the first few months of 2013.
38 (Exhibit 22)
- 39 • On December 3, 2012 at
40 12:01 PM David Gomez (PVA)
41 wrote Scott Abarta asking for
42 the **two part material brand**

1 **MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED**
2 **UNCONTROVERTED FACTS**

RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION

3 and part # as well as any
4 MSDS and data sheets. Also,
5 there was a request for a shot
size and cycle time per part if
possible. (Exhibit 22)

6 • On September 10, 2013,
7 Mr. Gomez (PVA) indicated
8 “they only want a 20 oz
9 cartridge retainer & cap with
10 spray & needle valve for the
11 pre-mix material and 6oz
12 cartridge retainer & cap for the
13 solvent, so it can flush the
14 premixed material out right
15 after doing a batch of
16 boards.” Mr. Gomez indicated
17 he was familiar with the “fluid
18 delivery line” because he had
19 done it “several times.” He
20 also indicated they were “not
21 there yet” for the two part
22 coating spraying. (Exhibit 22)

23 • On September 9, 2013,
24 Richard Bievenue (PVA) wrote
25 Jonathan Connelly (ccing David
26 Filbert, David Gomez, and
27 Michael R. Leonard) indicating
28 that he spoke with Duc Phan
 (Space X) about the 350
 machine and how Space X
 was switching to utilizing a 2
 part material including
 Arathane 5750. There was
 discussion about premising
 the solvents and flushing the
 solvent valves. Also, there was
 interest regarding a 2
 component meter mix system.
 (Exhibit 22)

29 • On September 18, 2013 at
30 12:41 p.m., Mr. Gomez (PVA)
31 indicated that this is not the

1 **MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED**
2 **UNCONTROVERTED FACTS**

RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION

3 **first time they have done a**
4 **two part coating system and**
5 **he thought they were putting**
6 **to many resources into this.**
7 **The email specifically**
8 **references Arathane 5750.**
9 (Exhibit 22)

- 10 • On September 13, 2013, from Duc Phan (Space X) to Jonathan Connelly, Michael R. Leonard (ccing Richard Bievenue and David Filbert) explained the process with pictures. **Specifically, he indicated that Space X removed the hoses from the spray dispensing head and connected the hoses from the Arathane material and solvent to it.** He further explained when the Arathane material was not in use they vaped the solvent hose and connect the Arathane material hose to the other head just for a place holder. He also explained that **Arathane 5750 part A and B is mixed together and poured in the first reservoir and the second reservoir is the solvent use to thin out the mixed Arathane for spray requirement.** (Exhibit 22)

- 11 • On September 13, 2013 at 6:07 a.m. Jonathan Connelly wrote Duc Phan (Space X). Michael R. Leonard and ccng Richard Bievenue and David Filbert inquiring about the process, requesting a picture, **and asking if the Arathane and the solvent was being run out of the cartridges mounted**

1 **MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED**
2 **UNCONTROVERTED FACTS**

RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION

3 on the side of the machine.
4 (Exhibit 22)

5 • On September 10, 2013,
6 Duc Phan (Space X) wrote
7 Michael R. Leonard and
8 Jonathan Connelly, and there
9 was a clear indication that
10 Space X was using Arathane
11 5750 material with a 2 hour
12 cure time, and they were
13 concerned the operator would
14 forget to purge and rinse the
15 material when the job is done.
16 They were looking to
17 automate the process after
18 coating. (Exhibit 22)

19 o The sales order dated
20 September 25, 2013 indicated
21 PVA job number SPCX2115
22 W3267 indicated PVA was
23 there to provide onsite
24 support for Mechanical
25 Electrical Programming Fluid
26 Delivery. (Exhibit 22)

27 o The sales quotation dated
28 September 19, 2013, stated that
29 PVA was to “rework the
30 dispense system on machine
31 serial number W3267 to
32 connect one cartridge to (1)
33 one gallon tank for automatic
34 solvent flush of Arathane
35 5705. This was to include 1) all
36 hoses and fittings needed to
37 complete the integration, 2)
38 pneumatic ball valve for
39 automatic solvent flush, 3)
40 hardware and software needed
41 for integration, and one tank.
42 Further, PVA was to travel to
43 Space X to install the new auto
44 solvent flush system. (Exhibit

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|---|
| | | 22) o The purchase order dated September 24, 2013 issued to PVA and shipping to Space X on a Space X purchase order identified a supplier part number B72-01433 and <u>there is a full description of the work to be done including reworking the dispense system for an automatic flush of Arathane 5750</u> and in similar detail as stated above. There is a separate purchase order for the travel expenditures. (Exhibit 22) |
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none">• On July 21, 2014 at 6:48 p.m. Duc Phan (Space X) emailed Rex indicating the <u>current machine uses both Humiseal and Arathane and there are separate spray valves for both material</u>. They need the pendant purge button to work for both materials. (Exhibit 22)• PVA Inc. circulated diagram clearly states <u>Arathane</u>. Additionally, there is a quote work sheet for the 3 way assembly, pressure tank, hoses, fittings, valves as well as programming and engineering. (Ex 22, PVA 340-347) |
| | | <p>PVA INC. INSTALLED THE PVA 350 AND CONTINUED TO MODIFIED PROGRAM THROUGHOUT THE PVA 350'S LIFETIME</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• On 6-23-2009 to 6-24-2009, PVA Inc. installed and serviced the |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|--|
| | | <p>W3267 machine at Space X. The work was performed by Andrew Haraburde. He trained Juan Sotelo and Marsha Thompson in installation and training. The installation check list specifically indicated various items were working, but there is an X versus a check mark on the pump and SEMEA boxes. The description of the work/changes including "<u>modified main program (mo2)</u>." (Exhibit 36 PVA 4449-4452)</p> <p>There are a series of work orders regarding modifications and sales showing PVA Inc. continued to service and support the use and modification of the PVA 350. (Exhibit 25, PVA 7-9, 17-29, 222-234, 250-251, 4449-4452)</p> |
| | <p>34. Later, he also programmed other machines called "SMT" or "pick and place" machines, but he did not know when this work began during his more than two years at SpaceX.</p> <p>Juarez Depo.</p> <p>(Catalona Dec., 320:4-7, 320:19-321:7, 321:16-25.)</p> | <p>34. Undisputed to the extent Mr. Juarez also worked programming SMT machines.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II: 182:1-5)• (Dec. Ruben Juarez) <p><i><u>Specific factual references with citations are set forth below for the court's convenience.</u></i></p> <p>Disputed as to the term later. Initially, when Mr. Juarez was hired, he was hired as an SMT and thus the SOP cited by defense was not applicable. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II: 182:1-5)</p> |
| | <p>35. Mr. Juarez testified that within "two weeks" after starting at SpaceX, he began to be exposed to "toxic chemicals" when he</p> | <p>35. Disputed to the extent this fact infers Mr. Juarez was aware of the chemical exposure at the time he was working with the</p> |

| 1 2 <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|--|--|
| <p>3 started programming the PVA 350. 4 5 Juarez Depo. 6 (Catalona Dec., 261:21-263:14, 317:7- 7 318:10.) 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28</p> | <p>defective PVA 350 machine. Disputed to the extent that Mr. Juarez was aware of the product defect that lead to the exposure of the toxic chemicals. Mr. Juarez was unsure as to the source of the chemical exposure and was operating under the belief the chemical bath caused the dangerous exposure and/or exposure to lead wiring.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• (Dec. Ruben Juarez)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 55:21-56:5)• (Ex 1, Depo. Ruben Juarez Volume I, p. 49:22-50:8, 51:17, 52:8-16, 53:15-21, 54:11-55:15, 55:21-56:8, 56:14-18 , 57:16- 23-58:18, 59: 4-5, 59: 22- 24,60:17-61:20, 62:1-15)• (Ex. 2 Depo. Ruben Juarez Volume 2 p. 77:5-78:3)• (Ex 3 Depo. Ruben Juarez Volume 1, 49:25-50:3)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 66:19-22, 116:1-14)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 108:20-109:12)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 332:7-337:14)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 249:22-250:12)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 262:21-263: 13)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 262:21-263:13)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 287:6-288:3, 288:24-289:6) |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|--|
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none">• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 235:1-238: 5, 238: 19-22)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 241:4-15) |
| | | <p><i><u>Specific factual references with citations are set forth below for the court's convenience portraying that Mr. Juarez was not aware his symptoms related to toxic chemicals utilized with the PVA 350 before March 2015..</u></i></p> |
| | | <p>Mr. Juarez indicated he does not have a chemistry background at his deposition on March 8, 2018. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 55:21-56:5)</p> |
| | | <p>On May 20, 2015, Mr. Juarez indicated at the second session of his deposition (within the two year filing period), the wash station for printed circuit board assemblies was right next to his station. (Ex. 2 Depo. Ruben Juarez Volume 2 p. 77:5-78:3)</p> |
| | | <p>The equipment in the rooms where Mr. Juarez was working was constantly changing. (Ex 3 Depo. Ruben Juarez Volume 1, 49:25-50:3)</p> |
| | | <p>Initially, Mr. Juarez did not know what chemicals were in the chemical baths, and he found out, but the chemical baths did not have anything to do with the PVA 350, and when he filed the worker's compensation claim his claim was derived from the chemical washes. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 66:19-22, 116:1-14)</p> |
| | | <p>Mr. Juarez called a co-worker to</p> |

1 **MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED**
2 **UNCONTROVERTED FACTS**

1 **RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION**

2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

get a list of the chemicals utilized in the wash area at Space X, and he may have offered to give the names of the rest of the chemicals used in the conformal coating area. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 108:20-109:12)

Mr Juarez tried to get a list of chemicals from Space X, which did not work out. Afterwhich, Mr. Juarez called Francisco to get a list of chemicals he had worked with. After Francisco provided the list of chemicals, Mr. Juarez emailed Space X requested the MSDS sheets for the chemicals that Francisco listed. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 332:7-337:14)

Mr. Juarez filed his worker's compensation claim based on his belief the chemical baths were making him sick as well as a solder wire until he learned only alcohol was used in the baths and only lead free solder wire were used in the filters. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 262:21-263: 13)

Mr. Juarez had no reason to suspect any wrongdoing on behalf of PVA and Space X, and he did not know the reason he was getting sick when he filed the worker's compensation claim. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 262:21-263:13)

Mr. Juarez first suspected he was working in a toxic work environment when he received the MSDS sheets from Space X after requesting the MSDS sheets via email after March 3, 2015. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 287:6-

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|--|
| | | <p>288:3, 288:24-289:6)</p> <p>Mr. Juarez called Francisco and received a list of the chemicals that Mr. Juarez was exposed to, and he thus requested the MSDS sheets from Space X to forward to his attorney so that could figure out what was going on and what was making him sick. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 235:1-238: 5, 238: 19-22)</p> <p>Initially, Mr. Juarez thought his problems were caused by alcohol chemical baths and solder wire, but later he found out that lead-free solder wire was used. Upon this discovery, Mr. Juarez reached out to Francisco and then to Space X to get the MSDS sheets. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 241:4-15)</p> |
| | <p>36. Then, in August or September of 2012, he started getting migraine headaches, dizziness, sinus symptoms and difficulty walking.</p> <p>Juarez Depo.; Windman Report; Juarez Workers' Comp. Depo.</p> <p>(Catalona Dec., 316:3-4, 318:20-22, 402, 446:6-10, 471:4-14.)</p> | <p>36. Disputed. This report by Gayle K. Windham indicates the date of the evaluation is March 31, 2016, well within the 2 year statute of limitations. Although Mr. Juarez was experiencing headaches shortly after working at Space X, he also underwent an aneurysm surgery. Mr. Juarez did not gain knowledge of the chemicals until the MSDS sheets were provided by Space X in March of 2015. Before that time, he was not familiar with the chemical toxicity or the defects with the PVA 350. However, he did</p> |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 |
|---|--|
| <p><u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u></p> | <p><u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u></p> <p>report the smell and a stand alone filter was subsequently purchased for the operators.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• (Exhibit 51, Windman Report)• (Ex 1, Depo. Ruben Juarez Volume I, p. 49:22-50:8, 51:17, 52:8-16, 53:15-21, 54:11-55:15, 55:21-56:8, 56:14-18 , 57:16-23 58:18, 59: 4-5, 59: 22-24,60:17-61:20, 62:1-15)• (Ex. 2 Depo. Ruben Juarez Volume 2 p. 77:5-78:3)• (Ex 3 Depo. Ruben Juarez Volume 1, 49:25-50:3)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 66:19-22, 116:1-14)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 108:20-109:12)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 332:7-337:14)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 249:22-250:12)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 262:21-263: 13)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 262:21-263:13)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 287:6-288:3, 288:24-289:6)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 235:1-238: 5, 238: 19-22)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 241:4-15)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 55:21-56:5) |

1 **MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED**
2 **UNCONTROVERTED FACTS**

1 **RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION**

2
3
4
5
6
*Specific factual references with
citations are set forth below for the
court's convenience showing Mr.
Juarez was not aware his symptoms
were related to the toxic chemicals
utilized with the PVA 350 until
March 2015..*

7
8
9
10
11
On May 20, 2015, Mr. Juarez
12 indicated at the second session of his
13 deposition (within the two year filing
14 period), the wash station for printed
15 circuit board assemblies was right next
16 to his station. (Ex. 2 Depo. Ruben
17 Juarez Volume 2 p. 77:5-78:3)

18
19
20
The equipment in the rooms where
21 Mr. Juarez was working was
22 constantly changing. (Ex 3 Depo.
23 Ruben Juarez Volume 1, 49:25-50:3)

24
25
Initially, Mr. Juarez did not know
26 what chemicals were in the chemical
27 baths, and he found out, but the
chemical baths did not have anything
to do with the PVA 350, and when he
filed the worker's compensation claim
his claim was derived from the
chemical washes. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez
Volume 1: 66:19-22, 116:1-14)

28
Mr. Juarez called a co-worker to get a
list of the chemicals utilized in the
wash area at Space X, and he may
have offered to give the names of the
rest of the chemicals used in the
conformal coating area. (Ex 3 Depo.
Juarez Volume 1: 108:20-109:12)
Mr Juarez tried to get a list of
chemicals from Space X, which did
not work out. Afterwhich, Mr. Juarez
called Francisco to get a list of
chemicals he had worked with. After
Francisco provided the list of
chemicals, Mr. Juarez emailed Space
X requested the MSDS sheets for the
chemicals that Francisco listed. (Ex 4

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|---|
| | | Dепо. Juarez Volume II, 332:7-337:14) Mr. Juarez took a spray for medical problems to clear his airways, but nobody ever pinpointed a cause for him to take the medicine. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 249:22-250:12) |
| | | Mr. Juarez filed his worker's compensation claim based on his belief the chemical baths were making him sick as well as a solder wire until he learned only alcohol was used in the baths and only lead free solder wire were used in the filters. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 262:21-263:13) |
| | | Mr. Juarez had no reason to suspect any wrongdoing on behalf of PVA and Space X, and he did not know the reason he was getting sick when he filed the worker's compensation claim. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 262:21-263:13) |
| | | Mr. Juarez first suspected he was working in a toxic work environment when he received the MSDS sheets from Space X after requesting the MSDS sheets via email after March 3, 2015. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 287:6-288:3, 288:24-289:6) |
| | | Mr. Juarez called Francisco and received a list of the chemicals that Mr. Juarez was exposed to, and he thus requested the MSDS sheets from Space X to forward to his attorney so that could figure out what was going on and what was making him sick. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 235:1-238: 5, 238: 19-22) |
| | | Initially, Mr. Juarez thought his problems were caused by alcohol chemical baths and solder wire, but later he found out that lead-free solder wire was used. Upon this discovery, Mr. Juarez reached out to Francisco and then to Space X to get the MSDS |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 |
|---|--|
| <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
| <p>37. In the complaint, plaintiffs allege that starting in June, 2012, Juarez had “over 9 hospitalizations” and “at least 21 visits to urgent care/emergency room for symptoms associated with toxic chemical exposure.”</p> <p>FAC.</p> <p>(Catalona Dec., 62:3-6.)</p> | <p>sheets. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 241:4-15)</p> <p>Mr. Juarez indicated he does not have a chemistry background at his deposition on March 8, 2018. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 55:21-56:5)</p> <p>37. Disputed to the extent Mr. Juarez later associated the toxic chemical exposure in March 2015 with his symptoms. Mr. Juarez was not aware of the product defects in the PVA 350 that lead to the exposure of the toxic chemicals until he sat for his workers’ compensation depositions in 2015.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 55:21-56:5)• (Ex 1, Depo. Ruben Juarez Volume I, p. 49:22-50:8, 51:17, 52:8-16, 53:15-21, 54:11-55:15, 55:21-56:8, 56:14-18 , 57:16-23-58:18, 59: 4-5, 59: 22-24,60:17-61:20, 62:1-15)• (Ex. 2 Depo. Ruben Juarez Volume 2 p. 77:5-78:3)• (Ex 3 Depo. Ruben Juarez Volume 1, 49:25-50:3)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 66:19-22, 116:1-14)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 108:20-109:12)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 332:7-337:14)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|---|
| | | <p>II, 249:22-250:12)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 262:21-263: 13)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 262:21-263:13)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 287:6-288:3, 288:24-289:6)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 235:1-238: 5, 238: 19-22)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 241:4-15) |
| | | <p><i><u>Specific factual references with citations are set forth below for the court's convenience disputing the factual inferences Mr. Juarez was aware his symptoms were related to the toxic chemicals coming from the PVA 350.</u></i></p> |
| | | <p>Mr. Juarez indicated he does not have a chemistry background at his deposition on March 8, 2018. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 55:21-56:5)</p> |
| | | <p>On May 20, 2015, Mr. Juarez indicated at the second session of his deposition (within the two year filing period), the wash station for printed circuit board assemblies was right next to his station. (Ex. 2 Depo. Ruben Juarez Volume 2 p. 77:5-78:3)</p> |
| | | <p>The equipment in the rooms where Mr. Juarez was working was constantly changing. (Ex 3 Depo. Ruben Juarez Volume 1, 49:25-50:3)</p> |
| | | <p>Initially, Mr. Juarez did not know what chemicals were in the chemical baths, and he found out, but the</p> |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|---|
| | | chemical baths did not have anything to do with the PVA 350, and when he filed the worker's compensation claim his claim was derived from the chemical washes. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 66:19-22, 116:1-14) |
| | | Mr. Juarez called a co-worker to get a list of the chemicals utilized in the wash area at Space X, and he may have offered to give the names of the rest of the chemicals used in the conformal coating area. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 108:20-109:12) |
| | | Mr Juarez tried to get a list of chemicals from Space X, which did not work out. Afterwhich, Mr. Juarez called Francisco to get a list of chemicals he had worked with. After Francisco provided the list of chemicals, Mr. Juarez emailed Space X requested the MSDS sheets for the chemicals that Francisco listed. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 332:7-337:14) |
| | | Mr. Juarez took a spray for medical problems to clear his airways, but nobody every pinpointed a cause for him to take the medicine. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 249:22-250:12) |
| | | Mr. Juarez filed his worker's compensation claim based on his belief the chemical baths were making him sick as well as a solder wire until he learned only alcohol was used in the baths and only lead free solder wire were used in the filters. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 262:21-263:13) |
| | | Mr. Juarez had no reason to suspect any wrongdoing on behalf of PVA and Space X, and he did not know the reason he was getting sick when he filed the worker's compensation claim. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 262:21-263:13) |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|--|--|
| | <p>Mr. Juarez first suspected he was working in a toxic work environment when he received the MSDS sheets from Space X after requesting the MSDS sheets via email after March 3, 2015. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 287:6-288:3, 288:24-289:6)</p> <p>Mr. Juarez called Francisco and received a list of the chemicals that Mr. Juarez was exposed to, and he thus requested the MSDS sheets from Space X to forward to his attorney so that could figure out what was going on and what was making him sick. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 235:1-238: 5, 238: 19-22)</p> <p>Initially, Mr. Juarez thought his problems were caused by alcohol chemical baths and solder wire, but later he found out that lead-free solder wire was used. Upon this discovery, Mr. Juarez reached out to Francisco and then to Space X to get the MSDS sheets. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 241:4-15)</p> | |
| 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <p>38. He told neurologist Isaac Regev, M.D., that "almost from the beginning he noted frequent headaches at work which he felt was associated with exposure to various chemicals."</p> <p>Regev Report.</p> <p>(Catalona Dec., 368.)</p> | <p>38. Objection to this record as hearsay.</p> <p>Disputed. This record shows that Mr. Juarez' ongoing thought that his headaches were due to his medical condition of having an aneurysm or due to Mr. Juarez' unique sensitivities. Then, after his aneurysm, Mr. Juarez realized his headaches had not gone away and he guessed that his headaches were caused by exposure to the nearby chemical bath and those lead wires in nearby filters. It was not until Mr. Juarez</p> |

| <p>1 <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED</u> 2 <u>UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u></p> | <p><u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u></p> |
|--|---|
| | <p>received the MSDS sheets in March of 2015, that Mr. Juarez felt his headaches were associated from chemical exposure from something other than the chemical bath or lead wiring. This report was prepared in 2015.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">•(Exhibit 48, Dr. Regev M.D. Report 2015)•(Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 55:21-56:5)•(Ex 1, Depo. Ruben Juarez Volume I, p. 49:22-50:8, 51:17, 52:8-16, 53:15-21, 54:11-55:15, 55:21-56:8, 56:14-18 , 57:16-23 58:18, 59: 4-5, 59: 22-24,60:17-61:20, 62:1-15)•(Ex. 2 Depo. Ruben Juarez Volume 2 p. 77:5-78:3)•(Ex 3 Depo. Ruben Juarez Volume 1, 49:25-50:3)•(Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 66:19-22, 116:1-14)•(Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 108:20-109:12)•(Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 332:7-337:14)•(Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 249:22-250:12)•(Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 262:21-263: 13)•(Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 262:21-263:13)•(Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 287:6-288:3, 288:24- |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|--|
| | | <p>289:6)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">•.(Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 235:1-238: 5, 238: 19-22)•(Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 241:4-15) <p><i><u>Specific factual references with citations are set forth below for the court's convenience portraying the factual dispute that Mr. Juarez was not aware his symptoms were related to the toxic chemical exposure from the PVA 350 defects.</u></i></p> <p>Mr. Juarez indicated he does not have a chemistry background at his deposition on March 8, 2018. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 55:21-56:5)</p> <p>At Mr. Juarez' deposition on March 30, 2015, (within the two year filing period) he implicated a number of reasons he could have been injured as he was not yet clear on the specific cause of his injuries, whether wrongdoing had occurred, or whether any wrongdoing specifically caused his injuries. Specifically, Mr. Juarez indicated he was exposed to Humiseal 527 thinner while soaking parts to be cleaned (cleaning bath), doing maintenance work, flushing equipment, verifying fluids were flushed, bleeding the lines, and doing detailed cleaning of the machines so the operators could try new chemicals to better protect the electronic devices. Further, Mr. Juarez also discussed his</p> |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|--|
| | | exposure to a product called solder wire as a potential cause of injuries when he would replace the fume extractor filters while cleaning re-workstations that were similar to a fountain also called a cleaning bath where Mr. Juarez would remove soldering tools andreassembling them, which took a couple of hours. Mr. Juarez also discussed his exposure to Humiseal 1A33 for conformal coating by programming the machines and tooling and doing dry/wet runs while programming the machines. |
| | | (Ex 1, Depo. Ruben Juarez Volume I, p. 49:22-50:8, 51:17, 52:8-16, 53:15-21, 54:11-55:15, 55:21-56:8, 56:14-18, 57:16-23-58:18, 59: 4-5, 59: 22-24,60:17-61:20, 62:1-15) |
| | | On May 20, 2015, Mr. Juarez indicated at the second session of his deposition (within the two year filing period), the wash station for printed circuit board assemblies was right next to his station. (Ex. 2 Depo. Ruben Juarez Volume 2 p. 77:5-78:3) |
| | | The equipment in the rooms where Mr. Juarez was working was constantly changing. (Ex 3 Depo. Ruben Juarez Volume 1, 49:25-50:3) |
| | | Initially, Mr. Juarez did not know what chemicals were in the chemical baths, and he found out, but the chemical baths did not have anything |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|--|
| | | <p>to do with the PVA 350, and when he filed the worker's compensation claim his claim was derived from the chemical washes. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 66:19-22, 116:1-14)</p> |
| | | <p>Mr. Juarez called a co-worker to get a list of the chemicals utilized in the wash area at Space X, and he may have offered to give the names of the rest of the chemicals used in the conformal coating area. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 108:20-109:12)</p> |
| | | <p>Mr Juarez tried to get a list of chemicals from Space X, which did not work out. Afterwhich, Mr. Juarez called Francisco to get a list of chemicals he had worked with. After Francisco provided the list of chemicals, Mr. Juarez emailed Space X requested the MSDS sheets for the chemicals that Francisco listed. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 332:7-337:14)</p> |
| | | <p>Mr. Juarez took a spray for medical problems to clear his airways, but nobody every pinpointed a cause for him to take the medicine. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 249:22-250:12)</p> |
| | | <p>Mr. Juarez filed his worker's compensation claim based on his belief the chemical baths were making him sick as well as a solder wire until he learned only alcohol was used in the baths and only lead free solder</p> |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|---|
| | | wire were used in the filters. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 262:21-263:13) |
| | | Mr. Juarez had no reason to suspect any wrongdoing on behalf of PVA and Space X, and he did not know the reason he was getting sick when he filed the worker's compensation claim. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 262:21-263:13) |
| | | Mr. Juarez first suspected he was working in a toxic work environment when he received the MSDS sheets from Space X after requesting the MSDS sheets via email after March 3, 2015. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 287:6-288:3, 288:24-289:6) |
| | | Mr. Juarez called Francisco and received a list of the chemicals that Mr. Juarez was exposed to, and he thus requested the MSDS sheets from Space X to forward to his attorney so that could figure out what was going on and what was making him sick. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 235:1-238: 5, 238: 19-22) |
| | | Initially, Mr. Juarez thought his problems were caused by alcohol chemical baths and solder wire, but later he found out that lead-free solder wire was used. Upon this discovery, Mr. Juarez reached out to Francisco and then to Space X to get the MSDS |

| 1 2 3 4 5 <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|--|--|
| 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 39. He made similar statements to psychologist Gayle K. Windman, Ph.D.: "A <i>few months after he began working at</i> <i>SpaceX</i> , Mr. Juarez developed symptoms of migraine headaches, dizziness, difficulty walking and sinus symptoms due to exposure to electronic materials such as tin and lead; <i>chemical coatings such as Arathane and</i> <i>HumiSeal</i> ; and cleaning substances such as thinners and isopropyl alcohol. <i>He reported</i> <i>this issue to his supervisor to no avail.</i> " | sheets. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 241:4-15) |
| 13 14 Windman Report. 15 (Catalona Dec., 402 (emphasis added).) 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | 39. Objection this statement is vague and ambiguous as to time and hearsay. Disputed. This record shows that Mr. Juarez' ongoing thought that his headaches were due to his medical condition of having an aneurysm or due to Mr. Juarez' unique sensitivities. Then, after his aneurysm, Mr. Juarez realized his headaches had not gone away and he guessed that his headaches were caused by exposure to the nearby chemical bath and those lead wires in nearby filters. It was not until Mr. Juarez received the MSDS sheets in March of 2015, that Mr. Juarez felt his headaches were associated from chemical exposure from something other than the chemical bath or lead wiring. This report was prepared in 2015. |

1 **MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED**
2 **UNCONTROVERTED FACTS**

RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION

3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

headaches shortly after working at Space X, he also underwent an aneurysm surgery. Mr. Juarez did not gain knowledge of the chemicals he was working with until the MSDS sheets were provided by Space X. Before that time, he was not familiar with the chemical toxicity or the defects with the PVA 350. Further, disputed to the extent Mr. Juarez was unsure as to the source of the chemical exposure and was operating under the belief the chemical bath caused the dangerous exposure and/or exposure to lead wiring.

- (Exhibit 51, Windman Report)
- (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 55:21-56:5)
- (Ex 1, Depo. Ruben Juarez Volume I, p. 49:22-50:8, 51:17, 52:8-16, 53:15-21, 54:11-55:15, 55:21-56:8, 56:14-18 , 57:16-23-58:18, 59: 4-5, 59: 22-24,60:17-61:20, 62:1-15)
- (Ex. 2 Depo. Ruben Juarez Volume 2 p. 77:5-78:3)
- (Ex 3 Depo. Ruben Juarez Volume 1, 49:25-50:3)
- (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 66:19-22, 116:1-14)
- (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 108:20-109:12)
- (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|---|
| | | <p>II, 332:7-337:14)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 249:22-250:12)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 262:21-263: 13)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 262:21-263:13)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 287:6-288:3, 288:24-289:6)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 235:1-238: 5, 238: 19-22)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 241:4-15) <p><i><u>Specific factual references with citations are set forth below for the court's convenience showing that Mr. Juarez was not aware of PVA Inc.'s training and the chemicals he was utilizing made him sick.</u></i></p> <p>Mr. Juarez indicated he does not have a chemistry background at his deposition on March 8, 2018. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 55:21-56:5)</p> <p>At Mr. Juarez' deposition on March 30, 2015, (within the two year filing period) he implicated a number of reasons he could have been injured as he was not yet clear on the specific cause of his injuries, whether wrongdoing had occurred, or whether any wrongdoing specifically caused his injuries. Specifically, Mr. Juarez indicated he was exposed to Humiseal 527 thinner while soaking parts to be cleaned (cleaning bath), doing maintenance work, flushing equipment, verifying fluids were flushed, bleeding the lines, and doing detailed cleaning of the machines so the operators could try new chemicals to better protect the electronic devices.</p> |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|---|
| | | Further, Mr. Juarez also discussed his exposure to a product called solder wire as a potential cause of injuries when he would replace the fume extractor filters while cleaning reworkstations that were similar to a fountain also called a cleaning bath where Mr. Juarez would remove soldering tools andreassembling them, which took a couple of hours. Mr. Juarez also discussed his exposure to Humiseal 1A33 for conformal coating by programming the machines and tooling and doing dry/wet runs while programming the machines. (Ex 1, Depo. Ruben Juarez Volume I, p. 49:22-50:8, 51:17, 52:8-16, 53:15-21, 54:11-55:15, 55:21-56:8, 56:14-18, 57:16-23-58:18, 59: 4-5, 59: 22-24,60:17-61:20, 62:1-15) |
| | | On May 20, 2015, Mr. Juarez indicated at the second session of his deposition (within the two year filing period), the wash station for printed circuit board assemblies was right next to his station. (Ex. 2 Depo. Ruben Juarez Volume 2 p. 77:5-78:3) |
| | | The equipment in the rooms where Mr. Juarez was working was constantly changing. (Ex 3 Depo. Ruben Juarez Volume 1, 49:25-50:3) |
| | | Initially, Mr. Juarez did not know what chemicals were in the chemical baths, and he found out, but the chemical baths did not have anything to do with the PVA 350, and when he filed the worker's compensation claim his claim was derived from the chemical washes. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 66:19-22, 116:1-14) |
| | | Mr. Juarez called a co-worker to get a list of the chemicals utilized in the wash area at Space X, and he may have offered to give the names of the rest of the chemicals used in the conformal coating area. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 108:20-109:12) |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|---|
| | | Mr Juarez tried to get a list of chemicals from Space X, which did not work out. Afterwhich, Mr. Juarez called Francisco to get a list of chemicals he had worked with. After Francisco provided the list of chemicals, Mr. Juarez emailed Space X requested the MSDS sheets for the chemicals that Francisco listed. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 332:7-337:14) |
| | | Mr. Juarez took a spray for medical problems to clear his airways, but nobody every pinpointed a cause for him to take the medicine. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 249:22-250:12) |
| | | Mr. Juarez filed his worker's compensation claim based on his belief the chemical baths were making him sick as well as a solder wire until he learned only alcohol was used in the baths and only lead free solder wire were used in the filters. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 262:21-263:13) |
| | | Mr. Juarez had no reason to suspect any wrongdoing on behalf of PVA and Space X, and he did not know the reason he was getting sick when he filed the worker's compensation claim. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 262:21-263:13) |
| | | Mr. Juarez first suspected he was working in a toxic work environment when he received the MSDS sheets from Space X after requesting the MSDS sheets via email after March 3, 2015. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 287:6-288:3, 288:24-289:6) |
| | | Mr. Juarez called Francisco and received a list of the chemicals that Mr. Juarez was exposed to, and he thus requested the MSDS sheets from Space X to forward to his attorney so that could figure out what was going on and what was making him sick. (Ex 4 Depo. |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|---|
| | | Juarez Volume II, 235:1-238: 5, 238: 19-22) Initially, Mr. Juarez thought his problems were caused by alcohol chemical baths and solder wire, but later he found out that lead-free solder wire was used. Upon this discovery, Mr. Juarez reached out to Francisco and then to Space X to get the MSDS sheets. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 241:4-15) |
| 40. In particular, he was upset that the safety features of the PVA 350 were being bypassed which he determined was “hazardous”: So sometimes you have to open it. And in normal conditions, it should have shut down, not allow you to work on the machine. But somebody will <i>bypass the safety switch</i> . Q. So what does that mean? The machine would operate while – A. While you open it, while it's still open, <i>which is hazardous</i> . But that's the way they work Workers' Comp. Depo. (Catalona Dec., 450:14-20, 452:22-24 (emphasis added).) | 40. Disputed to the extent this fact infers Mr. Juarez was aware of the chemical exposure at the time he was working with Space X as the hazard Mr. Juarez was referring to at his deposition was an injury to a hand or an electric shock hazard not chemical exposure. PVA Inc. specifically trained users to bypass the safety features, which had to be done to utilize the machine without proper safety controls. <ul style="list-style-type: none">• (Ex 3 Depo. Ruben Juarez Volume 1 : 30 :16-18, 30 :24-31 :5, 72:9-14)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 89:14-18, 90:8-11)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 121:16-19)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 121: 22- 122:20, 122:22-123:1)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume I: 123:19-124:12)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II: 226:12-227:6) | |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|--|
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none">• (Ex. 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II232:1-7, 232: 23-25)• (Ex. 1 Depo. Ruben Juarez Volume 1 p. 51:17-52 :16)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume I: 135:7-14, 136:1-3)• (Exhibit 31, PVA 51)• (Exhibit 31, PVA 40-41)• (Exhibit 15, PVA 69)• (Exhibit 16, PVA 0272)• (Exhibit 31, PVA 30-32, 51)• (Exhibit 18, PVA 40)• (Exhibit 35)• (Exhibit 17, PVA 63, 64, 219-220, 277, 279-280)• (Exhibit 24, 4458-4462)• (Exhibit 24)• (Exhibit 23, PVA 1181 (Wet Film Thickness Gauge))• (Exhibit 30) <p><i><u>Specific factual references with citations are set forth below for the court's convenience showing Mr. Juarez was not aware that the PVA 350 created a chemical hazard when he was using it.</u></i></p> <p>Mr. Juarez believe it was unsafe for the operators to open the machine door and operate the PVA 350 because they could get their hand stuck in the machine, but he did not have a chemistry background so he did not know whether the chemicals were harmful or not, and he relied on the training provided by PVA Inc. that he could put is head safely in the machine while programming the machine. (Ex</p> |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|--|
| | | 3 Depo. Juarez Volume I: 123:19-124:12) |
| | | Mr. Juarez was trained in 2011 by PVA Inc. through a hands on approach on how to use the PVA 650 machine, but there was no hazardous chemical training. (Ex. 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II232:I-7, 232: 23-25) |
| | | As stated at Mr. Juarez' deposition on March 30, 2015 (within the two year filing period) Mr. Juarez did not operate the equipment; he was a programmer so he was not exposed to the same hazards. However, he specifically requested additional safety equipment to address the hazards to the operators because the machine did not have an alarm system when the exhaust fan was not working. (Ex. 1 Depo. Ruben Juarez Volume 1 p. 51:17-52 :16) |
| | | Mr. Juarez clarified the main reason they were looking to upgrade our equipment was to have the suction or the exhaust sensor get rid of the fumes, and Mr. Juarez did not know the chemicals were hazardous. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume I: 135:7-14, 136:1-3) |
| | | THE MANUAL AND PVA INC. PROVIDED AN INADEQUATE WARNING |
| | | The PVA Manual does not warn users to use a face mask or ventilator. (Exhibit 18, PVA 40) |
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none">The warning on the sides states, "WARNING, Hazardous voltage. Power shall be disconnected before enclosure is opened. Enclosure shall be closed before power is restored." (Exhibit 35) |
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none">Not all PVA 350s have the alleged safety features including an |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 |
|---|---|
| <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
| | <p><u>exhaust fan/conveyer belt/an auto shut down/exhaust blower/flow monitoring, and cameras.</u> Further, pursuant to training provided by PVA Inc., there are <u>bypass systems that must be utilized so programmers can complete their jobs.</u> (Exhibit 17, PVA 63, 64, 219-220, 277, 279-280)</p> <p>PVA INC. PROVIDED TRAINING AND TRAINED MR. JUAREZ TO PUT HIS HEAD INSIDE THE PVA MACHINE WITHOUT ANY PROTECTIVE GEAR</p> <p>Ruben Juarez attended training at PVA Inc., and PVA trained him to put his head inside the machine while spraying without any protective gear. He attended these trainings while working for EMI and Space X. (Exhibit 24, 4458-4462)</p> <p>END USERS MUST PUT THEIR HEAD INTO THE PVA 350 MACHINE TO CHECK THE THICKNESS OF THE CHEMICAL COATING AND TO SPRAY THE PROPER AREAS OF THE CIRCUIT BOARD</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">The Wet Film Thickness Gauge shows how Mr. Juarez <u>had to measure the level of the fluid on the boards and is relevant to show he had to stick his head in the machine.</u> (Exhibit 23, PVA 1181 (Wet Film Thickness Gauge))Pursuant to email dated December 15, 2014 on 11:47 a.m. Bieverne emailed to Matupang indicating the <u>only way to know for sure the mix ratio is coming out of a spray valve is with flow monitors</u> |

| 1 2 3 4 <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|--|
| <p>5 41. He also told his toxicologist that "my 6 employer bypass the safety switch" on this 7 equipment.</p> <p>8 Medical Intake Form; Juarez Workers' 9 Comp. Depo. (Catalona Dec., 384, 450:14-20, 452:22-24.)</p> <p>10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28</p> | <p>3 and if you don't have flow monitors 4 you are "spraying blind." (Exhibit 5 30)</p> <p>6 41. Disputed to the extent this fact 7 appears vague as to time as this 8 fact is derived from a form dated 9 March 25, 2015, which is within 10 the 2 year statute of limitations 11 period. Disputed to the extent this 12 fact infers Mr. Juarez was aware of 13 the dangerous chemical exposure at 14 the time he was working with the 15 PVA 350. Disputed to the extent 16 that Mr. Juarez was aware of the 17 PVA 350 product defect. Mr. 18 Juarez was unsure as to the source 19 of the chemical exposure and was 20 operating under the belief the 21 chemical bath caused the 22 dangerous exposure and/or 23 exposure to lead wiring. Further, 24 PVA Inc. specifically trained users 25 to bypass the safety features, which 26 had to be done to utilize the 27 machine without proper safety 28 controls.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• (Exhibit 50, Patient History Form March 25, 2015)• (Ex 3 Depo. Ruben Juarez Volume 1 : 30:16-18, 30:24-31:5, 72:9-14)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 89:14-18, 90:8-11)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 121:16-19)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 121: 22- 122:20, 122:22-123:1) |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|--|
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none">• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume I: 123:19-124:12)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II: 226:12-227:6)• (Ex. 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II232:1-7, 232:23-25)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume I: 87:22-88:1)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 156:15-157:2)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 158:3-9)• (Exhibit 31, PVA 51)• (Exhibit 31, PVA30-32)• (Exhibit 31, PVA 40-41)• (Exhibit 15, PVA 69)• (Exhibit 16, PVA 0272)• (Exhibit 31, PVA 30-32, 51)• (Exhibit 18, PVA 40)• (Exhibit 35)• (Exhibit 17, PVA 63, 64, 219-220, 277, 279-280)• (Exhibit 24, 4458-4462)• (Exhibit 24)• (Exhibit 23, PVA 1181 (Wet Film Thickness Gauge))• (Exhibit 30) <p><u><i>Specific factual references with citations are set forth below for the court's convenience showing PVA Inc. trained Mr. Juarez and designed the PVA 350 to use the bypass system to program the machine.</i></u></p> |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|---|
| | | PVA Inc. trained Mr. Juarez to put his head in the PVA 350 machine and use the safety bypass system. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 89:14-18, 90:8-11) |
| | | Mr. Juarez was trained by PVA Inc. to open the door of the machine to perform programming job duties. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 121: 22-122:20, 122:22-123:1) |
| | | The PVA 350 was built so a programmer had to bypass the safety mechanisms to program the machine. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume I: 87:22-88:1) |
| | | Mr. Juarez indicated the PVA 350 is a bad design because the boards alter in size so the programmer has to put their head inside the machine to make sure the valve is aligned. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 156:15-157:2) |
| | | The PVA 350 was defectively designed because a programmer would have to put their head inside the machine to look where the machine was spraying. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 158:3-9) |
| | | PVA INC. PROVIDED TRAINING AND TRAINED MR. JUAREZ TO PUT HIS HEAD INSIDE THE PVA MACHINE WITHOUT ANY PROTECTIVE GEAR |
| | | Ruben Juarez attended training at PVA Inc., and PVA trained him to put his head inside the machine while spraying without any protective gear. He attended these trainings while working for EMI and Space X. (Exhibit 24, 4458-4462) |
| | | END USERS MUST PUT THEIR |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 |
|--|--|
| <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
| 42. After reporting this issue to his employer, he also asked SpaceX to “upgrade” the PVA 350 which he felt should “have the alarm to know when the suction was working or not” which was necessary “to advise the operator that the suction system was not working or pulling all of the fumes out of it.” Juarez Workers’ Comp. Depo. (Catalona Dec., 472:13-473:6.) | HEAD INTO THE PVA 350 MACHINE TO CHECK THE THICKNESS OF THE CHEMICAL COATING AND TO SPRAY THE PROPER AREAS OF THE CIRCUIT BOARD • The Wet Film Thickness Gauge shows how Mr. Juarez <u>had to measure the level of the fluid on the boards and is relevant to show he had to stick his head in the machine.</u> (Exhibit 23, PVA 1181 (Wet Film Thickness Gauge)) • Pursuant to email dated December 15, 2014 on 11:47 a.m. Bievenue emailed to Matupang indicating the <u>only way to know for sure the mix ratio is coming out of a spray valve is with flow monitors and if you don’t have flow monitors you are “spraying blind.”</u> (Exhibit 30) 42. Disputed to the extent this fact infers Mr. Juarez thought that something wrong with the PVA 350 could cause his injuries. This fact merely shows that Mr. Juarez wanted an upgrade of the alarm system on the PVA 350. Requesting an upgrade is not equivalent to stating that a machine has wrongfully caused an injury. Mr. Juarez requested additional safety mechanisms on the machine for the operator’s safety for safety reasons unrelated to his claim in |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|--|
| | | <p>this adjudication.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume I: 121: 22- 122:20, 122:22- 123:1)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume I: 123:19-124:12)• (Ex. 2 Depo. Ruben Juarez Volume 2 p. 78:15-79:12, 79:21-80:14)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 60:21-61:4)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 127:1-129:4)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume I: 135:7-14, 136:1-3)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 317: 15-19)• (Ex. 1 Depo. Ruben Juarez Volume 1 p. 51:17-52 :16)• (Exhibit 31, PVA 51)• (Exhibit 31, PVA30-32)• (Exhibit 31, PVA 40-41)• (Exhibit 15, PVA 69)• (Exhibit 16, PVA 0272• (Exhibit 31, PVA 30-32, 51)• (Exhibit 18, PVA 40)• (Exhibit 35) |
| | | <p><i><u>Specific factual references with citations are set forth below for the court's convenience regarding the safety hazards of the machine posed to the operators that were unrelated to Mr. Juarez' claims.</u></i></p> <p>Mr. Juarez was trained by PVA Inc. to open the door of the machine to</p> |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|---|
| | | <p>perform programming job duties. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 121: 22-122:20, 122:22-123:1) Mr. Juarez believe it was unsafe for the operators to open the machine door and operate the PVA 350 because they could get their hand stuck in the machine, but he did not have a chemistry background so he did not know whether the chemicals were harmful or not, and he relied on the training provided by PVA Inc. that he could put is head safely in the machine while programming the machine. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume I: 123:19-124:12)</p> <p>Mr. Juarez did order new equipment and purchase stand alone filtration systems to ensure the <u>operators were safe while operating conformal coating</u> machines because there was no alarm system to advise the operators that the suction system was not working. . (Ex. 2 Depo. Ruben Juarez Volume 2 p. 78:15-79:12, 79:21-80:14)</p> <p>Mr. Juarez <u>purchased the filtration system when the PVA 350 moved to the larger room with additional equipment.</u> (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 60:21-61:4)</p> <p>Mr. Juarez wanted additional equipment to facilitate the production process and ensure the operator safety by having the boards come out of the machine without anyone putting their heads in the machine (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 127:1-129:4)</p> <p>Mr. Juarez clarified the main reason they were looking to upgrade our equipment was to have the suction or</p> |
| | | |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 |
|---|--|
| <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
| | <p>the exhaust sensor get rid of the fumes, and Mr. Juarez did not know the chemicals were hazardous. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume I: 135:7-14, 136:1-3)</p> <p>Mr. Juarez purchased the air filtration system to get rid of the smell of the chemicals. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 317: 15-19)</p> <p>As stated at Mr. Juarez' deposition on March 30, 2015 (within the two year filing period) Mr. Juarez did not operate the equipment; he was a programmer so he was not exposed to the same hazards. However, he specifically requested additional safety equipment to address the hazards to the operators because the machine did not have an alarm system when the exhaust fan was not working.</p> <p>(Ex. 1 Depo. Ruben Juarez Volume 1 p. 51:17-52 :16)</p> <p>THE MANUAL AND PVA INC. PROVIDED AN INADEQUATE WARNING</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">The warning on the sides states, "WARNING, Hazardous voltage. Power shall be disconnected before enclosure is opened. Enclosure shall be closed before power is restored." (Exhibit 35) |
| 43. As far as he knew, SpaceX never addressed any of his concerns. | 43. Objection to the extent this reference is vague, ambiguous, and misleading. |
| Juarez Depo.; Juarez Workers' Comp. Depo. | |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|--|--|
| (Catalona Dec., 334:18-335:14, 450:23.) | Disputed. This record shows that Mr. Juarez' ongoing thought that his headaches were due to his medical condition of having an aneurysm or due to Mr. Juarez' unique sensitivities. Then, after his aneurysm, Mr. Juarez realized his headaches had not gone away and he guessed that his headaches were caused by exposure to the nearby chemical bath and those lead wires in nearby filters. It was not until Mr. Juarez received the MSDS sheets in March of 2015, that Mr. Juarez felt his headaches were associated from chemical exposure from something other than the chemical bath or lead wiring. This report was prepared in 2015. | Disputed. At Mr. Juarez' deposition, Mr. Catalona asked Mr. Juarez about the report taken by Dr. Regev in February 2015 when Mr. Juarez was still unsure as to the specific cause of his headaches that started after he began working at Space X as he was still operating under the impression his injuries post aneurysm surgery may be related to the lead wires or chemical baths. (Note: Defendant has not included page 317 in the deposition |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|--|--|
| | | <p>cited.)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• (Exhibit 4, missing page 317:15-19, 317:21-320:20)• (Ex. 2 Depo. Ruben Juarez Volume 2 p. 78:15-79:12, 79:21-80:14)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 60:21-61:4)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 127:1-129:4)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume I: 135:7-14, 136:1-3)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 317: 15-19)• (Exhibit 31, PVA 51)• (Exhibit 31, PVA30-32)• (Exhibit 31, PVA 40-41)• (Exhibit 15, PVA 69)• (Exhibit 16, PVA 0272)• (Exhibit 31, PVA 30-32, 51)• (Exhibit 18, PVA 40)• (Exhibit 35) |
| | <p>44. Eventually, he purchased a “separate standalone filtration system” for the conformal coating area “because the fumes can be pretty strong.”</p> <p>Juarez Depo.; Juarez Workers’ Comp. Depo. (Catalona Dec., 254:20-255:3, 472:13-473:6, 473:20-474:2.)</p> | <p>44. Disputed to the extent the conformal coating area included a number of items and chemicals such as a chemical bath, lead wires, and other items. The PVA 350 had moved to a larger room with more equipment. Also, it is disputed to the extent this suggests and inference that Mr.</p> |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|---|
| | | <p>Juarez was aware the PVA 350 defects.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• (Ex. 2 Depo. Ruben Juarez Volume 2 p. 78:15-79:12, 79:21-80:14)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 60:21-61:4)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 127:1-129:4)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume I: 135:7-14, 136:1-3)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 317: 15-19)• (Exhibit 31, PVA 51)• (Exhibit 31, PVA30-32)• (Exhibit 31, PVA 40-41)• (Exhibit 15, PVA 69)• (Exhibit 16, PVA 0272)• (Exhibit 31, PVA 30-32, 51)• (Exhibit 18, PVA 40)• (Exhibit 35) <p><i><u>Specific factual references with citations are set forth below for the court's convenience regarding the purchase of the filtration system.</u></i></p> <p>Mr. Juarez purchased the filtration system when the PVA 350 moved to the larger room with additional equipment. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 60:21-61:4)</p> <p>Mr. Juarez clarified the main reason they were looking to upgrade our equipment was to have the suction or the exhaust sensor get rid of the fumes, and Mr. Juarez did not know the chemicals were hazardous. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume I: 135:7-14, 136:1-3)</p> |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 |
|--|---|
| <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
| 45. Humiseal thinner, which he reportedly handled on a daily basis, was both a cleaning agent and a conformal coating material. Juarez Depo.; Juarez Workers' Comp. Depo. (Catalona Dec., 270:20-25, 312:10-22, 448:12-449:12, 449:24-450:16.) | Mr. Juarez purchased the air filtration system to get rid of the smell of the chemicals. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 317: 15-19) THE MANUAL AND PVA INC. PROVIDED AN INADEQUATE WARNING The PVA Manual does not warn users to use a face mask or ventilator. (Exhibit 18, PVA 40) • The warning on the sides states, “ WARNING, Hazardous voltage. Power shall be disconnected before enclosure is opened. Enclosure shall be closed before power is restored.” (Exhibit 35) 45. Disputed. This record shows that Mr. Juarez’ ongoing thought that his headaches were due to his medical condition of having an aneurysm or due to Mr. Juarez’ unique sensitivities. Then, after his aneurysm, Mr. Juarez realized his headaches had not gone away and he guessed that his headaches were caused by exposure to the nearby chemical bath and those lead wires in nearby filters. It was not until Mr. Juarez received the MSDS sheets in March of 2015, that Mr. Juarez felt his headaches were associated from chemical exposure from something other than the chemical bath or lead |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|--|
| | | <p>wiring. This report was prepared in 2015.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Depo. Juarez, p. 49:22-50:8, Volume I, 3-30-15• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 55:21-56:5)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 121: 22- 122:20, 122:22-123:1)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume I: 123:19-124:12)• (Ex 1, Depo. Ruben Juarez Volume I, p. 49:22-50:8, 51:17, 52:8-16, 53:15-21, 54:11-55:15, 55:21-56:8, 56:14-18 , 57:16-23-58:18, 59: 4-5, 59: 22-24,60:17-61:20, 62:1-15)• (Ex. 2 Depo. Ruben Juarez Volume 2 p. 77:5-78:3)• (Ex 3 Depo. Ruben Juarez Volume 1, 49:25-50:3)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 66:19-22, 116:1-14)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 108:20-109:12)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 332:7-337:14)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 249:22-250:12)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 262:21-263: 13)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 262:21-263:13)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 287:6-288:3, 288:24-289:6) |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|--|
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none">• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 235:1-238: 5, 238: 19-22)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 241:4-15)• (Exhibit 31, PVA 51)• (Exhibit 31, PVA30-32)• (Exhibit 31, PVA 40-41)• (Exhibit 15, PVA 69)• (Exhibit 16, PVA 0272)• (Exhibit 31, PVA 30-32, 51)• (Exhibit 18, PVA 40)• (Exhibit 35) <p><i><u>Specific factual references with citations are set forth below for the court's convenience regarding PVA Inc.'s knowledge of the chemicals utilized with the PVA 350 and other dangers.</u></i></p> <p>Mr. Juarez indicated he does not have a chemistry background at his deposition on March 8, 2018. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 55:21-56:5)</p> <p>Mr. Juarez was trained by PVA Inc. to open the door of the machine to perform programming job duties. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 121: 22-122:20, 122:22-123:1) Mr. Juarez believe it was unsafe for the operators to open the machine door and operate the PVA 350 because they could get their hand stuck in the machine, but he did not have a chemistry background so he did not know whether the chemicals were harmful or not, and he relied on the training provided by PVA Inc. that he could put is head safely in the machine while programming the machine. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume I: 123:19-124:12)</p> |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|--|
| | | <p>On May 20, 2015, Mr. Juarez indicated at the second session of his deposition (within the two year filing period), the wash station for printed circuit board assemblies was right next to his station. (Ex. 2 Depo. Ruben Juarez Volume 2 p. 77:5-78:3)</p> <p>The equipment in the rooms where Mr. Juarez was working was constantly changing. (Ex 3 Depo. Ruben Juarez Volume 1, 49:25-50:3)</p> <p>Mr. Juarez called a co-worker to get a list of the chemicals utilized in the wash area at Space X, and he may have offered to give the names of the rest of the chemicals used in the conformal coating area. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 108:20-109:12)</p> <p>Mr Juarez tried to get a list of chemicals from Space X, which did not work out. Afterwhich, Mr. Juarez called Francisco to get a list of chemicals he had worked with. After Francisco provided the list of chemicals, Mr. Juarez emailed Space X requested the MSDS sheets for the chemicals that Francisco listed. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 332:7-337:14)</p> <p>Mr. Juarez took a spray for medical problems to clear his airways, but nobody every pinpointed a cause for</p> |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> him to take the medicine. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 249:22-250:12) Mr. Juarez filed his worker's compensation claim based on his belief the chemical baths were making him sick as well as a solder wire until he learned only alcohol was used in the baths and only lead free solder wire were used in the filters. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 262:21-263:13) Mr. Juarez had no reason to suspect any wrongdoing on behalf of PVA and Space X, and he did not know the reason he was getting sick when he filed the worker's compensation claim. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 262:21-263:13) Mr. Juarez first suspected he was working in a toxic work environment when he received the MSDS sheets from Space X after requesting the MSDS sheets via email after March 3, 2015. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 287:6-288:3, 288:24-289:6) Mr. Juarez called Francisco and received a list of the chemicals that Mr. Juarez was exposed to, and he thus requested the MSDS sheets from Space X to forward to his attorney so that could figure out what was going on and what was making him sick. |
|---|--|
|---|--|

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|---|
| | | (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 235:1-238: 5, 238: 19-22) Initially, Mr. Juarez thought his problems were caused by alcohol chemical baths and solder wire, but later he found out that lead-free solder wire was used. Upon this discovery, Mr. Juarez reached out to Francisco and then to Space X to get the MSDS sheets. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 241:4-15) |
| | 46. On a medical-intake form, he stated that he worked with the Arathane and Humiseal products for 4-5 hours every day, which caused headaches, dizziness, nausea, eye irritation and tiredness. Juarez Depo.; Medical Intake Form. (Catalona Dec., 309:24-310:1, 310:8-21, 312:10-22, 313:24-314:8, 385-386, 398.) | 46. Objection as this statement is vague and ambiguous as to time. Disputed. This form was completed on March 25, 2015, so the knowledge in this form is within the 2 year statute of limitations period. Further, the presence of symptoms alone on various dates might be captured, but the cause of the symptoms are not. (Catalona Dec. 383) (Exhibit 50, Patient History Form March 25, 2015) |
| | 47. Then in January, 2013, he was diagnosed with a brain aneurysm and had brain surgery. Juarez Depo.; History and Physical by Michael Alyesh, M.D. (Catalona Dec., 304:19-305:4, 316:1-2, | 47. Undisputed to the extent Mr. Juarez was diagnosed with a brain aneurysm and had surgery. Mr. Juarez had a history and physical dated March 14, 2013 where he had a coil embolization for nonruptured ACA aneurysm. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 246:12-18) |

| <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|--|
| <p>318:23-25, 363.)</p> <p>48. Due to his illness and surgery, Ruben Juarez missed 33.6 weeks of work in 2013 and did not return to work after taking a third medical leave on March 26, 2014.</p> <p>SpaceX employment records; FAC.</p> <p>(Dhillon Dec., 36-37; Catalonia Dec., 62:16-17.)</p> | <p>48. Objection the declaration Ms. Dhillon is not a doctor and does not have personal knowledge of the reasons Mr. Juarez missed work in 2013.</p> <p>Disputed to the extent the actual evidence is either misstated or miscited. Exhibits 36-37 are reports from Mr. Regev and there is not Exhibit 36-37 cited in Ms. Dhillon's declaration.</p> <p>The FAC cited by defendant merely states: “[d]ue to continuing medical treatment, hospitalization, and urgent care visits, Plaintiff has not worked since May or June of 2014.</p> <p>(Exhibit 38 FAC 7:16-17)</p> |
| <p>49. Plaintiff filed his workers' compensation action on September 24, 2014, claiming his headaches and aneurysm were caused by “repetitive and continuous exposure” to toxic substances.</p> <p>Workers' Comp. Claim.</p> <p>(Cataluna Dec., 357.)</p> | <p>49. Disputed to the extent this is not what the worker's compensation form states. Specifically, the worker's compensation form states: “DUE TO REPITITIVE AND CONTINUOUS EXPOSURE TO ELECTRONIC PARTS CLEANING & LEAD SO.” This claim form does not state “toxic substances.”</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• (Exhibit 49 Workers Comp. Claim)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 55:21-56:5)• (Ex 1, Depo. Ruben Juarez Volume I, p. 49:22-50:8, 51:17, 52:8-16, 53:15-21, 54:11-55:15, 55:21-56:8, 56:14-18, 57:16-23- |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|---|
| | | <p>58:18, 59: 4-5, 59: 22-24,60:17-61:20, 62:1-15)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• (Ex. 2 Depo. Ruben Juarez Volume 2 p. 77:5-78:3)• (Ex 3 Depo. Ruben Juarez Volume 1, 49:25-50:3)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 66:19-22, 116:1-14)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 108:20-109:12)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 332:7-337:14)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 249:22-250:12)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 262:21-263: 13)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 262:21-263:13)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 287:6-288:3, 288:24-289:6)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 235:1-238: 5, 238: 19-22)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 241:4-15) <p><i><u>Specific factual references with citations are set forth below for the court's convenience regarding additional facts to support Mr. Juarez' claim he was not aware of the PVA defects or toxic chemicals coming from the PVA 350 machine.</u></i></p> <p>Mr. Juarez indicated he does not have a chemistry background at his deposition on March 8, 2018. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 55:21-56:5)</p> <p>At Mr. Juarez' deposition on March 30, 2015. (within the two year filing</p> |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|--|
| | | <p>period) he implicated a number of reasons he could have been injured as he was not yet clear on the specific cause of his injuries, whether wrongdoing had occurred, or whether any wrongdoing specifically caused his injuries. Specifically, Mr. Juarez indicated he was exposed to Humiseal 527 thinner while soaking parts to be cleaned (cleaning bath), doing maintenance work, flushing equipment, verifying fluids were flushed, bleeding the lines, and doing detailed cleaning of the machines so the operators could try new chemicals to better protect the electronic devices. Further, Mr. Juarez also discussed his exposure to a product called solder wire as a potential cause of injuries when he would replace the fume extractor filters while cleaning reworkstations that were similar to a fountain also called a cleaning bath where Mr. Juarez would remove soldering tools and reassembling them, which took a couple of hours. Mr. Juarez also discussed his exposure to Humiseal 1A33 for conformal coating by programming the machines and tooling and doing dry/wet runs while programming the machines. (Ex 1, Depo. Ruben Juarez Volume I, p. 49:22-50:8, 51:17, 52:8-16, 53:15-21, 54:11-55:15, 55:21-56:8, 56:14-18, 57:16-23-58:18, 59: 4-5, 59: 22-24,60:17-61:20, 62:1-15)</p> <p>On May 20, 2015, Mr. Juarez indicated at the second session of his deposition (within the two year filing period), the wash station for printed circuit board assemblies was right next to his station. (Ex. 2 Depo. Ruben Juarez Volume 2 p. 77:5-78:3)</p> <p>The equipment in the rooms where Mr. Juarez was working was constantly changing. (Ex 3 Depo. Ruben Juarez Volume 1, 49:25-50:3) Initially, Mr. Juarez did not know what chemicals were in the chemical baths. and he found out. but the</p> |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|---|
| | | chemical baths did not have anything to do with the PVA 350, and when he filed the worker's compensation claim his claim was derived from the chemical washes. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 66:19-22, 116:1-14) |
| | | Mr. Juarez called a co-worker to get a list of the chemicals utilized in the wash area at Space X, and he may have offered to give the names of the rest of the chemicals used in the conformal coating area. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 108:20-109:12) |
| | | Mr Juarez tried to get a list of chemicals from Space X, which did not work out. Afterwhich, Mr. Juarez called Francisco to get a list of chemicals he had worked with. After Francisco provided the list of chemicals, Mr. Juarez emailed Space X requested the MSDS sheets for the chemicals that Francisco listed. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 332:7-337:14) |
| | | Mr. Juarez took a spray for medical problems to clear his airways, but nobody every pinpointed a cause for him to take the medicine. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 249:22-250:12) |
| | | Mr. Juarez filed his worker's compensation claim based on his belief the chemical baths were making him sick as well as a solder wire until he learned only alcohol was used in the baths and only lead free solder wire were used in the filters. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 262:21-263:13) |
| | | Mr. Juarez had no reason to suspect any wrongdoing on behalf of PVA and Space X, and he did not know the reason he was getting sick when he filed the worker's compensation claim. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 262:21-263:13) |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|--|--|
| | | <p>Mr. Juarez first suspected the toxicity of the chemicals at Space X when he received the MSDS sheets from Space X after requesting the MSDS sheets via email after March 3, 2015. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 287:6-288:3, 288:24-289:6)</p> <p>Mr. Juarez called Francisco and received a list of the chemicals that Mr. Juarez was exposed to, and he thus requested the MSDS sheets from Space X to forward to his attorney so that could figure out what was going on and what was making him sick. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 235:1-238: 5, 238: 19-22)</p> <p>Initially, Mr. Juarez thought his problems were caused by alcohol chemical baths and solder wire, but later he found out that lead-free solder wire was used. Upon this discovery, Mr. Juarez reached out to Francisco and then to Space X to get the MSDS sheets. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 241:4-15)</p> |
| 50. On February 3, 2015, he told Neurologist Isaac Regev, M.D. that "almost from the beginning he noted frequent headaches at work and he believed they were associated with chemicals used to clean electrical parts." | Juarez Depo.; Regev Report. (Catalona Dec., 337:20, 370.) | 50. Objection this statement is hearsay. Disputed. This record shows that Mr. Juarez' ongoing thought that his headaches were due to his medical condition of having an aneurysm or due to Mr. Juarez' unique sensitivities. Then, after his aneurysm, Mr. Juarez realized his headaches had not gone away and he guessed that his headaches were caused by exposure to the nearby chemical bath and those lead wires in nearby filters. It was not until Mr. Juarez |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|---|
| | | <p>received the MSDS sheets in March of 2015, that Mr. Juarez felt his headaches were associated from chemical exposure from something other than the chemical bath or lead wiring. This report was prepared in 2015.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• (Exhibit 48)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 55:21-56:5)• (Ex 1, Depo. Ruben Juarez Volume I, p. 49:22-50:8, 51:17, 52:8-16, 53:15-21, 54:11-55:15, 55:21-56:8, 56:14-18, 57:16-23-58:18, 59: 4-5, 59: 22-24, 60:17-61:20, 62:1-15)• (Ex. 2 Depo. Ruben Juarez Volume 2 p. 77:5-78:3)• (Ex 3 Depo. Ruben Juarez Volume 1, 49:25-50:3)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 66:19-22, 116:1-14)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 108:20-109:12)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 332:7-337:14)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 249:22-250:12)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 262:21-263: 13)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 262:21-263:13)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 287:6-288:3, 288:24-289:6)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 235:1-238: 5, 238: 19-22)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|---|
| | | <p>241:4-15)</p> <p><i><u>Specific factual references with citations are set forth below for the court's convenience supporting Mr. Juarez' claim he was not aware of the defect of the PVA 350 and the toxicity of the chemicals until he received the MSDS sheets.</u></i></p> <p>Mr. Juarez indicated he does not have a chemistry background at his deposition on March 8, 2018. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 55:21-56:5)</p> <p>At Mr. Juarez' deposition on March 30, 2015, (within the two year filing period) he implicated a number of reasons he could have been injured as he was not yet clear on the specific cause of his injuries, whether wrongdoing had occurred, or whether any wrongdoing specifically caused his injuries. Specifically, Mr. Juarez indicated he was exposed to Humiseal 527 thinner while soaking parts to be cleaned (cleaning bath), doing maintenance work, flushing equipment, verifying fluids were flushed, bleeding the lines, and doing detailed cleaning of the machines so the operators could try new chemicals to better protect the electronic devices. Further, Mr. Juarez also discussed his exposure to a product called solder wire as a potential cause of injuries when he would replace the fume extractor filters while cleaning reworkstations that were similar to a fountain also called a cleaning bath where Mr. Juarez would remove soldering tools and reassembling them, which took a couple of hours. Mr. Juarez also discussed his exposure to Humiseal 1A33 for conformal coating by programming the machines and tooling and doing dry/wet runs while programming the machines.</p> |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|---|
| | | (Ex 1, Depo. Ruben Juarez Volume I, p. 49:22-50:8, 51:17, 52:8-16, 53:15-21, 54:11-55:15, 55:21-56:8, 56:14-18, 57:16-23-58:18, 59: 4-5, 59: 22-24,60:17-61:20, 62:1-15) |
| | | On May 20, 2015, Mr. Juarez indicated at the second session of his deposition (within the two year filing period), the wash station for printed circuit board assemblies was right next to his station. (Ex. 2 Depo. Ruben Juarez Volume 2 p. 77:5-78:3) |
| | | The equipment in the rooms where Mr. Juarez was working was constantly changing. (Ex 3 Depo. Ruben Juarez Volume 1, 49:25-50:3) |
| | | Initially, Mr. Juarez did not know what chemicals were in the chemical baths, and he found out, but the chemical baths did not have anything to do with the PVA 350, and when he filed the worker's compensation claim his claim was derived from the chemical washes. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 66:19-22, 116:1-14) |
| | | Mr. Juarez called a co-worker to get a list of the chemicals utilized in the wash area at Space X, and he may have offered to give the names of the rest of the chemicals used in the conformal coating area. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 108:20-109:12) |
| | | Mr Juarez tried to get a list of chemicals from Space X, which did not work out. Afterwhich, Mr. Juarez called Francisco to get a list of chemicals he had worked with. After Francisco provided the list of chemicals, Mr. Juarez emailed Space X requested the MSDS sheets for the chemicals that Francisco listed. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 332:7-337:14) |
| | | Mr. Juarez took a spray for medical problems to clear his airways, but nobody every pinpointed a cause for |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|---|
| | | him to take the medicine. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 249:22-250:12) |
| | | Mr. Juarez filed his worker's compensation claim based on his belief the chemical baths were making him sick as well as a solder wire until he learned only alcohol was used in the baths and only lead free solder wire were used in the filters. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 262:21-263:13) |
| | | Mr. Juarez had no reason to suspect any wrongdoing on behalf of PVA and Space X, and he did not know the reason he was getting sick when he filed the worker's compensation claim. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 262:21-263:13) |
| | | Mr. Juarez first suspected he was working in a toxic work environment when he received the MSDS sheets from Space X after requesting the MSDS sheets via email after March 3, 2015. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 287:6-288:3, 288:24-289:6) |
| | | Mr. Juarez called Francisco and received a list of the chemicals that Mr. Juarez was exposed to, and he thus requested the MSDS sheets from Space X to forward to his attorney so that could figure out what was going on and what was making him sick. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 235:1-238: 5, 238: 19-22) |
| | | Initially, Mr. Juarez thought his problems were caused by alcohol chemical baths and solder wire, but later he found out that lead-free solder wire was used. Upon this discovery, Mr. Juarez reached out to Francisco and then to Space X to get the MSDS sheets. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 241:4-15) |

| 1 2 3 <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|--|
| <p>4 51. He admitted at his deposition that by this time he suspected his headaches and other symptoms were caused by "toxic exposure."</p> <p>5 Juarez Depo.; Regev Report.</p> <p>6 (Catalona Dec., 337:20, 338:15-19, 370.)</p> <p>7</p> <p>8</p> <p>9</p> <p>10</p> <p>11</p> <p>12</p> <p>13</p> <p>14</p> <p>15</p> <p>16</p> <p>17</p> <p>18</p> <p>19</p> <p>20</p> <p>21</p> <p>22</p> <p>23</p> <p>24</p> <p>25</p> <p>26</p> <p>27</p> <p>28</p> | <p>51. Disputed. This record shows that Mr. Juarez' ongoing thought that his headaches were due to his medical condition of having an aneurysm or due to Mr. Juarez' unique sensitivities. Then, after his aneurysm, Mr. Juarez realized his headaches had not gone away and he guessed that his headaches were caused by exposure to the nearby chemical bath and those lead wires in nearby filters. It was not until Mr. Juarez received the MSDS sheets in March of 2015, that Mr. Juarez felt his headaches were associated from chemical exposure from something other than the chemical bath or lead wiring. This report was prepared in 2015.</p> <p>•(Exhibit 4, Depo Juarez Volume II, 331:3-19)</p> <p>•(Ex 1, Depo. Ruben Juarez Volume I, p. 49:22-50:8, 51:17, 52:8-16, 53:15-21, 54:11-55:15, 55:21-56:8, 56:14-18 , 57:16-23- 58:18, 59: 4-5, 59: 22- 24,60:17-61:20, 62:1-15)</p> <p>•(Ex. 2 Depo. Ruben Juarez Volume 2 p. 77:5-78:3)</p> <p>•(Ex 3 Depo. Ruben Juarez</p> |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 |
|---|---|
| <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
| | <p>Volume 1, 49:25-50:3)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">•(Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 66:19-22, 116:1-14)•(Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 108:20-109:12)•.(Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 332:7-337:14)•.(Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 249:22-250:12)•(Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 262:21-263:13)•(Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 262:21-263:13)•(Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 287:6-288:3, 288:24-289:6)•(Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 235:1-238:5, 238:19-22)•(Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 241:4-15) <p><i><u>Specific factual references with citations are set forth below for the court's convenience regarding Plaintiffs' belief the PVA 350 did not have anything to do with his injuries before 2015.</u></i></p> <p>At Mr. Juarez' deposition on March 30, 2015, (within the two year filing period) he implicated a number of reasons he could have been injured as he was not yet clear on the specific cause of his injuries, whether wrongdoing had occurred, or whether any wrongdoing specifically caused</p> |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|--|
| | | <p>his injuries. Specifically, Mr. Juarez indicated he was exposed to Humiseal 527 thinner while soaking parts to be cleaned (cleaning bath), doing maintenance work, flushing equipment, verifying fluids were flushed, bleeding the lines, and doing detailed cleaning of the machines so the operators could try new chemicals to better protect the electronic devices. Further, Mr. Juarez also discussed his exposure to a product called solder wire as a potential cause of injuries when he would replace the fume extractor filters while cleaning re-workstations that were similar to a fountain also called a cleaning bath where Mr. Juarez would remove soldering tools andreassembling them, which took a couple of hours. Mr. Juarez also discussed his exposure to Humiseal 1A33 for conformal coating by programming the machines and tooling and doing dry/wet runs while programming the machines.</p> <p>(Ex 1, Depo. Ruben Juarez Volume I, p. 49:22-50:8, 51:17, 52:8-16, 53:15-21, 54:11-55:15, 55:21-56:8, 56:14-18, 57:16-23-58:18, 59: 4-5, 59: 22-24,60:17-61:20, 62:1-15)</p> <p>On May 20, 2015, Mr. Juarez indicated at the second session of his deposition (within the two year filing period), the wash station for printed circuit board assemblies was right next</p> |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|---|
| | | to his station. (Ex. 2 Depo. Ruben Juarez Volume 2 p. 77:5-78:3) |
| | | The equipment in the rooms where Mr. Juarez was working was constantly changing. (Ex 3 Depo. Ruben Juarez Volume 1, 49:25-50:3) |
| | | Initially, Mr. Juarez did not know what chemicals were in the chemical baths, and he found out, but the chemical baths did not have anything to do with the PVA 350, and when he filed the worker's compensation claim his claim was derived from the chemical washes. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 66:19-22, 116:1-14) |
| | | Mr. Juarez called a co-worker to get a list of the chemicals utilized in the wash area at Space X, and he may have offered to give the names of the rest of the chemicals used in the conformal coating area. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 108:20-109:12) |
| | | Mr Juarez tried to get a list of chemicals from Space X, which did not work out. Afterwhich, Mr. Juarez called Francisco to get a list of chemicals he had worked with. After Francisco provided the list of chemicals, Mr. Juarez emailed Space X requested the MSDS sheets for the chemicals that Francisco listed. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 332:7-337:14) |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|---|
| | | Mr. Juarez took a spray for medical problems to clear his airways, but nobody ever pinpointed a cause for him to take the medicine. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 249:22-250:12) |
| | | Mr. Juarez filed his worker's compensation claim based on his belief the chemical baths were making him sick as well as a solder wire until he learned only alcohol was used in the baths and only lead free solder wire were used in the filters. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 262:21-263:13) |
| | | Mr. Juarez had no reason to suspect any wrongdoing on behalf of PVA and Space X, and he did not know the reason he was getting sick when he filed the worker's compensation claim. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 262:21-263:13) |
| | | Mr. Juarez first suspected he was working in a toxic work environment when he received the MSDS sheets from Space X after requesting the MSDS sheets via email after March 3, 2015. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 287:6-288:3, 288:24-289:6) |
| | | Mr. Juarez called Francisco and received a list of the chemicals that Mr. Juarez was exposed to, and he thus requested the MSDS sheets from Space X to forward to his attorney so that could figure out what was going |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|--|---|
| | | <p>on and what was making him sick. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 235:1-238: 5, 238: 19-22)</p> <p>Initially, Mr. Juarez thought his problems were caused by alcohol chemical baths and solder wire, but later he found out that lead-free solder wire was used. Upon this discovery, Mr. Juarez reached out to Francisco and then to Space X to get the MSDS sheets. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 241:4-15)</p> |
| | <p>52. The cleaning agents to which he alleged exposure in the workers' compensation action included Humiseal thinner 521 which he used to "soak parts to be cleaned" and to "flush equipment."</p> <p>Workers' Comp. Depo.; March 3, 2015 Email.</p> <p>(Catalona Dec., 449:5-8, 449:19-23, 485-486.)</p> | <p>52. Disputed. This record shows that Mr. Juarez' ongoing thought that his headaches were due to his medical condition of having an aneurysm or due to Mr. Juarez' unique sensitivities. Then, after his aneurysm, Mr. Juarez realized his headaches had not gone away and he guessed that his headaches were caused by exposure to the nearby chemical bath and those lead wires in nearby filters. It was not until Mr. Juarez received the MSDS sheets in March of 2015, that Mr. Juarez felt his headaches were associated from chemical exposure from something other than the chemical bath or lead wiring. This report was prepared in 2015.</p> |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 |
|---|--|
| <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none">• (Dec. Ruben Juarez)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 55:21-56:5)• (Ex 1, Depo. Ruben Juarez Volume I, p. 49:22-50:8, 51:17, 52:8-16, 53:15-21, 54:11-55:15, 55:21-56:8, 56:14-18 , 57:16-23-58:18, 59: 4-5, 59: 22-24,60:17-61:20, 62:1-15)• (Ex. 2 Depo. Ruben Juarez Volume 2 p. 77:5-78:3)• (Ex 3 Depo. Ruben Juarez Volume 1, 49:25-50:3)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 66:19-22, 116:1-14)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 108:20-109:12)• .(Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 332:7-337:14)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 249:22-250:12)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 262:21-263: 13)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 262:21-263:13)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 287:6-288:3, 288:24-289:6)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 235:1-238: 5, 238: 19-22)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 241:4-15) <p><i><u>Specific factual references with citations are set forth below for the court's convenience regarding the</u></i></p> |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 |
|---|--|
| | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> |
| | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
| | <p><i><u>chemical bath and lead wiring as well as the lack of knowing any PVA 350 defects.</u></i></p> |
| | <p>Mr. Juarez indicated he does not have a chemistry background at his deposition on March 8, 2018. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 55:21-56:5)</p> |
| | <p>At Mr. Juarez' deposition on March 30, 2015, (within the two year filing period) he implicated a number of reasons he could have been injured as he was not yet clear on the specific cause of his injuries, whether wrongdoing had occurred, or whether any wrongdoing specifically caused his injuries. Specifically, Mr. Juarez indicated he was exposed to Humiseal 527 thinner while soaking parts to be cleaned (cleaning bath), doing maintenance work, flushing equipment, verifying fluids were flushed, bleeding the lines, and doing detailed cleaning of the machines so the operators could try new chemicals to better protect the electronic devices. Further, Mr. Juarez also discussed his exposure to a product called solder wire as a potential cause of injuries when he would replace the fume extractor filters while cleaning reworkstations that were similar to a fountain also called a cleaning bath where Mr. Juarez would remove soldering tools and reassembling them, which took a couple of hours.</p> |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|--|
| | | Mr. Juarez also discussed his exposure to Humiseal 1A33 for conformal coating by programming the machines and tooling and doing dry/wet runs while programming the machines. (Ex 1, Depo. Ruben Juarez Volume I, p. 49:22-50:8, 51:17, 52:8-16, 53:15-21, 54:11-55:15, 55:21-56:8, 56:14-18, 57:16-23-58:18, 59: 4-5, 59: 22-24,60:17-61:20, 62:1-15) |
| | | On May 20, 2015, Mr. Juarez indicated at the second session of his deposition (within the two year filing period), the wash station for printed circuit board assemblies was right next to his station. (Ex. 2 Depo. Ruben Juarez Volume 2 p. 77:5-78:3) |
| | | The equipment in the rooms where Mr. Juarez was working was constantly changing. (Ex 3 Depo. Ruben Juarez Volume 1, 49:25-50:3) |
| | | Initially, Mr. Juarez did not know what chemicals were in the chemical baths, and he found out, but the chemical baths did not have anything to do with the PVA 350, and when he filed the worker's compensation claim his claim was derived from the chemical washes. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 66:19-22, 116:1-14) |
| | | Mr. Juarez called a co-worker to get a list of the chemicals utilized in the wash area at Space X, and he may |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|---|
| | | have offered to give the names of the rest of the chemicals used in the conformal coating area. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 108:20-109:12) |
| | | Mr Juarez tried to get a list of chemicals from Space X, which did not work out. Afterwhich, Mr. Juarez called Francisco to get a list of chemicals he had worked with. After Francisco provided the list of chemicals, Mr. Juarez emailed Space X requested the MSDS sheets for the chemicals that Francisco listed. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 332:7-337:14) |
| | | Mr. Juarez took a spray for medical problems to clear his airways, but nobody every pinpointed a cause for him to take the medicine. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 249:22-250:12) |
| | | Mr. Juarez filed his worker's compensation claim based on his belief the chemical baths were making him sick as well as a solder wire until he learned only alcohol was used in the baths and only lead free solder wire were used in the filters. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 262:21-263:13) |
| | | Mr. Juarez had no reason to suspect any wrongdoing on behalf of PVA and Space X, and he did not know the reason he was getting sick when he filed the worker's compensation |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|--|---|
| | | <p>claim. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 262:21-263:13)</p> <p>Mr. Juarez first suspected he was working in a toxic work environment when he received the MSDS sheets from Space X after requesting the MSDS sheets via email after March 3, 2015. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 287:6-288:3, 288:24-289:6)</p> <p>Mr. Juarez called Francisco and received a list of the chemicals that Mr. Juarez was exposed to, and he thus requested the MSDS sheets from Space X to forward to his attorney so that could figure out what was going on and what was making him sick. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 235:1-238: 5, 238: 19-22)</p> <p>Initially, Mr. Juarez thought his problems were caused by alcohol chemical baths and solder wire, but later he found out that lead-free solder wire was used. Upon this discovery, Mr. Juarez reached out to Francisco and then to Space X to get the MSDS sheets. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 241:4-15)</p> |
| | <p>53. He also used Humiseal thinner 521 as a conformal coating material in the PVA 350.</p> <p>Juarez Depo.; Workers' Comp. Depo.</p> | <p>53. Disputed. This record shows that Mr. Juarez' ongoing thought that his headaches were due to his medical condition of</p> |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|--|--|
| | (Catalona Dec., 270:20-25, 312:10-22, 448:12-25, 450:6-16.) | <p>having an aneurysm or due to Mr. Juarez' unique sensitivities. Then, after his aneurysm, Mr. Juarez realized his headaches had not gone away and he guessed that his headaches were caused by exposure to the nearby chemical bath and those lead wires in nearby filters. It was not until Mr. Juarez received the MSDS sheets in March of 2015, that Mr. Juarez felt his headaches were associated from chemical exposure from something other than the chemical bath or lead wiring. This report was prepared in 2015.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• (Exhibit 38, FAC 7:24-8:7)<li>• (Dec. Chris Mendoza)<li>• (Dec. Manuel Gutierrez)<li>• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 55:21-56:5)<li>• (Ex 1, Depo. Ruben Juarez Volume I, p. 49:22-50:8, 51:17, 52:8-16, 53:15-21, 54:11-55:15, 55:21-56:8, 56:14-18 , 57:16-23-58:18, 59: 4-5, 59: 22-24,60:17-61:20, 62:1-15)<li>• (Ex. 2 Depo. Ruben Juarez Volume 2 p. 77:5-78:3)<li>• (Ex 3 Depo. Ruben Juarez Volume 1, 49:25-50:3)<li>• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 66:19-22, 116:1-14) |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|--|
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none">• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 108:20-109:12)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 332:7-337:14)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 249:22-250:12)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 262:21-263: 13)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 262:21-263:13)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 287:6-288:3, 288:24-289:6)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 235:1-238: 5, 238: 19-22)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 241:4-15) <p><u>Specific factual references with citations are set forth below for the court's convenience showing Mr. Juarez was not aware of the chemicals being utilized with the PVA Inc. the defects of the PVA 350, and the toxicity of the chemicals being utilized.</u></p> <p>The First Amended Complaint alleges as follows: "Up until May of 2015, Plaintiff RUBEN JUAREZ thought that his injury was caused by lead solder wire and cleaning solution to clean electronic parts operated by other Space X employees near Plaintiff's work station. The solder wire and the cleaning solution had nothing to do with the PVA 350—they were not even housed in the same location as the PVA 350. It was not until May of 2015, when Plaintiff Juarez saw the MSDS</p> |

1 **MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED**
2 **UNCONTROVERTED FACTS**

1 **RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION**

2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11 sheets from Space X that he first
12 saw that the solder wire was
13 actually lead-free and the detergent
14 was only alcohol and that he first
15 suspected that the PVA 350 might
16 have caused his injuries. Therefore,
17 despite diligent investigation of the
18 circumstances of the injury,
19 Plaintiff RUBEN JUAREZ could
20 not have reasonably discovered
21 facts supporting the cause of action
22 against PVA within the application
23 statute of limitations period.
24 (Exhibit 38, FAC 7:24-8:7)

25 Mr. Juarez indicated he does not have
26 a chemistry background at his
27 deposition on March 8, 2018. (Ex 3
28 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 55:21-56:5)

1 At Mr. Juarez' deposition on March
2 30, 2015, (within the two year filing
3 period) he implicated a number of
4 reasons he could have been injured as
5 he was not yet clear on the specific
6 cause of his injuries, whether
7 wrongdoing had occurred, or whether
8 any wrongdoing specifically caused
9 his injuries. Specifically, Mr. Juarez
10 indicated he was exposed to Humiseal
11 527 thinner while soaking parts to be
12 cleaned (cleaning bath), doing
13 maintenance work, flushing
14 equipment, verifying fluids were
15 flushed, bleeding the lines, and doing
16 detailed cleaning of the machines so
17 the operators could try new chemicals
18 to better protect the electronic devices.
19 Further, Mr. Juarez also discussed his
20

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|--|
| | | exposure to a product called solder wire as a potential cause of injuries when he would replace the fume extractor filters while cleaning re-workstations that were similar to a fountain also called a cleaning bath where Mr. Juarez would remove soldering tools andreassembling them, which took a couple of hours. Mr. Juarez also discussed his exposure to Humiseal 1A33 for conformal coating by programming the machines and tooling and doing dry/wet runs while programming the machines. |
| | | (Ex 1, Depo. Ruben Juarez Volume I, p. 49:22-50:8, 51:17, 52:8-16, 53:15-21, 54:11-55:15, 55:21-56:8, 56:14-18, 57:16-23-58:18, 59: 4-5, 59: 22-24,60:17-61:20, 62:1-15) |
| | | On May 20, 2015, Mr. Juarez indicated at the second session of his deposition (within the two year filing period), the wash station for printed circuit board assemblies was right next to his station. (Ex. 2 Depo. Ruben Juarez Volume 2 p. 77:5-78:3) |
| | | The equipment in the rooms where Mr. Juarez was working was constantly changing. (Ex 3 Depo. Ruben Juarez Volume 1, 49:25-50:3) |
| | | Initially, Mr. Juarez did not know what chemicals were in the chemical baths, and he found out, but the chemical baths did not have anything |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|--|
| | | <p>to do with the PVA 350, and when he filed the worker's compensation claim his claim was derived from the chemical washes. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 66:19-22, 116:1-14)</p> |
| | | <p>Mr. Juarez called a co-worker to get a list of the chemicals utilized in the wash area at Space X, and he may have offered to give the names of the rest of the chemicals used in the conformal coating area. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 108:20-109:12)</p> |
| | | <p>Mr Juarez tried to get a list of chemicals from Space X, which did not work out. Afterwhich, Mr. Juarez called Francisco to get a list of chemicals he had worked with. After Francisco provided the list of chemicals, Mr. Juarez emailed Space X requested the MSDS sheets for the chemicals that Francisco listed. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 332:7-337:14)</p> |
| | | <p>Mr. Juarez took a spray for medical problems to clear his airways, but nobody every pinpointed a cause for him to take the medicine. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 249:22-250:12)</p> |
| | | <p>Mr. Juarez filed his worker's compensation claim based on his belief the chemical baths were making him sick as well as a solder wire until he learned only alcohol was used in the baths and only lead free solder</p> |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|---|
| | | wire were used in the filters. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 262:21-263:13) |
| | | Mr. Juarez had no reason to suspect any wrongdoing on behalf of PVA and Space X, and he did not know the reason he was getting sick when he filed the worker's compensation claim. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 262:21-263:13) |
| | | Mr. Juarez first suspected he was working in a toxic work environment when he received the MSDS sheets from Space X after requesting the MSDS sheets via email after March 3, 2015. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 287:6-288:3, 288:24-289:6) |
| | | Mr. Juarez called Francisco and received a list of the chemicals that Mr. Juarez was exposed to, and he thus requested the MSDS sheets from Space X to forward to his attorney so that could figure out what was going on and what was making him sick. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 235:1-238: 5, 238: 19-22) |
| | | Initially, Mr. Juarez thought his problems were caused by alcohol chemical baths and solder wire, but later he found out that lead-free solder wire was used. Upon this discovery, Mr. Juarez reached out to Francisco and then to Space X to get the MSDS |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|---|
| | <p>54. Humiseal thinner 521 was the only “thinner” Juarez used at SpaceX.</p> <p>Juarez Depo.; Regev Report; March 3, 2015 Email.</p> <p>(Catalona Dec., 270:20-25, 312:10-22, 336:3-8, 336:17-19, 385, 485-486.)</p> | <p>sheets. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 241:4-15)</p> <p>54. Disputed to the extent that this fact infers Mr. Juarez was not exposed to additional chemicals. Space X provided a list of chemicals Mr. Juarez was exposed to while working at Space X. Also, with the assistance of PVA Inc., Space X started using additional chemicals such as Arathane.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• (Ex 1, Depo. Ruben Juarez Volume I, p. 49:22-50:8, 51:17, 52:8-16, 53:15-21, 54:11-55:15, 55:21-56:8, 56:14-18 , 57:16-23-58:18, 59: 4-5, 59: 22-24,60:17-61:20, 62:1-15)• (Ex. 2 Depo. Ruben Juarez Volume 2 p. 77:5-78:3)• (Ex 3 Depo. Ruben Juarez Volume 1, 49:25-50:3)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 66:19-22, 116:1-14)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 108:20-109:12)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 332:7-337:14)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 249:22-250:12)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 262:21-263: 13)• .(Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 262:21-263:13) |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|--|
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none">• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 287:6-288:3, 288:24-289:6)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 235:1-238: 5, 238: 19-22)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 241:4-15)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume I: 55:21-56:5)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume I: 113 :1-3)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II: 224:14-17)• (See Exhibit 22, PVA 4463-4481)• (See Exhibit 9 and Exhibit 22)• (Exhibit 22, PVA 23 and 24)• (Exhibit 22 PVA 4449-4452)• (Exhibit 22 PVA 4463-4481)• (Exhibit 22)• (Ex 22, PVA 340-347)• (Exhibit 36 PVA 4449-4452)• (Exhibit 36)• (Exhibit 36, PVA 1728-1731)• (Exhibit 25, PVA 7-9, 17-29, 222-234, 250-251, 4449-4452) <p><i><u>Specific factual references with citations are set forth below for the</u></i></p> |

1 **MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED**
2 **UNCONTROVERTED FACTS**

1 **RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION**

2
3
4
5
6
*court's convenience showing Mr.
Juarez' lack of awareness of the
chemicals and toxicity of the
chemicals utilized with the PVA 350
as well as PVA Inc.'s knowledge of
the dangerous chemicals being
utilized with the PVA 350.*

7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
At Mr. Juarez' deposition on March 30, 2015, (within the two year filing period) he implicated a number of reasons he could have been injured as he was not yet clear on the specific cause of his injuries, whether wrongdoing had occurred, or whether any wrongdoing specifically caused his injuries. Specifically, Mr. Juarez indicated he was exposed to Humiseal 527 thinner while soaking parts to be cleaned (cleaning bath), doing maintenance work, flushing equipment, verifying fluids were flushed, bleeding the lines, and doing detailed cleaning of the machines so the operators could try new chemicals to better protect the electronic devices. Further, Mr. Juarez also discussed his exposure to a product called solder wire as a potential cause of injuries when he would replace the fume extractor filters while cleaning re-workstations that were similar to a fountain also called a cleaning bath where Mr. Juarez would remove soldering tools and reassembling them, which took a couple of hours. Mr. Juarez also discussed his exposure to Humiseal 1A33 for

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|---|
| | | conformal coating by programming the machines and tooling and doing dry/wet runs while programming the machines. |
| | | (Ex 1, Depo. Ruben Juarez Volume I, p. 49:22-50:8, 51:17, 52:8-16, 53:15-21, 54:11-55:15, 55:21-56:8, 56:14-18 , 57:16-23-58:18, 59: 4-5, 59: 22-24,60:17-61:20, 62:1-15) |
| | | On May 20, 2015, Mr. Juarez indicated at the second session of his deposition (within the two year filing period), the wash station for printed circuit board assemblies was right next to his station. (Ex. 2 Depo. Ruben Juarez Volume 2 p. 77:5-78:3) |
| | | The equipment in the rooms where Mr. Juarez was working was constantly changing. (Ex 3 Depo. Ruben Juarez Volume 1, 49:25-50:3) |
| | | Initially, Mr. Juarez did not know what chemicals were in the chemical baths, and he found out, but the chemical baths did not have anything to do with the PVA 350, and when he filed the worker's compensation claim his claim was derived from the chemical washes. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 66:19-22, 116:1-14) |
| | | Mr. Juarez called a co-worker to get a list of the chemicals utilized in the wash area at Space X, and he may have offered to give the names of the |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|---|
| | | rest of the chemicals used in the conformal coating area. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 108:20-109:12) |
| | | Mr Juarez tried to get a list of chemicals from Space X, which did not work out. Afterwhich, Mr. Juarez called Francisco to get a list of chemicals he had worked with. After Francisco provided the list of chemicals, Mr. Juarez emailed Space X requested the MSDS sheets for the chemicals that Francisco listed. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 332:7-337:14) |
| | | Mr. Juarez took a spray for medical problems to clear his airways, but nobody every pinpointed a cause for him to take the medicine. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 249:22-250:12) |
| | | Mr. Juarez filed his worker's compensation claim based on his belief the chemical baths were making him sick as well as a solder wire until he learned only alcohol was used in the baths and only lead free solder wire were used in the filters. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 262:21-263: 13) |
| | | Mr. Juarez had no reason to suspect any wrongdoing on behalf of PVA and Space X, and he did not know the reason he was getting sick when he filed the worker's compensation claim. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|---|
| | | Volume II, 262:21-263:13) |
| | | Mr. Juarez first suspected he was working in a toxic work environment when he received the MSDS sheets from Space X after requesting the MSDS sheets via email after March 3, 2015. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 287:6-288:3, 288:24-289:6) |
| | | Mr. Juarez called Francisco and received a list of the chemicals that Mr. Juarez was exposed to, and he thus requested the MSDS sheets from Space X to forward to his attorney so that could figure out what was going on and what was making him sick. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 235:1-238: 5, 238: 19-22) |
| | | Initially, Mr. Juarez thought his problems were caused by alcohol chemical baths and solder wire, but later he found out that lead-free solder wire was used. Upon this discovery, Mr. Juarez reached out to Francisco and then to Space X to get the MSDS sheets. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 241:4-15) |
| | | Mr. Juarez indicated he does not have a chemistry background at his deposition on March 8, 2018. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 55:21-56:5) |
| | | Mr. Juarez utilized HumiSeal and Arathane with the PVA 350. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume I: 113 :1-3) |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 |
|---|---|
| <p><u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u></p> | <p><u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u></p> <p>Mr. Juarez was exposed to Arathane products at Space X while programming the machine. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II: 224:14-17)</p> <p><u>PVA HAD KNOWLEDGE OF THE CHEMICALS BEING UTILIZED BY SPACE X AND WAS INVOLVED IN SUBSEQUENT MODIFICATIONS TO THE MACHINE INVOLVING VARIOUS CHEMICALS</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• PVA Inc was aware of the chemicals being utilized with the PVA 350. including the <u>transition to Arathane</u>. (See Exhibit 22, PVA 4463-4481)• The April 11, 2012 conformal coating video with Space X boards utilized the <u>same chemicals as used at Space X at PVA Inc.</u> (See Exhibit 9 and Exhibit 22)• On September 19, 2013, PVA Inc. made further modifications to the subject machine including reworking the dispense system on the machine to connect one cartridge to the one gallon tank for "<u>automatic solvent flush of Arathane 5705.</u>" (Exhibit 22, PVA 23 and 24)• On July 30, 2009, PVA/Andrew Haraburda serviced the W3267 (subject PVA 350 machine) and this description of the work/changes included: adding solvent flush option (6 oz cartridge, 3 way ball valve, O-ISPSI Precision |

1 **MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED**
2 **UNCONTROVERTED FACTS**

RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION

3 Regulator, which shows PVA
4 Inc. was continuing to modify
5 and upgrade the machine.
6 Then PVA Inc. specifically
7 recommended Space X alter
8 the solvent to a different
9 solvent stating “Space X may
10 need to use a different solvent
11 (i.e. Xylene).” (Exhibit 22 PVA
12 4449-4452)

13 • Pursuant to email chain
14 dated March 13, 2012 Jonathan
15 Urquhart (PVA) was aware of
16 Space X’s use of Arathane
17 5750 The email specifically
18 states, “I think David has
19 spoken to you about our
20 customer Space X. They build
21 full blown rocket ships. They
22 plan on pre-mixing this
23 material part A part B and a
24 3rd part, thinner in small
25 batches apply to board and
26 the purge system because of
27 shelf life once mixed. Sounds
28 screwy to me.” They were
 looking for a demo on the 27th
 of March. (Exhibit 22, PVA
 4463-4481)

1 • Pursuant to email dated
2 March 15, 2012 to Jonathan
3 Urquhart, PVA was preparing a
4 demo on the PVA 650 and PVA
5 were working with the same
6 chemical materials Space X
7 was utilizing, but PVA did not
8 want Space X to know and
9 PVA indicated they were
10 going to convey to Space X
11 that the materials were
12 similar, but not the exact
13 same. . (Exhibit 22, PVA

1 **MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED**
2 **UNCONTROVERTED FACTS**

RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION

3 4463-4481)

4 • Pursuant to email dated
5 March 30, 2012, the test at PVA
6 Inc. was rescheduled to **4-11-12**
7 **to view the** 3 part mixing
8 process. (Exhibit 22, PVA
9 4463-4481)

10 • Pursuant to email from
11 David Gomez to Johnathan
12 (PVA) Urquhart dated May 11,
13 2012 at 2:11 p.m., Space X
14 talked about retrofitting the
15 PVA 350, and then
16 subsequently there was
17 confirmation from Jon
18 indicating **Space X wanted to**
19 **duplicate what was done**
20 **during the visit.** (Exhibit 22,
21 PVA 4463-4481)

22 • Jon Urquart performed
23 **the demo for Space X on 4-11-**
24 **12.** (Exhibit 22, PVA 4463-
25 4481)

26 • Email dated December 2,
27 2014 at 9:03 a.m. Mr. Urquhart
28 (PVA) indicated to Mr.
29 Matupang there would be 2
30 demos including a coating with
31 **Arathane 2 part mix and**
32 **spray and dispensing using a**
33 **650 or Delta 6 with board**
34 **head, JDX (underfill), SVX**
35 (past), and FC100 (solder
36 mask). (Exhibit 22)

37 • On November 17, 2014,
38 Mr. Urquhart (PVA) asked is
39 Space X wanted to mix on the
40 fly with **5750?** (Exhibit 22)

41 • On January 9, 2013 at

1 **MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED**
2 **UNCONTROVERTED FACTS**

RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION

3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

10:38 a.m. David Gomez (PVA) emailed Brian Ignaut (Space X) doing follow up on the “two part material application project you were working on and kindly presented us with.” There was inquiry as to whether there were any developments in the first few months of 2013. (Exhibit 22)

- On December 3, 2012 at 12:01 PM David Gomez (PVA) wrote Scott Abarta asking for the two part material brand and part # as well as any MSDS and data sheets. Also, there was a request for a shot size and cycle time per part if possible. (Exhibit 22)
- On September 10, 2013, Mr. Gomez (PVA) indicated “they only want a 20 oz cartridge retainer & cap with spray & needle valve for the pre-mix material and 6oz cartridge retainer & cap for the solvent, so it can flush the premixed material out right after doing a batch of boards.” Mr. Gomez indicated he was familiar with the “fluid delivery line” because he had done it “several times.” He also indicated they were “not there yet” for the two part coating spraying. (Exhibit 22)

- On September 9, 2013, Richard Bievenue (PVA) wrote Jonathan Connelly (ccing David Filbert, David Gomez, and Michael R. Leonard) indicating that he spoke with Duc Phan

1 **MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED**
2 **UNCONTROVERTED FACTS**

RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION

3 (Space X) about the 350
4 machine and how Space X
5 was switching to utilizing a 2
6 part material including
7 Arathane 5750. There was
8 discussion about premising
9 the solvents and flushing the
10 solvent valves. Also, there was

interest regarding a 2 component meter mix system.
(Exhibit 22)

11 • On September 18, 2013 at
12 12:41 p.m., Mr. Gomez (PVA)
13 indicated that this is not the
14 first time they have done a
15 two part coating system and
16 he thought they were putting
17 to many resources into this.
18 The email specifically
19 references Arathane 5750.
(Exhibit 22)

20 • On September 13, 2013, from Duc Phan (Space X) to
21 Jonathan Connelly, Michael R. Leonard (ccing Richard Bievenue and David Filbert)
22 explained the process with pictures. Specifically, he
23 indicated that Space X
24 removed the hoses from the
25 spray dispensing head and
26 connected the hoses from the
27 Arathane material and solvent
28 to it. He further explained
when the Arathane material
was not in use they vaped the
solvent hose and connect the
Arathane material hose to the
other head just for a place
holder. He also explained that
Arathane 5750 part A and B
is mixed together and poured
in the first reservoir and the

1 **MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED**
2 **UNCONTROVERTED FACTS**

RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION

3 **second reservoir is the solvent**
4 **use to thin out the mixed**
5 **Arathane for spray**
6 **requirement.** (Exhibit 22)

7 • On September 13, 2013 at
8 6:07 a.m. Jonathan Connelly
9 wrote Duc Phan (Space X).
10 Michael R. Leonard and ccng
11 Richard Bievenue and David
12 Filbert inquiring about the
13 process, requesting a picture,
14 **and asking if the Arathane**
15 **and the solvent was being run**
16 **out of the cartridges mounted**
17 **on the side of the machine.**
18 (Exhibit 22)

19 • On September 10, 2013,
20 Duc Phan (Space X) wrote
21 Michael R. Leonard and
22 Jonathan Connelly, **and there**
23 **was a clear indication that**
24 **Space X was using Arathane**
25 **5750 material with a 2 hour**
26 **cure time, and they were**
27 **concerned the operator would**
28 **forget to purge and rinse the**
 material when the job is done.
 They were looking to
 automate the process after
 coating. (Exhibit 22)

o The sales order dated
September 25, 2013 indicated
PVA job number SPCX2115
W3267 indicated **PVA was**
there to provide onsite
support for Mechanical
Electrical Programming Fluid
Delivery. (Exhibit 22)

o The sales quotation dated
September 19, 2013, stated that
PVA was to “rework the

1 **MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED**
2 **UNCONTROVERTED FACTS**

RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION

3 dispense system on machine
4 serial number W3267 to
5 connect one cartridge to (1)
6 one gallon tank for automatic
7 solvent flush of Arathane

8 5705. This was to include 1) all
9 hoses and fittings needed to
10 complete the integration, 2)
11 pneumatic ball valve for
12 automatic solvent flush, 3)
13 hardware and software needed
14 for integration, and one tank.
15 Further, PVA was to travel to
16 Space X to install the new auto
17 solvent flush system. (Exhibit
18 22)

19 o The purchase order dated
20 September 24, 2013 issued to
21 PVA and shipping to Space X
22 on a Space X purchase order
23 identified a supplier part
24 number B72-01433 and there is
25 a full description of the work
26 to be done including
27 reworking the dispense system
28 for an automatic flush of
29 Arathane 5750 and in similar
30 detail as stated above. There is
31 a separate purchase order for the
32 travel expenditures. (Exhibit 22)

33 • On July 21, 2014 at 6:48
34 p.m. Duc Phan (Space X)
35 emailed Rex indicating the
36 current machine uses both
37 Humiseal and Arathane and
38 there are separate spray
39 valves for both material. They
40 need the pendant purge button
41 to work for both materials.
42 (Exhibit 22)

43 • PVA Inc. circulated
44 diagram clearly states

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|--|--------------------------------------|
| | <p><u>Arathane.</u> Additionally, there is a quote work sheet for the 3 way assembly, pressure tank, hoses, fittings, valves as well as programming and engineering. (Ex 22, PVA 340-347)</p> <p>PVA INC. INSTALLED THE PVA 350 AND CONTINUED TO MODIFIED PROGRAM THROUGHOUT THE PVA 350'S LIFETIME</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">On 6-23-2009 to 6-24-2009, PVA Inc. installed and serviced the W3267 machine at Space X. The work was performed by Andrew Haraburde. He trained Juan Sotelo and Marsha Thompson in installation and training. The installation check list specifically indicated various items were working, but there is an X versus a check mark on the pump and SEMEA boxes. The description of the work/changes including "<u>modified main program (mo2.)</u>" (Exhibit 36 PVA 4449-4452) <p>There are a series of work orders regarding modifications and sales showing PVA Inc. continued to service and support the use and modification of the PVA 350. (Exhibit 25, PVA 7-9, 17-29, 222-234, 250-251, 4449-4452)</p> | |
| 55. Humiseal thinner 521 is also the only thinner plaintiffs allege Juarez used in the PVA 350 in this case. FAC. (Catalona Dec., 60:23-26.) | 55. Disputed to the extent that this fact infers Mr. Juarez was not exposed to additional chemicals. Space X former employee Francisco provided a list of chemicals Mr. Juarez was exposed to while working at | |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 |
|---|---|
| <p><u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u></p> | <p><u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u></p> <p>Space X. Also, Space X started using additional chemicals such as Arathane with the assistance of PVA Inc. Further, disputed to the extent that Mr. Juarez was aware of the all the chemicals he utilized with the PVA 350 as he has no formal or informal chemistry training.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• (Ex 1, Depo. Ruben Juarez Volume I, p. 49:22-50:8, 51:17, 52:8-16, 53:15-21, 54:11-55:15, 55:21-56:8, 56:14-18 , 57:16-23-58:18, 59: 4-5, 59: 22-24,60:17-61:20, 62:1-15)• (Ex. 2 Depo. Ruben Juarez Volume 2 p. 77:5-78:3)• (Ex 3 Depo. Ruben Juarez Volume 1, 49:25-50:3)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 66:19-22, 116:1-14)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 108:20-109:12)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 332:7-337:14)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 249:22-250:12)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 262:21-263: 13)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 262:21-263:13)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 287:6-288:3, 288:24-289:6)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 235:1-238: 5, 238: 19-22)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|--|
| | | <p>II, 241:4-15)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 55:21-56:5)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume I: 113 :1-3)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II: 224:14-17)• (See Exhibit 22, PVA 4463-4481)• (Exhibit 22)• (Exhibit 22, PVA 4463-4481)• (Exhibit 36 PVA 4449-4452)• (Exhibit 36)• (Exhibit 26, PVA 1727)• (Exhibit 36, PVA 1728-1731)• (Exhibit 25, PVA 7-9, 17-29, 222-234, 250-251, 4449-4452) <p><i><u>Specific factual allegations are set forth below for the court's convenience regarding PVA Inc.'s awareness of chemicals utilized by Space X and Mr. Juarez' lack thereof.</u></i></p> <p>At Mr. Juarez' deposition on March 30, 2015, (within the two year filing period) he implicated a number of reasons he could have been injured as he was not yet clear on the specific cause of his injuries, whether wrongdoing had occurred, or whether any wrongdoing specifically caused his injuries. Specifically, Mr. Juarez indicated he was exposed to Humiseal 527 thinner while soaking parts to be cleaned (cleaning bath), doing maintenance work, flushing</p> |

1 **MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED**
2 **UNCONTROVERTED FACTS**

1 **RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION**

2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
equipment, verifying fluids
were flushed, bleeding the lines,
and doing detailed cleaning of
the machines so the operators
could try new chemicals to
better protect the electronic
devices. Further, Mr. Juarez
also discussed his exposure to a
product called solder wire as a
potential cause of injuries when
he would replace the fume
extractor filters while cleaning
re-workstations that were
similar to a fountain also called
a cleaning bath where Mr.
Juarez would remove soldering
tools and reassembling them,
which took a couple of hours.
Mr. Juarez also discussed his
exposure to Humiseal 1A33 for
conformal coating by
programming the machines and
tooling and doing dry/wet runs
while programming the
machines.

(Ex 1, Depo. Ruben Juarez
Volume I, p. 49:22-50:8, 51:17,
52:8-16, 53:15-21, 54:11-55:15,
55:21-56:8, 56:14-18 , 57:16-
23-58:18, 59: 4-5, 59: 22-
24,60:17-61:20, 62:1-15)

On May 20, 2015, Mr. Juarez
indicated at the second session
of his deposition (within the two
year filing period), the wash
station for printed circuit board
assemblies was right next to his
station. (Ex. 2 Depo. Ruben
Juarez Volume 2 p. 77:5-78:3)

The equipment in the rooms
where Mr. Juarez was working
was constantly changing. (Ex 3

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|---|
| | | Depo. Ruben Juarez Volume 1, 49:25-50:3) |
| | | Initially, Mr. Juarez did not know what chemicals were in the chemical baths, and he found out, but the chemical baths did not have anything to do with the PVA 350, and when he filed the worker's compensation claim his claim was derived from the chemical washes. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 66:19-22, 116:1-14) |
| | | Mr. Juarez called a co-worker to get a list of the chemicals utilized in the wash area at Space X, and he may have offered to give the names of the rest of the chemicals used in the conformal coating area. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 108:20-109:12) |
| | | Mr Juarez tried to get a list of chemicals from Space X, which did not work out. Afterwhich, Mr. Juarez called Francisco to get a list of chemicals he had worked with. After Francisco provided the list of chemicals, Mr. Juarez emailed Space X requested the MSDS sheets for the chemicals that Francisco listed. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 332:7-337:14) |
| | | Mr. Juarez took a spray for medical problems to clear his airways, but nobody every pinpointed a cause for him to take the medicine. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 249:22- |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|---|
| | | 250:12) |
| | | Mr. Juarez filed his worker's compensation claim based on his belief the chemical baths were making him sick as well as a solder wire until he learned only alcohol was used in the baths and only lead free solder wire were used in the filters. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 262:21-263: 13) |
| | | Mr. Juarez had no reason to suspect any wrongdoing on behalf of PVA and Space X, and he did not know the reason he was getting sick when he filed the worker's compensation claim. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 262:21-263:13) |
| | | Mr. Juarez first suspected he was working in a toxic work environment when he received the MSDS sheets from Space X after requesting the MSDS sheets via email after March 3, 2015. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 287:6-288:3, 288:24-289:6) |
| | | Mr. Juarez called Francisco and received a list of the chemicals that Mr. Juarez was exposed to, and he thus requested the MSDS sheets from Space X to forward to his attorney so that could figure out what was going on and what was making him sick. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 235:1-238: 5, 238: 19-22) |
| | | Initially, Mr. Juarez thought his |

1 **MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED**
2 **UNCONTROVERTED FACTS**

RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION

3 problems were caused by
4 alcohol chemical baths and
5 solder wire, but later he found
6 out that lead-free solder wire
7 was used. Upon this discovery,
8 Mr. Juarez reached out to
9 Francisco and then to Space X
10 to get the MSDS sheets. (Ex 4
11 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 241:4-
12 15)

13 Mr. Juarez indicated he does not have
14 a chemistry background at his
15 deposition on March 8, 2018. (Ex 3
16 Depo. Juarez Volume I: 55:21-56:5)

17 Mr. Juarez utilized HumiSeal and
18 Arathane with the PVA 350. (Ex 3
19 Depo. Juarez Volume I: 113 :1-3)

20 Mr. Juarez was exposed to Arathane
21 products at Space X while
22 programming the machine. (Ex 4
23 Depo. Juarez Volume II: 224:14-17)
24 II: 224:14-17)

PVA HAD KNOWLEDGE OF
THE CHEMICALS BEING
UTILIZED BY SPACE X AND
WAS INVOLVED IN
SUBSEQUENT
MODIFICATIONS TO THE
MACHINE INVOLVING
VARIOUS CHEMICALS

- PVA Inc was aware of the chemicals being utilized with the PVA 350. including the **transition to Arathane**. (See Exhibit 22, PVA 4463-4481)
- The April 11, 2012 conformal coating video with Space X boards utilized the **same chemicals as used at** Space X at PVA Inc. (See

1 **MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED**
2 **UNCONTROVERTED FACTS**

RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION

3 Exhibit 9 and Exhibit 22)

- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25
- 26
- 27
- 28
- On September 19, 2013, PVA Inc. made further modifications to the subject machine including reworking the dispense system on the machine to connect one cartridge to the one gallon tank for "**automatic solvent flush of Arathane 5705.**" (Exhibit 22, PVA 23 and 24)
 - On July 30, 2009, PVA/Andrew Haraburda serviced the W3267 (subject PVA 350 machine) and this description of the work/changes included: adding solvent flush option (6 oz cartridge, 3 way ball valve, O-ISPSI Precision Regulator, which shows **PVA Inc. was continuing to modify and upgrade the machine.** Then **PVA Inc. specifically recommended Space X alter the solvent to a different solvent stating "Space X may need to use a different solvent (i.e. Xylene).**" (Exhibit 22 PVA 4449-4452)
 - Pursuant to email chain dated March 13, 2012 Jonathan Urquhart (**PVA was aware of Space X's use of Arathane 5750**) The email specifically states, "I think David has spoken to you **about our customer Space X.** They build full blown rocket ships. **They plan on pre-mixing this material part A part B and a 3rd part, thinner in small batches apply to board and**

1 **MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED**
2 **UNCONTROVERTED FACTS**

RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION

3 the purge system because of
4 shelf life once mixed. Sounds
5 screwy to me." They were
6 looking for a demo on the 27th
7 of March. (Exhibit 22, PVA
8 4463-4481)

- 9 • Pursuant to email dated
10 March 15, 2012 to Jonathan
11 Urquhart, PVA was preparing a
12 demo on the PVA 650 and PVA
13 were working with the same
14 chemical materials Space X
15 was utilizing, but PVA did not
16 want Space X to know and
17 PVA indicated they were
18 going to convey to Space X
19 that the materials were
20 similar, but not the exact
21 same. . (Exhibit 22, PVA
22 4463-4481)
- 23 • Pursuant to email dated
24 March 30, 2012, the test at PVA
25 Inc. was rescheduled to 4-11-12
26 to view the 3 part mixing
27 process. (Exhibit 22, PVA
28 4463-4481)
- 29 • Pursuant to email from
30 David Gomez to Johnathan
31 (PVA) Urquhart dated May 11,
32 2012 at 2:11 p.m., Space X
33 talked about retrofitting the
34 PVA 350, and then
35 subsequently there was
36 confirmation from Jon
37 indicating Space X wanted to
38 duplicate what was done
39 during the visit. (Exhibit 22,
40 PVA 4463-4481)
- 41 • Jon Urquart performed
42 the demo for Space X on 4-11-
43 12. (Exhibit 22, PVA 4463-
44 4481)

1 **MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED**
2 **UNCONTROVERTED FACTS**

RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION

3 4481)

- 4 • Email dated December 2,
5 2014 at 9:03 a.m. Mr. Urquhart
6 (PVA) indicated to Mr.
7 Matupang there would be 2
8 demos including a coating with
Arathane 2 part mix and
spray and dispensing using a
650 or Delta 6 with board
head, JDX (underfill), SVX
(past), and FC100 (solder
mask). (Exhibit 22)
- 9 • On November 17, 2014,
10 Mr. Urquhart (PVA) asked if
11 Space X wanted to mix on the
12 fly with **5750?** (Exhibit 22)
- 13 • On January 9, 2013 at
14 10:38 a.m. David Gomez (PVA)
15 emailed Brian Ignaut (Space X)
doing follow up on the “two
part material application
project you were working on
and kindly presented us with.”
16 There was inquiry as to whether
17 there were any developments in
18 the first few months of 2013.
19 (Exhibit 22)
- 20 • On December 3, 2012 at
21 12:01 PM David Gomez (PVA)
22 wrote Scott Abarta asking for
23 the **two part material brand**
and part # as well as any
MSDS and data sheets. Also,
24 there was a request for a shot
25 size and cycle time per part if
26 possible. (Exhibit 22)
- 27 • On **September 10, 2013,**
28 Mr. Gomez (PVA) indicated
“they only want a 20 oz
cartridge retainer & cap with

1 **MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED**
2 **UNCONTROVERTED FACTS**

RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION

3 spray & needle valve for the
4 pre-mix material and 6oz
5 cartridge retainer & cap **for the**
solvent, so it can flush the
premixed material out right
after doing a batch of
boards. Mr. Gomez indicated
6 he was **familiar with the “fluid**
delivery line” because he had
done it “several times.” He
7 also indicated they were **“not**
8 **there yet” for the two part**
9 **coating spraying.** (Exhibit 22)

10 • On September 9, 2013,
11 Richard Bievenue (PVA) wrote
12 Jonathan Connelly (ccing David
13 Filbert, David Gomez, and
14 Michael R. Leonard) indicating
15 that **he spoke with Duc Phan**
(Space X) about the 350
machine and how Space X
was switching to utilizing a 2
part material including
Arathane 5750. There was
discussion about premising
the solvents and flushing the
solvent valves. Also, there was
16 interest regarding a 2
17 component meter mix system.
18 (Exhibit 22)

19 • On September 18, 2013 at
20 12:41 p.m., **Mr. Gomez (PVA)**
21 **indicated that this is not the**
first time they have done a
two part coating system and
he thought they were putting
to many resources into this.
The email specifically
references Arathane 5750.
22 (Exhibit 22)

23 • On September 13, 2013,
24 from Duc Phan (Space X) to

1 **MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED**
2 **UNCONTROVERTED FACTS**

RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION

3 Jonathan Connelly, Michael R.
4 Leonard (ccing Richard
5 Bievenue and David Filbert)
6 explained the process with
7 pictures. Specifically, he
8 indicated that Space X
9 removed the hoses from the
10 spray dispensing head and
11 connected the hoses from the
12 Arathane material and solvent
13 to it. He further explained
14 when the Arathane material
15 was not in use they vaped the
16 solvent hose and connect the
17 Arathane material hose to the
18 other head just for a place
19 holder. He also explained that
20 Arathane 5750 part A and B
21 is mixed together and poured
22 in the first reservoir and the
23 second reservoir is the solvent
24 use to thin out the mixed
25 Arathane for spray
26 requirement. (Exhibit 22)

- On September 13, 2013 at 6:07 a.m. Jonathan Connelly wrote Duc Phan (Space X). Michael R. Leonard and ccng Richard Bievenue and David Filbert inquiring about the process, requesting a picture, and asking if the Arathane
and the solvent was being run
out of the cartridges mounted
on the side of the machine.
(Exhibit 22)
- On September 10, 2013, Duc Phan (Space X)wrote Michael R. Leonard and Jonathan Connelly, and there
was a clear indication that
Space X was using Arathane
5750 material with a 2 hour

1 **MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED**
2 **UNCONTROVERTED FACTS**

RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION

3 cure time, and they were
4 concerned the operator would
5 forget to purge and rinse the
6 material when the job is done.
7 They were looking to
8 automate the process after
9 coating. (Exhibit 22)

10 o The sales order dated
11 September 25, 2013 indicated
12 PVA job number SPCX2115
13 W3267 indicated PVA was
14 there to provide onsite
15 support for Mechanical
16 Electrical Programming Fluid
17 Delivery. (Exhibit 22)

18 o The sales quotation dated
19 September 19, 2013, stated that
20 PVA was to "rework the
21 dispense system on machine
22 serial number W3267 to
23 connect one cartridge to (1)
24 one gallon tank for automatic
25 solvent flush of Arathane
26 5705. This was to include 1) all
27 hoses and fittings needed to
28 complete the integration, 2)
 pneumatic ball valve for
 automatic solvent flush, 3)
 hardware and software needed
 for integration, and one tank.
Further, PVA was to travel to
Space X to install the new auto
solvent flush system. (Exhibit
22)

29 o The purchase order dated
30 September 24, 2013 issued to
31 PVA and shipping to Space X
32 on a Space X purchase order
33 identified a supplier part
34 number B72-01433 and there is
35 a full description of the work
36 to be done including

1 **MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED**
2 **UNCONTROVERTED FACTS**

RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION

3 **reworking the dispense system**
4 **for an automatic flush of**
5 **Arathane 5750** and in similar
6 detail as stated above. There is
7 a separate purchase order for the
8 travel expenditures. (Exhibit 22)

9
10 • On July 21, 2014 at 6:48
11 p.m. Duc Phan (Space X) p.m.
12 emailed Rex indicating the emailed Rex indicating the
13 **current machine uses both**
14 **Humiseal and Arathane and**
15 **there are separate spray**
16 **valves for both material.** They
17 need the pendant purge button
18 to work for both materials.
19 (Exhibit 22)

20 • PVA Inc. circulated
21 diagram clearly states
22 **Arathane.** Additionally, there
23 is a quote work sheet for the 3
24 way assembly, pressure tank,
25 hoses, fittings, valves as well as
26 programming and engineering.
27 (Ex 22, PVA 340-347)

28
1 **PVA INC. INSTALLED THE PVA**
2 **350 AND CONTINUED TO**
3 **MODIFIED PROGRAM**
4 **THROUGHOUT THE PVA 350'S**
5 **LIFETIME**

6 • On 6-23-2009 to 6-24-2009,
7 PVA Inc. installed and serviced the
8 W3267 machine at Space X. The
9 work was performed by Andrew
10 Haraburde. He trained Juan Sotelo
11 and Marsha Thompson in installation
12 and training. The installation check
13 list specifically indicated various items
14 were working, but there is an X versus
15 a check mark on the pump and
16 SEMEA boxes. The description of the

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|---|
| | <p>56. In his February 3, 2015 report, Dr. Regev recommended Juarez should be "seen by a toxicologist with the MSDS and working environment analysis."</p> <p>Juarez Depo.; Regev Report.</p> <p>(Catalona Dec., 337:20, 338:17-19, 370.)</p> | <p>work/changes including "<u>modified main program (mo2).</u>" (Exhibit 36 PVA 4449-4452)</p> <p>There are a series of work orders regarding modifications and sales showing PVA Inc. continued to service and support the use and modification of the PVA 350. (Exhibit 25, PVA 7-9, 17-29, 222-234, 250-251, 4449-4452)</p> <p>56.Undisputed that this is what Dr. Regev said.</p> <p>Disputed to the extent the fact infers that Mr. Juarez did not act diligently after seeing Mr. Regev to uncover the cause of his injuries as Mr. Juarez asked for the MSDS sheets and then obtained them from Space X and then brought these to a toxicologist. At the time of this appointment the cause of Mr. Juarez' injuries and headaches was still unknown (with possible lead exposure/cleaning baths as a possibility), which is why Mr. Regev requested that Mr. Juarez follow up to obtain the MSDS and a toxicologist so the cause of the injuries could be determined. Further, this fact only cites a part of Mr. Juarez' deposition testimony, which is misleading and additional citations are listed below. Further, Mr. Regev did not indicate at this appointment that Mr. Juarez should avoid any particular chemical or</p> |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|---|
| | | <p>that any chemical was dangerous as the exact chemicals being utilized at the work place were unknown.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• (Exhibit 46)• (Exhibit 48, Isaac Regev M.D. 2-3-15)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 108:20-109:12)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 332:7-337:14)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 249:22-250:12)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 262:21-263:13)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 262:21-263:13)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 287:6-288:3, 288:24-289:6)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 235:1-238: 5, 238: 19-22)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 241:4-15) <p><i><u>Specific factual references with citations are set forth below for the court's convenience regarding Mr. Juarez' claim and when he first had a reasonable suspicion.</u></i></p> <p>Mr. Juarez called a co-worker to get a list of the chemicals utilized in the wash area at Space X, and he may have offered to give the names of the rest of the chemicals used in the conformal coating area. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 108:20-109:12)</p> <p>Mr Juarez tried to get a list of chemicals from Space X, which did not work out. Afterwhich, Mr. Juarez called Francisco to get a list of</p> |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|---|
| | | chemicals he had worked with. After Francisco provided the list of chemicals, Mr. Juarez emailed Space X requested the MSDS sheets for the chemicals that Francisco listed. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 332:7-337:14) |
| | | Mr. Juarez took a spray for medical problems to clear his airways, but nobody every pinpointed a cause for him to take the medicine. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 249:22-250:12) |
| | | Mr. Juarez filed his worker's compensation claim based on his belief the chemical baths were making him sick as well as a solder wire until he learned only alcohol was used in the baths and only lead free solder wire were used in the filters. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 262:21-263:13) |
| | | Mr. Juarez had no reason to suspect any wrongdoing on behalf of PVA and Space X, and he did not know the reason he was getting sick when he filed the worker's compensation claim. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 262:21-263:13) |
| | | Mr. Juarez first suspected he was working in a toxic work environment when he received the MSDS sheets from Space X after requesting the MSDS sheets via email after March 3, 2015. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 287:6-288:3, 288:24-289:6) |
| | | Mr. Juarez called Francisco and received a list of the chemicals that Mr. Juarez was exposed to, and he thus requested the MSDS sheets from Space X to forward to his attorney so that could figure out what was going on and what was making him sick. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 235:1-238: 5, 238: 19-22) |
| | | Initially, Mr. Juarez thought his problems were caused by alcohol |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|--|
| | <p>57. On March 3, 2015, Juarez emailed Jane Malubag in SpaceX's human resources department to get copies of the MSDS sheets for "1. Arathane two part mix. 2. Thinner 521. 3. 63/67 Eutectic solder wire. 4. Humiseal 1A33 conformal coating. 5. Isopropyl alcohol (IPA)."</p> <p>March 3, 2015 Email.</p> <p>(Catalona Decl., 485-486.)</p> | <p>chemical baths and solder wire, but later he found out that lead-free solder wire was used. Upon this discovery, Mr. Juarez reached out to Francisco and then to Space X to get the MSDS sheets. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 241:4-15)</p> <p>57. Undisputed to the extent this is what Mr. Juarez indicates in his email on March 3, 2015.</p> <p>Disputed to the extent that this email infers Mr. Juarez was aware of all the chemicals he worked with on his own. Mr. Juarez called a fellow employee and requested a list of all the chemicals he worked with. After the call, Mr. Juarez contacted Space X to get copies of the MSDS sheets. Also, Ms. Malubag's response indicated that the MSDS sheets would be sent to Mr. Juarez' attorney and insurance company.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• (Exhibit 46 Emails regarding the MSDS sheets)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 108:20-109:12)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 332:7-337:14)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 249:22-250:12)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 262:21-263:13)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 262:21-263:13)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 262:21-263:13) |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|---|
| | | II, 287:6-288:3, 288:24-289:6) • (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 235:1-238: 5, 238: 19-22) • (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 241:4-15) |
| | | <i><u>Specific factual references with citations are set forth below for the court's convenience regarding Mr. Juarez' discovery of the list of chemicals and the MSDS sheets.</u></i> |
| | | Mr. Juarez called a co-worker to get a list of the chemicals utilized in the wash area at Space X, and he may have offered to give the names of the rest of the chemicals used in the conformal coating area. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 108:20-109:12) |
| | | Mr Juarez tried to get a list of chemicals from Space X, which did not work out. Afterwhich, Mr. Juarez called Francisco to get a list of chemicals he had worked with. After Francisco provided the list of chemicals, Mr. Juarez emailed Space X requested the MSDS sheets for the chemicals that Francisco listed. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 332:7-337:14) |
| | | Mr. Juarez filed his worker's compensation claim based on his belief the chemical baths were making him sick as well as a solder wire until he learned only alcohol was used in the baths and only lead free solder wire were used in the filters. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 262:21-263:13) |
| | | Mr. Juarez had no reason to suspect any wrongdoing on behalf of PVA and Space X, and he did not know the reason he was getting sick when he filed the worker's compensation |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|---|
| | | <p>claim. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 262:21-263:13)</p> <p>Mr. Juarez first suspected he was working in a toxic work environment when he received the MSDS sheets from Space X after requesting the MSDS sheets via email after March 3, 2015. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 287:6-288:3, 288:24-289:6)</p> <p>Mr. Juarez called Francisco and received a list of the chemicals that Mr. Juarez was exposed to, and he thus requested the MSDS sheets from Space X to forward to his attorney so that could figure out what was going on and what was making him sick. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 235:1-238: 5, 238: 19-22)</p> <p>Initially, Mr. Juarez thought his problems were caused by alcohol chemical baths and solder wire, but later he found out that lead-free solder wire was used. Upon this discovery, Mr. Juarez reached out to Francisco and then to Space X to get the MSDS sheets. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 241:4-15)</p> |
| 58. These MSDS sheets were then provided to Ruben Juarez's workers' compensation attorneys. | Catalona Dec.; FAC; Responses to Interrogatories 13-14 and Document Requests 13-14; Juarez Workers' Comp. Depo.; MSDS Sheets. (Catalona Dec., 7:24-9:5, 63:1-4, 132:23-133:13, 186:12-26, 448:12-21, 618-683.) | 58. Objection vague as to time. Disputed. Mr. Juarez obtained the MSDS sheets in March 2015 less than 2 years before he filed the complaint. |

| <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|--|
| 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <ul style="list-style-type: none">• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 287:6-288:3, 288:24-289:6)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 235:1-238: 5, 238: 19-22)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 241:4-15)• (FAC) |
| 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 <p>59. Copies of the MSDS sheets plaintiff received are attached to this motion as Exhibits 48 through 55.</p> <p>Catalona Dec.; FAC; Responses to Interrogatories 13-14 and Document Requests 13-14; MSDS sheets.</p> <p>(Catalona Dec., 7:24-9:5, 63:1-4, 132:23-133:13, 186:12-26, 618-683.)</p> <p>60. Plaintiffs allege that it was not until then that Juarez "first suspected that the PVA 350 might have caused his injuries."</p> <p>FAC.</p> <p>(Catalona Dec., 63:1-4.)</p> | <p>59. Undisputed.</p> <p>60. Objection vague and ambiguous as to time including "it" and "then."</p> <p>Disputed. This record shows that Mr. Juarez' ongoing thought that his headaches were due to his medical condition of having an aneurysm or due to Mr. Juarez' unique sensitivities. Then, after his aneurysm, Mr. Juarez realized his headaches had not gone away and he guessed that his headaches were caused by exposure to the nearby chemical bath and those lead wires in nearby filters. It was not until Mr. Juarez received the MSDS sheets in</p> |

1 **MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED**
2 **UNCONTROVERTED FACTS**

RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION

March of 2015, that Mr. Juarez felt his headaches were associated from chemical exposure from something other than the chemical bath or lead wiring. This report was prepared in 2015.

The First Amended Complaint alleges as follows: "Up until May of 2015, Plaintiff RUBEN JUAREZ thought that his injury was caused by lead solder wire and cleaning solution to clean electronic parts operated by other Space X employees near Plaintiff's work station. The solder wire and the cleaning solution had nothing to do with the PVA 350—they were not even housed in the same location as the PVA 350. It was not until May of 2015, when Plaintiff Juarez saw the MSDS sheets from Space X that he first saw that the solder wire was actually lead-free and the detergent was only alcohol and that he first suspected that the PVA 350 might have caused his injuries. Therefore, despite diligent investigation of the circumstances of the injury, Plaintiff RUBEN JUAREZ could not have reasonably discovered facts supporting the cause of action against PVA within the application statute of limitations period. (Exhibit 38, FAC 7:24-8:7)

- (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 66:19-22, 116:1-14)
- (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 108:20-109:12)
- (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|--|
| | <p>61. In their original complaint filed in the state court action, plaintiffs alleged that “[p]laintiff RUBEN JUAREZ did not suspect that <i>the chemicals</i> may have caused his injuries until March of 2015 when he, for the first time, received the MSDS of <i>the chemicals</i>. ”</p> <p>Complaint.</p> <p>(Catalona Dec., 15:14-15 (emphasis added).)</p> | <p>II, 332:7-337:14)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 249:22-250:12)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 262:21-263: 13)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 287:6-288:3, 288:24-289:6)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 235:1-238: 5, 238: 19-22)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 241:4-15) <p>61.Undisputed at to what the Complaint states.</p> <p>Disputed to the extent that the fact infers chemicals are the cause of the Mr. Juarez’s injuries and not the defects in the PVA 350 that lead to the chemical exposure. This fact is misleading as the original complaint filed by Plaintiffs alleged a multitude of facts alleging defects in the PVA 350 that caused Plaintiffs’ injuries including the following statements: Plaintiff RUBEN JUAREZ also noticed that chemicals often leak out of the PVA 350 from the bottom</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• (Exhibit 45 Complaint, 4:6-7, 7:8-8:42)• (Ex 1, Depo. Ruben Juarez Volume I, p. 49:22-50:8, 51:17, 52:8-16, 53:15-21, 54:11-55:15, 55:21-56:8, |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|--|--|
| | | <p>56:14-18 , 57:16-23- 58:18, 59: 4-5, 59: 22- 24,60:17-61:20, 62:1-15)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• (Ex. 2 Depo. Ruben Juarez Volume 2 p. 77:5-78:3)• (Ex 3 Depo. Ruben Juarez Volume 1, 49:25-50:3)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 66:19-22, 116:1-14)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 108:20-109:12)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 332:7-337:14)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 249:22-250:12)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 262:21-263: 13)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 262:21-263:13)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 287:6-288:3, 288:24-289:6)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 235:1-238: 5, 238: 19-22)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 241:4-15) |
| 62. The MSDS sheets for all chemicals used in the conformal coating rooms, including Humiseal and Arathane, were accessible on the computer Juarez used at his workstation and in a three-ring binder kept 3-4 feet from the PVA 350. Maxwell Dec.; Phan Dec.; Hwang Dec. (Maxwell Dec., 1:27-2:19, 3:16-21; Phan | 62. Objection to the extent the declarants do not have personal knowledge of the alleged facts stated in the declarations. Disputed to the extent the MSDS sheets for all chemicals used in the conformal coating rooms, including Humiseal and Arathane were not | |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|--|--------------------------------------|
| | Dec., 2:1-5; Hwang Dec., 1:27-2:4.) accessible on the computer, at Mr. Juarez' workstation, and not in a 3 ring binder close to the PVA. Disputed. This partial power point that periodically lists the term MSDS and photographs of MSDS is in any way sufficient training regarding the use, location, and/or training as to the contents of the MSDS. Further, it is disputed. Mr. Juarez was never given MSDS sheets, he did not have access to the MSDS sheets, and he had no chemical training either formal or informal to understand the MSDS sheets. <ul style="list-style-type: none">• (Dec. Christopher Mendoza)• (Dec. Manuel Gutierrez)• (Defendant's Exhibit 91)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 121: 22- 122:20, 122:22-123:1)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume I: 123:19-124:12)• (Ex. 1 Depo. Ruben Juarez Volume 1 p. 60:6-25)• (Dec. Ruben Juarez)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume I: 139:17-20)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1 144:12-16)• (Ex 1, Depo. Ruben Juarez Volume I, p. 49:22-50:8, 51:17, 52:8-16, 53:15-21, | |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|--|
| | | <p>54:11-55:15, 55:21-56:8, 56:14-18 , 57:16-23- 58:18, 59: 4-5, 59: 22- 24,60:17-61:20, 62:1-15)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• (Ex. 2 Depo. Ruben Juarez Volume 2 p. 77:5-78:3)• (Ex 3 Depo. Ruben Juarez Volume 1, 49:25-50:3)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 66:19-22, 116:1-14)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 108:20-109:12)• .(Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 332:7-337:14)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 249:22-250:12)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 262:21-263: 13)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 262:21-263:13)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 287:6-288:3, 288:24- 289:6)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 235:1-238: 5, 238: 19- 22)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 241:4-15) <p><i><u>Specific factual references with citations are set forth below for the court's convenience disputing the alleged facts that Mr. Juarez reviewed the MSDS sheets and allegedly mixed the Arathane and Humiseal.</u></i></p> |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|---|
| | | Mr. Mendoza never saw Ruben mixing chemicals at Space X. Further, while being an employee at Space X. Mr. Mendoza was never trained regarding how to read, interpret, and locate MSDS sheets. Further, Mr. Mendoza indicated Space X was using Humiseal since 2010, and he was never provided any Standard Operating Procedures. (Dec. Christopher Mendoza) |
| | | Mr. Gutierrez indicated he never saw a binder of MSDS sheets nor did he ever see any MSDS sheets in the conformal coating area. Further, he never saw any Standard of Practice while working with Space X. Moreover, Mr. Gutierrez never saw Ruben mix chemicals, but he saw Francisco mix chemicals. (Dec. Manuel Gutierrez) |
| | | Initially, Mr. Juarez did not know what chemicals were in the chemical baths, and he found out, but the chemical baths did not have anything to do with the PVA 350, and when he filed the worker's compensation claim his claim was derived from the chemical washes. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 66:19-22, 116:1-14) |
| | | Mr. Juarez called a co-worker to get a list of the chemicals utilized in the wash area at Space X, and he may have offered to give the names of the rest of the chemicals used in the |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|---|
| | | conformal coating area. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 108:20-109:12) |
| | | Mr Juarez tried to get a list of chemicals from Space X, which did not work out. Afterwhich, Mr. Juarez called Francisco to get a list of chemicals he had worked with. After Francisco provided the list of chemicals, Mr. Juarez emailed Space X requested the MSDS sheets for the chemicals that Francisco listed. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 332:7-337:14) |
| | | Mr. Juarez filed his worker's compensation claim based on his belief the chemical baths were making him sick as well as a solder wire until he learned only alcohol was used in the baths and only lead free solder wire were used in the filters. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 262:21-263:13) |
| | | Mr. Juarez had no reason to suspect any wrongdoing on behalf of PVA and Space X, and he did not know the reason he was getting sick when he filed the worker's compensation claim. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 262:21-263:13) |
| | | Mr. Juarez first suspected he was working in a toxic work environment when he received the MSDS sheets from Space X after requesting the MSDS sheets via email after March 3, |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|--|--|
| | | <p>2015. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 287:6-288:3, 288:24-289:6)</p> <p>Mr. Juarez called Francisco and received a list of the chemicals that Mr. Juarez was exposed to, and he thus requested the MSDS sheets from Space X to forward to his attorney so that could figure out what was going on and what was making him sick. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 235:1-238: 5, 238: 19-22)</p> <p>Initially, Mr. Juarez thought his problems were caused by alcohol chemical baths and solder wire, but later he found out that lead-free solder wire was used. Upon this discovery, Mr. Juarez reached out to Francisco and then to Space X to get the MSDS sheets. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 241:4-15)</p> |
| 63. SpaceX complied with its own internal rules which required MSDS sheets to “be readily accessible to employees in their work area during all work shifts.” | Maxwell Dec.; Phan Dec.; Hwang Dec.; SpaceX Hazard Communication Training Course. (Maxwell Dec., 1:27-2:19, 3:16-21, 58-59; Phan Dec., 2:1-5; Hwang Dec., 1:27-2:4; Dhillon Dec., 61-62.) | 63. Objection to the extent the declarants do not have personal knowledge of the alleged facts stated in the declarations. Disputed that Space X complied with its own internal rules which require MSDS sheets to “be readily accessible to employees in their work area during all work shifts.” Disputed to the extent this partial power point that periodically |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|---|
| | | <p>lists the term MSDS and photographs of MSDS is in any way sufficient training regarding the use, location, and/or training as to the contents of the MSDS.</p> <p>Disputed. Mr. Juarez was never given MSDS sheets, he did not have access to the MSDS sheets, and he had no chemical training either formal or informal to understand the MSDS sheets.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• (Dec. Christopher Mendoza)• (Dec. Manuel Gutierrez)• Defendant's Exhibit 91• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 55:21-56:5)• (Ex. 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II: 172:17-25)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II: 182:1-5)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II: 220:5-11)• (Ex 1, Depo. Ruben Juarez Volume I, p. 49:22-50:8, 51:17, 52:8-16, 53:15-21, 54:11-55:15, 55:21-56:8, 56:14-18 , 57:16-23- 58:18, 59: 4-5, 59: 22-24,60:17- 61:20, 62:1-15)• (Ex. 2 Depo. Ruben Juarez Volume 2 p. 77:5-78:3)• (Ex 3 Depo. Ruben Juarez Volume 1, 49:25-50:3)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 66:19-22, 116:1-14)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 108:20-109:12)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|---|
| | | <p>332:7-337:14)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 249:22-250:12)• . (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 262:21-263: 13)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 262:21-263:13)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 287:6-288:3, 288:24-289:6)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 235:1-238: 5, 238: 19-22)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 241:4-15) |
| | | <p><i><u>Specific factual references with citations are set forth below for the court's convenience disputing the alleged facts that Mr. Juarez reviewed the MSDS sheets and allegedly mixed the Arathane and Humiseal.</u></i></p> |
| | | <p>Mr. Mendoza never saw Ruben mixing chemicals at Space X. Further, while being an employee at Space X. Mr. Mendoza was never was never trained regarding how to read, interpret, and locate MSDS sheets. Further, Mr. Mendoza indicated Space X was using Humiseal since 2010, and he was never provided any Standard Operating Procedures. (Dec. Christopher Mendoza)</p> |
| | | <p>Mr. Gutierrez indicated he never saw a binder of MSDS sheets nor did he ever see any MSDS sheets in the conformal coating area. Further, he never saw</p> |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|---|
| | | any Standard of Practice while working with Space X. Moreover, Mr. Gutierrez never saw Ruben mix chemicals, but he saw Francisco mix chemicals. (Dec. Manuel Gutierrez) |
| | | Mr. Juarez indicated he does not have a chemistry background at his deposition on March 8, 2018. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 55:21-56:5) |
| | | Mr. Juarez was not given any Standard Operating Procedures that pertained to how he was to perform his job. (Ex. 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II: 172:17-25) |
| | | Initially, when Mr. Juarez was hired, he was hired as an SMT and thus the SOP cited by defense was not applicable. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II: 182:1-5) |
| | | Mr. Juarez was never given any written instructions with the incoming Humiseal products that were delivered to Space X. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II: 220:5-11) |
| | | On May 20, 2015, Mr. Juarez indicated at the second session of his deposition (within the two year filing period), the wash station for printed circuit board assemblies was right next to his station. (Ex. 2 Depo. Ruben Juarez Volume 2 p. 77:5-78:3) |
| | | The equipment in the rooms where Mr. Juarez was working was constantly changing. (Ex 3 Depo. Ruben Juarez Volume 1, 49:25-50:3) |
| | | Initially, Mr. Juarez did not know what chemicals were in the chemical baths, and he found out, but the chemical baths did not have anything to do with the PVA 350, and when he filed the worker's compensation claim his claim was derived from the chemical washes. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 66:19-22, 116:1-14) |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|---|
| | | Mr. Juarez called a co-worker to get a list of the chemicals utilized in the wash area at Space X, and he may have offered to give the names of the rest of the chemicals used in the conformal coating area. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 108:20-109:12) |
| | | Mr Juarez tried to get a list of chemicals from Space X, which did not work out. Afterwhich, Mr. Juarez called Francisco to get a list of chemicals he had worked with. After Francisco provided the list of chemicals, Mr. Juarez emailed Space X requested the MSDS sheets for the chemicals that Francisco listed. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 332:7-337:14) |
| | | Mr. Juarez took a spray for medical problems to clear his airways, but nobody every pinpointed a cause for him to take the medicine. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 249:22-250:12) |
| | | Mr. Juarez filed his worker's compensation claim based on his belief the chemical baths were making him sick as well as a solder wire until he learned only alcohol was used in the baths and only lead free solder wire were used in the filters. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 262:21-263:13) |
| | | Mr. Juarez had no reason to suspect any wrongdoing on behalf of PVA and Space X, and he did not know the reason he was getting sick when he filed the worker's compensation claim. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 262:21-263:13) |
| | | Mr. Juarez first suspected he was working in a toxic work environment when he received the MSDS sheets from Space X after requesting the MSDS sheets via email after March 3, 2015. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 287:6-288:3, 288:24-289:6) |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 |
|--|--|
| <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
| <p>64. The MSDS sheets were also accessible on at least 14 to 18 different computers located inside and outside the conformal coating rooms where Mr. Juarez worked.</p> <p>Maxwell Dec.</p> <p>(Maxwell Dec., 2:4-8.)</p> | <p>Mr. Juarez called Francisco and received a list of the chemicals that Mr. Juarez was exposed to, and he thus requested the MSDS sheets from Space X to forward to his attorney so that could figure out what was going on and what was making him sick. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 235:1-238: 5, 238: 19-22)</p> <p>Initially, Mr. Juarez thought his problems were caused by alcohol chemical baths and solder wire, but later he found out that lead-free solder wire was used. Upon this discovery, Mr. Juarez reached out to Francisco and then to Space X to get the MSDS sheets. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 241:4-15)</p> <p>64. Objection. Mr. Maxwell was not Mr. Juarez' direct supervisor and thus has no personal knowledge of what Mr. Juarez had access to or his level of knowledge or Mr. Juarez' ability/background to read and interpret a MSDS sheet.</p> <p>Disputed. Mr. Juarez was never given MSDS sheets, he did not have access to the MSDS sheets, and he had no chemical training either formal or informal to understand the MSDS sheets.</p> <p>Mr. Juarez did not hand mix Humiseal with Arathane. (Dec. Ruben Juarez, paragraph 9)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• (Ex 1, Depo. Ruben Juarez Volume I, p. 49:22-50:8, 51:17, 52:8-16, 53:15-21, 54:11-55:15, 55:21-56:8, 56:14-18 , 57:16-23- |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|--|
| | | <p>58:18, 59: 4-5, 59: 22-24,60:17-61:20, 62:1-15)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• (Ex. 2 Depo. Ruben Juarez Volume 2 p. 77:5-78:3)• (Ex 3 Depo. Ruben Juarez Volume 1, 49:25-50:3)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 66:19-22, 116:1-14)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 108:20-109:12)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 332:7-337:14)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 249:22-250:12)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 262:21-263: 13)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 262:21-263:13)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 287:6-288:3, 288:24-289:6)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 235:1-238: 5, 238: 19-22)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 241:4-15)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 121: 22- 122:20, 122:22-123:1)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume I: 123:19-124:12)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 55:21-56:5)• (Exhibit 31, PVA 51)• (Exhibit 31, PVA30-32)• (Exhibit 31, PVA 40-41)• (Exhibit 15, PVA 69)• (Exhibit 16, PVA 0272)• (Exhibit 31, PVA 30-32, 51)• (Dec. Christopher Mendoza) |

1 **MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED**
2 **UNCONTROVERTED FACTS**

1 **RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION**

- 2 • (Dec. Manuel Gutierrez)

3 *Specific factual references with*
4 *citations are set forth below for the*
5 *court's convenience disputing the*
6 *alleged facts that Mr. Juarez*
7 *reviewed the MSDS sheets and*
8 *allegedly mixed the Arathane and*
9 *Humiseal.*

10 Mr. Mendoza never saw Ruben
11 mixing chemicals at Space X. Further,
12 while being an employee at Space X.
13 Mr. Mendoza was never was never
14 trained regarding how to read,
15 interpret, and locate MSDS sheets.
16 Further, Mr. Mendoza indicated Space
17 X was using Humiseal since 2010, and
18 he was never provided any Standard
19 Operating Procedures. (Dec.
20 Christopher Mendoza)

21 Mr. Gutierrez indicated he never saw a
22 binder of MSDS sheets nor did he ever
23 see any MSDS sheets in the conformal
24 coating area. Further, he never saw
25 any Standard of Practice while
26 working with Space X. Moreover,
27 Mr. Gutierrez never saw Ruben mix
28 chemicals, but he saw Francisco mix
 chemicals. (Dec. Manuel Gutierrez)

 The equipment in the rooms where
 Mr. Juarez was working was
 constantly changing. (Ex 3 Depo.
 Ruben Juarez Volume 1, 49:25-50:3)

 Initially, Mr. Juarez did not know
 what chemicals were in the chemical
 baths, and he found out, but the

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|---|
| | | chemical baths did not have anything to do with the PVA 350, and when he filed the worker's compensation claim his claim was derived from the chemical washes. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 66:19-22, 116:1-14) |
| | | Mr. Juarez called a co-worker to get a list of the chemicals utilized in the wash area at Space X, and he may have offered to give the names of the rest of the chemicals used in the conformal coating area. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 108:20-109:12) |
| | | Mr Juarez tried to get a list of chemicals from Space X, which did not work out. Afterwhich, Mr. Juarez called Francisco to get a list of chemicals he had worked with. After Francisco provided the list of chemicals, Mr. Juarez emailed Space X requested the MSDS sheets for the chemicals that Francisco listed. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 332:7-337:14) |
| | | Mr. Juarez took a spray for medical problems to clear his airways, but nobody every pinpointed a cause for him to take the medicine. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 249:22-250:12) |
| | | Mr. Juarez filed his worker's compensation claim based on his belief the chemical baths were making him sick as well as a solder wire until he learned only alcohol was used in |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|---|
| | | the baths and only lead free solder wire were used in the filters. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 262:21-263:13) |
| | | Mr. Juarez had no reason to suspect any wrongdoing on behalf of PVA and Space X, and he did not know the reason he was getting sick when he filed the worker's compensation claim. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 262:21-263:13) |
| | | Mr. Juarez first suspected he was working in a toxic work environment when he received the MSDS sheets from Space X after requesting the MSDS sheets via email after March 3, 2015. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 287:6-288:3, 288:24-289:6) |
| | | Mr. Juarez called Francisco and received a list of the chemicals that Mr. Juarez was exposed to, and he thus requested the MSDS sheets from Space X to forward to his attorney so that could figure out what was going on and what was making him sick. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 235:1-238: 5, 238: 19-22) |
| | | Initially, Mr. Juarez thought his problems were caused by alcohol chemical baths and solder wire, but later he found out that lead-free solder wire was used. Upon this discovery, Mr. Juarez reached out to Francisco and then to Space X to get the MSDS |

| <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|--|---|
| | <p>sheets. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 241:4-15)</p> <p>Mr. Juarez was trained by PVA Inc. to open the door of the machine to perform programming job duties. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 121: 22-122:20, 122:22-123:1) Mr. Juarez believe it was unsafe for the operators to open the machine door and operate the PVA 350 because they could get their hand stuck in the machine, but he did not have a chemistry background so he did not know whether the chemicals were harmful or not, and he relied on the training provided by PVA Inc. that he could put his head safely in the machine while programming the machine. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume I: 123:19-124:12)</p> <p>Mr. Juarez indicated he does not have a chemistry background at his deposition on March 8, 2018. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 55:21-56:5)</p> |
| 65. Juarez consulted the MSDS sheets for Humiseal and Arathane to create experimental and test batches of these materials when SpaceX created the formula it started using in PVA's machine in 2012. Maxwell Dec.; Urquhart Dec.; Hwang Dec., PVA Business Records; NVOC Specification; March, 2012 emails. (Maxwell Dec., 2:23-28; Urquhart Dec., 2:15-17, 28, 63, 230; Hwang Dec., 2:5-12, 35-41.) | 65. Objection to the extent the declarants do not have personal knowledge of the alleged facts stated in the declarations. Disputed. Mr. Juarez did not consult MSDS sheets for Humiseal and Arathane to create experimental and test batches of these materials. Disputed. Mr. Juarez was never given MSDS sheets, he did not |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|--|
| | | <p>have knowledge sufficient to gain access to the MSDS sheets, and he had no chemical training either formal or informal to understand the MSDS sheets. Mr. Juarez never created experimental test batches of Humiseal and Arathane when working with Space X. The only person mixing the chemicals was David Hwang and Francisco would load and mix the machines.</p> |
| | | <p>Mr. Juarez did not hand mix Humiseal with Arathane. (Dec. Ruben Juarez, paragraph 9)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• (Dec. Christopher Mendoza)• (Dec. Manuel Gutierrez)• (Defense Exhibit 91)• (Dec. Ruben Juarez)• (Ex 1, Depo. Ruben Juarez Volume I, p. 49:22-50:8, 51:17, 52:8-16, 53:15-21, 54:11-55:15, 55:21-56:8, 56:14-18 , 57:16-23-58:18, 59: 4-5, 59: 22-24,60:17-61:20, 62:1-15)• (Ex. 2 Depo. Ruben Juarez Volume 2 p. 77:5-78:3)• (Ex 3 Depo. Ruben Juarez Volume 1, 49:25-50:3)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 66:19-22, 116:1-14) |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|---|
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none">• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume I: 108:20-109:12)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 332:7-337:14)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 249:22-250:12)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 262:21-263: 13)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 262:21-263:13)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 287:6-288:3, 288:24-289:6)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 235:1-238: 5, 238: 19-22)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 241:4-15)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume I: 123:19-124:12)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume I: 55:21-56:5)• (Ex. 1 Depo. Ruben Juarez Volume 1 p. 60:6-25)• (Dec. Ruben Juarez)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume I: 139:17-20)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume I 144:12-16)• (See Exhibit 22, PVA 4463-4481)• (Exhibit 22, PVA 23 and 24)• (Exhibit 22 PVA 4449-4452)• (Exhibit 22 PVA 4463-4481) |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|--|
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none">• (Exhibit 36 PVA 4449-4452)• (Exhibit 36)• (Exhibit 36, PVA 1728-1731)• (Exhibit 25, PVA 7-9, 17-29, 222-234, 250-251, 4449-4452)• (Exhibit 31, PVA 51)• (Exhibit 31, PVA 30-32)• (Exhibit 31, PVA 40-41)• (Exhibit 15, PVA 69)• (Exhibit 16, PVA 0272)• (Exhibit 31, PVA 30-32, 51) |
| | | <p><i><u>Specific factual references with citations are set forth below for the court's convenience disputing the alleged facts that Mr. Juarez reviewed the MSDS sheets and allegedly mixed the Arathane and Humiseal.</u></i></p> |
| | | <p>Mr. Mendoza never saw Ruben mixing chemicals at Space X. Further, while being an employee at Space X. Mr. Mendoza was never trained regarding how to read, interpret, and locate MSDS sheets. Further, Mr. Mendoza indicated Space X was using Humiseal since 2010, and he was never provided any Standard Operating Procedures. (Dec. Christopher Mendoza)</p> |
| | | <p>Mr. Gutierrez indicated he never saw a binder of MSDS sheets nor did he ever see any MSDS sheets in the conformal</p> |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|---|
| | | coating area. Further, he never saw any Standard of Practice while working with Space X. Moreover, Mr. Gutierrez never saw Ruben mix chemicals, but he saw Francisco mix chemicals. (Dec. Manuel Gutierrez) |
| | | Initially, Mr. Juarez did not know what chemicals were in the chemical baths, and he found out, but the chemical baths did not have anything to do with the PVA 350, and when he filed the worker's compensation claim his claim was derived from the chemical washes. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 66:19-22, 116:1-14) |
| | | Mr. Juarez called a co-worker to get a list of the chemicals utilized in the wash area at Space X, and he may have offered to give the names of the rest of the chemicals used in the conformal coating area. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 108:20-109:12) |
| | | Mr Juarez tried to get a list of chemicals from Space X, which did not work out. Afterwhich, Mr. Juarez called Francisco to get a list of chemicals he had worked with. After Francisco provided the list of chemicals, Mr. Juarez emailed Space X requested the MSDS sheets for the chemicals that Francisco listed. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 332:7-337:14) |
| | | Mr. Juarez filed his worker's compensation claim based on his |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|---|
| | | belief the chemical baths were making him sick as well as a solder wire until he learned only alcohol was used in the baths and only lead free solder wire were used in the filters. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 262:21-263:13) |
| | | Mr. Juarez had no reason to suspect any wrongdoing on behalf of PVA and Space X, and he did not know the reason he was getting sick when he filed the worker's compensation claim. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 262:21-263:13) |
| | | Mr. Juarez first suspected he was working in a toxic work environment when he received the MSDS sheets from Space X after requesting the MSDS sheets via email after March 3, 2015. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 287:6-288:3, 288:24-289:6) |
| | | Mr. Juarez called Francisco and received a list of the chemicals that Mr. Juarez was exposed to, and he thus requested the MSDS sheets from Space X to forward to his attorney so that could figure out what was going on and what was making him sick. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 235:1-238: 5, 238: 19-22) |
| | | Initially, Mr. Juarez thought his problems were caused by alcohol chemical baths and solder wire, but later he found out that lead-free solder |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|---|
| | | wire was used. Upon this discovery, Mr. Juarez reached out to Francisco and then to Space X to get the MSDS sheets. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 241:4-15) |
| | | Mr. Juarez was trained by PVA Inc. to open the door of the machine to perform programming job duties. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 121: 22-122:20, 122:22-123:1) Mr. Juarez believe it was unsafe for the operators to open the machine door and operate the PVA 350 because they could get their hand stuck in the machine, but he did not have a chemistry background so he did not know whether the chemicals were harmful or not, and he relied on the training provided by PVA Inc. that he could put is head safely in the machine while programming the machine. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume I: 123:19-124:12) |
| | | Mr. Juarez indicated he does not have a chemistry background at his deposition on March 8, 2018. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 55:21-56:5) |
| | | <u>PVA HAD KNOWLEDGE OF THE CHEMICALS BEING UTILIZED BY SPACE X AND WAS INVOLVED IN SUBSEQUENT MODIFICATIONS TO THE MACHINE INVOLVING VARIOUS CHEMICALS</u> |
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none">• PVA Inc was aware of the chemicals being utilized with the PVA 350. including the transition to Arathane. (See |

1 **MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED**
2 **UNCONTROVERTED FACTS**

RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION

3 Exhibit 22, PVA 4463-4481)

- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25
- 26
- 27
- 28
- The April 11, 2012 conformal coating video with Space X boards utilized the **same chemicals as used at Space X at PVA Inc.** (See Exhibit 9 and Exhibit 22)
 - On September 19, 2013, PVA Inc. made further modifications to the subject machine including reworking the dispense system on the machine to connect one cartridge to the one gallon tank for "**automatic solvent flush of Arathane 5705.**" (Exhibit 22, PVA 23 and 24)
 - On July 30, 2009, PVA/Andrew Haraburda serviced the W3267 (subject PVA 350 machine) and this description of the work/changes included: adding solvent flush option (6 oz cartridge, 3 way ball valve, O-ISPSI Precision Regulator, which shows **PVA Inc. was continuing to modify and upgrade the machine.** **Then PVA Inc. specifically recommended Space X alter the solvent to a different solvent stating "Space X may need to use a different solvent (i.e. Xylene).**" (Exhibit 22 PVA 4449-4452)
 - Pursuant to email chain dated March 13, 2012 Jonathan Urquhart (**PVA was aware of Space X's use of Arathane 5750**) The email specifically states, "I think David has

1 **MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED**
2 **UNCONTROVERTED FACTS**

RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION

3 spoken to you about our
4 customer Space X. They build
5 full blown rocket ships. They
6 plan on pre-mixing this
7 material part A part B and a
8 3rd part, thinner in small
9 batches apply to board and
10 the purge system because of
11 shelf life once mixed. Sounds
12 screwy to me." They were
13 looking for a demo on the 27th
14 of March. (Exhibit 22, PVA
15 4463-4481)

16 • Pursuant to email dated
17 March 15, 2012 to Jonathan
18 Urquhart, PVA was preparing a
19 demo on the PVA 650 and PVA
20 were working with the same
21 chemical materials Space X
22 was utilizing, but PVA did not
23 want Space X to know and
24 PVA indicated they were
25 going to convey to Space X
26 that the materials were
27 similar, but not the exact
28 same. . (Exhibit 22, PVA
 4463-4481)

 • Pursuant to email dated
 March 30, 2012, the test at PVA
 Inc. was rescheduled to 4-11-12
 to view the 3 part mixing
 process. (Exhibit 22, PVA
 4463-4481)

 • Pursuant to email from
 David Gomez to Johnathan
 (PVA) Urquhart dated May 11,
 2012 at 2:11 p.m., Space X
 talked about retrofitting the
 PVA 350, and then
 subsequently there was
 confirmation from Jon
 indicating **Space X wanted to**

1 **MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED**
2 **UNCONTROVERTED FACTS**

RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION

3 **duplicate what was done**
4 **during the visit.** (Exhibit 22,
5 PVA 4463-4481)

- 6 • **Jon Urquart performed**
7 **the demo for Space X on 4-11-**
8 **12.** (Exhibit 22, PVA 4463-
9 4481)
- 10 • Email dated December 2,
11 2014 at 9:03 a.m. Mr. Urquhart
12 (PVA) indicated to Mr.
13 Matupang there would be 2
14 demos including a coating with
15 **Arathane 2 part mix and**
16 **spray and dispensing using a**
17 **650 or Delta 6 with board**
18 **head, JDX (underfill), SVX**
19 (past), and FC100 (solder
20 mask). (Exhibit 22)
- 21 • On November 17, 2014,
22 Mr. Urquhart (PVA) asked if
23 Space X wanted to mix on the
24 fly with **5750?** (Exhibit 22)
- 25 • On January 9, 2013 at
26 10:38 a.m. David Gomez (PVA)
27 emailed Brian Ignaut (Space X)
28 **doing follow up on the “two**
 part material application
 project you were working on
 and kindly presented us with.”
 There was inquiry as to whether
 there were any developments in
 the first few months of 2013.
 (Exhibit 22)
- On December 3, 2012 at
 12:01 PM David Gomez (PVA)
 wrote Scott Abarta asking for
 the **two part material brand**
 and part # as well as any
 MSDS and data sheets. Also,
 there was a request for a shot

1 **MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED**
2 **UNCONTROVERTED FACTS**

RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION

3 size and cycle time per part if
4 possible. (Exhibit 22)

5 • On September 10, 2013,
6 Mr. Gomez (PVA) indicated
7 “they only want a 20 oz
8 cartridge retainer & cap with
9 spray & needle valve for the
10 pre-mix material and 6oz
11 cartridge retainer & cap for the
12 solvent, so it can flush the
13 premixed material out right
14 after doing a batch of
15 boards.” Mr. Gomez indicated
16 he was familiar with the “fluid
17 delivery line” because he had
18 done it “several times.” He
19 also indicated they were “not
20 there yet” for the two part
21 coating spraying. (Exhibit 22)

22 • On September 9, 2013,
23 Richard Bievenue (PVA) wrote
24 Jonathan Connelly (ccing David
25 Filbert, David Gomez, and
26 Michael R. Leonard) indicating
27 that he spoke with Duc Phan
28 (Space X) about the 350
machine and how Space X
was switching to utilizing a 2
part material including
Arathane 5750. There was
discussion about premising
the solvents and flushing the
solvent valves. Also, there was
interest regarding a 2
component meter mix system.
(Exhibit 22)

29 • On September 18, 2013 at
30 12:41 p.m., Mr. Gomez (PVA)
31 indicated that this is not the
32 first time they have done a
33 two part coating system and
34 he thought they were putting

1 **MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED**
2 **UNCONTROVERTED FACTS**

RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION

3 to many resources into this.
4 The email specifically
5 references Arathane 5750.
6 (Exhibit 22)

7 • On September 13, 2013,
8 from Duc Phan (Space X) to
9 Jonathan Connelly, Michael R.
10 Leonard (ccing Richard
11 Bievenue and David Filbert)
12 explained the process with
13 pictures. Specifically, he
14 indicated that Space X
15 removed the hoses from the
16 spray dispensing head and
17 connected the hoses from the
18 Arathane material and solvent
19 to it. He further explained
20 when the Arathane material
21 was not in use they vaped the
22 solvent hose and connect the
23 Arathane material hose to the
24 other head just for a place
25 holder. He also explained that
26 Arathane 5750 part A and B
27 is mixed together and poured
28 in the first reservoir and the
 second reservoir is the solvent
 use to thin out the mixed
 Arathane for spray
 requirement. (Exhibit 22)

1 • On September 13, 2013 at
2 6:07 a.m. Jonathan Connelly
3 wrote Duc Phan (Space X).
4 Michael R. Leonard and ccng
5 Richard Bievenue and David
6 Filbert inquiring about the
7 process, requesting a picture,
8 and asking if the Arathane
9 and the solvent was being run
10 out of the cartridges mounted
11 on the side of the machine.
12 (Exhibit 22)

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 |
|---|--|
| <p><u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u></p> | <p><u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• On September 10, 2013, Duc Phan (Space X) wrote Michael R. Leonard and Jonathan Connelly, <u>and there was a clear indication that Space X was using Arathane 5750 material with a 2 hour cure time, and they were concerned the operator would forget to purge and rinse the material when the job is done. They were looking to automate the process after coating.</u> (Exhibit 22)o The sales order dated September 25, 2013 indicated PVA job number SPCX2115 W3267 indicated <u>PVA was there to provide onsite support for Mechanical Electrical Programming Fluid Delivery.</u> (Exhibit 22)o The sales quotation dated September 19, 2013, stated that PVA was to "<u>rework the dispense system on machine serial number W3267 to connect one cartridge to (1) one gallon tank for automatic solvent flush of Arathane 5705.</u> This was to include 1) all hoses and fittings needed to complete the integration, 2) pneumatic ball valve for automatic solvent flush, 3) hardware and software needed for integration, and one tank. Further, PVA was to travel to Space X to install the new auto solvent flush system. (Exhibit 22)o The purchase order dated |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|---|
| | | <p>September 24, 2013 issued to PVA and shipping to Space X on a Space X purchase order identified a supplier part number B72-01433 and <u>there is a full description of the work to be done including reworking the dispense system for an automatic flush of Arathane 5750</u> and in similar detail as stated above. There is a separate purchase order for the travel expenditures. (Exhibit 22)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• On July 21, 2014 at 6:48 p.m. Duc Phan (Space X) emailed Rex indicating the <u>current machine uses both Humiseal and Arathane and there are separate spray valves for both material</u>. They need the pendant purge button to work for both materials. (Exhibit 22)• PVA Inc. circulated diagram clearly states <u>Arathane</u>. Additionally, there is a quote work sheet for the 3 way assembly, pressure tank, hoses, fittings, valves as well as programming and engineering. (Ex 22, PVA 340-347) <p><u>BELOW ARE FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS REGARDING THE MANUAL NOT BEING PRODUCED AND PVA MANUAL BEING INEFFECTIVE AND INSUFFICIENT</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• PVA specifically indicated the manual was for production and |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 |
|---|---|
| MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS | RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION |
| | |

operators not technical personnel, but it might be “useful for introduction or training.” (Exhibit 31, PVA 51)

- The manual was only produced electronically. (No hardcopy.) (Exhibit 31, PVA30-32)
- The Operations and Maintenance manual does not warn to wear a face mask or protection from any inhalants. (Exhibit 31, PVA 40-41)
- The manual indicates the PVA machines require that end users must visually inspect the position of the needle with response to a calibration point and physically reposition the needle. (Exhibit 15, PVA 69)
- The Final Shipment Sign-Off does not indicate the operating manual was sent to Space X only machine photos were taken. Also, there is an issue of facts as to whether the exhaust switch was included. (Exhibit 16, PVA 0272)
- The PVA manual was not physically produced and there was no requirement to review it. (Exhibit 31, PVA 30-32, 51)

Mr. Mendoza a former employee of Space X indicated that Space X was using Humiseal in 2010.

- (Dec. Christopher Mendoza, paragraph 2)

66. On January 20th, 2012, Juarez

66. Objection to the extent the

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|--|---|
| | <p>successfully completed SpaceX's training course entitled "Hazard Communication" during which he was taught that SpaceX's MSDS sheets were "readily accessible to employees in their work area during all work shifts" and were also "always available in our online archive as well as in the big blue MSDS books in the kitchen area."</p> <p>SpaceX Hazard Communication Training Course.</p> <p>(Dhillon Dec., 3:1-5, 61-62; Maxwell Dec., 58-59, Phan Dec., 47-48.)</p> | <p>declarants do not have personal knowledge of the alleged facts stated in the declarations.</p> <p>Disputed. Mr. Juarez did not have access to the MSDS sheets at the time of discovery of injury so his alleged ability to access the MSDS sheets while working at Space X is irrelevant. Further, it is disputed because Mr. Juarez was never given MSDS sheets, he did not have access to the MSDS sheets, and he had no chemical training either formal or informal to understand the MSDS sheets. This alleged fact is also disputed to the extent that all of the declarations submitted in support of this fact lack personal knowledge that Ruben Juarez had access to the MSDS sheet, knowledge of the MSDS sheets, and had knowledge about how to interpret toxicity information on a MSDS sheets.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• (Dec. Ruben Juarez)• (Dec. Christopher Mendoza)• (Dec. Manual Gutierrez)• (Defendant Exhibit 91)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 55:21-56:5)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 121: 22- 122:20, 122:22-123:1) |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|---|
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none">• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume I: 123:19-124:12)• (Ex 1, Depo. Ruben Juarez Volume I, p. 49:22-50:8, 51:17, 52:8-16, 53:15-21, 54:11-55:15, 55:21-56:8, 56:14-18 , 57:16-23-58:18, 59: 4-5, 59: 22-24,60:17-61:20, 62:1-15)• (Ex. 2 Depo. Ruben Juarez Volume 2 p. 77:5-78:3)• (Ex 3 Depo. Ruben Juarez Volume 1, 49:25-50:3)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 66:19-22, 116:1-14)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 108:20-109:12)• . (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 332:7-337:14)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 249:22-250:12)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 262:21-263: 13)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 262:21-263:13)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 287:6-288:3, 288:24-289:6)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 235:1-238: 5, 238: 19-22)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 241:4-15) <p><i><u>Specific factual references with citations are set forth below for the court's convenience disputing the alleged facts that Mr. Juarez</u></i></p> |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 |
|---|---|
| | <p><u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u></p> <p><u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u></p> <p><i><u>reviewed the MSDS sheets and allegedly mixed the Arathane and Humiseal.</u></i></p> <p>Mr. Mendoza never saw Ruben mixing chemicals at Space X. Further, while being an employee at Space X. Mr. Mendoza was never trained regarding how to read, interpret, and locate MSDS sheets. Further, Mr. Mendoza indicated Space X was using Humiseal since 2010, and he was never provided any Standard Operating Procedures. (Dec. Christopher Mendoza)</p> <p>Mr. Gutierrez indicated he never saw a binder of MSDS sheets nor did he ever see any MSDS sheets in the conformal coating area. Further, he never saw any Standard of Practice while working with Space X. Moreover, Mr. Gutierrez never saw Ruben mix chemicals, but he saw Francisco mix chemicals. (Dec. Manuel Gutierrez)</p> <p>Mr. Juarez indicated he does not have a chemistry background at his deposition on March 8, 2018. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 55:21-56:5)</p> <p>Mr. Juarez was trained by PVA Inc. to open the door of the machine to perform programming job duties. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 121: 22-122:20, 122:22-123:1) Mr. Juarez believe it was unsafe for the operators to open the machine door and operate the PVA 350 because they could get their hand stuck in the machine, but he did not have a chemistry background so he did not know whether the chemicals were harmful or not, and he relied on the training provided by</p> |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|--|
| | | PVA Inc. that he could put his head safely in the machine while programming the machine. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume I: 123:19-124:12) |
| | | The equipment in the rooms where Mr. Juarez was working was constantly changing. (Ex 3 Depo. Ruben Juarez Volume 1, 49:25-50:3) |
| | | Mr Juarez tried to get a list of chemicals from Space X, which did not work out. After which, Mr. Juarez called Francisco to get a list of chemicals he had worked with. After Francisco provided the list of chemicals, Mr. Juarez emailed Space X requested the MSDS sheets for the chemicals that Francisco listed. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 332:7-337:14) |
| | | Mr. Juarez filed his worker's compensation claim based on his belief the chemical baths were making him sick as well as a solder wire until he learned only alcohol was used in the baths and only lead free solder wire were used in the filters. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 262:21-263:13) |
| | | Mr. Juarez had no reason to suspect any wrongdoing on behalf of PVA and Space X, and he did not know the reason he was getting sick when he filed the worker's compensation claim. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 262:21-263:13) |
| | | Mr. Juarez first suspected he was working in a toxic work environment when he received the MSDS sheets from Space X after requesting the MSDS sheets via email after March 3, 2015. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 287:6-288:3, 288:24-289:6) |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|--|--|--|
| | | <p>Mr. Juarez called Francisco and received a list of the chemicals that Mr. Juarez was exposed to, and he thus requested the MSDS sheets from Space X to forward to his attorney so that could figure out what was going on and what was making him sick. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 235:1-238: 5, 238: 19-22)</p> <p>Initially, Mr. Juarez thought his problems were caused by alcohol chemical baths and solder wire, but later he found out that lead-free solder wire was used. Upon this discovery, Mr. Juarez reached out to Francisco and then to Space X to get the MSDS sheets. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 241:4-15)</p> |
| 67. Throughout this time period up until the complaint was filed, MSDS sheets, including for Arathane and Humiseal products, could be downloaded from multiple websites online. Catalona Dec.; Webpages. (Catalona Dec., 6:27-7:23 490, 492, 493, 495, 498, 500, 503, 505, 565, 571, 584, 603-610, 612-617.) | 67. Disputed. During his employment at Space, Mr. Juarez was never given MSDS sheets, he did not have access to the MSDS sheets, and he had no chemical training either formal or informal to understand the MSDS sheets. <ul style="list-style-type: none">• (Dec. Ruben Juarez)• (Dec. Christopher Mendoza)• (Dec. Manuel Gutierrez)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 55:21-56:5)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 121: 22- 122:20, 122:22-123:1)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume I: 123:19-124:12)• (Ex 1, Depo. Ruben Juarez Volume I, p. 49:22-50:8, | |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|--|
| | | <p>51:17, 52:8-16, 53:15-21, 54:11-55:15, 55:21-56:8, 56:14-18 , 57:16-23- 58:18, 59: 4-5, 59: 22- 24,60:17-61:20, 62:1-15)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• (Ex. 2 Depo. Ruben Juarez Volume 2 p. 77:5-78:3)• (Ex 3 Depo. Ruben Juarez Volume 1, 49:25-50:3)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 66:19-22, 116:1-14)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 108:20-109:12)• .(Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 332:7-337:14)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 249:22-250:12)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 262:21-263: 13)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 262:21-263:13)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 287:6-288:3, 288:24-289:6)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 235:1-238: 5, 238: 19-22)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 241:4-15)• (Exhibit 31, PVA 51)• (Exhibit 31, PVA30-32)• (Exhibit 31, PVA 40-41)• (Exhibit 15, PVA 69)• (Exhibit 16, PVA 0272)• (Exhibit 31, PVA 30-32, 51) |

| 1 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION |
|---|--|---|
| 2 | | <i>Specific factual allegations are set forth below in detail for the court's convenience showing even people working at Space X did not have or understand how to get MSDS sheets for the particular chemicals utilized at Space X.</i> |
| 3 | | Mr. Juarez indicated he does not have a chemistry background at his deposition on March 8, 2018. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 55:21-56:5) |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | | Initially, Mr. Juarez did not know what chemicals were in the chemical baths, and he found out, but the chemical baths did not have anything to do with the PVA 350, and when he filed the worker's compensation claim his claim was derived from the chemical washes. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 66:19-22, 116:1-14) |
| 6 | | Mr. Juarez called a co-worker to get a list of the chemicals utilized in the wash area at Space X, and he may have offered to give the names of the rest of the chemicals used in the conformal coating area. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 108:20-109:12) |
| 7 | | |
| 8 | | Mr Juarez tried to get a list of chemicals from Space X, which did not work out. Afterwhich, Mr. Juarez called Francisco to get a list of chemicals he had worked with. After Francisco provided the list of chemicals, Mr. Juarez emailed Space X requested the MSDS sheets for the chemicals that Francisco listed. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 332:7-337:14) |
| 9 | | Mr. Juarez filed his worker's compensation claim based on his |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|---|
| | | belief the chemical baths were making him sick as well as a solder wire until he learned only alcohol was used in the baths and only lead free solder wire were used in the filters. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 262:21-263:13) |
| | | Mr. Juarez had no reason to suspect any wrongdoing on behalf of PVA and Space X, and he did not know the reason he was getting sick when he filed the worker's compensation claim. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 262:21-263:13) |
| | | Mr. Juarez called Francisco and received a list of the chemicals that Mr. Juarez was exposed to, and he thus requested the MSDS sheets from Space X to forward to his attorney so that could figure out what was going on and what was making him sick. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 235:1-238: 5, 238: 19-22) |
| | | Initially, Mr. Juarez thought his problems were caused by alcohol chemical baths and solder wire, but later he found out that lead-free solder wire was used. Upon this discovery, Mr. Juarez reached out to Francisco and then to Space X to get the MSDS sheets. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 241:4-15) |
| 68. | In spite of all this, Juarez testified that he could not remember ever seeing the MSDS sheets, or asking to see the MSDS sheets, when he worked at SpaceX. Juarez Depo. (Catalona Dec., 288:22-23, 289:13-18, 290:5-7.) | Disputed to the extent that these alleged facts mischaracterize Mr. Juarez's testimony as cited below. Mr. Juarez was never given MSDS sheets, he did not have access to the MSDS sheets, and he had no chemical training either formal or informal to understand the MSDS sheets. |
| | | • (Dec. Christopher |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|--|
| | | <p>Mendoza)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• (Dec. Manuel Gutierrez)• (Dec. Ruben Juarez)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 55:21-56:5)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 121: 22- 122:20, 122:22-123:1)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume I: 123:19-124:12)• (Ex 1, Depo. Ruben Juarez Volume I, p. 49:22-50:8, 51:17, 52:8-16, 53:15-21, 54:11-55:15, 55:21-56:8, 56:14-18 , 57:16-23 58:18, 59: 4-5, 59: 22-24,60:17-61:20, 62:1-15• (Ex. 2 Depo. Ruben Juarez Volume 2 p. 77:5-78:3)• (Ex 3 Depo. Ruben Juarez Volume 1, 49:25-50:3)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 66:19-22, 116:1-14)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 108:20-109:12)• .(Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 332:7-337:14)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 249:22-250:12)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 262:21-263: 13)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 262:21-263:13)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 287:6-288:3, 288:24-289:6)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|--|
| | | <p>II, 235:1-238: 5, 238: 19-22)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 241:4-15)• (Exhibit 31)• (Exhibit 31, PVA 51)• (Exhibit 31, PVA30-32)• (Exhibit 31, PVA 40-41)• (Exhibit 15, PVA 69)• (Exhibit 16, PVA 0272)• (Exhibit 31, PVA 30-32, 51) |
| | | <p><i><u>Specific factual references with citations are set forth below for the court's convenience disputing the alleged facts that Mr. Juarez reviewed the MSDS sheets and allegedly mixed the Arathane and Humiseal.</u></i></p> |
| | | <p>Mr. Mendoza never saw Ruben mixing chemicals at Space X. Further, while being an employee at Space X. Mr. Mendoza was never was never trained regarding how to read, interpret, and locate MSDS sheets. Further, Mr. Mendoza indicated Space X was using Humiseal since 2010, and he was never provided any Standard Operating Procedures. (Dec. Christopher Mendoza)</p> |
| | | <p>Mr. Gutierrez indicated he never saw a binder of MSDS sheets nor did he ever see any MSDS sheets in the conformal coating area. Further, he never saw any Standard of Practice while working with Space X. Moreover,</p> |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|--|
| | | Mr. Gutierrez never saw Ruben mix chemicals, but he saw Francisco mix chemicals. (Dec. Manuel Gutierrez) |
| | | Mr. Juarez indicated he does not have a chemistry background at his deposition on March 8, 2018. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 55:21-56:5) |
| | | Mr. Juarez was trained by PVA Inc. to open the door of the machine to perform programming job duties. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 121: 22-122:20, 122:22-123:1) |
| | | Mr. Juarez believe it was unsafe for the operators to open the machine door and operate the PVA 350 because they could get their hand stuck in the machine, but he did not have a chemistry background so he did not know whether the chemicals were harmful or not, and he relied on the training provided by PVA Inc. that he could put is head safely in the machine while programming the machine. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume I: 123:19-124:12) |
| | | Mr Juarez tried to get a list of chemicals from Space X, which did not work out. Afterwhich, Mr. Juarez called Francisco to get a list of chemicals he had worked with. After Francisco provided the list of chemicals, Mr. Juarez emailed Space X requested the MSDS sheets for the chemicals that Francisco listed. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 332:7-337:14) |
| | | Mr. Juarez had no reason to suspect any wrongdoing on behalf of PVA and Space X, and he did not know the reason he was getting sick when he filed the worker's compensation claim. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 262:21-263:13) |

| 1 2 <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|--|--|
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <p>Mr. Juarez first suspected he was working in a toxic work environment when he received the MSDS sheets from Space X after requesting the MSDS sheets via email after March 3, 2015. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 287:6-288:3, 288:24-289:6)</p> <p>Mr. Juarez called Francisco and received a list of the chemicals that Mr. Juarez was exposed to, and he thus requested the MSDS sheets from Space X to forward to his attorney so that could figure out what was going on and what was making him sick. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 235:1-238: 5, 238: 19-22)</p> <p>Initially, Mr. Juarez thought his problems were caused by alcohol chemical baths and solder wire, but later he found out that lead-free solder wire was used. Upon this discovery, Mr. Juarez reached out to Francisco and then to Space X to get the MSDS sheets. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 241:4-15)</p> |
| 69. In interrogatories, PVA asked plaintiffs to "DESCRIBE in detail everything YOU did to determine what caused [plaintiffs'] injuries which are alleged in the COMPLAINT . . . including but not limited to any investigation, research, internet research, questions, and communications." Interrogatory No. 13 to plaintiff Juarez; Interrogatory No. 5 to plaintiff Hernandez. (Catalona Dec., 123:5-9, 141:5-9.) | 69. Disputed to the extent this is not an exact quote, undisputed to the extent the content is properly summarized. |
| 70. As used in the interrogatory, the term "YOU" was defined as plaintiffs Ruben Juarez and Isela Hernandez, and "anyone acting on [their] behalf, including, but not limited to, attorneys, investigators, insurers. | 70. Disputed to the extent this is not an exact quote, undisputed to the extent the content is properly summarized. |

| 1 2 <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED</u> <u>UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|--|
| 3 4 and any other agents.” 5 6 Definition of “YOU” used in interrogatories 7 to plaintiff Juarez and plaintiff Hernandez. 8 (Catalona Dec., 121:9-11, 140:8-10.) | |
| 9 10 71. In response to these interrogatories, plaintiffs admitted that the only investigation they did was: “going to see his doctors.” Response to Interrogatory No. 13 by plaintiff Juarez; Response to Interrogatory No. 5 by plaintiff Hernandez. 11 (Catalona Dec., 133:1, 148:11-14.) | 71. Disputed to the extent this is not the whole and complete response, which is set forth below. Ruben Juarez’ response to PVA Inc.’s Request for Interrogatories, Set One, Number 13 states, <u>“It the</u> <u>interrogatory seeks</u> <u>information that is protected</u> <u>by the attorney-client</u> <u>privilege and work product</u> <u>doctrine.</u> Without waiving the objections and subject thereto, Plaintiff responds: Plaintiff has been going to see his doctors. <u>But only until Plaintiff</u> <u>received the MSDS sheet of</u> <u>the chemicals did Plaintiff</u> <u>suspect his injuries may have</u> <u>been caused by PVA’s</u> <u>design/manufacturing</u> <u>defects.”</u> |
| | (Exhibit 40 Juarez Response to PVA Inc. Special Interrogatories, Set One) |
| | Disputed to the extent these responses were not updated in disclosures citing documents, additional witness, and additional information. (Exhibit 39, Plaintiffs’ Collective Disclosures) |
| | 306 |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|--|---|
| | | <p>After being seen by Dr. Regev, Mr. Juarez requested a list of chemicals in the chemical baths and in the filters from Space X and initially, they did not provide any information so Mr. Juarez received a list of chemicals from Francisco, who unilaterally provided a list of all the chemicals Francisco worked with. After receipt of the list, Mr. Juarez requested the MSDS sheets from Space X, and Space X forwarded the MSDS sheets to Space X.</p> <p>(Depo. Ruben Juarez Volume II, 332:7-335:18)</p> |
| | <p>72. PVA also asked plaintiff Isela Hernandez to identify the injuries she suffered which are the basis for her loss of consortium claim including “the date(s) the injury took place.”</p> <p>Interrogatory No. 4 to plaintiff Hernandez.</p> <p>(Catalona Dec., 140:25-141:3.)</p> | <p>72. Disputed. The exact language of the Special Interrogatory, Number 4 is set forth below for the court’s convenience.</p> <p>“Describe in detail all INJURIES which YOU allege were caused, or were in any way contributed to, by Defendant’s wrongful conduct, acts and/or omissions, as alleged in YOUR complaint. (As used in this interrogatory, ‘DESCRIBE’ means to describe in as much detail as possible including but limited to listing what the injury was, what body part(s) were affected, if any, the date(s) the injury took place, how it progressed, its current status, and the date it ended, if any.)”</p> <p>(Exhibit 42, PVA Inc. Special</p> |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 |
|--|--|
| <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
| | <p>Interrogatories, Set One, to Isela Hernandez)</p> <p>Disputed to the extent these responses were not updated in disclosures citing documents, additional witness, and additional information.</p> <p>(Exhibit 39, Plaintiffs' Collective Disclosures)</p> |
| 73. In response, plaintiff Hernandez stated that her "loss of love, care [and] companionship" was "derivative" of her husband's claim; she could not otherwise say when her particular injuries took place. Response to Interrogatory No. 4 by plaintiff Hernandez. (Catalona Dec., 147:26-148:6.) | 73. Disputed to the extent this is not the whole and complete response, which is set forth below. Isela Hernandez' response to PVA Inc.'s Request for Interrogatories, Set One, Number 4 states, "Plaintiff Isela Hernandez's loss of consortium claim is derivative of Plaintiff Ruben Juarez's personal injury claim. <u>As a result of Defendant Precision Valve & Automation ("PVA") design/manufacturing defects in PVA 350, Plaintiff Ruben Juarez, a previously healthy man, who rarely went to see doctors, suffers from neurological deficits and psychological depression from the chronic exposure to the chemicals sprayed by the PVA 350. Plaintiff Isela Hernandez lost love, care companionship, comfort, assistance, protection, society, moral support form Plaintiff Ruben Juarez due to his illness.</u> " |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|--|
| | | (Exhibit 41 Hernandez' Response to PVA Inc. Special Interrogatories, Set One) |
| | | Disputed to the extent these responses were not updated in disclosures citing documents, additional witness, and additional information. |
| | | (Exhibit 39, Plaintiffs' Collective Disclosures) |
| 74. | PVA also asked plaintiffs to list all "EVIDENCE" which "establishes or in any way relates to whether plaintiffs' lawsuit is barred by the two-year statute of limitations." | 74. Disputed to the extent this is not an exact quote, Undisputed to the extent the content is properly summarized. |
| Interrogatory Nos. 14, 16 to plaintiff Juarez; Interrogatory Nos. 6-7 to plaintiff Hernandez. | | |
| (Catalona Dec., 123:11-13, 141:11-13, 155:3-5, 165:3-5.) | | |
| 75. "EVIDENCE" was defined as "any facts, witnesses (including contact information), statements, video, pictures, photos, recordings, documents, writings, depositions, transcripts, interviews, data, compilations, reports, productions and any other evidence of any kind whatsoever." | 75. Undisputed. Disputed to the extent this request is overly broad, burdensome, and sought to invade the attorney work product privilege/attorney client privilege. | Disputed to the extent these responses were not updated in disclosures citing documents, additional witness, and additional information. |
| Definition of "EVIDENCE" used in interrogatories to plaintiff Juarez and plaintiff Hernandez. | | |
| (Catalona Dec., 121:1-4, 140:1-4, 154:24-28, 164:24-28.) | | (Exhibit 39, Plaintiffs' Collective Disclosures) |
| 76. In response, plaintiffs identified only | | 76. Disputed to the extent this fact |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 |
|--|--|
| <p><u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u></p> <p>“[p]laintiff’s medical records, the MSDS of the pertinent chemicals, and the email from Plaintiff to his HR, asking for a disclosure of the MSDS” as well Mr. Juarez’s two days of deposition testimony with exhibits in this case, and PVA’s document production.</p> <p>Plaintiff Juarez’s Responses to Interrogatory Nos. 14 and 16; Plaintiff Hernandez’s Responses to Interrogatory Nos. 6-7.</p> <p>(Catalona Dec., 133:9-13, 148:20-149:1, 160:11-17, 170:11-17.)</p> | <p><u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u></p> <p>is not a full and complete quote and thus an inaccurate portrayal. The full responses are set forth below for the court’s convenience:</p> <p>Mr. Juarez’ response to Special Interrogatory Number 14 states as follows: <u>The interrogatory is overly broad, unduly burdensome, and oppressive. It also seeks information that is protected by the attorney-client privilege and work product doctrine.</u> Without waiving the objections and subject thereto, Plaintiff responds: <u>Plaintiff’s medical records, the MSDS of the pertinent chemicals, and the email and Plaintiff to his HR, asking for a disclosure of the MSDS.</u></p> <p>(Exhibit 40, Juarez’ Response to PVA Inc. Special Interrogatories, Set One, Number 14)</p> <p>Isela Hernandez’ Response to Defendant’s Special Interrogatories, Set One, Number 6 states as follows: “The interrogatory is overly broad, unduly burdensome, and oppressive. It also seeks information that is protected by the attorney-client privilege and work product doctrine. Without waiving the objections and subject thereto, Plaintiff responds: <u>Mr. Juarez’s medical records, the MSDS of the pertinent chemicals, and the email from Mr. Juarez to</u></p> |

1 **MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED**
2 **UNCONTROVERTED FACTS**

RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION

3 his HR. asking for a
4 disclosure of the MSDS.
5 Witnesses: everyone disclosed
6 in Plaintiffs' initial
7 disclosure.”

8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
(Exhibit 41, Hernandez' Response to PVA Inc. Special Interrogatories, Set One, Number 6)

Mr. Juarez' Response to Defendant PVA Inc.'s Request for Interrogatories, Set Two, Number 16 states as follows: "The interrogatory may seek information that is protected by attorney client privilege or work product doctrine. Without waiving the objections and subject thereto, plaintiff responds: all the deposition transcripts of Plaintiff Ruben Juarez, all of the medical records of plaintiff Ruben Juarez produced in discovery or obtained via subpoenas; all the exhibits attached to Plaintiff Ruben Juarez's deposition; all the documents produced by PVA so far; and all the documents produced by PVA so far."

(Exhibit 43, Juarez' Response to Defendant PVA Inc.'s Response to Request for Interrogatories, Set Two, Number 16)

Plaintiff Herndandez' Response to Defendant's Request for Interrogatories, Set Two, Number 2 states as follows: "The interrogatory may seek information that is protected by

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|--|
| | | attorney client privilege or work product doctrine. Without waiving the objections and subject thereto, plaintiff responds: <u>all the deposition transcripts of Plaintiff Ruben Juarez, all of the medical records of plaintiff Ruben Juarez produced in discovery or obtained via subpoenas; all the exhibits attached to Plaintiff Rueben Juarez's deposition; all the documents produced by PVA so far; and all the documents produced by PVA so far.</u> |
| | | (Exhibit 44, Hernandez' Response to Defendant PVA Inc.'s Response to Request for Interrogatories, Set Two, Number 7 (mismarked as Number 1)) |
| | | Disputed to the extent ample evidence of Mr. Juarez's compliance with the statute of limitations as well as his wife's is not readily apparent in the documents listed as well as the First Amended Complaint. |
| | | (Exhibit 28, FAC 7:24-8:7) |
| | | Disputed to the extent these responses were not updated in disclosures citing documents, additional witness, and additional information. |
| | | (Exhibit 39, Plaintiffs' Collective Disclosures) |
| | | • (Ex 1, Depo. Ruben Juarez Volume I, p. 49:22-50:8, 51:17, |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|---|
| | <p>77. Plaintiff Juarez asserted legal objections to the above interrogatories based on the attorney-client privilege and work product doctrine, but the only evidence withheld on this or any other ground was plaintiffs' attorney's personal "notes from speaking with the clients and witnesses."</p> <p>Plaintiffs' responses to PVA's Interrogatories; Plaintiffs' Privilege Log; June 24, 2018 email from Teresa Li.</p> <p>(Catalona Dec., 132:27-28, 133:9-10,</p> | <p>52:8-16, 53:15-21, 54:11-55:15, 55:21-56:8, 56:14-18 , 57:16-23-58:18, 59: 4-5, 59: 22-24,60:17-61:20, 62:1-15)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• (Ex. 2 Depo. Ruben Juarez Volume 2 p. 77:5-78:3)• (Ex 3 Depo. Ruben Juarez Volume 1, 49:25-50:3)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 66:19-22, 116:1-14)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 108:20-109:12)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 332:7-337:14)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 249:22-250:12)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 262:21-263: 13)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 287:6-288:3, 288:24-289:6)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 235:1-238: 5, 238: 19-22)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 241:4-15) <p>77. Objection as to relevance and call for the attorney client privilege.</p> <p>Disputed to the extent the statement infers the responses were not updated in disclosures citing documents, additional witness, and additional information.</p> <p>(Exhibit 39, Plaintiffs'</p> |

| 1 2 <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|--|
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 148:20-22, 160:11-12, 170:11-12, 233, 235-237.) | Collective Disclosures) Disputed to the extent the prior discovery requests and responses were mischaracterized and not fully cited. Disputed to the extent that none of the other objections were withdrawn. Disputed to the extent that there is non-tangible evidence that is protected by the objections and not subject to disclosure. |
| 78. On February 28, 2017, plaintiffs filed their state court action that PVA removed to this Court. Complaint. (Catalona Dec., 12:2-8.) | 78. Undisputed. |
| 79. In the operative complaint, plaintiffs allege that the PVA 350 caused Juarez to be exposed to Arathane and Humiseal because it did not "sound an alarm" or provide a warning "when the ventilation/exhaust is not in operation," and that defendant PVA "trained" Juarez "to stick his head into the spraying chamber of the conformal coating machine." FAC. (Catalona Dec., 58:22-25, 59:9-12.) | 79.Undisputed as to the allegations listed. Disputed that these are the only allegations listed in the complaint against PVA Inc. <ul style="list-style-type: none">• (Exhibit 38, FAC, 2:16-18)• (Exhibit 38, FAC, 3:22-25)• (Exhibit 38, FAC, 3:26-27)• (Exhibit 38, FAC, 4:9-18)• (Exhibit 38, FAC, 4:24-27)• (Exhibit 38, FAC, 5:1-3)• (Exhibit 38, FAC, 6:19-23)• (Exhibit 38, FAC, 8:13-14)• (Exhibit 38, FAC, 8:15-25)• (Exhibit 38, FAC, 8:26-9:2)• (Exhibit 38, FAC, 9:15-27) |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|---|
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none">• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 61:21-63:14)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume I: 145:25-147:2)• (Ex 3 Depo. Ruben Juarez Volume 1 : 30 :16-18, 30 :24-31 :5, 72:9-14)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 89:14-18, 90:8-11)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 121:16-19)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 121: 22- 122:20, 122:22-123:1)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume I: 123:19-124:12)• .(Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II: 226:12-227:6)• (Ex. 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II: 232:1-7, 232: 23-25) <p><i><u>Specific factual references with citations are set forth below for the court's convenience regarding the allegations in the First Amended Complaint.</u></i></p> <p>Before the sale of the PVA 350, PVA had samples of the chemicals to be sprayed by the PVA 350. As a result, PVA knew or should have known the toxicity of the chemicals to be used by the PVA 350. (FAC, Exhibit 38, 2:16-18)</p> <p>PVA 350 does not have an automatic shutoff and does not sound an alarm when the ventilation / exhaust is not in operation. In other words, PVA 350 is designed to continue to spray</p> |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|---|
| | | <p>chemicals even when the ventilation / exhaust is not in operation. Furthermore, there is no warning anywhere about this. (Exhibit 38, FAC, 3:22-25)</p> <p>[T]o add the automatic shutoff, PVA only needs to include an airflow sensor or something similar. (Exhibit 38, FAC, 3:26-27)</p> |
| | | <p>PVA trained Plaintiff RUBNE JUAREZ that in order to verify whether the equipment correctly sprayed the chemicals, Plaintiff RUBNE JUAREZ had to stick his head into the spraying chamber of the conformal coating machine to verify. He had to do this because the spray is usually transparent and is very fine with tiny thickness and therefore, a naked eye cannot identify whether or not certain part of the circuit board has been sprayed or the thickness of the spray. The only way to verify this is to use a black light and poke his head inside the PVA 350 to check. He could not take the circuit board out of the PVA 350 to verify this because it is wet and thus, any handling of the board would destroy the accuracy of the spray. . (Exhibit 38, FAC, 4:9-18)</p> |
| | | <p>When the exhaust fan was not in operation, Plaintiff RUBEN JUAREZ unknowingly breathed in the chemicals during the verification process because his head was directly emerged inside the PVA 350 with the toxic chemicals floating inside it.</p> |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|---|
| | | (Exhibit 38, FAC, 4:24-27) |
| | | In addition, when the exhaust fan was not in operation, the chemicals leaked out of the PVA 350. Plaintiff RUBEN JUAREZ on average spent about 60% of his days standing right next to the PVA 350. (Exhibit 38, FAC, 5:1-3) |
| | | On information and belief, before a benchtop dispensing system is designed and manufactured by PVA, Space X sends samples of its toxic chemicals to PVA for customization. So, PVA knew or should have known the exact type of chemicals used by its PVA 350 and the dangerous health consequences from exposure to these chemicals if the exhaust/ventilation is not in operation. (Exhibit 38, FAC, 6:19-23) |
| | | Defendants designed, manufactured, supplied, installed, inspected, and repaired the PVA 350. (Exhibit 38, FAC, 8:13-14) |
| | | First, Defendants were negligent in designing, manufacturing, supplying, installing, inspecting, and repairing the PVA 350. In particular, Defendants failed to use the amount of care in designing, manufacturing, inspecting, installing, and repairing the PVA 350 that a reasonably careful designer, manufacturer, supplier, installer, repairer would use in similar circumstances to avoid exposing Plaintiff RUBEN JUAREZ, a programmer of the PVA 350, to a foreseeable risk of harm. Furthermore, Defendants' design violated Cal-OSHA, which requires that "When spray is automatically applied without an attendant constantly on duty, the |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|--|
| | | <p>operating control of spray apparatus shall be so arranged that spray cannot be applied unless exhaust fans are in operation." (Title 8 of Cal. Code of Regulations, § 5153.) . (Exhibit 38, FAC, 8:15-25)</p> <p>Second, Defendants knew or reasonably should have known that the PVA 350 was dangerous or was likely to be dangerous when used or misused in a reasonably foreseeable manner. Defendants failed to adequately warn of the danger. (Exhibit 38, FAC, 8:26-9:2)</p> <p>The PVA 350 contains a design defect that it does not stop spraying toxic chemicals or sound any alarm when the ventilation / exhaust is not in operation. It continues to spray toxic chemicals even when the ventilation / exhaust is not in operation without sounding any alarm about it. It also requires the operator to put his head into the machine to check the spray. The PVA 350 also did not have warning of the potential safety hazard when the ventilation / exhaust is not in operation. The PVA 350 did not perform as safely as an ordinary consumer would have expected it to perform when used or misused in an intended or reasonably foreseeable way. Plaintiffs were harmed. The PVA's failure to perform safely was a substantial factor in causing Plaintiffs' harm. (Exhibit 38, FAC, 9:15-27)</p> |
| | <p>80. Other than undisclosed communications with his attorneys, Juarez testified at his deposition that he had no information to explain why he waited until February 27, 2017 to file his lawsuit in this</p> | <p>80. Objection to the extent this called for a legal conclusion and was vague and ambiguous. Further, Plaintiffs object to the term "undisclosed" as vague</p> |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 |
|---|---|
| | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> |
| | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
| | <p>case.</p> <p>Juarez Depo.</p> <p>(Catalona Dec., 301:8-13.)</p> <p>and ambiguous as Juarez has an attorney client privilege not to disclose comments with his attorney.</p> <p>Disputed. As discussed at Mr. Juarez' depositions, Mr. Juarez was not aware of the defects in the PVA 350 and his unrelated physical health problems created confusion as to the cause of his injuries.</p> <p>The First Amended Complaint alleges as follows: "Up until May of 2015, Plaintiff RUBEN JUAREZ thought that his injury was caused by lead solder wire and cleaning solution to clean electronic parts operated by other Space X employees near Plaintiff's work station. The solder wire and the cleaning solution had nothing to do with the PVA 350—they were not even housed in the same location as the PVA 350. It was not until May of 2015, when Plaintiff Juarez saw the MSDS sheets from Space X that he first saw that the solder wire was actually lead-free and the detergent was only alcohol and that he first suspected that the PVA 350 might have caused his injuries. Therefore, despite diligent investigation of the circumstances of the injury, Plaintiff RUBEN JUAREZ could not have reasonably discovered facts supporting the cause of action against PVA within the application statute of limitations period. (Exhibit 38, FAC 7:24-8:7)</p> |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|--|
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none">• (Ex 3 Depo. Ruben Juarez Volume 1 : 30 :16-18, 30 :24-31 :5, 72:9-14)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 89:14-18, 90:8-11)<ul style="list-style-type: none">• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 121:16-19)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 121: 22- 122:20, 122:22-123:1)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume I: 123:19-124:12)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II: 226:12-227:6)• (Ex. 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II232:1-7, 232: 23-25)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 91:3-93:24, 96:10-19, 98:20-21, 100:4-9)• (Ex 1, Depo. Ruben Juarez Volume I, p. 49:22-50:8, 51:17, 52:8-16, 53:15-21, 54:11-55:15, 55:21-56:8, 56:14-18 , 57:16-23-58:18, 59: 4-5, 59: 22-24,60:17-61:20, 62:1-15)• (Ex. 2 Depo. Ruben Juarez Volume 2 p. 77:5-78:3)• (Ex 3 Depo. Ruben Juarez Volume 1, 49:25-50:3)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 66:19-22, 116:1-14)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 108:20-109:12)• .(Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 332:7-337:14)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume |

| <p>1 <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED</u> 2 <u>UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u></p> | <p><u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u></p> |
|---|--|
| | <p>II, 262:21-263: 13) • (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 262:21-263:13) • (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 287:6-288:3, 288:24-289:6) • (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 235:1-238: 5, 238: 19-22) • (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 241:4-15)</p> |
| <p>13 81. There is no evidence that Juarez's 14 doctors assured him chemical exposure <i>was</i> 15 <i>not</i> the cause of his injuries which would 16 have been impossible because, as he 17 admitted, none of his doctors were ever 18 informed he was "working with chemicals." 19 FAC; Responses To Interrogatories. 20 (Catalona Dec., 62:20-23, 133:5-13, 148:16- 21 149:1, 160:7-17, 170:7-17.)</p> | <p>81.Undisputed that none of Mr. Juarez' doctors ever told Mr. Juarez prior to March 2015 that his injury might be caused by working with chemicals even though Mr. Juarez was examined by multiple doctors prior to March 2015.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• (Dec. Ruben Juarez)• (Ex 1, Depo. Ruben Juarez Volume I, p. 49:22-50:8, 51:17, 52:8-16, 53:15-21, 54:11-55:15, 55:21-56:8, 56:14-18 , 57:16-23-58:18, 59: 4-5, 59: 22-24,60:17-61:20, 62:1-15)• (Ex. 2 Depo. Ruben Juarez Volume 2 p. 77:5-78:3)• (Ex 3 Depo. Ruben Juarez Volume 1, 49:25-50:3)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 66:19-22, 116:1-14)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 108:20-109:12) |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|---|
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none">• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 332:7-337:14)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 249:22-250:12)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 262:21-263: 13)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 262:21-263:13)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 287:6-288:3, 288:24-289:6• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 235:1-238: 5, 238: 19-22)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 241:4-15) |

**II. STATEMENT OF GENUINE DISPUTES IN OPPOSITION TO
DEFENDANT'S PARTIAL MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT (Central
District) BASED ON PLAINTIFFS' FAILURE TO WARN CLAIMS**

| 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|--|--|--------------------------------------|
| | <p>1. Plaintiffs reside in Granada Hills, California, in the County of Los Angeles.</p> <p>Complaint, Notice of Removal and First Amended Complaint.</p> <p>(Declaration of Alex P. Catalona (“Catalona Dec.”), 12:22-23, 23:11-12, 57:2-4.)</p> | <p>1. Undisputed.</p> |
| | <p>2. PVA is headquartered and incorporated in the State of New York.</p> <p>Notice of Removal and Declaration of Jonathan Urquhart.</p> <p>(Catalona Dec., 23:13-15, 24:17-19; Declaration of Jonathan Urquhart (“Urquhart</p> | <p>2. Undisputed.</p> |

| <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|--|--|
| Dec.”), 1:4-7.) 3. The PVA 350 is a conformal coating machine manufactured by PVA which is known in the industry as a “workcell.” Urquhart Dec. and PVA manual. (Urquhart Dec., 2:4-5, 77.) | 3. Undisputed as to the PVA 350 being a conformal coating machine manufactured by PVA. However, disputed to the extent the fact infers the PVA 350 is not a selective conformal coating machine. This selective conformal coating machine required Mr. Juarez to place his head in the machine to verify the spray was only sprayed on the selected areas versus a conformal coating machine that would not have required that step. • (Dec. of Ruben Juarez) |
| | Disputed to the extent the PVA350 is a work cell. The PVA 350 is a standalone device , which is a mechanism or system that can perform its function without the need of another device, computer, or connection. In contrast, a work cell is a term used in the manufacturing industry for an arrangement of resources in manufacturing to improve quality, speed, and the costs of the processes. |
| 4. PVA sold a single PVA 350 to SpaceX in 2009. Urquhart Dec. and March, 2018 Deposition of Ruben Juarez (“Juarez Depo.”), and | • (Dec. Ruben Juarez) • Mr. Juarez never called the machine a work cell. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II: 204 :4-5) 4. Undisputed to the extent PVA sold a PVA 350 to Space X in 2009. |

| <p>1 <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED</u> 2 <u>UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u></p> | <p><u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u></p> |
|--|---|
| <p>3 exhibits. 4 (Urquhart Dec., 1:11-18, 1:25-27, 5-6, 8, 12- 5 14, 23; Catalonia Dec., 265:21-24, 266:13-18, 6 267:19-24, 359-61.). 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28</p> | <p>Disputed to the extent PVA Inc. attempts to infer that PVA Inc. only sold a single PVA 350 to Space X in 2009 and disappeared and never interacted with Space X again. In fact, PVA Inc. sold additional equipment, parts, and machines to Space X and had ongoing communications with Space X after 2009 and continued to service and modify the PVA 350 without ever warning the user of the dangers of the product. (Exhibit 25, Sales Documents, Order, and Quotations)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• (Exhibit 25, PVA 222-234)• (Exhibit 25, PVA 226)• (Exhibit 25, PVA 228)• (Exhibit 25, PVA 230-232)• (Exhibit 32)• (Exhibit 33)• (Exhibit 34, PVA 420-421, 422, 423, 1183-1304)• (Exhibit 34, PVA 423) <p><i><u>The evidence below demonstrates the ongoing relationship between PVA and Space X regarding the additional parts, machines, and services provided by Space X. Specific factual references with citations are set forth below for the court's convenience.</u></i></p> <p>PVA Invoices and Sales Docs to SpaceX are attached hereto. (Exhibit 25, PVA 222-234)</p> |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|---|
| | | On 5-29-12, PVA purchase order included the FC100-MC (front closing dispenser), metal cartridge retainer, mounting brackets, fitting package to connect one cartridge retainer to one valve, 6oz plastic cartridge, one gallon pressure tank for flushing solvent, hoses and fittings. (Exhibit 25) |
| | | Invoice dated 5/23/13 shows the purchase of a rework kit (B72-1242) and a side levan window number 114-3546. (Exhibit 25, PVA 226) |
| | | Purchase order dated 5/7/13 shows a purchase for a B72-1242 for a wire two black LED strips with one off switch and lexon windows. (Exhibit 25, PVA 228) |
| | | Invoice dated 10/11/13 shows a solvent flush machine for W3267 B72-01433 as well as the purchase orders. (Exhibit 25, PVA 230-232) |
| | | PVA INC. HAD AN ONGOING RELATIONSHIP WITH SPACEX AND SOLD MORE MACHINES THAN PVA 350 |
| | | On December 7, 2012, Mr. Ignaut from SpaceX sent an email to David Gomez and Scott Abarta (PVA) inquiring as to how many dispense head (PC200) they can install on a single "PVA3000?" (Exhibit 33) |
| | | On December 7, 2012 at 8:59 A.M. there is an email from David Gomez (PVA) to Brian Ignaut (Space X) and Scott Abarta asking Space X to review the budget proposal for the MX3000 and PVA 3000 robot indicating if there is a 1 to 1 mix proportion, low viscosity, and no fillers or corrosive |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|--|--|
| | | chemicals in it, the equipment configuration will do just fine. (Exhibit 33) |
| | | On December 3, 2012 Scott Abara emailed David Gomez (PVA) with what appears to be a cc to Brian Ignaut with Space X, but the email is indicating it is from Brian Ignaut (Responsible Engineer with Solar Arrays) requesting the need for a quote for the following PVA equipment: Mx 3000 MMD machine, PVA 200 dispense valve, and PVA 3000 dispense robot. (Exhibit 33) |
| | | BILLS OF MATERIALS SHOW ONGOING RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN PVA INC. AND SPACE X |
| | | There are PVA Materials being ordered by Space X in 5/6/03, 4/3/06, 5/8/09, 6/14/12, 10/14/13, 10/8/13, and 12/30/10. (Exhibit 34, PVA 420-421, 422, 423, 1183-1304) Specifically, PVA Bill of Material dated 6/14/12 showed a purchase of PVA Inc products including the following gallons, fittings, hose, ball valve, cap, cartridge, fitting, fitting, tubing, regulator, air pressure gauge, felon tube, FCS300-ES extended spray, and FC100 MS dispense valve. (Exhibit 34, PVA 423) |
| | 5. This machine coats printed circuit boards with a thin polymeric film that "conforms" to the board's contours to protect against moisture, dust, chemicals and temperature extremes. | 5. Undisputed. |
| | Urquhart Dec. (Urquhart Dec., 1:14-17.). | |
| 6 | When it was manufactured, SpaceX | 6. Disputed to the extent this |

| 1 2 <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED</u> <u>UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 specified that the machine would spray Electrolube "NVOC," a 100% solids content material that did not contain solvent material (such as Humiseal or Arathane.) | statement attempts to infer that PVA Inc. was unaware how the PVA 350 was utilized by Space X with chemicals (such as Arathane and Humiseal) as set forth in numerous emails and even on plans produced by PVA Inc. |
| Urquhart Dec., and exhibits. (Urquhart Dec., 28, 30, 63-64.) | <ul style="list-style-type: none">• (Exhibit 22)• (See Exhibit 22, PVA 4463-4481)• (See Exhibit 9 and Exhibit 22)• (Exhibit 22, PVA 23 and 24)• (Exhibit 22 PVA 4449-4452)• (Exhibit 22 PVA 4463-4481) |
| | <p>On July 30, 2009, PVA Inc. employee Andrew Haraburda serviced the W3267 and recommended that Space X utilize a different solvent because the "needle is still clogging in the solvent cup" and he recommended Xylene. The MSDS sheet for Xylene shows extensive toxic effects on individuals. PVA Inc. was aware the selective conformal coating machines were often utilized with toxic chemicals.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• (Exhibit 22, PVA 4449-4452)• (Exhibit 28, Exemplar MSDS sheet for Xylene) <p><u>Specific factual references with citations are set forth below for</u></p> |

1 **MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED**
2 **UNCONTROVERTED FACTS**

RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION

3 *the court's convenience.*

4 **PVA HAD KNOWLEDGE OF**
5 **THE CHEMICALS BEING**
6 **UTILIZED BY SPACE X AND**
7 **WAS INVOLVED IN**
8 **SUBSEQUENT**
9 **MODIFICATIONS TO THE**
10 **MACHINE INVOLVING**
11 **VARIOUS CHEMICALS**

- 12 • PVA Inc was aware of
13 the chemicals being utilized
14 with the PVA 350. including the
15 transition to Arathane. (See
16 Exhibit 22, PVA 4463-4481)
- 17 • The April 11, 2012
18 conformal coating video with
19 Space X boards utilized the
20 same chemicals as used at
21 Space X at PVA Inc. (See
22 Exhibit 9 and Exhibit 22)
- 23 • On September 19, 2013,
24 PVA Inc. made further
25 modifications to the subject
26 machine including reworking
27 the dispense system on the
28 machine to connect one
 cartridge to the one gallon tank
 for "automatic solvent flush of
 Arathane 5705." (Exhibit 22,
 PVA 23 and 24)
- On July 30, 2009,
 PVA/Andrew Haraburda
 serviced the W3267 (subject
 PVA 350 machine) and this
 description of the work/changes
 included: adding solvent flush
 option (6 oz cartridge, 3 way
 ball valve, O-ISPSI Precision
 Regulator, **which shows PVA**
 Inc. was continuing to modify

1 **MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED**
2 **UNCONTROVERTED FACTS**

RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION

3 and upgrade the machine.
4 Then PVA Inc. specifically
5 recommended Space X alter
6 the solvent to a different
7 solvent stating “Space X may
8 need to use a different solvent
9 (i.e. Xylene).” (Exhibit 22 PVA
10 4449-4452)

11 • Pursuant to email chain
12 dated March 13, 2012 Jonathan
13 Urquhart (PVA was aware of
14 Space X's use of Arathane
15 5750 The email specifically
16 states, “I think David has
17 spoken to you about our
18 customer Space X. They build
19 full blown rocket ships. They
20 plan on pre-mixing this
21 material part A part B and a
22 3rd part, thinner in small
23 batches apply to board and
24 the purge system because of
25 shelf life once mixed. Sounds
26 screwy to me.” They were
27 looking for a demo on the 27th
28 of March. (Exhibit 22, PVA
4463-4481)

19 • Pursuant to email dated
20 March 15, 2012 to Jonathan
21 Urquhart, PVA was preparing a
22 demo on the PVA 650 and PVA
23 were working with the same
24 chemical materials Space X
25 was utilizing, but PVA did not
26 want Space X to know and
27 PVA indicated they were
28 going to convey to Space X
 that the materials were
 similar, but not the exact
 same. . (Exhibit 22, PVA
4463-4481)

• Pursuant to email dated

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 |
|---|---|
| <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
| | |

March 30, 2012, the test at PVA Inc. was rescheduled to 4-11-12 to view the 3 part mixing process. (Exhibit 22, PVA 4463-4481)

- Pursuant to email from David Gomez to Johnathan (PVA) Urquhart dated May 11, 2012 at 2:11 p.m., Space X talked about retrofitting the PVA 350, and then subsequently there was confirmation from Jon indicating **Space X wanted to duplicate what was done during the visit.** (Exhibit 22, PVA 4463-4481)
- Jon Urquart performed the demo for Space X on 4-11-12. (Exhibit 22, PVA 4463-4481)
- Email dated December 2, 2014 at 9:03 a.m. Mr. Urquhart (PVA) indicated to Mr. Matupang there would be 2 demos including a coating with **Arathane 2 part mix and spray and dispensing using a 650 or Delta 6 with board head,** JDX (underfill), SVX (past), and FC100 (solder mask). (Exhibit 22)
- On November 17, 2014, Mr. Urquhart (PVA) asked is Space X wanted to mix on the fly with **5750?** (Exhibit 22)
- On January 9, 2013 at 10:38 a.m. David Gomez (PVA) emailed Brian Ignaut (Space X) **doing follow up on the “two**

1 **MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED**
2 **UNCONTROVERTED FACTS**

RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION

3 part material application
4 project you were working on
5 and kindly presented us with.”
6 There was inquiry as to whether
7 there were any developments in
8 the first few months of 2013.
9 (Exhibit 22)

- 10 • On December 3, 2012 at
11 12:01 PM David Gomez (PVA) wrote Scott Abarta asking for
12 the two part material brand
13 and part # as well as any
14 MSDS and data sheets. Also,
15 there was a request for a shot size and cycle time per part if
16 possible. (Exhibit 22)
- 17 • On September 10, 2013,
18 Mr. Gomez (PVA) indicated
19 “they only want a 20 oz
20 cartridge retainer & cap with
21 spray & needle valve for the
22 pre-mix material and 6oz
23 cartridge retainer & cap for the
24 solvent, so it can flush the
25 premixed material out right
26 after doing a batch of
27 boards.” Mr. Gomez indicated
28 he was familiar with the “fluid
 delivery line” because he had
 done it “several times.” He
 also indicated they were “not
 there yet” for the two part
 coating spraying. (Exhibit 22)

- 29 • On September 9, 2013,
30 Richard Bievenue (PVA) wrote Jonathan Connelly (ccing David
31 Filbert, David Gomez, and
32 Michael R. Leonard) indicating
33 that he spoke with Duc Phan
34 (Space X) about the 350
35 machine and how Space X
36 was switching to utilizing a 2

1 **MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED**
2 **UNCONTROVERTED FACTS**

RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION

3 part material including
4 Arathane 5750. There was
5 discussion about premising
6 the solvents and flushing the
7 solvent valves. Also, there was
8 interest regarding a 2
9 component meter mix system.
10 (Exhibit 22)

- On September 18, 2013 at 12:41 p.m., Mr. Gomez (PVA) indicated that this is not the first time they have done a two part coating system and he thought they were putting to many resources into this. The email specifically references Arathane 5750.
(Exhibit 22)

- On September 13, 2013, from Duc Phan (Space X) to Jonathan Connelly, Michael R. Leonard (ccing Richard Bievenue and David Filbert) explained the process with pictures. Specifically, he indicated that Space X removed the hoses from the spray dispensing head and connected the hoses from the Arathane material and solvent to it. He further explained when the Arathane material was not in use they vaped the solvent hose and connect the Arathane material hose to the other head just for a place holder. He also explained that Arathane 5750 part A and B is mixed together and poured in the first reservoir and the second reservoir is the solvent use to thin out the mixed Arathane for spray

1 **MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED**
2 **UNCONTROVERTED FACTS**

RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION

3 **requirement.** (Exhibit 22)

- 4 • On September 13, 2013 at
5 6:07 a.m. Jonathan Connelly
6 wrote Duc Phan (Space X).
7 Michael R. Leonard and ccng
8 Richard Bievenue and David
9 Filbert inquiring about the
10 process, requesting a picture,
11 **and asking if the Arathane**
12 **and the solvent was being run**
13 **out of the cartridges mounted**
14 **on the side of the machine.**
15 (Exhibit 22)

- 16 • On September 10, 2013,
17 Duc Phan (Space X) wrote
18 Michael R. Leonard and
19 Jonathan Connelly, **and there**
20 **was a clear indication that**
21 **Space X was using Arathane**
22 **5750 material with a 2 hour**
23 **cure time, and they were**
24 **concerned the operator would**
25 **forget to purge and rinse the**
26 **material when the job is done.**
27 **They were looking to**
28 **automate the process after**
 coating. (Exhibit 22)

- o The sales order dated
September 25, 2013 indicated
PVA job number SPCX2115
W3267 indicated **PVA was**
there to provide onsite
support for Mechanical
Electrical Programming Fluid
Delivery. (Exhibit 22)

- o The sales quotation dated
September 19, 2013, stated that
PVA was to “**rework the**
dispense system on machine
serial number W3267 to
connect one cartridge to (1)

1 **MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED**
2 **UNCONTROVERTED FACTS**

RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION

one gallon tank for automatic solvent flush of Arathane

5705. This was to include 1) all hoses and fittings needed to complete the integration, 2) pneumatic ball valve for automatic solvent flush, 3) hardware and software needed for integration, and one tank. Further, PVA was to travel to Space X to install the new auto solvent flush system. (Exhibit 22)

o The purchase order dated September 24, 2013 issued to PVA and shipping to Space X on a Space X purchase order identified a supplier part number B72-01433 and **there is a full description of the work to be done including reworking the dispense system for an automatic flush of Arathane 5750** and in similar detail as stated above. There is a separate purchase order for the travel expenditures. (Exhibit 22)

- On July 21, 2014 at 6:48 p.m. Duc Phan (Space X) emailed Rex indicating the **current machine uses both Humiseal and Arathane and there are separate spray valves for both material.** They need the pendant purge button to work for both materials. (Exhibit 22)

- PVA Inc. circulated diagram clearly states **Arathane.** Additionally, there is a quote work sheet for the 3 way assembly, pressure tank,

| 1 2 3 4 5 <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|--|--|
| <p>6 7. In any event, the PVA 350 was built 7 with safety features to prevent users from 8 breathing materials used inside the machine. 9 First, the machine monitored its exhaust flow 9 and turned off if the exhaust system was not 9 operating.</p> <p>10 Urquhart Dec., PVA Manual.</p> <p>11 (Urquhart Dec., 2:24-26, 98-99.)</p> | <p>6 hoses, fittings, valves as well as 7 programming and engineering. 8 (Ex 22, PVA 340-347)</p> <p>9</p> <p>10 7. Disputed. A number of safety 11 items are not included 12 automatically included with the 13 PVA 350 machines such as the 14 following: conveyor type, 15 passive programming camera, 16 XY fiducial camera, exhaust 17 blower, onboard computer, and 18 flow monitoring. Further, 19 disputed to the extent PVA Inc. 20 trained end users to put their 21 heads inside the machines to 22 program the PVA machine, and 23 the PVA 350 had design defects 24 that caused users to put their 25 heads inside the machines while 26 the machines were spraying to 27 properly program the machine.</p> <p>28 • (Exhibit 17, PVA 220) 1 • (Exhibit 36, PVA 449- 2 4452) 3 • (Declaration of Glen 4 Stevick) 5 • (Declaration of Ruben 6 Juarez) 7 • (Exhibit 11 and 29 PVA 8 279-280) 9 • (Exhibit 10, PVA 304) 0 • (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1 1: 77:21-78:2) 2 • (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 3 I, 79:25-80:4) 4 • (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 5 1: 77:21-78:2)</p> |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|---|
| | | <p>I: 87:22-88:1)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 158:3-9)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 61:21-63:14, 58:4-6, 58:12-59:4)• (Exhibit 29, PVA 63, 64, 69, 220, 4537, 4538, 4539, 4540, 4542)• (Exhibit 9 and 22)• (Exhibit 5, Video of the PVA 350 shows the machine is far too small for someone to use while wearing a ventilator.)• (Video Exhibit 11 shows the conformal coating process in a PVA machine in 2010.)• (Video Exhibit 8 shows all the different types of coating and also shows various designs that were not defective so it is great for comparison and explanation.)• (Exhibit 15, PVA 69)• (Exhibit 20)• (Exhibit 21, PVA 4537, 4538, 4540)• (Exhibit 29)• (Exhibit 11)• (Exhibit 23, PVA 1181 (Wet Film Thickness Gauge))• (Exhibit 23, PVA 1181 (Wet Film Thickness Gauge)) |

BALABAN & SPIELBERGER LLP
11999 SAN VICENTE BOULEVARD, SUITE 345
LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90049

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|--|
| | | <p><i>The following evidence demonstrates various safety features were not built into the PVA 350 or were insufficient as provided to Space X. Specific factual allegations are set forth below for the court's convenience.</i></p> |
| | | <p>Disputed to the extent the fact infers the PVA 350 was not defectively designed by not being large enough to allow users to utilize a ventilation mask when the PVA 350 was being utilized.</p> |
| | | <p>(Dec. Glen Stevick)</p> |
| | | <p>Disputed to the extent the fact infers the PVA 350 was designed without a conveyer belt to ensure employees of Space X did not have to put their head inside the machine and be exposed to toxic chemicals.</p> |
| | | <p>(Dec. Glen Stevick)</p> |
| | | <p>PVA Inc. trained Mr. Juarez to put his head inside the machine to check various chemical levels without the ventilation system being operational.</p> |
| | | <p>(Dec. Glen Stevick)</p> |
| | | <p>The PVA 350 ventilation indicated there was "no PVA blower."</p> |
| | | <p>(Exhibit 29, PVA 279-280)</p> |
| | | <p><i>Specific factual references with citations are set forth below for the court's convenience.</i></p> |
| | | <p>THE MSDS SHEET ESTABLISHES PVA (AS ENGINEERS/ MANUFACTURES)</p> |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 |
|---|---|
| | <p><u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u></p> <p>OF THE PVA 350 HAD A DUTY TO ENSURE ADEQUATE VENTILATION</p> <p>PVA Inc. failed to ensure users were safe as PVA Inc. failed to comply with their engineering duty as set forth in the MSDS Arathane sheet. Engineering Measures: Use only adequate ventilation. <u>Use process enclosures, local exhaust ventilation or other engineering controls to keep worker exposure to airborne contaminants below any recommended or statutory limits.</u> (Exhibit 10, 304)</p> <p>PLAINTIFF TESTIFIED ABOUT A NUMBER OF DEFECTS IN THE PVA 350 AND INADEQUATE SAFETY FEATURES IN HIS DEPOSITIONS, WHICH ALL TOOK PLACE WITHIN THE STATUTORY TIME FRAME FOR FILING A COMPLAINT</p> <p>The PVA 350 was built so a programmer had to bypass the safety mechanisms to program the machine. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume I: 87:22-88:1)</p> <p>The PVA 350 was defectively designed because a programmer would have to <u>put their head inside the machine to look where the machine was spraying.</u> (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume I: 158:3-9)</p> <p>Mr. Juarez would program the PVA 350, set up a circuit board in the machine, make sure the pattern is correct, do a dry run, do a wet run, put his head inside the PVA 350 to check the thickness of the layer, clean it up, rerun it again until they got the desired thickness and width. To facilitate the</p> |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 |
|---|---|
| <p><u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u></p> | <p><u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u></p> <p>fine tuning process, the machine has to switch to a bypass door so a programmer can put <u>their head inside the machine to make sure the spraying head is parallel to the door.</u> Then the programmer checks the thickness of the layer. The layer has to be about 1.5 thousandths of an inch. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 61:21-63:14, 58:4-6, 58:12-59:4)</p> <p>BELOW PLAINTIFFS ASSERT SPECIFIC DISPUTES OF FACT REGARDING THE PVA 350'S MACHINE DEFECTS, INADEQUATE SAFETY MEASURES, AND THE VENTILATION SYSTEM.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">The alleged PVA safety guide states: "Some machines are equipped with an exhaust fan. The exhaust fan is provided to exhaust fumes from the work area. The exhaust flange should be connected to an appropriate ducting system that is capable of receiving 150 CFM. Insufficient airflow through the exhaust system generates an error." However, <u>this manual was not provided in a hardcopy form to Space X based on the pictures PVA provided.</u> (Exhibit 29, PVA 63)The Exhaust Verification Process shows <u>some machines</u> have an auto shut down. (Exhibit 29, PVA 64)<u>A user has to visually inspect the position of a needle with respect to a calibration point and the user may have to physically reposition the needle.</u> (Exhibit 29, PVA 69)The PVA Product Overview indicated a number of safety items are |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|---|
| | | <p>not included on the PVA 350 automatically such as: conveyor type, passive programming camera, XY fiducial camera, exhaust blower, onboard computer, and flow monitoring. (Exhibit 29, PVA 220)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• There is a picture of the module, and there appears to be an insufficient exhaust system in the back of the machine. (Exhibit 29, PVA 4537, 4538, 4539, 4540, and 4542).• The April 11, 2012 conformal coating video with Space X boards utilized the same chemicals as used at Space X at PVA Inc. (See Exhibit 9 and Exhibit 22)• Exhibit 5, Video of the PVA 350 shows the machine is far too small for someone to use while wearing a ventilator.• Video Exhibit 11 shows the conformal coating process in a PVA machine in 2010.• Video Exhibit 8 shows all the different types of coating and also shows various designs that were not defective so it is great for comparison and explanation.• The comparison PVA 350 shows there is a <u>low exhaust and no conveyer</u> belt option on the PVA 350. (Exhibit 20) <p>THE PVA DID NOT COME WITH VARIOUS SAFETY MEASURES INCLUDING A PVA BLOWER</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• On April 24, 2009, Alex Duggan wrote Nicholas Wong (Space |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|--|
| | | <p>X) regarding Frank Hart (Space X)'s order for a PVA 350 forwarding preliminary machine specifications. (Exhibit 29)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">o The attachment to this email is a Workcell Specification dated 4-24-09. Essentially, the machine was a 350 with no custom requirements. The needle was not "calibrated." The ventilation was listed at a Minimum CFM of 300 without a "PVA blower" with an exhaust switch. The coating material and solvents are listed as "unknown." There are 2 solvent cups listed and 2 purge pans listed as well. (Exhibit 29)• Attached to an email dated October 14, 2013, there is an excel sheet for the parts, which is relevant as there is a <u>low exhaust</u> flow listed. (Exhibit 29)• The breakdown showing the <u>PVA 350 was sold with no PVA blower and less than 10 CFM</u> is referenced as Exhibit 11. <p>END USERS MUST PUT THEIR HEAD INTO THE PVA 350 MACHINE TO CHECK THE THICKNESS OF THE CHEMICAL COATING AND TO SPRAY THE PROPER AREAS OF THE CIRCUIT BOARD</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• The Wet Film Thickness Gauge shows how Mr. Juarez <u>had to measure the level of the fluid on the boards and is relevant to show he had to stick his head in the machine.</u> (Exhibit 23, PVA 1181 (Wet Film Thickness Gauge)) |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 |
|--|---|
| <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none">Pursuant to email dated December 15, 2014 on 11:47 a.m. Bievenue emailed to Matupang indicating the <u>only way to know for sure the mix ratio is coming out of a spray valve is with flow monitors and if you don't have flow monitors you are “spraying blind.”</u> (Exhibit 30) |
| 8. As stated in the manual provided to SpaceX in 2009, it “must exhaust at a rate of no less than 150 cubic feet per minute, otherwise a critical fault will occur shutting the motors down.” Urquhart Dec., PVA Manual and exhibits. (Urquhart Dec., 2:23-26, 21, 98-99.) | 8. Disputed to the extent this infers that Mr. Juarez saw the manual or the manual was provided to Mr. Juarez. Also, disputed because a number of safety items are not included with the PVA 350 machine such as the following: conveyor type, passive programming camera, XY fiducial camera, exhaust blower, onboard computer, and flow monitoring. (Exhibit 29, PVA 220) The work order on 7-28-2009 to 7-30-09 did not clarify the ventilation system was operational even after PVA Inc. added a <u>solvent flush</u> option flushed the material, and rebuilt the FCM100 valve. (Exhibit 22 and 36 PVA 4449-4452) Disputed to the extent the fact infers the PVA 350 was not defectively designed by not being large enough to allow users to utilize a ventilation mask when the PVA 350 was being utilized. |

1 **MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED**
2 **UNCONTROVERTED FACTS**

RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION

3 (Dec. Glen Stevick)

4
5
6
7
8
9
10 The PVA 350 was designed without a
11 conveyer belt to ensure employees of
12 Space X did not have to put their head
13 inside the machine and be exposed to
14 toxic chemicals.

15 (Dec. Glen Stevick)

16 PVA Inc. trained Mr. Juarez to put his
17 head inside the machine to check
18 various chemical levels without the
19 ventilation system being operations.

- 20 • (Dec. Ruben Juarez)
21 • (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1:
22 91:3-93:24, 96:10-19, 98:20-21,
23 100:4-9)
24 • (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1:
25 77:21-78:2)
26 • (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume I,
27 79:25-80:4)
28 • (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume I:
 87:22-88:1)
 • (Exhibit 31, PVA 51)
 • (Exhibit 31, PVA30-32)
 • (Exhibit 31, PVA 40-41)
 • (Exhibit 15, PVA 69)
 • (Exhibit 16, PVA 0272)
 • (Exhibit 31, PVA 30-32, 51)
 • (Exhibit 29, PVA 63, 64, 69,
 220)
 • (PVA 4537, 4538, 4539, 4540,
 and 4542).
 • (See Exhibit 9 and Exhibit 22)
 • Exhibit 5, Video of the PVA 350
 shows the machine is far too
 small for someone to use while
 wearing a ventilator.

1 **MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED**
2 **UNCONTROVERTED FACTS**

3 **RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION**

- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25
- 26
- 27
- 28
- Video Exhibit 11 shows the conformal coating process in a PVA machine in 2010.
 - Video Exhibit 8 shows all the different types of coating and also shows various designs that were not defective so it is great for comparison and explanation.
 - (Exhibit 15, PVA 69)
 - (Exhibit 20)
 - (Exhibit 21, PVA 4537, 4538, 4540)

Specific factual references with citations are set forth below for the court's convenience that address safety concerns, defects in the PVA 350, and concerns regarding the manual.

Mr. Juarez never read the manual for the PVA 350 because it was not available to him, but he was trained by PVA Inc. on how to use the PVA machines, and at these trainings PVA Inc. never told Mr. Juarez to review any manual. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 91:3-93:24, 96:10-19, 98:20-21, 100:4-9)

In the first room, the ventilation for the PVA 350 was a manual switch. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 77:21-78:2)

The PVA 350 was built so a programmer had to bypass the safety mechanisms to program the machine. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume I: 87:22-88:1)

THE PVA INC. MANUAL WAS NOT PROVIDED TO MR. JUAREZ AND THE MANUAL

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 |
|---|--|
| <p>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</p> | <p>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</p> <p>WAS INSUFFICIENT</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• PVA Inc. specifically indicated the <u>manual was for production and operators not technical personnel, but it might be “useful for introduction or training.”</u> (Exhibit 31, PVA 51)• The <u>manual was only produced electronically.</u> (No hardcopy.) (Exhibit 31, PVA30-32)• The Operations and Maintenance manual <u>does not warn to wear a face mask</u> or a ventilator. (Exhibit 31, PVA 40-41)• The manual indicated the PVA machines required that end users must visually inspect the position of the needle with response to a calibration point and physically reposition the needle. (Exhibit 15, PVA 69)• The Final Shipment Sign-Off does not indicate the operating manual was even sent to Space X only machine photos were taken. Also, there is an issue of fact as to whether the exhaust switch was included. (Exhibit 16, PVA 0272)• The PVA manual <u>was not physically produced and there was no requirement to review it.</u> (Exhibit 31, PVA 30-32, 51) <p>BELOW PLAINTIFFS ASSERT SPECIFIC DISPUTES OF FACT REGARDING THE PVA 350'S MACHINE DEFECTS, INADEQUATE SAFETY MEASURES, AND THE</p> |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|---|
| | | <p>VENTILATION SYSTEM.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">The alleged PVA safety guide states: "Some machines are equipped with an exhaust fan. The exhaust fan is provided to exhaust fumes from the work area. The exhaust flange should be connected to an appropriate ducting system that is capable of receiving 150 CFM. Insufficient airflow through the exhaust system generates an error." However, <u>this manual was not provided in a hardcopy form to Space X based on the pictures PVA provided.</u> (Exhibit 29, PVA 63)The Exhaust Verification Process shows <u>some machines</u> have an auto shut down. (Exhibit 29, PVA 64)<u>A user has to visually inspect the position of a needle with respect to a calibration point and the user may have to physically reposition the needle.</u> (Exhibit 29, PVA 69)The PVA Product Overview indicated a number of safety items are not included on the PVA 350 automatically such as: conveyor type, passive programming camera, XY fiducial camera, exhaust blower, onboard computer, and flow monitoring. (Exhibit 29, PVA 220)There is a picture of the module, and there appears to be an insufficient exhaust system in the back of the machine. (Exhibit 29, PVA 4537, 4538, 4539, 4540, and 4542).The April 11, 2012 conformal coating video with Space X boards utilized the same chemicals as used at |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|--|--|---|
| | | <p>Space X at PVA Inc. (See Exhibit 9 and Exhibit 22)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Exhibit 5, Video of the PVA 350 shows the machine is far too small for someone to use while wearing a ventilator.• Video Exhibit 11 shows the conformal coating process in a PVA machine in 2010.• Video Exhibit 8 shows all the different types of coating and also shows various designs that were not defective so it is great for comparison and explanation.• The comparison PVA 350 shows there is a <u>low exhaust and no conveyor</u> belt option on the PVA 350. (Exhibit 20) |
| 9. Second, the machine is a closed system with a door and negative air pressure to prevent chemicals from escaping. Urquhart Dec. (Urquhart Dec., 2:27-28.) | 9. Disputed. The PVA 350 is not equipped with a positive or negative air pressure system. (Dec. Ruben Juarez) Further, the PVA 350 had a defective Lexon door that permitted chemicals to escape and even had to be replaced at least once. (Exhibit 13) PVA 277 The PVA 350 ventilation indicated there was " <u>no PVA blower.</u> " (Exhibit 17, PVA 279-280) PVA Inc specifically trained users to utilize the PVA 350 with the door | |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|--|---|---|
| | | open and with their heads inside without providing a warning to utilize a ventilation mask because the machine was too small to permit a user to utilize a ventilation mask. |
| 10. If the door of the machine is opened, the spraying of materials will stop. Urquhart Dec., PVA Manual and exhibits. (Urquhart Dec., 2:28-3:1, 21, 96-97.) | | (Dec. Ruben Juarez) (Dec. Glen Stevick) The second room at a positive pressure ventilation system, but that was not connected to the PVA 350. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume I: 84:6-13) |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|---|
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none">• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume I: 123:19-124:12)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II: 226:12-227:6)• (Ex. 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II 232:1-7, 232: 23-25)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 61:21-63:14)• (Exhibit 13, PVA 277)• (Exhibit 17, PVA 63, 64, 219-220, 277, 279-280)• (Exhibit 29, PVA 63)• (Exhibit 29, PVA 64, 69, 220,)• (PVA 4537, 4538, 4539, 4540, and 4542).• (See Exhibit 9 and Exhibit 22)• Exhibit 5, Video of the PVA 350 shows the machine is far too small for someone to use while wearing a ventilator.• Video Exhibit 11 shows the conformal coating process in a PVA machine in 2010.• Video Exhibit 8 shows all the different types of coating and also shows various designs that were not defective so it is great for comparison and explanation.• (Exhibit 15, PVA 69)• (Exhibit 20)• (Exhibit 21, PVA 4537, 4538, 4540)• (Exhibit 24, 4458-4462)• (Exhibit 24)• (Exhibit 23, PVA 1181 (Wet Film Thickness Gauge))• (Exhibit 30) |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 |
|---|---|
| MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS | RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION |
| | <p><i>Specific factual references with citations are set forth below for the court's convenience.</i></p> |
| | <p>DEPOSITION TESTIMONY PORTRAYING THE DEFECTIVE DESIGN OF THE PVA 350 AND HOW END USERS WERE TRAINED TO USE THE MACHINE WITH THE DOOR OPEN</p> |
| | <p>The PVA 350 was built so a programmer had to bypass the safety mechanisms to program the machine. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume I: 87:22-88:1)</p> |
| | <p>PVA Inc. trained Mr. Juarez to put his head in the PVA 350 machine and bypass the machine. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 89:14-18, 90:8-11)</p> |
| | <p>Part of Mr. Juarez' training by PVA Inc. was to bypass the safety switch to do the programming of the PVA 350 machine. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 121:16-19)</p> |
| | <p>Mr. Juarez was trained by PVA Inc. to open the door of the machine to perform programming job duties. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 121: 22-122:20, 122:22-123:1)</p> |
| | <p>Mr. Juarez believe it was unsafe for the operators to open the machine door and operate the PVA 350 because they could get their hand stuck in the machine, but he did not have a chemistry background so he did not know whether the chemicals were harmful or not, <i>and he relied on the training provided by PVA Inc. that he could put his head safely in the machine while programming the machine.</i> (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume I: 123:19-124:12)</p> |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|---|
| | | Mr. Juarez had to place his head into the machine to see what portions of the circuit boards were covered because he would not be able to see into the machine and view the fine spray pattern by just looking through the window. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II: 226:12-227:6) |
| | | Mr. Juarez was trained in 2011 by PVA Inc. through a hands on approach on how to use the PVA machine without any hazardous chemical training. (Ex. 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II232:1-7, 232: 23-25) |
| | | Mr. Juarez would program the PVA 350, set up a circuit board in the machine, make sure the pattern is correct, do a dry run, do a wet run, make sure his head was inside to check the thickness of the layer, clean it up, rerun it again until they got the desired thickness and width. The programmer has to fine tune the thickness of the conformal coating layer. To facilitate the fine tuning process, the machine has to switch to a bypass door so a programmer can put their head inside the machine to make sure the spraying head is parallel to the door. Then a programmer does a dry run, a wet run, and checks the thickness of the layer. The layer has to be about 1.5 thousandths of an inch. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 61:21-63:14) |

BALABAN & SPIELBERGER LLP
11999 SAN VICENTE BOULEVARD, SUITE 345
LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90049

**ADDITIONAL SAFETY
MEASURES WERE INACTIVE,
BYPASSED PURSUANT TO
TRAINING BY PVA, AND NOT
INCLUDED WITH EACH PVA 350**

- The system debug dated 5-1-09 indicates the machine needed the material lines, fixed or replaced the **Lexon side**, valve/2 micrometer needs to be, and they needed to add a **camera cable in the back of the machine**. Further, PVA Inc. presents no evidence the Lexon glass door was

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 |
|---|--|
| <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
| | <p>timely replaced or the camera cord was ever installed. (Exhibit 13, PVA 277)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• <u>Not all PVA 350s have the alleged safety features including an exhaust fan/conveyer belt/an auto shut down/exhaust blower/flow monitoring, and cameras.</u> Further, pursuant to training provided by PVA Inc., there are <u>bypass systems that must be utilized so programmers can complete their jobs.</u> (Exhibit 17, PVA 63, 64, 219-220, 277, 279-280) <p>BELOW PLAINTIFFS ASSERT SPECIFIC DISPUTES OF FACT REGARDING THE PVA 350'S MACHINE DEFECTS, INADEQUATE SAFETY MEASURES, AND THE VENTILATION SYSTEM.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• The alleged PVA safety guide states: "Some machines are equipped with an exhaust fan. The exhaust fan is provided to exhaust fumes from the work area. The exhaust flange should be connected to an appropriate ducting system that is capable of receiving 150 CFM. Insufficient airflow through the exhaust system generates an error." However, <u>this manual was not provided in a hardcopy form to Space X based on the pictures PVA provided.</u> (Exhibit 29, PVA 63)• The Exhaust Verification Process shows <u>some machines</u> have an auto shut down. (Exhibit 29, PVA 64)• <u>A user has to visually inspect the position of a needle with respect to a calibration point and the user may have to physically reposition</u> |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 |
|---|--|
| <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
| | <p><u>the needle.</u> (Exhibit 29, PVA 69)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• The PVA Product Overview indicated a number of safety items are not included on the PVA 350 automatically such as: conveyor type, passive programming camera, XY fiducial camera, exhaust blower, onboard computer, and flow monitoring. (Exhibit 29, PVA 220)• There is a picture of the module, and there appears to be an insufficient exhaust system in the back of the machine. (Exhibit 29, PVA 4537, 4538, 4539, 4540, and 4542).• The April 11, 2012 conformal coating video with Space X boards utilized the same chemicals as used at Space X at PVA Inc. (See Exhibit 9 and Exhibit 22)• Exhibit 5, Video of the PVA 350 shows the machine is far too small for someone to use while wearing a ventilator.• Video Exhibit 11 shows the conformal coating process in a PVA machine in 2010.• Video Exhibit 8 shows all the different types of coating and also shows various designs that were not defective so it is great for comparison and explanation.• The comparison PVA 350 shows there is a <u>low exhaust and no conveyor</u> belt option on the PVA 350. (Exhibit 20) <p>PVA INC. PROVIDED TRAINING AND TRAINED MR. JUAREZ TO</p> |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 |
|---|---|
| <p>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</p> <p>11. "When the door is opened power to the motors and pneumatics is disconnected."</p> <p>Urquhart Dec., PVA Manual and exhibits.</p> | <p>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</p> <p>PUT HIS HEAD INSIDE THE PVA MACHINE WITHOUT ANY PROTECTIVE GEAR</p> <p>Ruben Juarez attended training at PVA Inc., and PVA trained him to put his head inside the machine while spraying without any protective gear. He attended these trainings while working for EMI and Space X. (See Exhibit 24, 4458-4462)</p> <p>END USERS MUST PUT THEIR HEAD INTO THE PVA 350 MACHINE TO CHECK THE THICKNESS OF THE CHEMICAL COATING AND TO SPRAY THE PROPER AREAS OF THE CIRCUIT BOARD</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">The Wet Film Thickness Gauge shows how Mr. Juarez <u>had to measure the level of the fluid on the boards and is relevant to show he had to stick his head in the machine.</u> (Exhibit 23, PVA 1181 (Wet Film Thickness Gauge))Pursuant to email dated December 15, 2014 on 11:47 a.m. Bievenue emailed to Matupang indicating the <u>only way to know for sure the mix ratio is coming out of a spray valve is with flow monitors and if you don't have flow monitors you are "spraying blind."</u> (Exhibit 30) |
| | <p>11. Disputed to the extent the statement alleges inaccurate factual inferences. PVA Inc. trained users to open the door,</p> |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|--|---|
| | (Urquhart Dec., 2:28-3:1, 21, 96-97.) <ul style="list-style-type: none">• (Dec. Glen Stevick)• (Dec. Ruben Juarez)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume I: 87:22-88:1)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 89:14-18, 90:8-11)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 121: 22- 122:20, 122:22-123:1)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume I: 123:19-124:12)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II: 226:12-227:6)• (Ex. 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II: 232:1-7, 232: 23-25)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 61:21-63:14)• (Exhibit 13, PVA 277)• (Exhibit 17, PVA 63, 64, 219-220, 277, 279-280)• (Exhibit 29, PVA 63, 69, 220)• (PVA 4537, 4538, 4539, 4540, and 4542).• (See Exhibit 9 and Exhibit 22)• Exhibit 5, Video of the PVA 350 shows the machine is far too | put their heads inside the machine, and utilize the bypass system to ensure the circuit boards were uniformly coated without the use of a ventilation mask. PVA Inc. specifically provided a bypass system with the machine and trained Space X employees to utilize the PVA machine without providing adequate safety controls to the bypass system. |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|--|
| | | <p>small for someone to use while wearing a ventilator.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Video Exhibit 11 shows the conformal coating process in a PVA machine in 2010.• Video Exhibit 8 shows all the different types of coating and also shows various designs that were not defective so it is great for comparison and explanation.• (Exhibit 15, PVA 69)• (Exhibit 20)• (Exhibit 21, PVA 4537, 4538, 4540)• (Exhibit 24, 4458-4462)• (Exhibit 24)• (Exhibit 23, PVA 1181 (Wet Film Thickness Gauge))• (Exhibit 30) |
| | | <p><u><i>Specific factual references with citations are set forth below for the court's convenience that portray PVA 350 operated when the door was open as PVA Inc. trained Mr. Juarez.</i></u></p> <p>DEPOSITION TESTIMONY PORTRAYING THE DEFECTIVE DESIGN OF THE PVA 350 AND HOW END USERS WERE TRAINED TO USE THE MACHINE WITH THE DOOR OPEN BY PVA INC.</p> <p>The PVA 350 was built so a programmer had to bypass the safety mechanisms to program the machine. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume I: 87:22-88:1)</p> |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|--|
| | | PVA Inc. trained Mr. Juarez to put his head in the PVA 350 machine and bypass the machine. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 89:14-18, 90:8-11) |
| | | Part of Mr. Juarez' training by PVA Inc. was to bypass the safety switch to do the programming of the PVA 350 machine. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 121:16-19) |
| | | Mr. Juarez was trained by PVA Inc. to open the door of the machine to perform programming job duties. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 121: 22-122:20, 122:22-123:1) |
| | | Mr. Juarez believe it was unsafe for the operators to open the machine door and operate the PVA 350 because they could get their hand stuck in the machine, but he did not have a chemistry background so he did not know whether the chemicals were harmful or not, <i>and he relied on the training provided by PVA Inc. that he could put his head safely in the machine while programming the machine.</i> (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume I: 123:19-124:12) |
| | | <u>Mr. Juarez had to place his head into the machine to see what portions of the circuit boards were covered because he would not be able to see into the machine and view the fine spray pattern by just looking through the window.</u> (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II: 226:12-227:6) |
| | | Mr. Juarez was trained in 2011 by PVA Inc. through a <u>hands on approach on how to use the PVA machine without any hazardous chemical training.</u> (Ex. 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II 232:1-7, 232: 23-25) |
| | | Mr. Juarez would program the PVA 350, set up a circuit board in the machine, make sure the pattern is correct, do a dry run, do a wet run. |

1 **MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED**
2 **UNCONTROVERTED FACTS**

1 **RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION**

2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
make sure his head was inside to
check the thickness of the layer, clean
it up, rerun it again until they got the
desired thickness and width. The
programmer has to fine tune the
thickness of the conformal coating
layer. To facilitate the fine tuning
process, the machine has to switch
to a bypass door so a programmer
can put their head inside the
machine to make sure the spraying
head is parallel to the door. Then a
programmer does a dry run, a wet
run, and checks the thickness of the
layer. The layer has to be about 1.5
thousandths of an inch. (Ex 3 Depo.
Juarez Volume 1: 61:21-63:14)

1 **ADDITIONAL SAFETY**
2 **MEASURES WERE INACTIVE,**
3 **BYPASSED PURSUANT TO**
4 **TRAINING BY PVA, AND NOT**
5 **INCLUDED WITH EACH PVA 350**

- 6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
• The system debug dated 5-1-09
indicates the machine needed the
material lines, fixed or replaced the
Lexon side, valve/2 micrometer needs
to be, and they needed **to add a**
camera cable in the back of the
machine. Further, PVA Inc. presents
no evidence the Lexon glass door was
timely replaced or the camera cord
was ever installed. (Exhibit 13, PVA
277)
- Not all PVA 350s have the
alleged safety features including an
exhaust fan/conveyer belt/an auto
shut down/exhaust blower/flow
monitoring, and cameras. Further,
pursuant to training provided by PVA
Inc., there are **bypass systems that**
must be utilized so programmers
can complete their jobs. (Exhibit 17,
PVA 63, 64, 219-220, 277, 279-280)

1 **BELOW PLAINTIFFS ASSERT**
2 **SPECIFIC DISPUTES OF FACT**
3 **REGARDING THE PVA 350'S**

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 |
|---|---|
| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 |
| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|---|
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none">• The April 11, 2012 conformal coating video with Space X boards utilized the same chemicals as used at Space X at PVA Inc. (See Exhibit 9 and Exhibit 22)• Exhibit 5, Video of the PVA 350 shows the machine is far too small for someone to use while wearing a ventilator.• Video Exhibit 11 shows the conformal coating process in a PVA machine in 2010.• Video Exhibit 8 shows all the different types of coating and also shows various designs that were not defective so it is great for comparison and explanation.• The comparison PVA 350 shows there is a <u>low exhaust and no conveyer</u> belt option on the PVA 350. (Exhibit 20) <p>PVA INC. PROVIDED TRAINING AND TRAINED MR. JUAREZ TO PUT HIS HEAD INSIDE THE PVA MACHINE WITHOUT ANY PROTECTIVE GEAR</p> <p>Ruben Juarez attended training at PVA Inc., and PVA trained him to put his head inside the machine while spraying without any protective gear. He attended these trainings while working for EMI and Space X. (Exhibit 24, 4458-4462)</p> <p>END USERS MUST PUT THEIR HEAD INTO THE PVA 350 MACHINE TO CHECK THE THICKNESS OF THE CHEMICAL COATING AND TO</p> |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 |
|---|--|
| MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS | RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION |
| <p>12. Third, the machine cannot be operated until a machine safety check is performed which ensures that all safety features are working properly: “The machine safety check ensures the workcell safety devices (emergency stop, door interlocks, light curtain, etc.) are operating properly. During startup, the operator must enter the safety check and complete it successfully. Otherwise the machine halts all operations.”</p> <p>Urquhart Dec., PVA Manual and exhibits.</p> <p>(Urquhart Dec., 3:2-6, 21, 96-99.)</p> | <p>SPRAY THE PROPER AREAS OF THE CIRCUIT BOARD</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">The Wet Film Thickness Gauge shows how Mr. Juarez <u>had to measure the level of the fluid on the boards and is relevant to show he had to stick his head in the machine.</u> (Exhibit 23, PVA 1181 (Wet Film Thickness Gauge))Pursuant to email dated December 15, 2014 on 11:47 a.m. Bievenue emailed to Matupang indicating the <u>only way to know for sure the mix ratio is coming out of a spray valve is with flow monitors and if you don't have flow monitors you are “spraying blind.”</u> (Exhibit 30) <p>12. Disputed. The PVA 350 does a quick check at the start up or a “0” check. However, PVA Inc. trained users to bypass this system with the bypass key. Further, a number of safety features are options that are not included with the PVA 350, inadequate, or were not operational.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">(Dec. Ruben Juarez)(Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume I: 87:22-88:1)(Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 89:14-18, 90:8-11)(Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 121:16-19)(Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 121: 22- 122:20, |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|--|
| | | <p>122:22-123:1)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume I: 123:19-124:12)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II: 226:12-227:6)• (Ex. 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II232:1-7, 232: 23-25)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 61:21-63:14)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 74:22-75:7)• (Exhibit 13, PVA 277)• (Exhibit 17, PVA 63, 64, 219-220, 277, 279-280)• (Exhibit 29, PVA 63, 64, 69, 220)• (PVA 4537, 4538, 4539, 4540, and 4542).• Exhibit 5, Video of the PVA 350 shows the machine is far too small for someone to use while wearing a ventilator.• • Video Exhibit 11 shows the conformal coating process in a PVA machine in 2010.• • Video Exhibit 8 shows all the different types of coating and also shows various designs that were not defective so it is great for comparison and explanation.• .(Exhibit 15, PVA 69)• (Exhibit 20) |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|--|
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none">• (Exhibit 21, PVA 4537, 4538, 4540)• (Exhibit 24, 4458-4462)• (Exhibit 23, PVA 1181 (Wet Film Thickness Gauge))• (Exhibit 30) <p><i><u>Specific factual references with citations are set forth below for the court's convenience.</u></i></p> <p>DEPOSITION TESTIMONY PORTRAYING THE DEFECTIVE DESIGN OF THE PVA 350 AND HOW END USERS WERE TRAINED TO USE THE MACHINE WITH THE DOOR OPEN BY PVA INC.</p> <p>The PVA 350 was built so a programmer had to bypass the safety mechanisms to program the machine. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume I: 87:22-88:1)</p> <p>PVA Inc. trained Mr. Juarez to put his head in the PVA 350 machine and bypass the machine. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 89:14-18, 90:8-11)</p> <p>Part of Mr. Juarez' training by PVA Inc. was to bypass the safety switch to do the programming of the PVA 350 machine. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 121:16-19)</p> <p>Mr. Juarez was trained by PVA Inc. to open the door of the machine to perform programming job duties. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 121: 22-122:20, 122:22-123:1)</p> <p>Mr. Juarez believe it was unsafe for the operators to open the machine door and operate the PVA 350 because they could get their hand stuck in the machine, but he did not have a</p> |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|---|
| | | chemistry background so he did not know whether the chemicals were harmful or not, <i>and he relied on the training provided by PVA Inc. that he could put his head safely in the machine while programming the machine.</i> (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume I: 123:19-124:12) |
| | | Mr. Juarez had to place his head into the machine to see what portions of the circuit boards were covered because he would not be able to see into the machine and view the fine spray pattern by just looking through the window. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II: 226:12-227:6) |
| | | Mr. Juarez was trained in 2011 by PVA Inc. through a hands on approach on how to use the PVA machine without any hazardous chemical training. (Ex. 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II232:1-7, 232: 23-25) |
| | | Mr. Juarez would program the PVA 350, set up a circuit board in the machine, make sure the pattern is correct, do a dry run, do a wet run, make sure his head was inside to check the thickness of the layer, clean it up, rerun it again until they got the desired thickness and width. The programmer has to fine tune the thickness of the conformal coating layer. To facilitate the fine tuning process, the machine has to switch to a bypass door so a programmer can put their head inside the machine to make sure the spraying head is parallel to the door. Then a programmer does a dry run, a wet run, and checks the thickness of the layer. The layer has to be about 1.5 thousandths of an inch. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 61:21-63:14) |
| | | The PVA 350 was programmed by a handheld controller, and it was a rudimentary interface. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 74:22-75:7) |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|---|
| | | <p>ADDITIONAL SAFETY MEASURES WERE INACTIVE, BYPASSED PURSUANT TO TRAINING BY PVA, AND NOT INCLUDED WITH EACH PVA 350</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• The system debug dated 5-1-09 indicates the machine needed the material lines, fixed or replaced the Lexon side, valve/2 micrometer needs to be, and they needed to add a camera cable in the back of the machine. Further, PVA Inc. presents no evidence the Lexon glass door was timely replaced or the camera cord was ever installed. (Exhibit 13, PVA 277)<li>• Not all PVA 350s have the alleged safety features including an exhaust fan/conveyer belt/an auto shut down/exhaust blower/flow monitoring, and cameras. Further, pursuant to training provided by PVA Inc., there are bypass systems that must be utilized so programmers can complete their jobs. (Exhibit 17, PVA 63, 64, 219-220, 277, 279-280) |
| | | <p>BELOW PLAINTIFFS ASSERT SPECIFIC DISPUTES OF FACT REGARDING THE PVA 350'S MACHINE DEFECTS, INADEQUATE SAFETY MEASURES, AND THE VENTILATION SYSTEM.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• The alleged PVA safety guide states: "Some machines are equipped with an exhaust fan. The exhaust fan is provided to exhaust fumes from the work area. The exhaust flange should be connected to an appropriate ducting system that is capable of receiving 150 CFM. Insufficient airflow through the exhaust system generates an error." However, this manual was not provided in a hardcopy form to |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 |
|---|---|
| <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
| | |

Space X based on the pictures PVA provided. (Exhibit 29, PVA 63)

- The Exhaust Verification Process shows some machines have an auto shut down. (Exhibit 29, PVA 64)
- A user has to visually inspect the position of a needle with respect to a calibration point and the user may have to physically reposition the needle. (Exhibit 29, PVA 69)
- The PVA Product Overview indicated a number of safety items are not included on the PVA 350 automatically such as: conveyor type, passive programming camera, XY fiducial camera, exhaust blower, onboard computer, and flow monitoring. (Exhibit 29, PVA 220)
- There is a picture of the module, and there appears to be an insufficient exhaust system in the back of the machine. (Exhibit 29, PVA 4537, 4538, 4539, 4540, and 4542).
- The April 11, 2012 conformal coating video with Space X boards utilized the same chemicals as used at Space X at PVA Inc. (See Exhibit 9 and Exhibit 22)
- Exhibit 5, Video of the PVA 350 shows the machine is far too small for someone to use while wearing a ventilator.
- Video Exhibit 11 shows the conformal coating process in a PVA machine in 2010.
- Video Exhibit 8 shows all the

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|--|
| | | <p>different types of coating and also shows various designs that were not defective so it is great for comparison and explanation.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• The comparison PVA 350 shows there is a <u>low exhaust and no conveyer</u> belt option on the PVA 350. (Exhibit 20) |
| | | <p>PVA INC. PROVIDED TRAINING AND TRAINED MR. JUAREZ TO PUT HIS HEAD INSIDE THE PVA MACHINE WITHOUT ANY PROTECTIVE GEAR</p> <p>Ruben Juarez attended training at PVA Inc., and PVA trained him to put his head inside the machine while spraying without any protective gear. He attended these trainings while working for EMI and Space X. (Exhibit 24, 4458-4462)</p> |
| | | <p>END USERS MUST PUT THEIR HEAD INTO THE PVA 350 MACHINE TO CHECK THE THICKNESS OF THE CHEMICAL COATING AND TO SPRAY THE PROPER AREAS OF THE CIRCUIT BOARD</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• The Wet Film Thickness Gauge shows how Mr. Juarez <u>had to measure the level of the fluid on the boards and is relevant to show he had to stick his head in the machine.</u> (Exhibit 23, PVA 1181 (Wet Film Thickness Gauge))• Pursuant to email dated December 15, 2014 on 11:47 a.m. Bievenue emailed to Matupang indicating the <u>only way to know for sure the mix ratio is coming out of a</u> |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 |
|---|---|
| MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS | RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION |
| <p>13. The machine's manual lists all of these features and explicitly warn users not to "disable the safety features of the machine." PVA Manual. (Urquhart Dec., 96.)</p> | <p>spray valve is with flow monitors and if you don't have flow monitors you are "spraying blind." (Exhibit 30)</p> <p>13. Disputed to the extent defendant infers that Mr. Juarez saw the manual as Mr. Juarez was never provided the manual. Further, the manual was not available in the computer connected to the PVA 350 because the PVA 350 is a standalone machine, the manual was not uploaded to the company network.</p> <p>(Dec. Ruben Juarez)</p> <p>Also, PVA provided photographs of the PVA 350 machine when it shipped and there is no hardcopy of the manual included in the delivery. Further, PVA Inc. specifically trained Mr. Juarez to bypass the safety systems so the machine could be utilized as it was designed defectively and could not be utilized otherwise.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• (Dec. Ruben Juarez)• (Dec. Glen Stevick)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume I: 91:3-93:24, 96:10-19, 98:20-21, 100)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume I: 87:22-88:1)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume I: 121:16-19)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|---|
| | | <p>1: 121: 22- 122:20, 122:22-123:1)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume I: 123:19-124:12)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II: 226:12-227:6)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 61:21-63:14)• (Exhibit 31, PVA 51)• (Exhibit 31, PVA30-32)• (Exhibit 31, PVA 40-41)• (Exhibit 15, PVA 69)• (Exhibit 16, PVA 0272)• (Exhibit 31, PVA 30-32, 51)• (Exhibit 29, PVA 63, 64, 69, 220)• (See Exhibit 9 and Exhibit 22)• Exhibit 5, Video of the PVA 350 shows the machine is far too small for someone to use while wearing a ventilator.• Video Exhibit 11 shows the conformal coating process in a PVA machine in 2010.• Video Exhibit 8 shows all the different types of coating and also shows various designs that were not defective so it is great for comparison and explanation.• . (Exhibit 15, PVA 69)• (Exhibit 20) |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|--|
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none">• (Exhibit 21, PVA 4537, 4538, 4540)• (Exhibit 18, PVA 40)• (Exhibit 35)• (Exhibit 24, 4458-4462)• (Exhibit 24)• (Exhibit 23, PVA 1181 (Wet Film Thickness Gauge))• (Exhibit 30) <p><i><u>Specific factual references with citations are set forth below for the court's convenience.</u></i></p> <p>DEPOSITION TESTIMONY SHOWING THERE IS DISPUTE AS TO THE CONTENTS OF THE PVA MANUAL, THE SAFETY OF THE PVA 350, THE DEFECTS OF THE PVA 350, AND PVA INC.'S TRAINING</p> <p>Mr. Juarez never read the manual for the PVA 350 because it was not available to him, but he was trained by PVA Inc. on how to use the PVA machines, and at these trainings PVA Inc. never told Mr. Juarez to review any manual. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 91:3-93:24, 96:10-19, 98:20-21, 100)</p> <p>The PVA 350 was built so a programmer had to bypass the safety mechanisms to program the machine. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume I: 87:22-88:1)</p> <p>PVA Inc. trained Mr. Juarez to put his head in the PVA 350 machine and bypass the machine. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 89:14-18, 90:8-11)</p> |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 |
|---|--|
| <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
| | Part of Mr. Juarez' training by PVA Inc. was to bypass the safety switch to do the programming of the PVA 350 machine. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume I: 121:16-19) |
| | Mr. Juarez was trained by PVA Inc. to open the door of the machine to perform programming job duties. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume I: 121: 22-122:20, 122:22-123:1) |
| | Mr. Juarez believe it was unsafe for the operators to open the machine door and operate the PVA 350 because they could get their hand stuck in the machine, but he did not have a chemistry background so he did not know whether the chemicals were harmful or not, <i>and he relied on the training provided by PVA Inc. that he could put his head safely in the machine while programming the machine.</i> (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume I: 123:19-124:12) |
| | Mr. Juarez had to place his head into the machine to see what portions of the circuit boards were covered because he would not be able to see into the machine and view the fine spray pattern by just looking through the window. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II: 226:12-227:6) |
| | Mr. Juarez was trained in 2011 by PVA Inc. through a hands on approach on how to use the PVA machine without any hazardous chemical training. (Ex. 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II232:1-7, 232: 23-25) |
| | Mr. Juarez would program the PVA 350, set up a circuit board in the machine, make sure the pattern is correct, do a dry run, do a wet run, make sure his head was inside to check the thickness of the layer, clean it up, rerun it again until they got the desired thickness and width. The programmer has to fine tune the thickness of the conformal coating |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|---|
| | | <p>layer. To facilitate the fine tuning process, the machine has to switch to a bypass door so a programmer can put their head inside the machine to make sure the spraying head is parallel to the door. Then a programmer does a dry run, a wet run, and checks the thickness of the layer. The layer has to be about 1.5 thousandths of an inch. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 61:21-63:14)</p> <p>The PVA 350 was programmed by a handheld controller, and it was a rudimentary interface. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 74:22-75:7)</p> <p><u>BELOW ARE FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS REGARDING THE MANUAL NOT BEING PRODUCED AND PVA MANUAL BEING INEFFECTIVE AND INSUFFICIENT</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• PVA specifically indicated the manual was for production and <u>operators not technical personnel, but it might be “useful for introduction or training.”</u> (Exhibit 31, PVA 51)• The manual was <u>only produced electronically.</u> (No hardcopy.) (Exhibit 31, PVA30-32)• The Operations and Maintenance manual <u>does not warn to wear a face mask or protection</u> from any inhalants. (Exhibit 31, PVA 40-41)• The manual indicates the PVA machines require that end users must <u>visually inspect the position of the needle with response to a calibration point and physically reposition the</u> |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 |
|---|---|
| | <p><u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u></p> <p><u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u></p> <p><u>needle.</u> (Exhibit 15, PVA 69)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">The Final Shipment Sign-Off does not indicate the operating manual was sent to Space X only machine photos were taken. Also, there is an issue of facts as to whether the <u>exhaust switch was included.</u> (Exhibit 16, PVA 0272)The PVA manual was not <u>physically produced and there was no requirement to review it.</u> (Exhibit 31, PVA 30-32, 51) <p>BELOW PLAINTIFFS ASSERT SPECIFIC DISPUTES OF FACT REGARDING THE PVA 350'S MACHINE DEFECTS, INADEQUATE SAFETY MEASURES, AND THE VENTILATION SYSTEM.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">The alleged PVA safety guide states: "Some machines are equipped with an exhaust fan. The exhaust fan is provided to exhaust fumes from the work area. The exhaust flange should be connected to an appropriate ducting system that is capable of receiving 150 CFM. Insufficient airflow through the exhaust system generates an error." However, <u>this manual was not provided in a hardcopy form to Space X based on the pictures PVA provided.</u> (Exhibit 29, PVA 63)The Exhaust Verification Process shows <u>some machines</u> have an auto shut down. (Exhibit 29, PVA 64)<u>A user has to visually inspect the position of a needle with respect to a calibration point and the user</u> |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 |
|---|--|
| <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
| | <p><u>may have to physically reposition the needle.</u> (Exhibit 29, PVA 69)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• The PVA Product Overview indicated a number of safety items are not included on the PVA 350 automatically such as: conveyor type, passive programming camera, XY fiducial camera, exhaust blower, onboard computer, and flow monitoring. (Exhibit 29, PVA 220)• There is a picture of the module, and there appears to be an insufficient exhaust system in the back of the machine. (Exhibit 29, PVA 4537, 4538, 4539, 4540, and 4542).• The April 11, 2012 conformal coating video with Space X boards utilized the same chemicals as used at Space X at PVA Inc. (See Exhibit 9 and Exhibit 22)• Exhibit 5, Video of the PVA 350 shows the machine is far too small for someone to use while wearing a ventilator.• Video Exhibit 11 shows the conformal coating process in a PVA machine in 2010.• Video Exhibit 8 shows all the different types of coating and also shows various designs that were not defective so it is great for comparison and explanation.• The comparison PVA 350 shows there is a <u>low exhaust and no conveyer</u> belt option on the PVA 350. (Exhibit 20) <p>PVA INC. PROVIDED TRAINING</p> |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|---|
| | | AND TRAINED MR. JUAREZ TO PUT HIS HEAD INSIDE THE PVA MACHINE WITHOUT ANY PROTECTIVE GEAR |
| | | <p>Ruben Juarez attended training at PVA Inc., and PVA trained him to put his head inside the machine while spraying without any protective gear. He attended these trainings while working for EMI and Space X. (Exhibit 24, 4458-4462)</p> |
| | | END USERS MUST PUT THEIR HEAD INTO THE PVA 350 MACHINE TO CHECK THE THICKNESS OF THE CHEMICAL COATING AND TO SPRAY THE PROPER AREAS OF THE CIRCUIT BOARD |
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none">The Wet Film Thickness Gauge shows how Mr. Juarez had to measure the level of the fluid on the boards and is relevant to show he had to stick his head in the machine. (Exhibit 23, PVA 1181 (Wet Film Thickness Gauge)) |
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none">Pursuant to email dated December 15, 2014 on 11:47 a.m. Bievenu emailed to Matupang indicating the only way to know for sure the mix ratio is coming out of a spray valve is with flow monitors and if you don't have flow monitors you are "spraying blind." (Exhibit 30) |
| | 14. It also states: "NOTE: The safety features should NEVER be bypassed, disabled or tampered with. Precision Valve & Automation, Inc. is not responsible for any | 14. Disputed to the extent defendant infers that Mr. Juarez saw the manual as Mr. Juarez was never provided the manual. Further, |

| 1 2 <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED</u> <u>UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|--|
| 3 4 damages incurred, mechanical or human, because of alteration or destruction of any safety features.” | the manual was not available in the computer connected to the PVA 350 because the PVA 350 is a standalone machine, the manual was not uploaded to the company network. |
| 5 PVA Manual. | |
| 6 (Urquhart Dec., 74, 96.) | (Dec. Ruben Juarez) |
| 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | Mr. Juarez never read the manual for the PVA 350 because it was not available to him, but he was trained by PVA Inc. on how to use the PVA machines, and at these trainings PVA Inc. never told Mr. Juarez to review any manual. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 91:3-93:24, 96:10-19, 98:20-21, 100) |
| | Also, PVA provided photographs of the PVA 350 machine when it shipped and there is no hardcopy of the manual included in the delivery. Further, PVA Inc. specifically trained Mr. Juarez to bypass the safety systems so the machine could be utilized as it was designed defectively and could not be utilized otherwise. |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none">• (Dec. Glen Stevick)• (Dec. Ruben Juarez)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 91:3-93:24, 96:10-19, 98:20-21, 100)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume I: 87:22-88:1)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 89:14-18, 90:8-11)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 121:16-19) |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|---|
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none">• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 121: 22- 122:20, 122:22-123:1)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume I: 123:19-124:12)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II: 226:12-227:6)• (Ex. 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II232:1-7, 232: 23-25)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 61:21-63:14)• (Exhibit 31, PVA 51)• (Exhibit 31, PVA30-32)• (Exhibit 31, PVA 40-41)• (Exhibit 15, PVA 69)• (Exhibit 16, PVA 0272)• (Exhibit 31, PVA 30-32, 51)• (Exhibit 29, PVA 63, 64, 69, 220)• (See Exhibit 9 and Exhibit 22)• Exhibit 5, Video of the PVA 350 shows the machine is far too small for someone to use while wearing a ventilator.• Video Exhibit 11 shows the conformal coating process in a PVA machine in 2010.• Video Exhibit 8 shows all the different types of coating and also shows various designs that were not defective so it is great for comparison and explanation.• (Exhibit 15, PVA 69)• (Exhibit 20)• (Exhibit 21, PVA 4537, 4538, 4540)• (Exhibit 18, PVA 40)• (Exhibit 35) |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|---|
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none">• (Exhibit 24, 4458-4462)• (Exhibit 24)• (Exhibit 23, PVA 1181 (Wet Film Thickness Gauge))• (Exhibit 30) <p><i><u>Specific factual references with citations are set forth below for the court's convenience.</u></i></p> |
| | | <p>PORTRAYING THE DEFECTIVE DESIGN OF THE PVA 350 AND HOW END USERS WERE TRAINED TO USE THE MACHINE WITH THE DOOR OPEN BY PVA INC.</p> |
| | | <p>Mr. Juarez never read the manual for the PVA 350 because it was not available to him, but he was trained by PVA Inc. on how to use the PVA machines, and at these trainings PVA Inc. never told Mr. Juarez to review any manual. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 91:3-93:24, 96:10-19, 98:20-21, 100)</p> |
| | | <p>The PVA 350 was built so a programmer had to bypass the safety mechanisms to program the machine. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume I: 87:22-88:1)</p> |
| | | <p>PVA Inc. trained Mr. Juarez to put his head in the PVA 350 machine and bypass the machine. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 89:14-18, 90:8-11)</p> |
| | | <p>Part of Mr. Juarez' training by PVA Inc. was to bypass the safety switch to do the programming of the PVA 350 machine. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 121:16-19)</p> |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|--|
| | | Mr. Juarez was trained by PVA Inc. to open the door of the machine to perform programming job duties. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 121: 22-122:20, 122:22-123:1) |
| | | Mr. Juarez believe it was unsafe for the operators to open the machine door and operate the PVA 350 because they could get their hand stuck in the machine, but he did not have a chemistry background so he did not know whether the chemicals were harmful or not, <i>and he relied on the training provided by PVA Inc. that he could put his head safely in the machine while programming the machine.</i> (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume I: 123:19-124:12) |
| | | Mr. Juarez had to place his head into the machine to see what portions of the circuit boards were covered because he would not be able to see into the machine and view the fine spray pattern by just looking through the window. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II: 226:12-227:6) |
| | | Mr. Juarez was trained in 2011 by PVA Inc. through a hands on approach on how to use the PVA machine without any hazardous chemical training. (Ex. 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II232:1-7, 232: 23-25) |
| | | Mr. Juarez would program the PVA 350, set up a circuit board in the machine, make sure the pattern is correct, do a dry run, do a wet run, make sure his head was inside to check the thickness of the layer, clean it up, rerun it again until they got the desired thickness and width. The programmer has to fine tune the thickness of the conformal coating layer. To facilitate the fine tuning process, the machine has to switch to a bypass door so a programmer can put their head inside the machine to make sure the spraying head is parallel to the door. Then a programmer does a |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|---|
| | | <p>dry run, a wet run, and checks the thickness of the layer. The layer has to be about 1.5 thousandths of an inch. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 61:21-63:14)</p> <p>The PVA 350 was programmed by a handheld controller, and it was a rudimentary interface. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 74:22-75:7)</p> <p><u>BELow ARE FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS REGARDING THE MANUAL NOT BEING PRODUCED AND PVA MANUAL BEING INEFFECTIVE AND INSUFFICIENT</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• PVA specifically indicated the manual was for production and <u>operators not technical personnel, but it might be “useful for introduction or training.”</u> (Exhibit 31, PVA 51)• The manual was <u>only produced electronically.</u> (No hardcopy.) (Exhibit 31, PVA30-32)• The Operations and Maintenance manual <u>does not warn to wear a face mask or protection</u> from any inhalants. (Exhibit 31, PVA 40-41)• The manual indicates the PVA machines require that end users must <u>visually inspect the position of the needle with response to a calibration point and physically reposition the needle.</u> (Exhibit 15, PVA 69)• The Final Shipment Sign-Off does not indicate the operating manual was sent to Space X only machine photos were taken. Also, there is an |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 |
|---|--|
| <p><u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u></p> | <p><u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u></p> <p>issue of facts as to whether the <u>exhaust switch was included.</u> (Exhibit 16, PVA 0272)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• The PVA manual was not <u>physically produced and there was no requirement to review it.</u> (Exhibit 31, PVA 30-32, 51) <p><u>BELOW PLAINTIFFS ASSERT SPECIFIC DISPUTES OF FACT REGARDING THE PVA 350'S MACHINE DEFECTS, INADEQUATE SAFETY MEASURES, AND THE VENTILATION SYSTEM.</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• The alleged PVA safety guide states: "Some machines are equipped with an exhaust fan. The exhaust fan is provided to exhaust fumes from the work area. The exhaust flange should be connected to an appropriate ducting system that is capable of receiving 150 CFM. Insufficient airflow through the exhaust system generates an error." However, <u>this manual was not provided in a hardcopy form to Space X based on the pictures PVA provided.</u> (Exhibit 29, PVA 63)• The Exhaust Verification Process shows <u>some machines</u> have an auto shut down. (Exhibit 29, PVA 64)• <u>A user has to visually inspect the position of a needle with respect to a calibration point and the user may have to physically reposition the needle.</u> (Exhibit 29, PVA 69)• The PVA Product Overview indicated a number of safety items are not included on the PVA 350 |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|--|
| | | <p>automatically such as: conveyor type, passive programming camera, XY fiducial camera, exhaust blower, onboard computer, and flow monitoring. (Exhibit 29, PVA 220)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• There is a picture of the module, and there appears to be an insufficient exhaust system in the back of the machine. (Exhibit 29, PVA 4537, 4538, 4539, 4540, and 4542).• The April 11, 2012 conformal coating video with Space X boards utilized the same chemicals as used at Space X at PVA Inc. (See Exhibit 9 and Exhibit 22)• Exhibit 5, Video of the PVA 350 shows the machine is far too small for someone to use while wearing a ventilator.• Video Exhibit 11 shows the conformal coating process in a PVA machine in 2010.• Video Exhibit 8 shows all the different types of coating and also shows various designs that were not defective so it is great for comparison and explanation.• The comparison PVA 350 shows there is a <u>low exhaust and no conveyor</u> belt option on the PVA 350. (Exhibit 20) <p>PVA INC. PROVIDED TRAINING AND TRAINED MR. JUAREZ TO PUT HIS HEAD INSIDE THE PVA MACHINE WITHOUT ANY PROTECTIVE GEAR</p> <p>Ruben Juarez attended training at</p> |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|---|
| | | <p>PVA Inc., and PVA trained him to put his head inside the machine while spraying without any protective gear. He attended these trainings while working for EMI and Space X. (Exhibit 24, 4458-4462)</p> <p>END USERS MUST PUT THEIR HEAD INTO THE PVA 350 MACHINE TO CHECK THE THICKNESS OF THE CHEMICAL COATING AND TO SPRAY THE PROPER AREAS OF THE CIRCUIT BOARD</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">The Wet Film Thickness Gauge shows how Mr. Juarez <u>had to measure the level of the fluid on the boards and is relevant to show he had to stick his head in the machine.</u> (Exhibit 23, PVA 1181 (Wet Film Thickness Gauge))Pursuant to email dated December 15, 2014 on 11:47 a.m. Bievenue emailed to Matupang indicating the <u>only way to know for sure the mix ratio is coming out of a spray valve is with flow monitors and if you don't have flow monitors you are "spraying blind."</u> (Exhibit 30) <p>15. “Operation of your workcell involves, air pressure, electrical power and mechanical devices <i>and the use of Hazardous materials....</i> There are no dangerous materials or chemicals used in the operation of the machine <i>except for the required application material.</i> The application material <i>should include a Material Safety Data Sheet (MSDS), which specifies known dangers and toxicity.”</i></p> <p>PVA Manual.</p> |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|--|
| | (Urquhart Dec., 86-87 (emphasis added).). | <p>(Dec. Ruben Juarez)</p> <p>Also, PVA provided photographs of the PVA 350 machine when it shipped and there is no hardcopy of the manual included in the delivery. Further, PVA Inc. specifically trained Mr. Juarez to bypass the safety systems so the machine could be utilized as it was designed defectively and could not be utilized otherwise.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• (Dec. Glen Stevick)• (Dec. Ruben Juarez)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 91:3-93:24, 96:10-19, 98:20-21, 100)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume I: 87:22-88:1)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 89:14-18, 90:8-11)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 121:16-19)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 121: 22- 122:20, 122:22-123:1)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume I: 123:19-124:12)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II: 226:12-227:6)• (Ex. 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II232:1-7, 232: 23-25)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 61:21-63:14)• (Exhibit 31, PVA 51)• (Exhibit 31, PVA30-32)• (Exhibit 31, PVA 40-41)• (Exhibit 15, PVA 69) |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|--|
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none">• (Exhibit 16, PVA 0272)• (Exhibit 31, PVA 30-32, 51)• (Exhibit 29, PVA 63, 64, 69, 220)• (See Exhibit 9 and Exhibit 22)• Exhibit 5, Video of the PVA 350 shows the machine is far too small for someone to use while wearing a ventilator.• Video Exhibit 11 shows the conformal coating process in a PVA machine in 2010.• Video Exhibit 8 shows all the different types of coating and also shows various designs that were not defective so it is great for comparison and explanation.• (Exhibit 15, PVA 69)• (Exhibit 20)• (Exhibit 21, PVA 4537, 4538, 4540)• (Exhibit 18, PVA 40)• (Exhibit 35)• (Exhibit 24, 4458-4462)• (Exhibit 24)• (Exhibit 23, PVA 1181 (Wet Film Thickness Gauge))• (Exhibit 30)• (See Exhibit 22, PVA 4463-4481) |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|--|
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none">• (See Exhibit 9 and Exhibit 22)• (Exhibit 22, PVA 23 and 24)• (Exhibit 22 PVA 4449-4452)• (Exhibit 22 PVA 4463-4481)• (Exhibit 22) |
| | | <p><i><u>Specific factual references with citations are set forth below for the court's convenience.</u></i></p> <p>FAILURE TO WARN/WARNING ON MACHINE IS REGARDING HAZARD OF VOLTAGE NOT CHEMICALS</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• The PVA Manual does not warn users to use a face mask or ventilator. (Exhibit 18, PVA 40)• The warning on the sides states, "WARNING, Hazardous voltage. Power shall be disconnected before enclosure is opened. Enclosure shall be closed before power is restored." (Exhibit 35) <p>DEPOSITION TESTIMONY REGARDING TRAINING RECEIVED BY MR. JUAREZ AND INADEQUATE WARNINGS.</p> <p>Mr. Juarez never read the manual for the PVA 350 because it was not available to him, but he was trained by PVA Inc. on how to use the PVA machines, and at these trainings PVA Inc. never told Mr. Juarez to review</p> |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|---|
| | | any manual. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 91:3-93:24, 96:10-19, 98:20-21, 100:4-9) |
| | | Mr. Juarez never called the machine a work cell. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II: 204 :4-5) |
| | | Mr. Juarez was never given any written instructions with the incoming Humiseal products that were delivered to Space X. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II: 220:5-11) |
| | | Mr. Juarez indicated he does not have a chemistry background at his deposition on March 8, 2018. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 55:21-56:5) |
| | | Mr. Juarez was trained by PVA Inc. to open the door of the machine to perform programming job duties. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 121: 22-122:20, 122:22-123:1) |
| | | Mr. Juarez believed it was unsafe for the operators to open the machine door and operate the PVA 350 because they could get their hand stuck in the machine. Additionally, he did not have a chemistry background so he did not know whether the chemicals were harmful or not, and he relied on the training provided by PVA Inc. that he could put is head safely in the machine while programming the machine. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume I: 123:19-124:12) |
| | | BELOW ARE FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS REGARDING THE MANUAL NOT BEING PRODUCED AND PVA MANUAL BEING INEFFECTIVE AND INSUFFICIENT |
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none">PVA specifically indicated the manual was for production and operators not technical personnel. |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 |
|---|---|
| <p><u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u></p> | <p><u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u></p> <p><u>but it might be “useful for introduction or training.”</u> (Exhibit 31, PVA 51)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• The manual was <u>only produced electronically.</u> (No hardcopy.) (Exhibit 31, PVA30-32)• The Operations and Maintenance manual <u>does not warn to wear a face mask or protection</u> from any inhalants. (Exhibit 31, PVA 40-41)• The manual indicates the PVA machines require that end users must <u>visually inspect the position of the needle with response to a calibration point and physically reposition the needle.</u> (Exhibit 15, PVA 69)• The Final Shipment Sign-Off does not indicate the operating manual was sent to Space X only machine photos were taken. Also, there is an issue of facts as to whether the <u>exhaust switch was included.</u> (Exhibit 16, PVA 0272)• The PVA manual was not <u>physically produced and there was no requirement to review it.</u> (Exhibit 31, PVA 30-32, 51) <p><u>BELOW PLAINTIFFS ASSERT SPECIFIC DISPUTES OF FACT REGARDING THE PVA 350'S MACHINE DEFECTS, INADEQUATE SAFETY MEASURES, AND THE VENTILATION SYSTEM.</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• The alleged PVA safety guide states: “Some machines are equipped with an exhaust fan. The exhaust fan |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|--|
| | | <p>is provided to exhaust fumes from the work area. The exhaust flange should be connected to an appropriate ducting system that is capable of receiving 150 CFM. Insufficient airflow through the exhaust system generates an error.” However, <u>this manual was not provided in a hardcopy form to Space X based on the pictures PVA provided.</u> (Exhibit 29, PVA 63)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• The Exhaust Verification Process shows <u>some machines</u> have an auto shut down. (Exhibit 29, PVA 64)• <u>A user has to visually inspect the position of a needle with respect to a calibration point and the user may have to physically reposition the needle.</u> (Exhibit 29, PVA 69)• The PVA Product Overview indicated a number of safety items are not included on the PVA 350 automatically such as: conveyor type, passive programming camera, XY fiducial camera, exhaust blower, onboard computer, and flow monitoring. (Exhibit 29, PVA 220)• There is a picture of the module, and there appears to be an insufficient exhaust system in the back of the machine. (Exhibit 29, PVA 4537, 4538, 4539, 4540, and 4542).• The April 11, 2012 conformal coating video with Space X boards utilized the same chemicals as used at Space X at PVA Inc. (See Exhibit 9 and Exhibit 22)• Exhibit 5, Video of the PVA 350 shows the machine is far too small |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|--|
| | | <p>for someone to use while wearing a ventilator.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Video Exhibit 11 shows the conformal coating process in a PVA machine in 2010.• Video Exhibit 8 shows all the different types of coating and also shows various designs that were not defective so it is great for comparison and explanation.• The comparison PVA 350 shows there is a <u>low exhaust and no conveyor</u> belt option on the PVA 350. (Exhibit 20) |
| | | <p>PVA INC. PROVIDED TRAINING AND TRAINED MR. JUAREZ TO PUT HIS HEAD INSIDE THE PVA MACHINE WITHOUT ANY PROTECTIVE GEAR</p> <p>Ruben Juarez attended training at PVA Inc., and PVA trained him to put his head inside the machine while spraying without any protective gear. He attended these trainings while working for EMI and Space X. (Exhibit 24, 4458-4462)</p> |
| | | <p>END USERS MUST PUT THEIR HEAD INTO THE PVA 350 MACHINE TO CHECK THE THICKNESS OF THE CHEMICAL COATING AND TO SPRAY THE PROPER AREAS OF THE CIRCUIT BOARD</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• The Wet Film Thickness Gauge shows how Mr. Juarez <u>had to measure the level of the fluid on the boards and is relevant to show he had to stick his head in the machine.</u> |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 |
|---|--|
| <p><u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u></p> | <p><u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u></p> <p>(Exhibit 23, PVA 1181 (Wet Film Thickness Gauge))</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">Pursuant to email dated December 15, 2014 on 11:47 a.m. Bievenue emailed to Matupang indicating the <u>only way to know for sure the mix ratio is coming out of a spray valve is with flow monitors and if you don't have flow monitors you are "spraying blind."</u> (Exhibit 30) <p><u>PVA HAD KNOWLEDGE OF THE CHEMICALS BEING UTILIZED BY SPACE X AND WAS INVOLVED IN SUBSEQUENT MODIFICATIONS TO THE MACHINE INVOLVING VARIOUS CHEMICALS</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">PVA Inc was aware of the chemicals being utilized with the PVA 350. including the <u>transition to Arathane.</u> (See Exhibit 22, PVA 4463-4481)The April 11, 2012 conformal coating video with Space X boards utilized the <u>same chemicals as used at Space X at PVA Inc.</u> (See Exhibit 9 and Exhibit 22)On September 19, 2013, PVA Inc. made further modifications to the subject machine including reworking the dispense system on the machine to connect one cartridge to the one gallon tank for "<u>automatic solvent flush of Arathane 5705.</u>" (Exhibit 22, PVA 23 and 24) |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 |
|---|--|
| <p><u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u></p> | <p><u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">On July 30, 2009, PVA/Andrew Haraburda serviced the W3267 (subject PVA 350 machine) and this description of the work/changes included: adding solvent flush option (6 oz cartridge, 3 way ball valve, O-ISPSI Precision Regulator, which shows PVA Inc. was continuing to modify and upgrade the machine. Then PVA Inc. specifically recommended Space X alter the solvent to a different solvent stating “Space X may need to use a different solvent (i.e. Xylene).” (Exhibit 22 PVA 4449-4452)Pursuant to email chain dated March 13, 2012 Jonathan Urquhart (PVA) was aware of Space X's use of Arathane 5750 The email specifically states, “I think David has spoken to you about our customer Space X. They build full blown rocket ships. They plan on pre-mixing this material part A part B and a 3rd part, thinner in small batches apply to board and the purge system because of shelf life once mixed. Sounds screwy to me.” They were looking for a demo on the 27th of March. (Exhibit 22, PVA 4463-4481)Pursuant to email dated March 15, 2012 to Jonathan Urquhart, PVA was preparing a demo on the PVA 650 and PVA were working with the same chemical materials Space X |

1 **MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED**
2 **UNCONTROVERTED FACTS**

RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION

3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

was utilizing, but PVA did not want Space X to know and PVA indicated they were going to convey to Space X that the materials were similar, but not the exact same. . . (Exhibit 22, PVA 4463-4481)

- Pursuant to email dated March 30, 2012, the test at PVA Inc. was rescheduled to **4-11-12 to view the** 3 part mixing process. (Exhibit 22, PVA 4463-4481)
- Pursuant to email from David Gomez to Johnathan (PVA) Urquhart dated May 11, 2012 at 2:11 p.m., Space X talked about retrofitting the PVA 350, and then subsequently there was confirmation from Jon indicating **Space X wanted to duplicate what was done during the visit.** (Exhibit 22, PVA 4463-4481)
- Jon Urquart performed **the demo for Space X on 4-11-12.** (Exhibit 22, PVA 4463-4481)
- Email dated December 2, 2014 at 9:03 a.m. Mr. Urquhart (PVA) indicated to Mr. Matupang there would be 2 demos including a coating with **Arathane 2 part mix and spray and dispensing using a 650 or Delta 6 with board head,** JDX (underfill), SVX (past), and FC100 (solder

1 **MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED**
2 **UNCONTROVERTED FACTS**

RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION

3 mask). (Exhibit 22)

- 4 • On November 17, 2014,
5 Mr. Urquhart (PVA) asked if
6 Space X wanted to mix on the
7 fly with **5750?** (Exhibit 22)
- 8 • On January 9, 2013 at
9 10:38 a.m. David Gomez (PVA)
10 emailed Brian Ignaut (Space X)
11 **doing follow up on the “two**
12 **part material application**
13 **project you were working on**
14 **and kindly presented us with.”**
15 There was inquiry as to whether
16 there were any developments in
17 the first few months of 2013.
18 (Exhibit 22)
- 19 • On December 3, 2012 at
20 12:01 PM David Gomez (PVA)
21 wrote Scott Abarta asking for
22 the **two part material brand**
23 **and part # as well as any**
24 **MSDS and data sheets.** Also,
25 there was a request for a shot
26 size and cycle time per part if
27 possible. (Exhibit 22)

- 28 • On **September 10, 2013,**
29 Mr. Gomez (PVA) indicated
30 “they only want a 20 oz
31 cartridge retainer & cap with
32 spray & needle valve for the
33 pre-mix material and 6oz
34 cartridge retainer & cap **for the**
35 **solvent, so it can flush the**
36 **premixed material out right**
37 **after doing a batch of**
38 **boards.** Mr. Gomez indicated
39 he was **familiar with the “fluid**
40 **delivery line” because he had**
41 **done it “several times.”** He
42 also indicated they were “**not**
43 **there yet” for the two part**

1 **MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED**
2 **UNCONTROVERTED FACTS**

RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION

3 coating spraying. (Exhibit 22)

4 • On September 9, 2013,
5 Richard Bievenue (PVA) wrote
6 Jonathan Connelly (ccing David
7 Filbert, David Gomez, and
8 Michael R. Leonard) indicating
9 that he spoke with Duc Phan
10 (Space X) about the 350
11 machine and how Space X
12 was switching to utilizing a 2
13 part material including
14 Arathane 5750. There was
15 discussion about premising
16 the solvents and flushing the
17 solvent valves. Also, there was
18 interest regarding a 2
19 component meter mix system.
20 (Exhibit 22)

21 • On September 18, 2013 at
22 12:41 p.m., Mr. Gomez (PVA)
23 indicated that this is not the
24 first time they have done a
25 two part coating system and
26 he thought they were putting
27 to many resources into this.
28 The email specifically
29 references Arathane 5750.
30 (Exhibit 22)

31 • On September 13, 2013,
32 from Duc Phan (Space X) to
33 Jonathan Connelly, Michael R.
34 Leonard (ccing Richard
35 Bievenue and David Filbert)
36 explained the process with
37 pictures. Specifically, he
38 indicated that Space X
39 removed the hoses from the
40 spray dispensing head and
41 connected the hoses from the
42 Arathane material and solvent
43 to it. He further explained
44 when the Arathane material

1 **MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED**
2 **UNCONTROVERTED FACTS**

RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION

3 was not in use they vaped the
4 solvent hose and connect the
5 Arathane material hose to the
6 other head just for a place
7 holder. He also explained that
8 Arathane 5750 part A and B
9 is mixed together and poured
10 in the first reservoir and the
11 second reservoir is the solvent
12 use to thin out the mixed
13 Arathane for spray
14 requirement. (Exhibit 22)

15 • On September 13, 2013 at
16 6:07 a.m. Jonathan Connelly
17 wrote Duc Phan (Space X).
18 Michael R. Leonard and cc'ing
19 Richard Bievenue and David
20 Filbert inquiring about the
21 process, requesting a picture,
22 and asking if the Arathane
23 and the solvent was being run
24 out of the cartridges mounted
25 on the side of the machine.
26 (Exhibit 22)

27 • On September 10, 2013,
28 Duc Phan (Space X) wrote
29 Michael R. Leonard and
30 Jonathan Connelly, and there
31 was a clear indication that
32 Space X was using Arathane
33 5750 material with a 2 hour
34 cure time, and they were
35 concerned the operator would
36 forget to purge and rinse the
37 material when the job is done.
38 They were looking to
39 automate the process after
40 coating. (Exhibit 22)

41 o The sales order dated
42 September 25, 2013 indicated
43 PVA job number SPCX2115
44 W3267 indicated PVA was

1 **MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED**
2 **UNCONTROVERTED FACTS**

RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION

3 **there to provide onsite**
4 **support for Mechanical**
5 **Electrical Programming Fluid**
6 **Delivery.** (Exhibit 22)

7 o The sales quotation dated
8 September 19, 2013, stated that
9 PVA was to "rework the
10 dispense system on machine
11 serial number W3267 to
12 connect one cartridge to (1)
13 one gallon tank for automatic
14 solvent flush of Arathane
15 **5705.** This was to include 1) all
16 hoses and fittings needed to
17 complete the integration, 2)
18 pneumatic ball valve for
19 automatic solvent flush, 3)
20 hardware and software needed
21 for integration, and one tank.
22 Further, PVA was to travel to
23 Space X to install the new auto
24 solvent flush system. (Exhibit
25 22)

26 o The purchase order dated
27 September 24, 2013 issued to
28 PVA and shipping to Space X
on a Space X purchase order
identified a supplier part
number B72-01433 and there is
a full description of the work
to be done including
reworking the dispense system
for an automatic flush of
Arathane 5750 and in similar
detail as stated above. There is
a separate purchase order for the
travel expenditures. (Exhibit 22)

- On July 21, 2014 at 6:48
p.m. Duc Phan (Space X)
emailed Rex indicating the
current machine uses both
Humiseal and Arathane and

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|--|---|
| | | <p><u>there are separate spray valves for both material.</u> They need the pendant purge button to work for both materials. (Exhibit 22)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• PVA Inc. circulated diagram clearly states <u>Arathane</u>. Additionally, there is a quote work sheet for the 3 way assembly, pressure tank, hoses, fittings, valves as well as programming and engineering. (Ex 22, PVA 340-347) |
| | <p>16. Juarez testified that when he worked at SpaceX he never looked at this manual or asked to look at this manual for the PVA 350.</p> <p>Deposition of Ruben Juarez ("Juarez Depo.").</p> <p>(Catalona Dec., 279:16, 291:17-18, 293:4-17.)</p> | <p>16. Disputed to the extent defendant infers that Mr. Juarez saw the manual as Mr. Juarez was never provided the manual. Further, the manual was not available in the computer connected to the PVA 350 because the PVA 350 is a standalone machine, the manual was not uploaded to the company network.</p> <p>(Dec. Ruben Juarez)</p> <p>Also, PVA provided photographs of the PVA 350 machine when it shipped and there is no hardcopy of the manual included in the delivery. Further, PVA Inc. specifically trained Mr. Juarez to bypass the safety systems so the machine could be utilized as it was designed defectively and could not be utilized otherwise.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• (Dec. Glen Stevick)• (Dec. Ruben Juarez)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|---|
| | | <p>1: 91:3-93:24, 96:10-19, 98:20-21, 100:4-9)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• (Exhibit 31, PVA 51)• (Exhibit 31, PVA 30-32)• (Exhibit 31, PVA 40-41)• (Exhibit 15, PVA 69)• (Exhibit 16, PVA 0272)• (Exhibit 31, PVA 30-32, 51) |
| | | <p><i><u>Specific factual references with citations are set forth below for the court's convenience regarding PVA Inc. inadequate training of Mr. Juarez and PVA Inc. failure to provide a hardcopy of the manual and sufficient warnings.</u></i></p> |
| | | <p>DEPOSITION TESTIMONY REGARDING PVA INC. NOT PROVIDING THE MANUAL AND PROVIDING INADEQUATE TRAINING</p> <p>Mr. Juarez never read the manual for the PVA 350 because it was not available to him, but he was trained by PVA Inc. on how to use the PVA machines, and at these trainings PVA Inc. never told Mr. Juarez to review any manual. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 91:3-93:24, 96:10-19, 98:20-21, 100:4-9)</p> <p>BELOW ARE FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS REGARDING THE MANUAL NOT BEING PRODUCED AND PVA MANUAL BEING INEFFECTIVE AND INSUFFICIENT</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• PVA specifically indicated the manual was for production and |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 |
|--|--|
| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 |
| <p>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</p> <p>17. SpaceX is a designer and manufacturer of advanced rockets and spacecraft.</p> <p>Declaration of Gregory Maxwell.</p> <p>(Declaration of Gregory Maxwell ("Maxwell Dec."), 1:5-6.)</p> | <p>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</p> <p><u>operators not technical personnel, but it might be "useful for introduction or training."</u> (Exhibit 31, PVA 51)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• The manual was <u>only produced electronically</u>. (No hardcopy.) (Exhibit 31, PVA30-32)• The Operations and Maintenance manual <u>does not warn to wear a face mask or protection</u> from any inhalants. (Exhibit 31, PVA 40-41)• The manual indicates the PVA machines require that end users must <u>visually inspect the position of the needle with response to a calibration point and physically reposition the needle</u>. (Exhibit 15, PVA 69)• The Final Shipment Sign-Off does not indicate the operating manual was sent to Space X only machine photos were taken. Also, there is an issue of facts as to whether the <u>exhaust switch was included</u>. (Exhibit 16, PVA 0272)• The PVA manual was not <u>physically produced and there was no requirement to review it</u>. (Exhibit 31, PVA 30-32, 51) <p>17. Undisputed.</p> |

| 1 2 <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED</u> <u>UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 18. After purchasing the PVA 350 in 2009, SpaceX did not begin to use Humiseal and Arathane materials, which were not originally specified for the machine, until 2012 at the earliest. Urquhart Dec., and exhibits; Declaration of David Hwang ("Hwang Dec.") and exhibits. (Urquhart Dec., 3:15-17, 28, 63, 230; Hwang Dec., 2:6-12, 35-41.) | 18. Disputed. Humiseal was being utilized before Mr. Juarez started working with Space X in 2010. <ul style="list-style-type: none">• (Dec. Christopher Mendoza, paragraph 2)• (Exhibit 46)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume I: 113 :1-3)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II: 224:14-17)• (See Exhibit 22, PVA 4463-4481)• (See Exhibit 9 and Exhibit 22)• (Exhibit 22, PVA 23 and 24)• (Exhibit 22 PVA 4449-4452)• (Exhibit 22 PVA 4463-4481)• (Exhibit 22)• (Exhibit 36 PVA 4449-4452)• (Exhibit 36)• (Exhibit 36, PVA 1727-1731)• (Exhibit 25, PVA 7-9, 17-29, 222-234, 250-251, 4449-4452) |
| | <p><i><u>Specific factual references with citations are set forth below for the court's convenience.</u></i></p> |
| | <p>Mr. Mendoza a <u>former employee of Space X indicated that Space X was using Humiseal in 2010.</u></p> |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none">• (Dec. Christopher Mendoza, paragraph 2) <p>DEPOSITION TESTIMONY REGARDING MR. JUAREZ' UNDERSTANDING IN 2015 OF THE DIFFERENT CHEMICALS HE WAS EXPOSED TO WHILE WORKING WITH PVA INC.</p> |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 |
|---|--|
| <p><u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u></p> | <p><u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u></p> <p>Mr. Juarez utilized HumiSeal and Arathane with the PVA 350. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume I: 113 :1-3)</p> <p>Mr. Juarez was exposed to Arathane products at Space X while programming the machine. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II: 224:14-17)</p> <p><u>PVA HAD KNOWLEDGE OF THE CHEMICALS BEING UTILIZED BY SPACE X AND WAS INVOLVED IN SUBSEQUENT MODIFICATIONS TO THE MACHINE INVOLVING VARIOUS CHEMICALS</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• PVA Inc was aware of the chemicals being utilized with the PVA 350, including the <u>transition to Arathane</u>. (See Exhibit 22, PVA 4463-4481)• The April 11, 2012 conformal coating video with Space X boards utilized the <u>same chemicals as used at Space X at PVA Inc.</u> (See Exhibit 9 and Exhibit 22)• On September 19, 2013, PVA Inc. made further modifications to the subject machine including reworking the dispense system on the machine to connect one cartridge to the one gallon tank for <u>“automatic solvent flush of Arathane 5705.”</u> (Exhibit 22, PVA 23 and 24)• On July 30, 2009, PVA/Andrew Haraburda serviced the W3267 (subject PVA 350 machine) and this description of the work/changes |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 |
|---|---|
| <p><u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u></p> | <p><u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u></p> <p>included: adding solvent flush option (6 oz cartridge, 3 way ball valve, O-ISPSI Precision Regulator, which shows PVA Inc. was continuing to modify and upgrade the machine. Then PVA Inc. specifically recommended Space X alter the solvent to a different solvent stating “Space X may need to use a different solvent (i.e. Xylene).” (Exhibit 22 PVA 4449-4452)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Pursuant to email chain dated March 13, 2012 Jonathan Urquhart (PVA) was aware of Space X's use of Arathane 5750 The email specifically states, “I think David has spoken to you about our customer Space X. They build full blown rocket ships. They plan on pre-mixing this material part A part B and a 3rd part, thinner in small batches apply to board and the purge system because of shelf life once mixed. Sounds screwy to me.” They were looking for a demo on the 27th of March. (Exhibit 22, PVA 4463-4481)• Pursuant to email dated March 15, 2012 to Jonathan Urquhart, PVA was preparing a demo on the PVA 650 and PVA were working with the same chemical materials Space X was utilizing, but PVA did not want Space X to know and PVA indicated they were going to convey to Space X that the materials were |

1 **MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED**
2 **UNCONTROVERTED FACTS**

RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION

3 **similar, but not the exact**
4 **same.** . . (Exhibit 22, PVA
5 4463-4481)

- 6 • Pursuant to email dated
7 March 30, 2012, the test at PVA
8 Inc. was rescheduled to **4-11-12**
9 **to view the** 3 part mixing
10 process. (Exhibit 22, PVA
11 4463-4481)
- 12 • Pursuant to email from
13 David Gomez to Johnathan
14 (PVA) Urquhart dated May 11,
15 2012 at 2:11 p.m., Space X
16 talked about retrofitting the
17 PVA 350, and then
18 subsequently there was
19 confirmation from Jon
20 indicating **Space X wanted to**
21 **duplicate what was done**
22 **during the visit.** (Exhibit 22,
23 PVA 4463-4481)
- 24 • Jon Urquart performed
25 **the demo for Space X on 4-11-**
26 **12.** (Exhibit 22, PVA 4463-
27 4481)
- 28 • Email dated December 2,
29 2014 at 9:03 a.m. Mr. Urquhart
30 (PVA) indicated to Mr.
31 Matupang there would be 2
32 demos including a coating with
33 **Arathane 2 part mix and**
34 **spray and dispensing using a**
35 **650 or Delta 6 with board**
36 **head,** JDX (underfill), SVX
37 (past), and FC100 (solder
38 mask). (Exhibit 22)
- 39 • On November 17, 2014,
40 Mr. Urquhart (PVA) asked is
41 Space X wanted to mix on the

1 **MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED**
2 **UNCONTROVERTED FACTS**

RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION

3 fly with **5750**? (Exhibit 22)

4 • On January 9, 2013 at
5 10:38 a.m. David Gomez (PVA)
6 emailed Brian Ignaut (Space X)
7 **doing follow up on the “two**
8 **part material application**
9 **project you were working on**
10 **and kindly presented us with.”**
11 There was inquiry as to whether
12 there were any developments in
13 the first few months of 2013.
14 (Exhibit 22)

15 • On December 3, 2012 at
16 12:01 PM David Gomez (PVA)
17 wrote Scott Abarta asking for
18 the **two part material brand**
19 **and part # as well as any**
20 **MSDS and data sheets.** Also,
21 there was a request for a shot
22 size and cycle time per part if
23 possible. (Exhibit 22)

24 • On **September 10, 2013**,
25 Mr. Gomez (PVA) indicated
26 “they only want a 20 oz
27 cartridge retainer & cap with
28 spray & needle valve for the
 pre-mix material and 6oz
 cartridge retainer & cap **for the**
 solvent, so it can flush the
 premixed material out right
 after doing a batch of
 boards. Mr. Gomez indicated
 he was **familiar with the “fluid**
 delivery line” because he had
 done it “several times.” He
 also indicated they were **“not**
 there yet” for the two part
 coating spraying. (Exhibit 22)

29 • On September 9, 2013,
30 Richard Bievenue (PVA) wrote
31 Jonathan Connolly (ccing David

1 **MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED**
2 **UNCONTROVERTED FACTS**

RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION

3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
Filbert, David Gomez, and Michael R. Leonard) indicating that he spoke with Duc Phan (Space X) about the 350 machine and how Space X was switching to utilizing a 2 part material including Arathane 5750. There was discussion about premising the solvents and flushing the solvent valves. Also, there was interest regarding a 2 component meter mix system. (Exhibit 22)

- On September 18, 2013 at 12:41 p.m., Mr. Gomez (PVA) indicated that this is not the first time they have done a two part coating system and he thought they were putting to many resources into this. The email specifically references Arathane 5750. (Exhibit 22)

- On September 13, 2013, from Duc Phan (Space X) to Jonathan Connelly, Michael R. Leonard (ccing Richard Bievenue and David Filbert) explained the process with pictures. Specifically, he indicated that Space X removed the hoses from the spray dispensing head and connected the hoses from the Arathane material and solvent to it. He further explained when the Arathane material was not in use they vaped the solvent hose and connect the Arathane material hose to the other head just for a place holder. He also explained that

1 **MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED**
2 **UNCONTROVERTED FACTS**

RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION

3 **Arathane 5750 part A and B**
4 **is mixed together and poured**
5 **in the first reservoir and the**
6 **second reservoir is the solvent**
7 **use to thin out the mixed**
8 **Arathane for spray**
9 **requirement.** (Exhibit 22)

10 • On September 13, 2013 at
11 6:07 a.m. Jonathan Connally
12 wrote Duc Phan (Space X).
13 Michael R. Leonard and ccng
14 Richard Bievenue and David
15 Filbert inquiring about the
16 process, requesting a picture,
17 **and asking if the Arathane**
18 **and the solvent was being run**
19 **out of the cartridges mounted**
20 **on the side of the machine.**
21 (Exhibit 22)

22 • On September 10, 2013,
23 Duc Phan (Space X) wrote
24 Michael R. Leonard and
25 Jonathan Connally, **and there**
26 **was a clear indication that**
27 **Space X was using Arathane**
28 **5750 material with a 2 hour**
 cure time, and they were
 concerned the operator would
 forget to purge and rinse the
 material when the job is done.
 They were looking to
 automate the process after
 coating. (Exhibit 22)

o The sales order dated
September 25, 2013 indicated
PVA job number SPCX2115
W3267 indicated **PVA was**
there to provide onsite
support for Mechanical
Electrical Programming Fluid
Delivery. (Exhibit 22)

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|---|
| | | <p>o The sales quotation dated September 19, 2013, stated that PVA was to "<u>rework the dispense system on machine serial number W3267 to connect one cartridge to (1) one gallon tank for automatic solvent flush of Arathane 5705</u>". This was to include 1) all hoses and fittings needed to complete the integration, 2) pneumatic ball valve for automatic solvent flush, 3) hardware and software needed for integration, and one tank. Further, PVA was to travel to Space X to install the new auto solvent flush system. (Exhibit 22)</p> <p>o The purchase order dated September 24, 2013 issued to PVA and shipping to Space X on a Space X purchase order identified a supplier part number B72-01433 and <u>there is a full description of the work to be done including reworking the dispense system for an automatic flush of Arathane 5750</u> and in similar detail as stated above. There is a separate purchase order for the travel expenditures. (Exhibit 22)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• On July 21, 2014 at 6:48 p.m. Duc Phan (Space X) emailed Rex indicating the <u>current machine uses both Humiseal and Arathane and there are separate spray valves for both material</u>. They need the pendant purge button to work for both materials. |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|---|
| | | <p>(Exhibit 22)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">PVA Inc. circulated diagram clearly states Arathane. Additionally, there is a quote work sheet for the 3 way assembly, pressure tank, hoses, fittings, valves as well as programming and engineering. (Ex 22, PVA 340-347) <p>PVA INC. INSTALLED THE PVA 350 AND CONTINUED TO MODIFIED PROGRAM THROUGHOUT THE PVA 350'S LIFETIME</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">On 6-23-2009 to 6-24-2009, PVA Inc. installed and serviced the W3267 machine at Space X. The work was performed by Andrew Haraburde. He trained Juan Sotelo and Marsha Thompson in installation and training. The installation check list specifically indicated various items were working, but there is an X versus a check mark on the pump and SEMEA boxes. The description of the work/changes including "modified main program (mo2.)" (Exhibit 36 PVA 4449-4452) <p>There are a series of work orders regarding modifications and sales showing PVA Inc. continued to service and support the use and modification of the PVA 350. (Exhibit 25, PVA 7-9, 17-29, 222-234, 250-251, 4449-4452)</p> |
| 19. When SpaceX originally began using these chemicals, numerous employees from the Avionics department were brought together to determine the appropriate formulation of Arathane and Humiseal | 19. Disputed. Mr. Juarez was not brought in to determine the appropriate formulation of Arathane and Humiseal materials for Space X's | |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 |
|---|--|
| <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
| <p>materials for SpaceX's conformal coating applications.</p> <p>Maxwell Dec.</p> <p>(Maxwell Dec., 2:19-28.)</p> | <p>conformal coating. Space X originally began using the chemicals Humiseal, which was set up by Space X with the assistance of PVA Inc. in 2010. Further, PVA Inc. assisted Space X with the transition to the use of Arathane.</p> <p>(Dec. Ruben Juarez)</p> <p>Arathane was introduced by David Hwang to replace Humiseal. PVA Inc. was aware of this transition, and David Hwang indicated he purchased parts to the PVA 350 can spray Arathane</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• (Dec. Ruben Juarez)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 55:21-56:5)• (Ex. 1 Depo. Ruben Juarez Volume 1 p. 60:6-25; Dec. Ruben Juarez)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume I: 139:17-20)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1 144:12-16)• (See Exhibit 22, PVA 4463-4481)• (See Exhibit 9 and Exhibit 22)• (Exhibit 22, PVA 23 and 24)• (Exhibit 22 PVA 4449-4452)• (Exhibit 22 PVA 4463-4481)• (Exhibit 22) |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|--|
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none">• (Ex 22, PVA 340-347)• (Exhibit 36 PVA 4449-4452)• (Exhibit 36, PVA 1728-1731)• (Exhibit 25, PVA 7-9, 17-29, 222-234, 250-251, 4449-4452)• (Exhibit 36) <p><i><u>Specific factual references with citations are set forth below for the court's convenience to show there is a factual dispute regarding PVA Inc. having no knowledge and or involvement in Space X's use of Arathane and Humiseal and the inference that Mr. Juarez was involved.</u></i></p> <p>Mr. Juarez indicated he does not have a chemistry background at his deposition on March 8, 2018. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 55:21-56:5)</p> <p><u>PVA HAD KNOWLEDGE OF THE CHEMICALS BEING UTILIZED BY SPACE X AND WAS INVOLVED IN SUBSEQUENT MODIFICATIONS TO THE MACHINE INVOLVING VARIOUS CHEMICALS</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• PVA Inc was aware of the chemicals being utilized with the PVA 350. including the <u>transition to Arathane</u>. (See Exhibit 22, PVA 4463-4481)• The April 11, 2012 conformal coating video with Space X boards utilized the |

1 **MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED**
2 **UNCONTROVERTED FACTS**

RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION

3 same chemicals as used at
4 Space X at PVA Inc. (See
5 Exhibit 9 and Exhibit 22)

- 6 • On September 19, 2013,
7 PVA Inc. made further
8 modifications to the subject
9 machine including reworking
10 the dispense system on the
11 machine to connect one
12 cartridge to the one gallon tank
13 for "**automatic solvent flush of**
14 **Arathane 5705.**" (Exhibit 22,
15 PVA 23 and 24)
- 16 • On July 30, 2009,
17 PVA/Andrew Haraburda
18 serviced the W3267 (subject
19 PVA 350 machine) and this
20 description of the work/changes
21 included: adding solvent flush
22 option (6 oz cartridge, 3 way
23 ball valve, O-ISPSI Precision
24 Regulator, which shows **PVA**
25 **Inc. was continuing to modify**
26 **and upgrade the machine.**
27 Then **PVA Inc. specifically**
28 **recommended Space X alter**
 the solvent to a different
 solvent stating "Space X may
 need to use a different solvent
 (i.e. Xylene)." (Exhibit 22 PVA
 4449-4452)
- Pursuant to email chain
 dated March 13, 2012 Jonathan
 Urquhart (**PVA was aware of**
 Space X's use of Arathane
 5750 The email specifically
 states, "I think David has
 spoken to you **about our**
 customer Space X. They build
 full blown rocket ships. **They**
 plan on pre-mixing this
 material part A part B and a

1 **MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED**
2 **UNCONTROVERTED FACTS**

RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION

3 **3rd part, thinner in small**
4 **batches apply to board and**
5 **the purge system** because of
6 shelf life once mixed. **Sounds**
7 **screwy to me.**" They were
8 looking for a demo on the 27th
9 of March. (Exhibit 22, PVA
10 4463-4481)

- 11 • Pursuant to email dated
12 March 15, 2012 to Jonathan
13 Urquhart, PVA was preparing a
14 demo on the PVA 650 and **PVA**
15 **were working with the same**
16 **chemical materials Space X**
17 **was utilizing, but PVA did not**
18 **want Space X to know and**
19 **PVA indicated they were**
20 **going to convey to Space X**
21 **that the materials were**
22 **similar, but not the exact**
23 **same.** . (Exhibit 22, PVA
24 4463-4481)
- 25 • Pursuant to email dated
26 March 30, 2012, the test at PVA
27 Inc. was rescheduled to **4-11-12**
28 **to view the** 3 part mixing
process. (Exhibit 22, PVA
4463-4481)
- 29 • Pursuant to email from
30 David Gomez to Johnathan
31 (PVA) Urquhart dated May 11,
32 2012 at 2:11 p.m., Space X
33 talked about retrofitting the
34 PVA 350, and then
35 subsequently there was
36 confirmation from Jon
37 indicating **Space X wanted to**
38 **duplicate what was done**
39 **during the visit.** (Exhibit 22,
40 PVA 4463-4481)
- 41 • Jon Urquart performed

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 |
|---|---|
| <p><u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u></p> | <p><u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u></p> <p><u>the demo for Space X on 4-11-12.</u> (Exhibit 22, PVA 4463-4481)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Email dated December 2, 2014 at 9:03 a.m. Mr. Urquhart (PVA) indicated to Mr. Matupang there would be 2 demos including a coating with <u>Arathane 2 part mix and spray and dispensing using a 650 or Delta 6 with board head,</u> JDX (underfill), SVX (past), and FC100 (solder mask). (Exhibit 22)• On November 17, 2014, Mr. Urquhart (PVA) asked if Space X wanted to mix on the fly with <u>5750?</u> (Exhibit 22)• On January 9, 2013 at 10:38 a.m. David Gomez (PVA) emailed Brian Ignaut (Space X) <u>doing follow up on the “two part material application project you were working on and kindly presented us with.”</u> There was inquiry as to whether there were any developments in the first few months of 2013. (Exhibit 22)• On December 3, 2012 at 12:01 PM David Gomez (PVA) wrote Scott Abarta asking for the <u>two part material brand and part # as well as any MSDS and data sheets.</u> Also, there was a request for a shot size and cycle time per part if possible. (Exhibit 22)• On <u>September 10, 2013,</u> Mr. Gomez (PVA) indicated |

1 **MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED**
2 **UNCONTROVERTED FACTS**

RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION

3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
“they only want a 20 oz
cartridge retainer & cap with
spray & needle valve for the
pre-mix material and 6oz
cartridge retainer & cap for the
solvent, so it can flush the
premixed material out right
after doing a batch of
boards.” Mr. Gomez indicated
he was familiar with the “fluid
delivery line” because he had
done it “several times.” He
also indicated they were “not
there yet” for the two part
coating spraying. (Exhibit 22)

- On September 9, 2013,
Richard Bievenue (PVA) wrote
Jonathan Connelly (ccing David
Filbert, David Gomez, and
Michael R. Leonard) indicating
that he spoke with Duc Phan
(Space X) about the 350
machine and how Space X
was switching to utilizing a 2
part material including
Arathane 5750. There was
discussion about premising
the solvents and flushing the
solvent valves. Also, there was
interest regarding a 2
component meter mix system.
(Exhibit 22)

- On September 18, 2013 at
12:41 p.m., Mr. Gomez (PVA)
indicated that this is not the
first time they have done a
two part coating system and
he thought they were putting
to many resources into this.
The email specifically
references Arathane 5750.
(Exhibit 22)

1 **MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED**
2 **UNCONTROVERTED FACTS**

RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION

3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

- On September 13, 2013, from Duc Phan (Space X) to Jonathan Connelly, Michael R. Leonard (ccing Richard Bievenue and David Filbert) explained the process with pictures. Specifically, he indicated that Space X removed the hoses from the spray dispensing head and connected the hoses from the Arathane material and solvent to it. He further explained when the Arathane material was not in use they vaped the solvent hose and connect the Arathane material hose to the other head just for a place holder. He also explained that Arathane 5750 part A and B is mixed together and poured in the first reservoir and the second reservoir is the solvent use to thin out the mixed Arathane for spray requirement. (Exhibit 22)

- On September 13, 2013 at 6:07 a.m. Jonathan Connelly wrote Duc Phan (Space X). Michael R. Leonard and ccng Richard Bievenue and David Filbert inquiring about the process, requesting a picture, and asking if the Arathane and the solvent was being run out of the cartridges mounted on the side of the machine. (Exhibit 22)

- On September 10, 2013, Duc Phan (Space X) wrote Michael R. Leonard and Jonathan Connelly, and there was a clear indication that

1 **MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED**
2 **UNCONTROVERTED FACTS**

1 **RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION**

2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Space X was using Arathane 5750 material with a 2 hour cure time, and they were concerned the operator would forget to purge and rinse the material when the job is done. They were looking to automate the process after coating. (Exhibit 22)

o The sales order dated September 25, 2013 indicated PVA job number SPCX2115 W3267 indicated PVA was there to provide onsite support for Mechanical Electrical Programming Fluid Delivery. (Exhibit 22)

o The sales quotation dated September 19, 2013, stated that PVA was to "rework the dispense system on machine serial number W3267 to connect one cartridge to (1) one gallon tank for automatic solvent flush of Arathane 5705. This was to include 1) all hoses and fittings needed to complete the integration, 2) pneumatic ball valve for automatic solvent flush, 3) hardware and software needed for integration, and one tank. Further, PVA was to travel to Space X to install the new auto solvent flush system. (Exhibit 22)

o The purchase order dated September 24, 2013 issued to PVA and shipping to Space X on a Space X purchase order identified a supplier part number B72-01433 and there is

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 |
|---|--|
| <p>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</p> | <p>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</p> <p>a full description of the work to be done including reworking the dispense system for an automatic flush of Arathane 5750 and in similar detail as stated above. There is a separate purchase order for the travel expenditures. (Exhibit 22)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">On July 21, 2014 at 6:48 p.m. Duc Phan (Space X) emailed Rex indicating the current machine uses both Humiseal and Arathane and there are separate spray valves for both material. They need the pendant purge button to work for both materials. (Exhibit 22)PVA Inc. circulated diagram clearly states Arathane. Additionally, there is a quote work sheet for the 3 way assembly, pressure tank, hoses, fittings, valves as well as programming and engineering. (Ex 22, PVA 340-347) <p>PVA INC. INSTALLED THE PVA 350 AND CONTINUED TO MODIFIED PROGRAM THROUGHOUT THE PVA 350'S LIFETIME</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">On 6-23-2009 to 6-24-2009, PVA Inc. installed and serviced the W3267 machine at Space X. The work was performed by Andrew Haraburde. He trained Juan Sotelo and Marsha Thompson in installation and training. The installation check list specifically indicated various items were working, but there is an X versus a check mark on the pump and |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 |
|--|--|
| <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
| | SEMEA boxes. The description of the work/changes including " <u>modified main program (mo2)</u> ." (Exhibit 36 PVA 4449-4452) There are a series of work orders regarding modifications and sales showing PVA Inc. continued to service and support the use and modification of the PVA 350. (Exhibit 25, PVA 7-9, 17-29, 222-234, 250-251, 4449-4452) |
| 10. These employees included Ruben Juarez who Avionics Department supervisor Gregory Maxwell testified hand-mixed experimental and test batches of Arathane and Humiseal to make different formulations. Maxwell Dec.; Juarez Depo. (Maxwell Dec., 2:21-23; Catalona Dec., 246:10-12, 247:18-22, 322:1-2, 322:9-15, 329:17-330:4, 686-88.) | 20. Disputed. Mr. Juarez was not part of the team hand mixing batches of Arathane. Francisco was the operator of the PVA 350. Mixing the chemicals was part of Francisco's job duties. The only person that tested the chemical interaction was David Hwang since he was the main person to substitute Humiseal to Arathane. <ul style="list-style-type: none">• (Dec. Jose Vasequez)• (Dec. Christopher Mendoza)• (Dec. Ruben Juarez)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 55:21-56:5)• (Ex. 1 Depo. Ruben Juarez Volume 1 p. 60:6-25; Dec. Ruben Juarez)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume I: 139:17-20)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1 144:12-16)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 235:1-238: 5, 238: 19-22) |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|---|
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none">• (See Exhibit 22, PVA 4463-4481)• (See Exhibit 9 and Exhibit 22)• (Exhibit 22, PVA 23 and 24)• (Exhibit 22 PVA 4449-4452)• (Exhibit 22 PVA 4463-4481)• (Exhibit 22)• (Ex 22, PVA 340-347) <p><i><u>Specific factual references with citations are set forth below for the court's convenience.</u></i></p> <p>Mr. Juarez indicated he does not have a chemistry background at his deposition on March 8, 2018. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 55:21-56:5)</p> <p>Mr. Juarez called Francisco and received a list of the chemicals that Mr. Juarez was exposed to, and he thus requested the MSDS sheets from Space X to forward to his attorney so that could figure out what was going on and what was making him sick. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 235:1-238: 5, 238: 19-22)</p> <p><u>PVA HAD KNOWLEDGE OF THE CHEMICALS BEING UTILIZED BY SPACE X AND WAS INVOLVED IN SUBSEQUENT MODIFICATIONS TO THE MACHINE INVOLVING VARIOUS CHEMICALS</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• PVA Inc was aware of the chemicals being utilized |

1 **MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED**
2 **UNCONTROVERTED FACTS**

1 **RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION**

2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
with the PVA 350, including the
transition to Arathane. (See
Exhibit 22, PVA 4463-4481)

- The April 11, 2012 conformal coating video with Space X boards utilized the **same chemicals as used at Space X at PVA Inc.** (See Exhibit 9 and Exhibit 22)
- On September 19, 2013, PVA Inc. made further modifications to the subject machine including reworking the dispense system on the machine to connect one cartridge to the one gallon tank for "**automatic solvent flush of Arathane 5705.**" (Exhibit 22, PVA 23 and 24)
- On July 30, 2009, PVA/Andrew Haraburda serviced the W3267 (subject PVA 350 machine) and this description of the work/changes included: adding solvent flush option (6 oz cartridge, 3 way ball valve, O-ISPSI Precision Regulator, which shows **PVA Inc. was continuing to modify and upgrade the machine.** Then PVA Inc. specifically recommended Space X alter the solvent to a different solvent stating "**Space X may need to use a different solvent (i.e. Xylene).**" (Exhibit 22 PVA 4449-4452)
- Pursuant to email chain dated March 13, 2012 Jonathan Urquhart (**PVA was aware of Space X's use of Arathane**)

1 **MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED**
2 **UNCONTROVERTED FACTS**

RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION

3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

5750 The email specifically states, “I think David has spoken to you **about our customer Space X.** They build full blown rocket ships. **They plan on pre-mixing this material part A part B and a 3rd part, thinner in small batches apply to board and the purge system** because of shelf life once mixed. **Sounds screwy to me.**” They were looking for a demo on the 27th of March. (Exhibit 22, PVA 4463-4481)

- Pursuant to email dated March 15, 2012 to Jonathan Urquhart, PVA was preparing a demo on the PVA 650 and **PVA were working with the same chemical materials Space X was utilizing, but PVA did not want Space X to know and PVA indicated they were going to convey to Space X that the materials were similar, but not the exact same.** . . (Exhibit 22, PVA 4463-4481)
- Pursuant to email dated March 30, 2012, the test at PVA Inc. was rescheduled to **4-11-12 to view the** 3 part mixing process. (Exhibit 22, PVA 4463-4481)
- Pursuant to email from David Gomez to Johnathan (PVA) Urquhart dated May 11, 2012 at 2:11 p.m., Space X talked about retrofitting the PVA 350, and then subsequently there was

1 **MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED**
2 **UNCONTROVERTED FACTS**

RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION

3 confirmation from Jon
4 indicating **Space X wanted to**
5 **duplicate what was done**
6 **during the visit.** (Exhibit 22,
7 PVA 4463-4481)

- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25
- 26
- 27
- 28
- Jon Urquart performed **the demo for Space X on 4-11-12.** (Exhibit 22, PVA 4463-4481)
 - Email dated December 2, 2014 at 9:03 a.m. Mr. Urquhart (PVA) indicated to Mr. Matupang there would be 2 demos including a coating with **Arathane 2 part mix and spray and dispensing using a 650 or Delta 6 with board head,** JDX (underfill), SVX (past), and FC100 (solder mask). (Exhibit 22)
 - On November 17, 2014, Mr. Urquhart (PVA) asked if Space X wanted to mix on the fly with **5750?** (Exhibit 22)
 - On January 9, 2013 at 10:38 a.m. David Gomez (PVA) emailed Brian Ignaut (Space X) **doing follow up on the “two part material application project you were working on and kindly presented us with.”** There was inquiry as to whether there were any developments in the first few months of 2013. (Exhibit 22)
 - On December 3, 2012 at 12:01 PM David Gomez (PVA) wrote Scott Abarta asking for the **two part material brand and part # as well as any**

1 **MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED**
2 **UNCONTROVERTED FACTS**

RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION

3 MSDS and data sheets. Also,
4 there was a request for a shot
5 size and cycle time per part if
6 possible. (Exhibit 22)

7 • On September 10, 2013,
8 Mr. Gomez (PVA) indicated
9 “they only want a 20 oz
10 cartridge retainer & cap with
11 spray & needle valve for the
12 pre-mix material and 6oz
13 cartridge retainer & cap for the
14 solvent, so it can flush the
15 premixed material out right
16 after doing a batch of
17 boards.” Mr. Gomez indicated
18 he was familiar with the “fluid
19 delivery line” because he had
20 done it “several times.” He
21 also indicated they were “not
22 there yet” for the two part
23 coating spraying. (Exhibit 22)

24 • On September 9, 2013,
25 Richard Bievenue (PVA) wrote
26 Jonathan Connelly (ccing David
27 Filbert, David Gomez, and
28 Michael R. Leonard) indicating
 that he spoke with Duc Phan
 (Space X) about the 350
 machine and how Space X
 was switching to utilizing a 2
 part material including
 Arathane 5750. There was
 discussion about premising
 the solvents and flushing the
 solvent valves. Also, there was
 interest regarding a 2
 component meter mix system.
 (Exhibit 22)

29 • On September 18, 2013 at
30 12:41 p.m., Mr. Gomez (PVA)
31 indicated that this is not the
32 first time they have done a

1 **MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED**
2 **UNCONTROVERTED FACTS**

RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION

3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

two part coating system and he thought they were putting to many resources into this. The email specifically references Arathane 5750.
(Exhibit 22)

- On September 13, 2013, from Duc Phan (Space X) to Jonathan Connelly, Michael R. Leonard (ccing Richard Bievenue and David Filbert) explained the process with pictures. **Specifically, he indicated that Space X removed the hoses from the spray dispensing head and connected the hoses from the Arathane material and solvent to it. He further explained when the Arathane material was not in use they vaped the solvent hose and connect the Arathane material hose to the other head just for a place holder. He also explained that Arathane 5750 part A and B is mixed together and poured in the first reservoir and the second reservoir is the solvent use to thin out the mixed Arathane for spray requirement.** (Exhibit 22)

- On September 13, 2013 at 6:07 a.m. Jonathan Connelly wrote Duc Phan (Space X). Michael R. Leonard and ccng Richard Bievenue and David Filbert inquiring about the process, requesting a picture, **and asking if the Arathane and the solvent was being run out of the cartridges mounted on the side of the machine.**

1 **MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED**
2 **UNCONTROVERTED FACTS**

RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION

3 (Exhibit 22)

4 • On September 10, 2013,
5 Duc Phan (Space X) wrote
6 Michael R. Leonard and
7 Jonathan Connelly, and there
8 was a clear indication that
9 Space X was using Arathane
10 5750 material with a 2 hour
11 cure time, and they were
12 concerned the operator would
13 forget to purge and rinse the
14 material when the job is done.
15 They were looking to
16 automate the process after
17 coating. (Exhibit 22)

18 o The sales order dated
19 September 25, 2013 indicated
20 PVA job number SPCX2115
21 W3267 indicated PVA was
22 there to provide onsite
23 support for Mechanical
24 Electrical Programming Fluid
25 Delivery. (Exhibit 22)

26 o The sales quotation dated
27 September 19, 2013, stated that
28 PVA was to "rework the
29 dispense system on machine
30 serial number W3267 to
31 connect one cartridge to (1)
32 one gallon tank for automatic
33 solvent flush of Arathane
34 5705. This was to include 1) all
35 hoses and fittings needed to
36 complete the integration, 2)
37 pneumatic ball valve for
38 automatic solvent flush, 3)
39 hardware and software needed
40 for integration, and one tank.
41 Further, PVA was to travel to
42 Space X to install the new auto
43 solvent flush system. (Exhibit

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 |
|---|---|
| | MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS |
| | RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION |
| | <p>22)</p> <p>o The purchase order dated September 24, 2013 issued to PVA and shipping to Space X on a Space X purchase order identified a supplier part number B72-01433 and <u>there is a full description of the work to be done including reworking the dispense system for an automatic flush of Arathane 5750</u> and in similar detail as stated above. There is a separate purchase order for the travel expenditures. (Exhibit 22)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• On July 21, 2014 at 6:48 p.m. Duc Phan (Space X) emailed Rex indicating the <u>current machine uses both Humiseal and Arathane and there are separate spray valves for both material</u>. They need the pendant purge button to work for both materials. (Exhibit 22)• PVA Inc. circulated diagram clearly states <u>Arathane</u>. Additionally, there is a quote work sheet for the 3 way assembly, pressure tank, hoses, fittings, valves as well as programming and engineering. (Ex 22, PVA 340-347) <p>PVA INC. INSTALLED THE PVA 350 AND CONTINUED TO MODIFIED PROGRAM THROUGHOUT THE PVA 350'S LIFETIME</p> |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 |
|---|---|
| <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
| 21. Before hand-mixing these materials, Juarez reviewed the MSDS sheets for Arathane and Humiseal to ensure they cured and "set up" properly so SpaceX's desired result was achieved. Maxwell Dec. (Maxwell Dec., 2:25-28.) | <ul style="list-style-type: none">• On 6-23-2009 to 6-24-2009, PVA Inc. installed and serviced the W3267 machine at Space X. The work was performed by Andrew Haraburde. He trained Juan Sotelo and Marsha Thompson in installation and training. The installation check list specifically indicated various items were working, but there is an X versus a check mark on the pump and SEMEA boxes. The description of the work/changes including "<u>modified main program (mo2)</u>." (Exhibit 36 PVA 4449-4452) <p>There are a series of work orders regarding modifications and sales showing PVA Inc. continued to service and support the use and modification of the PVA 350. (Exhibit 25, PVA 7-9, 17-29, 222-234, 250-251, 4449-4452)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">21. Disputed. Mr. Juarez was not the process engineer or materials engineer that would have mixed the chemicals. Per David Hwang's instructions and with the support of PVA Inc, Mr. Juarez only installed the separate dispensing canisters and materials lines, but not the chemicals.• (Dec. Chris Mendoza)• (Dec. Manuel Guterrez)• (Dec. of Juarez Ruben)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 55:21-56:5)• (Ex. 1 Depo. Ruben Juarez Volume 1 p. 60:6-25; Dec. Ruben Juarez)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|---|
| | | <p>I: 139:17-20)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume I 144:12-16)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 235:1-238: 5, 238: 19-22)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume I: 54:11-13)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume I: 103:18-104:10, 104:25-105:7, 107:5-24, 108:5-13)• (See Exhibit 22, PVA 4463-4481)• (See Exhibit 9 and Exhibit 22)• (Exhibit 22, PVA 23 and 24)• (Exhibit 22 PVA 4449-4452)• (Exhibit 22 PVA 4463-4481)• (Exhibit 22)• (Ex 22, PVA 340-347)• (Exhibit 36 PVA 4449-4452)• (Exhibit 36)• (Exhibit 36, PVA 1728-1731)• (Exhibit 25, PVA 7-9, 17-29, 222-234, 250-251, 4449-4452) <p><i><u>Specific factual references with citations are set forth below for the court's convenience disputing the alleged facts that Mr. Juarez reviewed the MSDS sheets and allegedly mixed the Arathane and</u></i></p> |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|---|
| | | <u><i>Humiseal.</i></u> |
| | | Mr. Mendoza never saw Ruben mixing chemicals at Space X. Further, while being an employee at Space X. Mr. Mendoza was never trained regarding how to read, interpret, and locate MSDS sheets. Further, Mr. Mendoza indicated Space X was using Humiseal since 2010, and he was never provided any Standard Operating Procedures. (Dec. Christopher Mendoza) |
| | | Mr. Gutierrez indicated he never saw a binder of MSDS sheets nor did he ever see any MSDS sheets in the conformal coating area. Further, he never saw any Standard of Practice while working with Space X. Moreover, Mr. Gutierrez never saw Ruben mix chemicals, but he saw Francisco mix chemicals. (Dec. Manuel Gutierrez) |
| | | Mr. Juarez indicated he does not have a chemistry background at his deposition on March 8, 2018. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 55:21-56:5) |
| | | Mr. Juarez called Francisco and received a list of the chemicals that Mr. Juarez was exposed to, and he thus requested the MSDS sheets from Space X to forward to his attorney so that could figure out what was going on and what was making him sick. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 235:1-238: 5, 238: 19-22) |
| | | Mr. Juarez testified at his deposition on March 8, 2018 that Space X did |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|--|--|
| | | <p>modify the machine to be able to spray other new products and new coating materials. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 54:11-13)</p> <p>PVA 350 was modified when Mr. Juarez worked at Space X so it would spray a different coating material with PVA Inc.'s support over the telephone by installing hardware. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 103:18-104:10, 104:25-105:7, 107:5-24, 108:5-13)</p> |
| | <p>22. The Avionics Department also required workers who used the PVA 350, including Juarez, to follow SpaceX's "standard operating procedures" entitled "Avionics Standard Operating Procedure: Polymeric Application of Electronic Assemblies."</p> <p>Hwang Dec.; Maxwell Dec., Declaration of Duc Q. Phan ("Phan Dec."); SpaceX Standard Operating Procedures.</p> <p>(Hwang Dec., 1:15-26, 4, 14, 24; Maxwell Dec., 1:23-2:2, 5, 15, 25, Phan Dec., 1:14-20, 4, 14; Dec. of SpaceX records custodian, Lynette Dhillon ("Dhillon Dec."), 1:16-17, 3:11-17, 5, 15, 25.)</p> | <p>22. Disputed. Mr. Juarez was never provided with an Standard Operating Procedure ("SOP") for programmers for any assembly area in Space X. Upon asking the lead person, Mr. Juan Soltelo about the SOP, Mr. Soltelo laughed at him.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• (Dec. Ruben Juarez)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II: 182:1-5)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II: 220:5-11) <p><i><u>Specific factual references with citations are set forth below for the court's convenience disputing the alleged facts that Mr. Juarez reviewed the MSDS sheets and allegedly mixed the Arathane and Humiseal.</u></i></p> <p>Mr. Mendoza never saw Ruben mixing chemicals at Space X. Further, while being an employee at Space X. Mr. Mendoza was never was never</p> |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|---|
| | | <p>trained regarding how to read, interpret, and locate MSDS sheets. Further, Mr. Mendoza indicated Space X was using Humiseal since 2010, and he was never provided any Standard Operating Procedures. (Dec. Christopher Mendoza)</p> |
| | | <p>Mr. Gutierrez indicated he never saw a binder of MSDS sheets nor did he ever see any MSDS sheets in the conformal coating area. Further, he never saw any Standard of Practice while working with Space X. Moreover, Mr. Gutierrez never saw Ruben mix chemicals, but he saw Francisco mix chemicals. (Dec. Manuel Gutierrez</p> |
| | | <p>Mr. Juarez was not given any Standard Operating Procedures that pertained to how he was to perform his job. (Ex. 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II: 172:17-25) Initially, when Mr. Juarez was hired, he was hired as an SMT and thus the SOP cited by defense was not applicable. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II: 182:1-5)</p> |
| | <p>23. These Standard Operating Procedures (“SOPs”) instructed SpaceX employees to use a facemask and operate the machine in a well-ventilated area, pursuant to instructions in MSDS sheets for the specific coating materials that were used in the machine.</p> <p>Hwang Dec.; SpaceX Standard Operating Procedures.</p> <p>(Hwang Dec., 1:25-26, 5, 6, 15, 16, 25, 26.)</p> | <p>23. Disputed. Mr. Juarez was never provided with an Standard Operating Procedure (“SOP”) for programmers for any assembly area in Space X. Upon asking the lead person, Mr. Juan Soltelo about the SOP, Mr. Soltelo laughed at him.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• (Dec. Ruben Juarez) <p>As a result of the defective design of the PVA 350, it would be impossible to utilize the machine with a ventilation mask because the machine</p> |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|--|
| | | <p>is too small.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• (Dec. Glen Stevick)• (Ex. 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II: 172:17-25)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II: 182:1-5)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II: 220:5-11)• (Exhibit 31, PVA 51)• (Exhibit 31, PVA30-32)• (Exhibit 31, PVA 40-41)• (Exhibit 15, PVA 69)• (Exhibit 16, PVA 0272)• (Exhibit 31, PVA 30-32, 51)• (Exhibit 18, PVA 40)• (Exhibit 35) |
| | | <p><i><u>Specific factual references with citations are set forth below for the court's convenience disputing the alleged facts that Mr. Juarez reviewed the MSDS sheets and allegedly mixed the Arathane and Humiseal.</u></i></p> <p>Mr. Mendoza never saw Ruben mixing chemicals at Space X. Further, while being an employee at Space X. Mr. Mendoza was never was never trained regarding how to read, interpret, and locate MSDS sheets. Further, Mr. Mendoza indicated Space X was using Humiseal since 2010, and he was never provided any Standard Operating Procedures. (Dec. Christopher Mendoza)</p> <p>Mr. Gutierrez indicated he never saw a binder of MSDS sheets nor did he ever</p> |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 |
|--|---|
| <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
| | <p>see any MSDS sheets in the conformal coating area. Further, he never saw any Standard of Practice while working with Space X. Moreover, Mr. Gutierrez never saw Ruben mix chemicals, but he saw Francisco mix chemicals. (Dec. Manuel Gutierrez</p> <p>Mr. Juarez was not given any Standard Operating Procedures that pertained to how he was to perform his job. (Ex. 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II: 172:17-25)</p> <p>Initially, when Mr. Juarez was hired, he was hired as an SMT and thus the SOP cited by defense was not applicable. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II: 182:1-5)</p> |
| <p>24. These SOPs also specified that the machine's coating materials included Humiseal 1A33 conformal coating and Humiseal 521 thinner.</p> <p>Hwang Dec.; SpaceX Standard Operating Procedures.</p> <p>(Hwang Dec., 1:15-26, 6, 16, 26.)</p> | <p>24. Disputed. Mr. Juarez was never provided with an Standard Operating Procedure ("SOP") for programmers for any assembly area in Space X. Upon asking the lead person, Mr. Juan Soltelo about the SOP, Mr. Soltelo laughed at him.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• (Dec. Ruben Juarez) <p><i><u>Specific factual references with citations are set forth below for the court's convenience disputing the alleged facts that Mr. Juarez reviewed the MSDS sheets and allegedly mixed the Arathane and Humiseal.</u></i></p> <p>Mr. Mendoza never saw Ruben mixing chemicals at Space X. Further, while being an employee at Space X. Mr. Mendoza was never was never</p> |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|---|
| | | <p>trained regarding how to read, interpret, and locate MSDS sheets. Further, Mr. Mendoza indicated Space X was using Humiseal since 2010, and he was never provided any Standard Operating Procedures. (Dec. Christopher Mendoza)</p> |
| | | <p>Mr. Gutierrez indicated he never saw a binder of MSDS sheets nor did he ever see any MSDS sheets in the conformal coating area. Further, he never saw any Standard of Practice while working with Space X. Moreover, Mr. Gutierrez never saw Ruben mix chemicals, but he saw Francisco mix chemicals. (Dec. Manuel Gutierrez)</p> |
| | | <p>As a result of the defective design of the PVA 350, it would be impossible to utilize the machine with a ventilation mask because the machine is too small.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• (Dec. Ruben Juarez) <p>The SOPs did not indicate the chemicals utilized with the machine were dangerous and potentially toxic.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Defendant Hwang Dec.; SpaceX Standard Operating Procedures; (Hwang Dec., 1:15-26, 6, 16, 26.)• (Ex. 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II: 172:17-25)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II: 182:1-5)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II: 220:5-11)• (Exhibit 31, PVA 51) |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|--|--------------------------------------|
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none">• (Exhibit 31, PVA30-32)• (Exhibit 31, PVA 40-41)• (Exhibit 15, PVA 69)• (Exhibit 16, PVA 0272)• (Exhibit 31, PVA 30-32, 51)• (Exhibit 18, PVA 40)• (Exhibit 35) <p><i><u>Specific factual references with citations are set forth below for the court's convenience supporting the factual dispute that the SOPs were not provided to Mr. Juarez.</u></i></p> <p>Mr. Juarez was not given any Standard Operating Procedures that pertained to how he was to perform his job. (Ex. 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II: 172:17-25)</p> <p>Initially, when Mr. Juarez was hired, he was hired as an SMT and thus the SOP cited by defense was not applicable. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II: 182:1-5)</p> | |
| 25. These SOPs also instructed SpaceX employees to “[p]rogram the machine per operating instructions in the PVA Manual.” Hwang Dec.; SpaceX Standard Operating Procedures. (Hwang Dec., 1:15-26, 9, 11, 19, 21, 29, 32.) | 25. Disputed. The PVA Manual and SOPs were not provided to Mr. Juarez. Further, the manual was not available in the computer connected to the PVA 350. Since the PVA 350 is a standalone machine, the manual was not uploaded to the company network. (Dec. Ruben Juarez) <i><u>Specific factual references with citations are set forth below for the court's convenience disputing the alleged facts that Mr. Juarez reviewed the MSDS sheets and allegedly mixed the Arathane and Humiseal.</u></i> | |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|---|
| | | <p>Mr. Mendoza never saw Ruben mixing chemicals at Space X. Further, while being an employee at Space X. Mr. Mendoza was never trained regarding how to read, interpret, and locate MSDS sheets. Further, Mr. Mendoza indicated Space X was using Humiseal since 2010, and he was never provided any Standard Operating Procedures. (Dec. Christopher Mendoza)</p> |
| | | <p>Mr. Gutierrez indicated he never saw a binder of MSDS sheets nor did he ever see any MSDS sheets in the conformal coating area. Further, he never saw any Standard of Practice while working with Space X. Moreover, Mr. Gutierrez never saw Ruben mix chemicals, but he saw Francisco mix chemicals. (Dec. Manuel Gutierrez)</p> |
| | | <p>Also, PVA provided photographs of the PVA 350 machine when it shipped and there is no hardcopy of the manual included in the delivery. Further, PVA Inc. specifically trained Mr. Juarez to bypass the safety systems so the machine could be utilized as it was designed defectively and could not be utilized otherwise.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">•(Dec. Glen Stevick)•(Dec. Ruben Juarez)•(Ex. 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II: 172:17-25)•(Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II: 182:1-5)•(Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|---|
| | | II: 220:5-11) •(Exhibit 31, PVA 51) •(Exhibit 31, PVA30-32) •(Exhibit 31, PVA 40-41) •(Exhibit 16, PVA 0272) •(Exhibit 31, PVA 30-32, 51) •(Exhibit 18, PVA 40) •(Exhibit 35) |
| | | Mr. Juarez was not given any Standard Operating Procedures that pertained to how he was to perform his job. (Ex. 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II: 172:17-25) |
| | | Initially, when Mr. Juarez was hired, he was hired as an SMT and thus the SOP cited by defense was not applicable. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II: 182:1-5) |
| | | BELOW ARE FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS REGARDING THE MANUAL NOT BEING PRODUCED AND PVA MANUAL BEING INEFFECTIVE AND INSUFFICIENT |
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• PVA specifically indicated the manual was for production and <u>operators not technical personnel, but it might be “useful for introduction or training.”</u> (Exhibit 31, PVA 51)<li>• The manual was <u>only produced electronically.</u> (No hardcopy.) (Exhibit 31, PVA30-32)<li>• The Operations and Maintenance manual <u>does not warn to wear a face mask or protection</u> |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 |
|---|--|
| <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
| 26. SpaceX maintained copies of all manufacturer operating instructions and specifications for its purchased equipment including the PVA 350. Hwang Dec. (Hwang Dec., 1:15-17.) | from any inhalants. (Exhibit 31, PVA 40-41) <ul style="list-style-type: none">• The manual indicates the PVA machines require that end users must <u>visually inspect the position of the needle with response to a calibration point and physically reposition the needle.</u> (Exhibit 15, PVA 69)• The Final Shipment Sign-Off does not indicate the operating manual was sent to Space X only machine photos were taken. Also, there is an issue of facts as to whether the <u>exhaust switch was included.</u> (Exhibit 16, PVA 0272)• The PVA manual was not <u>physically produced and there was no requirement to review it.</u> (Exhibit 31, PVA 30-32, 51) Mr. Mendoza a former employee of Space X indicated that Space X was using Humiseal in 2010. <ul style="list-style-type: none">• (Dec. Christopher Mendoza, paragraph 2) 26. Disputed to the extent it infers that Mr. Juarez saw copies of the manufacturer operating instructions. The equipment manual was not provided to Mr. Juarez. Further, the manual was not available in the computer connected to the PVA 350. Since the PVA 350 is a standalone machine, the manual was not uploaded to the |

1 **MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED**
2 **UNCONTROVERTED FACTS**

RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION

3 company network.
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

(Dec. Ruben Juarez)

Also, PVA provided photographs of the PVA 350 machine when it shipped and there is no hardcopy of the manual included in the delivery. Further, PVA Inc. specifically trained Mr. Juarez to bypass the safety systems so the machine could be utilized as it was designed defectively and could not be utilized otherwise.

- -(Dec. Ruben Juarez)
- (Dec. Glen Stevick)
- (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 91:3-93:24, 96:10-19, 98:20-21, 100:4-9)
- (Exhibit 31, PVA 51)
- (Exhibit 31, PVA30-32)
- (Exhibit 31, PVA 40-41)
- (Exhibit 15, PVA 69)
- (Exhibit 16, PVA 0272)
- (Exhibit 31, PVA 30-32, 51)
- (Exhibit 18, PVA 40)
- (Exhibit 35)

Specific factual references with citations are set forth below for the court's convenience regarding disputes of fact regarding the PVA Manual and the SOPs.

Specific factual references with citations are set forth below for the court's convenience disputing the alleged facts that Mr. Juarez

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 |
|---|---|
| <p><u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u></p> | <p><u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u></p> <p><i><u>reviewed the MSDS sheets and allegedly mixed the Arathane and Humiseal.</u></i></p> <p>Mr. Mendoza never saw Ruben mixing chemicals at Space X. Further, while being an employee at Space X. Mr. Mendoza was never trained regarding how to read, interpret, and locate MSDS sheets. Further, Mr. Mendoza indicated Space X was using Humiseal since 2010, and he was never provided any Standard Operating Procedures. (Dec. Christopher Mendoza)</p> <p>Mr. Gutierrez indicated he never saw a binder of MSDS sheets nor did he ever see any MSDS sheets in the conformal coating area. Further, he never saw any Standard of Practice while working with Space X. Moreover, Mr. Gutierrez never saw Ruben mix chemicals, but he saw Francisco mix chemicals. (Dec. Manuel Gutierrez)</p> <p>Mr. Juarez never read the manual for the PVA 350 because it was not available to him, but he was trained by PVA Inc. on how to use the PVA machines, and at these trainings PVA Inc. never told Mr. Juarez to review any manual. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 91:3-93:24, 96:10-19, 98:20-21, 100:4-9)</p> <p><u>BELOW ARE FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS REGARDING THE MANUAL NOT BEING PRODUCED AND PVA MANUAL BEING INEFFECTIVE AND INSUFFICIENT</u></p> |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 |
|---|--|
| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 |
| | <p><u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u></p> <p><u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">PVA specifically indicated the manual was for production and <u>operators not technical personnel, but it might be “useful for introduction or training.”</u> (Exhibit 31, PVA 51)The manual was <u>only produced electronically.</u> (No hardcopy.) (Exhibit 31, PVA30-32)The Operations and Maintenance manual <u>does not warn to wear a face mask or protection</u> from any inhalants. (Exhibit 31, PVA 40-41)The manual indicates the PVA machines require that end users must <u>visually inspect the position of the needle with response to a calibration point and physically reposition the needle.</u> (Exhibit 15, PVA 69)The Final Shipment Sign-Off does not indicate the operating manual was sent to Space X only machine photos were taken. Also, there is an issue of facts as to whether the <u>exhaust switch was included.</u> (Exhibit 16, PVA 0272)The PVA manual was not <u>physically produced and there was no requirement to review it.</u> (Exhibit 31, PVA 30-32, 51) <p>Mr. Mendoza a former employee of Space X indicated that Space X was using Humiseal in 2010.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">(Dec. Christopher Mendoza, paragraph 2) <p>FAILURE TO WARN/HAZARD COMMENTS</p> |

| <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|
| 27. Ruben Juarez worked as a programmer at SpaceX from January, 2012 to the end of March, 2014. Juarez Depo.; job offer letter with acceptance; Workers' Compensation ("Comp.") Claim. (Catalona Dec., 261:21-24, 301:17-22, 303:25-304:8, 307:14-19, 317:7-9, 355, 357.) | <ul style="list-style-type: none">The PVA Manual does not warn users to use a face mask or ventilator. (Exhibit 18, PVA 40)The warning on the sides states, "WARNING, Hazardous voltage. Power shall be disconnected before enclosure is opened. Enclosure shall be closed before power is restored." (Exhibit 35) |
| 28. During this entire period, his job duties never changed. Juarez Depo.; Deposition of Ruben Juarez in Workers' Compensation Action ("Juarez Workers' Comp. Depo."). (Catalona Dec., 256:23-24, 443:22-444:9.) | <p>27.Undisputed.</p> <p>28.Disputed. Mr. Juarez's job duties were changed to include AOI programming and SMT programming.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">(Dec. Ruben Juarez)(Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II: 182:1-5)(Ex. 2 Depo. Ruben Juarez Volume 2 p. 78:15-79:12, 81:10-15)(Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 61:21-63:14)(Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 152:11-15) <p>Initially, when Mr. Juarez was hired, he was hired as an SMT and thus the SOP cited by defense was not applicable. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II: 182:1-5)</p> |

| 1 2 3 <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|--|--|
| <p>4 29. Juarez testified that his job was to 5 program the PVA 350, for which he was its 6 “main support.” Juarez Depo. (Catalona Dec., 257:25-258:6, 263:1-5.)</p> <p>7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28</p> | <p>29. Undisputed to the extent that Mr. Juarez worked with the PVA 350, but disputed to the extent that there is an inference that PVA Inc. was not responsible for the service and maintenance of the PVA 350 machine. As stated at Mr. Juarez’ deposition on March 30, 2015 (within the two year filing period) Mr. Juarez did not operate the equipment; he was a programmer.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• (Ex. 2 Depo. Ruben Juarez Volume 2 p. 78:15-79:12, 81:10-15)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 58:4-6, 58:12-59:4)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 61:21-63:14)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume I: 145:25-147:2)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 152:11-15)• (See Exhibit 22, PVA 4463-4481)• (See Exhibit 9 and Exhibit 22)• (Exhibit 22, PVA 23 and 24)• (Exhibit 22 PVA 4449-4452)• (Exhibit 22 PVA 4463-4481)• (Exhibit 22)• (Ex 22, PVA 340-347)• (Exhibit 36 PVA 4449-4452)• (Exhibit 36)• (Exhibit 36, PVA 1728-1731)• (Exhibit 25, PVA 7-9, 17-29, 222-234, 250-251, 4449-4452) <p><i>Specific factual references with citations are set forth below for the</i></p> |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|---|
| | | <i>court's convenience regarding Mr. Juarez' job duties.</i> |
| | | Mr. Juarez was a programmer and did mostly machinery, programming, troubleshooting, ordering equipment, Automatic Optical Inspection work, equipment repair, and other manufacturing engineering work. (Ex. 2 Depo. Ruben Juarez Volume 2 p. 78:15-79:12, 81:10-15) |
| | | Mr. Juarez' job was to program the machine not to operate the machine, and he would spend 8 to 10 hours developing a program and working inside the machine itself. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 58:4-6, 58:12-59:4) |
| | | Mr. Juarez would program the PVA 350, set up a circuit board in the machine, make sure the pattern is correct, do a dry run, do a wet run, make sure his head was inside to check the thickness of the layer, clean it up, rerun it again until they got the desired thickness and width. The programmer has to fine tune the thickness of the conformal coating. To facilitate the fine tuning process, the machine has to switch to a bypass door so a programmer can put their head inside the machine to make sure the spraying head is parallel to the door. Then a programmer does a dry run, a wet run, and checks the thickness of the layer. The layer has to be about 1.5 thousandths of an inch. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 61:21-63:14) |

1 **MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED**
2 **UNCONTROVERTED FACTS**

1 **RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION**

2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
Mr. Juarez would program the machine, check the thickness of the PVA 350 spray, ensure the tanks had enough materials, make sure the materials were consistent, and deal with the fixtures involved in the process. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume I: 145:25-147:2)

Mr. Juarez would leave the operators after the PVA 350 was programmed sometimes because he was called back for a new product and to work on a variety of assemblies and subassemblies. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 152:11-15)

PVA HAD KNOWLEDGE OF THE CHEMICALS BEING UTILIZED BY SPACE X AND WAS INVOLVED IN SUBSEQUENT MODIFICATIONS TO THE MACHINE INVOLVING VARIOUS CHEMICALS

- PVA Inc was aware of the chemicals being utilized with the PVA 350, including the **transition to Arathane**. (See Exhibit 22, PVA 4463-4481)
- The April 11, 2012 conformal coating video with Space X boards utilized the **same chemicals as used at Space X at PVA Inc.** (See Exhibit 9 and Exhibit 22)
- On September 19, 2013, PVA Inc. made further modifications to the subject machine including reworking the dispense system on the

1 **MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED**
2 **UNCONTROVERTED FACTS**

RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION

3 machine to connect one
4 cartridge to the one gallon tank
5 for "automatic solvent flush of
6 Arathane 5705." (Exhibit 22,
7 PVA 23 and 24)

8
9
10 • On July 30, 2009,
11 PVA/Andrew Haraburda
12 serviced the W3267 (subject
13 PVA 350 machine) and this
14 description of the work/changes
15 included: adding solvent flush
16 option (6 oz cartridge, 3 way
17 ball valve, O-ISPSI Precision
18 Regulator, which shows PVA
19 Inc. was continuing to modify
20 and upgrade the machine.
21 Then PVA Inc. specifically
22 recommended Space X alter
23 the solvent to a different
24 solvent stating "Space X may
25 need to use a different solvent
26 (i.e. Xylene)." (Exhibit 22 PVA
27 4449-4452)

28 • Pursuant to email chain
1 dated March 13, 2012 Jonathan
2 Urquhart (PVA) was aware of
3 Space X's use of Arathane
4 5750 The email specifically
5 states, "I think David has
6 spoken to you about our
7 customer Space X. They build
8 full blown rocket ships. They
9 plan on pre-mixing this
10 material part A part B and a
11 3rd part, thinner in small
12 batches apply to board and
13 the purge system because of
14 shelf life once mixed. Sounds
15 screwy to me." They were
16 looking for a demo on the 27th
17 of March. (Exhibit 22, PVA
18 4463-4481)

1 **MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED**
2 **UNCONTROVERTED FACTS**

1 **RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION**

- 3 • Pursuant to email dated
4 March 15, 2012 to Jonathan
5 Urquhart, PVA was preparing a
6 demo on the PVA 650 and **PVA**
7 **were working with the same**
8 **chemical materials Space X**
9 **was utilizing, but PVA did not**
10 **want Space X to know and**
11 **PVA indicated they were**
12 **going to convey to Space X**
13 **that the materials were**
14 **similar, but not the exact**
15 **same.** . . (Exhibit 22, PVA
16 4463-4481)
- 17 • Pursuant to email dated
18 March 30, 2012, the test at PVA
19 Inc. was rescheduled to **4-11-12**
20 **to view the** 3 part mixing
21 process. (Exhibit 22, PVA
22 4463-4481)
- 23 • Pursuant to email from
24 David Gomez to Johnathan
25 (PVA) Urquhart dated May 11,
26 2012 at 2:11 p.m., Space X
27 talked about retrofitting the
28 PVA 350, and then
 subsequently there was
 confirmation from Jon
 indicating **Space X wanted to**
 duplicate what was done
 during the visit. (Exhibit 22,
 PVA 4463-4481)
- Jon Urquart performed
 the demo for Space X on 4-11-
 12. (Exhibit 22, PVA 4463-
 4481)
- Email dated December 2,
 2014 at 9:03 a.m. Mr. Urquhart
 (PVA) indicated to Mr.
 Matupang there would be 2
 demos including a coating with

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 |
|---|--|
| | <p><u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u></p> <p><u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u></p> <p><u>Arathane 2 part mix and spray and dispensing using a 650 or Delta 6 with board head, JDX (underfill), SVX (past), and FC100 (solder mask). (Exhibit 22)</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• On November 17, 2014, Mr. Urquhart (PVA) asked if Space X wanted to mix on the fly with <u>5750?</u> (Exhibit 22)• On January 9, 2013 at 10:38 a.m. David Gomez (PVA) emailed Brian Ignaut (Space X) <u>doing follow up on the “two part material application project you were working on and kindly presented us with.”</u> There was inquiry as to whether there were any developments in the first few months of 2013. (Exhibit 22)• On December 3, 2012 at 12:01 PM David Gomez (PVA) wrote Scott Abarta asking for the <u>two part material brand and part # as well as any MSDS and data sheets.</u> Also, there was a request for a shot size and cycle time per part if possible. (Exhibit 22)• On <u>September 10, 2013,</u> Mr. Gomez (PVA) indicated “they only want a 20 oz cartridge retainer & cap with spray & needle valve for the pre-mix material and 6oz cartridge retainer & cap <u>for the solvent, so it can flush the premixed material out right after doing a batch of boards.</u>” Mr. Gomez indicated |

1 **MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED**
2 **UNCONTROVERTED FACTS**

RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION

3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

he was familiar with the “fluid delivery line” because he had done it “several times.” He also indicated they were “not there yet” for the two part coating spraying. (Exhibit 22)

- On September 9, 2013, Richard Bievenue (PVA) wrote Jonathan Connelly (ccing David Filbert, David Gomez, and Michael R. Leonard) indicating that he spoke with Duc Phan (Space X) about the 350 machine and how Space X was switching to utilizing a 2 part material including Arathane 5750. There was discussion about premising the solvents and flushing the solvent valves. Also, there was interest regarding a 2 component meter mix system. (Exhibit 22)
- On September 18, 2013 at 12:41 p.m., Mr. Gomez (PVA) indicated that this is not the first time they have done a two part coating system and he thought they were putting to many resources into this. The email specifically references Arathane 5750. (Exhibit 22)
- On September 13, 2013, from Duc Phan (Space X) to Jonathan Connelly, Michael R. Leonard (ccing Richard Bievenue and David Filbert) explained the process with pictures. Specifically, he indicated that Space X removed the hoses from the

1 **MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED**
2 **UNCONTROVERTED FACTS**

RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION

3 spray dispensing head and
4 connected the hoses from the
5 Arathane material and solvent
6 to it. He further explained
7 when the Arathane material
8 was not in use they vaped the
9 solvent hose and connect the
10 Arathane material hose to the
11 other head just for a place
12 holder. He also explained that
13 Arathane 5750 part A and B
14 is mixed together and poured
15 in the first reservoir and the
16 second reservoir is the solvent
17 use to thin out the mixed
18 Arathane for spray
19 requirement. (Exhibit 22)

20 • On September 13, 2013 at
21 6:07 a.m. Jonathan Connally
22 wrote Duc Phan (Space X).
23 Michael R. Leonard and ccng
24 Richard Bievenue and David
25 Filbert inquiring about the
26 process, requesting a picture,
27 and asking if the Arathane
28 and the solvent was being run
 out of the cartridges mounted
 on the side of the machine.
 (Exhibit 22)

 • On September 10, 2013,
 Duc Phan (Space X) wrote
 Michael R. Leonard and
 Jonathan Connally, and there
 was a clear indication that
 Space X was using Arathane
 5750 material with a 2 hour
 cure time, and they were
 concerned the operator would
 forget to purge and rinse the
 material when the job is done.
 They were looking to
 automate the process after

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 |
|---|--|
| | <p><u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u></p> <p><u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u></p> <p><u>coating.</u> (Exhibit 22)</p> <p>o The sales order dated September 25, 2013 indicated PVA job number SPCX2115 W3267 indicated <u>PVA was there to provide onsite support for Mechanical Electrical Programming Fluid Delivery.</u> (Exhibit 22)</p> <p>o The sales quotation dated September 19, 2013, stated that PVA was to “<u>rework the dispense system on machine serial number W3267 to connect one cartridge to (1) one gallon tank for automatic solvent flush of Arathane 5705.</u> This was to include 1) all hoses and fittings needed to complete the integration, 2) pneumatic ball valve for automatic solvent flush, 3) hardware and software needed for integration, and one tank. Further, PVA was to travel to Space X to install the new auto solvent flush system. (Exhibit 22)</p> <p>o The purchase order dated September 24, 2013 issued to PVA and shipping to Space X on a Space X purchase order identified a supplier part number B72-01433 and <u>there is a full description of the work to be done including reworking the dispense system for an automatic flush of Arathane 5750</u> and in similar detail as stated above. There is a separate purchase order for the</p> |

1 **MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED**
2 **UNCONTROVERTED FACTS**

RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION

3 travel expenditures. (Exhibit 22)

- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25
- 26
- 27
- 28
- On July 21, 2014 at 6:48 p.m. Duc Phan (Space X) emailed Rex indicating the **current machine uses both Humiseal and Arathane and there are separate spray valves for both material.** They need the pendant purge button to work for both materials. (Exhibit 22)

- PVA Inc. circulated diagram clearly states **Arathane**. Additionally, there is a quote work sheet for the 3 way assembly, pressure tank, hoses, fittings, valves as well as programming and engineering. (Ex 22, PVA 340-347)

16 **PVA INC. INSTALLED THE PVA
17 350 AND CONTINUED TO
18 MODIFIED PROGRAM
19 THROUGHOUT THE PVA 350'S
20 LIFETIME**

- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25
- 26
- 27
- 28
- On 6-23-2009 to 6-24-2009, PVA Inc. installed and serviced the W3267 machine at Space X. The work was performed by Andrew Haraburde. He trained Juan Sotelo and Marsha Thompson in installation and training. The installation check list specifically indicated various items were working, but there is an X versus a check mark on the pump and SEMEA boxes. The description of the work/changes including "**modified main program (mo2).**" (Exhibit 36 PVA 4449-4452)

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|--|
| | | <p>There are a series of work orders regarding modifications and sales showing PVA Inc. continued to service and support the use and modification of the PVA 350. (Exhibit 25, PVA 7-9, 17-29, 222-234, 250-251, 4449-4452)</p> <p>30. Plaintiffs also admitted in their complaint that “[d]uring Plaintiff Ruben Juarez’s time at Space X, Plaintiff Ruben Juarez was <i>in charge of</i> programming the PVA 350 to spray Arathane 5750A, Arathane 5750B, Arathane 5750 A/B, and Humiseal thinner.”</p> <p>First Amended Complaint (“FAC.”) (Catalona Dec, 59:5-8 (emphasis added).)</p> |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|--|
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none">• (Exhibit 22)• (Ex 22, PVA 340-347) <p><i><u>Specific factual references with citations are set forth below for the court's convenience regarding PVA Inc.'s knowledge of the chemicals used with the PVA 350 and the role of Mr. Juarez at Space X.</u></i></p> <p>Mr. Juarez indicated he does not have a chemistry background at his deposition on March 8, 2018. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 55:21-56:5)</p> <p><u>PVA HAD KNOWLEDGE OF THE CHEMICALS BEING UTILIZED BY SPACE X AND WAS INVOLVED IN SUBSEQUENT MODIFICATIONS TO THE MACHINE INVOLVING VARIOUS CHEMICALS</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• PVA Inc was aware of the chemicals being utilized with the PVA 350, including the <u>transition to Arathane</u>. (See Exhibit 22, PVA 4463-4481)• The April 11, 2012 conformal coating video with Space X boards utilized the <u>same chemicals as used at Space X at PVA Inc.</u> (See Exhibit 9 and Exhibit 22)• On September 19, 2013, PVA Inc. made further modifications to the subject machine including reworking the dispense system on the machine to connect one |

1 **MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED**
2 **UNCONTROVERTED FACTS**

RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION

3 cartridge to the one gallon tank
4 for "**automatic solvent flush of**
5 **Arathane 5705.**" (Exhibit 22,
6 PVA 23 and 24)

7 • On July 30, 2009,
8 PVA/Andrew Haraburda
9 serviced the W3267 (subject
10 PVA 350 machine) and this
11 description of the work/changes
12 included: adding solvent flush
13 option (6 oz cartridge, 3 way
14 ball valve, O-ISPSI Precision
15 Regulator, which shows **PVA**
16 **Inc. was continuing to modify**
17 **and upgrade the machine.**
18 Then **PVA Inc. specifically**
19 **recommended Space X alter**
20 **the solvent to a different**
21 **solvent stating "Space X may**
22 **need to use a different solvent**
23 *(i.e. Xylene).*" (Exhibit 22 PVA
24 4449-4452)

25 • Pursuant to email chain
26 dated March 13, 2012 Jonathan
27 Urquhart (**PVA was aware of**
28 **Space X's use of Arathane**
29 **5750** The email specifically
30 states, "I think David has
31 spoken to you **about our**
32 **customer Space X.** They build
33 full blown rocket ships. **They**
34 **plan on pre-mixing this**
35 **material part A part B and a**
36 **3rd part, thinner in small**
37 **batches apply to board and**
38 **the purge system** because of
39 shelf life once mixed. **Sounds**
40 **screwy to me.**" They were
41 looking for a demo on the 27th
42 of March. (Exhibit 22, PVA
43 4463-4481)

44 • Pursuant to email dated

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|---|
| | | <p>March 15, 2012 to Jonathan Urquhart, PVA was preparing a demo on the PVA 650 and PVA were working with the same chemical materials Space X was utilizing, but PVA did not want Space X to know and PVA indicated they were going to convey to Space X that the materials were similar, but not the exact same. . . (Exhibit 22, PVA 4463-4481)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Pursuant to email dated March 30, 2012, the test at PVA Inc. was rescheduled to 4-11-12 to view the 3 part mixing process. (Exhibit 22, PVA 4463-4481)• Pursuant to email from David Gomez to Johnathan (PVA) Urquhart dated May 11, 2012 at 2:11 p.m., Space X talked about retrofitting the PVA 350, and then subsequently there was confirmation from Jon indicating Space X wanted to duplicate what was done during the visit. (Exhibit 22, PVA 4463-4481)• Jon Urquart performed the demo for Space X on 4-11-12. (Exhibit 22, PVA 4463-4481)• Email dated December 2, 2014 at 9:03 a.m. Mr. Urquhart (PVA) indicated to Mr. Matupang there would be 2 demos including a coating with Arathane 2 part mix and |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 |
|---|---|
| <p>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</p> | <p>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</p> <p><u>spray and dispensing using a 650 or Delta 6 with board head, JDX (underfill), SVX (past), and FC100 (solder mask).</u> (Exhibit 22)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">On November 17, 2014, Mr. Urquhart (PVA) asked if Space X wanted to mix on the fly with <u>5750</u>? (Exhibit 22)On January 9, 2013 at 10:38 a.m. David Gomez (PVA) emailed Brian Ignaut (Space X) <u>doing follow up on the “two part material application project you were working on and kindly presented us with.”</u> There was inquiry as to whether there were any developments in the first few months of 2013. (Exhibit 22)On December 3, 2012 at 12:01 PM David Gomez (PVA) wrote Scott Abarta asking for the <u>two part material brand and part # as well as any MSDS and data sheets.</u> Also, there was a request for a shot size and cycle time per part if possible. (Exhibit 22)On <u>September 10, 2013</u>, Mr. Gomez (PVA) indicated “they only want a 20 oz cartridge retainer & cap with spray & needle valve for the pre-mix material and 6oz cartridge retainer & cap <u>for the solvent, so it can flush the premixed material out right after doing a batch of boards.</u>” Mr. Gomez indicated he was <u>familiar with the “fluid</u> |

1 **MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED**
2 **UNCONTROVERTED FACTS**

RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION

3 delivery line" because he had
4 done it "several times." He
5 also indicated they were "not
6 there yet" for the two part
7 coating spraying. (Exhibit 22)

8
9
10 • On September 9, 2013,
11 Richard Bievenue (PVA) wrote
12 Jonathan Connelly (ccing David
13 Filbert, David Gomez, and
14 Michael R. Leonard) indicating
15 that he spoke with Duc Phan
16 (Space X) about the 350
17 machine and how Space X
18 was switching to utilizing a 2
19 part material including
20 Arathane 5750. There was
21 discussion about premising
22 the solvents and flushing the
23 solvent valves. Also, there was
24 interest regarding a 2
25 component meter mix system.
26 (Exhibit 22)

27
28 • On September 18, 2013 at
1 12:41 p.m., Mr. Gomez (PVA)
2 indicated that this is not the
3 first time they have done a
4 two part coating system and
5 he thought they were putting
6 to many resources into this.
7 The email specifically
8 references Arathane 5750.
9 (Exhibit 22)

10
11 • On September 13, 2013,
12 from Duc Phan (Space X) to
13 Jonathan Connelly, Michael R.
14 Leonard (ccing Richard
15 Bievenue and David Filbert)
16 explained the process with
17 pictures. Specifically, he
18 indicated that Space X
19 removed the hoses from the
20 spray dispensing head and

1 **MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED**
2 **UNCONTROVERTED FACTS**

RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION

3 connected the hoses from the
4 Arathane material and solvent
5 to it. He further explained
6 when the Arathane material
7 was not in use they vaped the
8 solvent hose and connect the
9 Arathane material hose to the
10 other head just for a place
11 holder. He also explained that
12 Arathane 5750 part A and B
13 is mixed together and poured
14 in the first reservoir and the
15 second reservoir is the solvent
16 use to thin out the mixed
17 Arathane for spray
18 requirement. (Exhibit 22)

19 • On September 13, 2013 at
20 6:07 a.m. Jonathan Connelly
21 wrote Duc Phan (Space X).
22 Michael R. Leonard and ccng
23 Richard Bievenue and David
24 Filbert inquiring about the
25 process, requesting a picture,
26 and asking if the Arathane
27 and the solvent was being run
28 out of the cartridges mounted
 on the side of the machine.
 (Exhibit 22)

 • On September 10, 2013,
 Duc Phan (Space X) wrote
 Michael R. Leonard and
 Jonathan Connelly, and there
 was a clear indication that
 Space X was using Arathane
 5750 material with a 2 hour
 cure time, and they were
 concerned the operator would
 forget to purge and rinse the
 material when the job is done.
 They were looking to
 automate the process after
 coating. (Exhibit 22)

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 |
|---|--|
| <p><u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u></p> | <p><u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">o The sales order dated September 25, 2013 indicated PVA job number SPCX2115 W3267 indicated <u>PVA was there to provide onsite support for Mechanical Electrical Programming Fluid Delivery.</u> (Exhibit 22)o The sales quotation dated September 19, 2013, stated that PVA was to <u>"rework the dispense system on machine serial number W3267 to connect one cartridge to (1) one gallon tank for automatic solvent flush of Arathane 5705.</u> This was to include 1) all hoses and fittings needed to complete the integration, 2) pneumatic ball valve for automatic solvent flush, 3) hardware and software needed for integration, and one tank. Further, PVA was to travel to Space X to install the new auto solvent flush system. (Exhibit 22)o The purchase order dated September 24, 2013 issued to PVA and shipping to Space X on a Space X purchase order identified a supplier part number B72-01433 and <u>there is a full description of the work to be done including reworking the dispense system for an automatic flush of Arathane 5750</u> and in similar detail as stated above. There is a separate purchase order for the travel expenditures. (Exhibit 22)• On July 21, 2014 at 6:48 |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 |
|---|---|
| MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS | RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION |
| | <p>p.m. Duc Phan (Space X) emailed Rex indicating the current machine uses both Humiseal and Arathane and there are separate spray valves for both material. They need the pendant purge button to work for both materials. (Exhibit 22)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">PVA Inc. circulated diagram clearly states Arathane. Additionally, there is a quote work sheet for the 3 way assembly, pressure tank, hoses, fittings, valves as well as programming and engineering. (Ex 22, PVA 340-347) |
| 62. The MSDS sheets for all chemicals used in the conformal coating rooms, including Humiseal and Arathane, were accessible on the computer Juarez used at his workstation and in a three-ring binder kept 3-4 feet from the PVA 350. Maxwell Dec.; Phan Dec.; Hwang Dec. (Maxwell Dec., 1:27-2:19, 3:16-21; Phan Dec., 2:1-5; Hwang Dec., 1:27-2:4.) | 62. Objection to the extent the declarants do not have personal knowledge of the alleged facts stated in the declarations. Disputed to the extent the MSDS sheets for all chemicals used in the conformal coating rooms, including Humiseal and Arathane were not accessible on the computer, at Mr. Juarez' workstation, and not in a 3 ring binder close to the PVA. Disputed. This partial power point that periodically lists the term |

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

MSDS and photographs of MSDS is in any way sufficient training regarding the use, location, and/or training as to the contents of the MSDS. Further, it is disputed. Mr. Juarez was never given MSDS sheets, he did not have access to the MSDS sheets, and he had no chemical training either formal or informal to understand the MSDS sheets.

(Dec. Christopher Mendoza)

- (Dec. Manuel Gutierrez)
- (Defendant's Exhibit 91)
- (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 121: 22- 122:20, 122:22-123:1)
- (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume I: 123:19-124:12)
- (Ex. 1 Depo. Ruben Juarez Volume 1 p. 60:6-25)
- (Dec. Ruben Juarez)
- (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume I: 139:17-20)
- (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1 144:12-16)
- (Ex 1, Depo. Ruben Juarez Volume I, p. 49:22-50:8, 51:17, 52:8-16, 53:15-21, 54:11-55:15, 55:21-56:8, 56:14-18 , 57:16-23- 58:18, 59: 4-5, 59: 22- 24,60:17-61:20, 62:1-15)
- (Ex. 2 Depo. Ruben Juarez Volume 2 p. 77:5-78:3)
- (Ex 3 Depo. Ruben Juarez Volume 1, 49:25-50:3)
- (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume

- (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 108:20-109:12)
- (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 332:7-337:14)
- (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 249:22-250:12)
- (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 262:21-263: 13)
- (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 262:21-263:13)
- (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 287:6-288:3, 288:24-289:6)
- (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 235:1-238: 5, 238: 19-22)
- (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 241:4-15)

Specific factual references with citations are set forth below for the court's convenience showing the MSDS sheets were not available.

Specific factual references with citations are set forth below for the court's convenience disputing the alleged facts that Mr. Juarez reviewed the MSDS sheets and allegedly mixed the Arathane and Humiseal.

Mr. Mendoza never saw Ruben mixing chemicals at Space X. Further, while being an employee at Space X. Mr. Mendoza was never trained regarding how to read, interpret, and locate MSDS sheets. Further, Mr. Mendoza indicated Space X was using Humiseal since 2010, and he was never provided any Standard

| | |
|----|---|
| 1 | Operating Procedures. (Dec. Christopher Mendoza) |
| 2 | Mr. Gutierrez indicated he never saw a binder of MSDS sheets nor did he ever see any MSDS sheets in the conformal coating area. Further, he never saw any Standard of Practice while working with Space X. Moreover, Mr. Gutierrez never saw Ruben mix chemicals, but he saw Francisco mix chemicals. (Dec. Manuel Gutierrez) |
| 3 | |
| 4 | |
| 5 | |
| 6 | |
| 7 | |
| 8 | |
| 9 | Initially, Mr. Juarez did not know what chemicals were in the chemical baths, and he found out, but the chemical baths did not have anything to do with the PVA 350, and when he filed the worker's compensation claim his claim was derived from the chemical washes. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 66:19-22, 116:1-14) |
| 10 | |
| 11 | |
| 12 | |
| 13 | |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | Mr. Juarez called a co-worker to get a list of the chemicals utilized in the wash area at Space X, and he may have offered to give the names of the rest of the chemicals used in the conformal coating area. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 108:20-109:12) |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | Mr Juarez tried to get a list of chemicals from Space X, which did not work out. Afterwhich, Mr. Juarez called Francisco to get a list of chemicals he had worked with. After Francisco provided the list of chemicals, Mr. Juarez emailed Space X requested the MSDS sheets for the chemicals that Francisco listed. (Ex 4 |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| 26 | |
| 27 | |
| 28 | |

1 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 332:7-
2 337:14)

3 Mr. Juarez filed his worker's
4 compensation claim based on his
5 belief the chemical baths were making
6 him sick as well as a solder wire until
7 he learned only alcohol was used in
8 the baths and only lead free solder
9 wire were used in the filters. (Ex 4
10 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 262:21-263:
11 13)

12 Mr. Juarez had no reason to suspect
13 any wrongdoing on behalf of PVA and
14 Space X, and he did not know the
15 reason he was getting sick when he
16 filed the worker's compensation
17 claim. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II,
18 262:21-263:13)

19 Mr. Juarez first suspected he was
20 working in a toxic work environment
21 when he received the MSDS sheets
22 from Space X after requesting the
23 MSDS sheets via email after March 3,
24 2015. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II,
25 287:6-288:3, 288:24-289:6)

26 Mr. Juarez called Francisco and
27 received a list of the chemicals that
28 Mr. Juarez was exposed to, and he
thus requested the MSDS sheets from
Space X to forward to his attorney so
that could figure out what was going
on and what was making him sick.
(Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 235:1-
238: 5, 238: 19-22)

| | |
|--|--|
| | <p>Initially, Mr. Juarez thought his problems were caused by alcohol chemical baths and solder wire, but later he found out that lead-free solder wire was used. Upon this discovery, Mr. Juarez reached out to Francisco and then to Space X to get the MSDS sheets. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 241:4-15)</p> |
| 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 <p>63. SpaceX complied with its own internal rules which required MSDS sheets to “be readily accessible to employees in their work area during all work shifts.”</p> <p>Maxwell Dec.; Phan Dec.; Hwang Dec.; SpaceX Hazard Communication Training Course.</p> <p>(Maxwell Dec., 1:27-2:19, 3:16-21, 58-59; Phan Dec., 2:1-5; Hwang Dec., 1:27-2:4; Dhillon Dec., 61-62.)</p> |
| | 63. Objection to the extent the declarants do not have personal knowledge of the alleged facts stated in the declarations. Disputed that Space X complied with its own internal rules which require MSDS sheets to “be readily accessible to employees in their work area during all work shifts.” Disputed to the extent this partial power point that periodically lists the term MSDS and photographs of MSDS is in any way sufficient training regarding the use, location, and/or training as to the contents of the MSDS. Disputed. Mr. Juarez was never given MSDS sheets, he did not have access to the MSDS sheets, and he had no chemical training either formal or informal to understand the MSDS sheets. |
| | 29 <p>(Dec. Christopher Mendoza)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• (Dec. Manuel Gutierrez)• Defendant’s Exhibit 91 |

- 1 • (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1:
2 55:21-56:5)
- 3 • (Ex. 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II:
4 172:17-25)
- 5 • (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II:
6 182:1-5)
- 7 • (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II:
8 220:5-11)
- 9 • (Ex 1, Depo. Ruben Juarez
10 Volume I, p. 49:22-50:8, 51:17,
11 52:8-16, 53:15-21, 54:11-55:15,
12 55:21-56:8, 56:14-18 , 57:16-23-
13 58:18, 59: 4-5, 59: 22-24,60:17-
14 61:20, 62:1-15)
- 15 • (Ex. 2 Depo. Ruben Juarez
16 Volume 2 p. 77:5-78:3)
- 17 • (Ex 3 Depo. Ruben Juarez
18 Volume 1, 49:25-50:3)
- 19 • (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1:
20 66:19-22, 116:1-14)
- 21 • (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1:
22 108:20-109:12)
- 23 • (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II,
24 332:7-337:14)
- 25 • (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II,
26 249:22-250:12)
- 27 • . (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II,
28 262:21-263: 13)
- (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II,
262:21-263:13)
- (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II,
287:6-288:3, 288:24-289:6)
- (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II,
235:1-238: 5, 238: 19-22)
- (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II,
241:4-15)

27 Specific factual references with
28 citations are set forth below for the

1
2
3
4
court's convenience disputing the
alleged facts that Mr. Juarez
reviewed the MSDS sheets and
allegedly mixed the Arathane and
Humiseal.

5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
Mr. Mendoza never saw Ruben mixing chemicals at Space X. Further, while being an employee at Space X. Mr. Mendoza was never trained regarding how to read, interpret, and locate MSDS sheets. Further, Mr. Mendoza indicated Space X was using Humiseal since 2010, and he was never provided any Standard Operating Procedures. (Dec. Christopher Mendoza)

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
Mr. Gutierrez indicated he never saw a binder of MSDS sheets nor did he ever see any MSDS sheets in the conformal coating area. Further, he never saw any Standard of Practice while working with Space X. Moreover, Mr. Gutierrez never saw Ruben mix chemicals, but he saw Francisco mix chemicals. (Dec. Manuel Gutierrez)

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
Mr. Juarez indicated he does not have a chemistry background at his deposition on March 8, 2018. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 55:21-56:5)

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
Mr. Juarez was not given any Standard Operating Procedures that pertained to how he was to perform his job. (Ex. 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II: 172:17-25)

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
Initially, when Mr. Juarez was hired, he was hired as an SMT and thus the SOP cited by defense was not applicable. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II: 182:1-5)

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
Mr. Juarez was never given any written instructions with the incoming Humiseal products that were delivered

1 to Space X. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez
2 Volume II: 220:5-11)

3 On May 20, 2015, Mr. Juarez
4 indicated at the second session of his
5 deposition (within the two year filing
6 period), the wash station for printed
7 circuit board assemblies was right next
8 to his station. (Ex. 2 Depo. Ruben
9 Juarez Volume 2 p. 77:5-78:3)

10 The equipment in the rooms where
11 Mr. Juarez was working was
12 constantly changing. (Ex 3 Depo.
13 Ruben Juarez Volume 1, 49:25-50:3)

14 Initially, Mr. Juarez did not know
15 what chemicals were in the chemical
16 baths, and he found out, but the
17 chemical baths did not have anything
18 to do with the PVA 350, and when he
19 filed the worker's compensation claim
20 his claim was derived from the
21 chemical washes. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez
22 Volume 1: 66:19-22, 116:1-14)

23 Mr. Juarez called a co-worker to get a
24 list of the chemicals utilized in the
25 wash area at Space X, and he may
26 have offered to give the names of the
27 rest of the chemicals used in the
28 conformal coating area. (Ex 3 Depo.
Juarez Volume 1: 108:20-109:12)

Mr Juarez tried to get a list of
chemicals from Space X, which did
not work out. Afterwhich, Mr. Juarez
called Francisco to get a list of
chemicals he had worked with. After
Francisco provided the list of
chemicals, Mr. Juarez emailed Space
X requested the MSDS sheets for the
chemicals that Francisco listed. (Ex 4
Depo. Juarez Volume II, 332:7-
337:14)

Mr. Juarez took a spray for medical
problems to clear his airways, but
nobody every pinpointed a cause for
him to take the medicine. (Ex 4 Depo.
Juarez Volume II, 249:22-250:12)

Mr. Juarez filed his worker's
compensation claim based on his
belief the chemical baths were making

| | |
|---|--|
| | <p>him sick as well as a solder wire until he learned only alcohol was used in the baths and only lead free solder wire were used in the filters. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 262:21-263:13)</p> <p>Mr. Juarez had no reason to suspect any wrongdoing on behalf of PVA and Space X, and he did not know the reason he was getting sick when he filed the worker's compensation claim. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 262:21-263:13)</p> <p>Mr. Juarez first suspected he was working in a toxic work environment when he received the MSDS sheets from Space X after requesting the MSDS sheets via email after March 3, 2015. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 287:6-288:3, 288:24-289:6)</p> <p>Mr. Juarez called Francisco and received a list of the chemicals that Mr. Juarez was exposed to, and he thus requested the MSDS sheets from Space X to forward to his attorney so that could figure out what was going on and what was making him sick. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 235:1-238: 5, 238: 19-22)</p> <p>Initially, Mr. Juarez thought his problems were caused by alcohol chemical baths and solder wire, but later he found out that lead-free solder wire was used. Upon this discovery, Mr. Juarez reached out to Francisco and then to Space X to get the MSDS sheets. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 241:4-15)</p> |
| 64. The MSDS sheets were also accessible on at least 14 to 18 different computers located inside and outside the conformal coating rooms where Mr. Juarez worked. Maxwell Dec. | 64. Objection to the extent the declarants do not have personal knowledge of the alleged facts stated in the declarations. Disputed. Mr. Juarez did not consult MSDS sheets for Humiseal and Arathane to create experimental and |

1 (Maxwell Dec., 2:4-8.)

test batches of these materials.

2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
Disputed. Mr. Juarez was never given
MSDS sheets, he did not have
knowledge sufficient to gain access to
the MSDS sheets, and he had no
chemical training either formal or
informal to understand the MSDS
sheets. Mr. Juarez never created
experimental test batches of Humiseal
and Arathane when working with
Space X. The only person mixing the
chemicals was David Hwang and
Francisco would load and mix the
machines.

Mr. Juarez did not hand mix Humiseal
with Arathane. (Dec. Ruben Juarez,
paragraph 9)

(Ex 1, Depo. Ruben Juarez
Volume I, p. 49:22-50:8, 51:17,
52:8-16, 53:15-21, 54:11-55:15,
55:21-56:8, 56:14-18 , 57:16-
23-58:18, 59: 4-5, 59: 22-
24,60:17-61:20, 62:1-15)

- (Ex. 2 Depo. Ruben Juarez
Volume 2 p. 77:5-78:3)
- (Ex 3 Depo. Ruben Juarez
Volume 1, 49:25-50:3)
- (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1:
66:19-22, 116:1-14)
- (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1:
108:20-109:12)
- (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II,
332:7-337:14)
- (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II,
249:22-250:12)
- (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II,
262:21-263: 13)
- (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II,
262:21-263:13)

- 1 • (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II,
2 287:6-288:3, 288:24-289:6)
- 3 • (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II,
4 235:1-238: 5, 238: 19-22)
- 5 • (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II,
6 241:4-15)
- 7 • (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1:
8 121: 22- 122:20, 122:22-123:1)
- 9 • (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume I:
10 123:19-124:12)
- 11 • (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1:
12 55:21-56:5)
- 13 • (Exhibit 31, PVA 51)
- 14 • (Exhibit 31, PVA30-32)
- 15 • (Exhibit 31, PVA 40-41)
- 16 • (Exhibit 15, PVA 69)
- 17 • (Exhibit 16, PVA 0272)
- 18 • (Exhibit 31, PVA 30-32, 51)
- 19 • (Dec. Christopher Mendoza)
- 20 • (Dec. Manuel Gutierrez)

21 Specific factual references with
22 citations are set forth below for the
23 court's convenience disputing the
24 alleged facts that Mr. Juarez
25 reviewed the MSDS sheets and
26 allegedly mixed the Arathane and
27 Humiseal.

28 Mr. Mendoza never saw Ruben
mixing chemicals at Space X. Further,
while being an employee at Space X.
Mr. Mendoza was never was never
trained regarding how to read,
interpret, and locate MSDS sheets.
Further, Mr. Mendoza indicated Space
X was using Humiseal since 2010, and
he was never provided any Standard
Operating Procedures. (Dec.
Christopher Mendoza)

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Mr. Gutierrez indicated he never saw a binder of MSDS sheets nor did he ever see any MSDS sheets in the conformal coating area. Further, he never saw any Standard of Practice while working with Space X. Moreover, Mr. Gutierrez never saw Ruben mix chemicals, but he saw Francisco mix chemicals. (Dec. Manuel Gutierrez)

The equipment in the rooms where Mr. Juarez was working was constantly changing. (Ex 3 Depo. Ruben Juarez Volume 1, 49:25-50:3)

Initially, Mr. Juarez did not know what chemicals were in the chemical baths, and he found out, but the chemical baths did not have anything to do with the PVA 350, and when he filed the worker's compensation claim his claim was derived from the chemical washes. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 66:19-22, 116:1-14)

Mr. Juarez called a co-worker to get a list of the chemicals utilized in the wash area at Space X, and he may have offered to give the names of the rest of the chemicals used in the conformal coating area. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 108:20-109:12)

Mr Juarez tried to get a list of chemicals from Space X, which did not work out. Afterwhich, Mr. Juarez called Francisco to get a list of chemicals he had worked with. After Francisco provided the list of chemicals, Mr. Juarez emailed Space

| | |
|----|---|
| 1 | X requested the MSDS sheets for the chemicals that Francisco listed. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 332:7-337:14) |
| 2 | Mr. Juarez took a spray for medical problems to clear his airways, but nobody ever pinpointed a cause for him to take the medicine. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 249:22-250:12) |
| 3 | Mr. Juarez filed his worker's compensation claim based on his belief the chemical baths were making him sick as well as a solder wire until he learned only alcohol was used in the baths and only lead free solder wire were used in the filters. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 262:21-263:13) |
| 4 | Mr. Juarez had no reason to suspect any wrongdoing on behalf of PVA and Space X, and he did not know the reason he was getting sick when he filed the worker's compensation claim. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 262:21-263:13) |
| 5 | Mr. Juarez first suspected he was working in a toxic work environment when he received the MSDS sheets from Space X after requesting the MSDS sheets via email after March 3, 2015. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 287:6-288:3, 288:24-289:6) |
| 6 | Mr. Juarez called Francisco and received a list of the chemicals that Mr. Juarez was exposed to, and he |
| 7 | |
| 8 | |
| 9 | |
| 10 | |
| 11 | |
| 12 | |
| 13 | |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| 26 | |
| 27 | |
| 28 | |

| | |
|---|--|
| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <p>thus requested the MSDS sheets from Space X to forward to his attorney so that could figure out what was going on and what was making him sick. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 235:1-238: 5, 238: 19-22)</p> <p>Initially, Mr. Juarez thought his problems were caused by alcohol chemical baths and solder wire, but later he found out that lead-free solder wire was used. Upon this discovery, Mr. Juarez reached out to Francisco and then to Space X to get the MSDS sheets. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 241:4-15)</p> <p>Mr. Juarez was trained by PVA Inc. to open the door of the machine to perform programming job duties. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume I: 121: 22-122:20, 122:22-123:1) Mr. Juarez believe it was unsafe for the operators to open the machine door and operate the PVA 350 because they could get their hand stuck in the machine, but he did not have a chemistry background so he did not know whether the chemicals were harmful or not, and he relied on the training provided by PVA Inc. that he could put is head safely in the machine while programming the machine. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume I: 123:19-124:12)</p> <p>Mr. Juarez indicated he does not have a chemistry background at his deposition on March 8, 2018. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume I: 55:21-56:5)</p> |
| 65. Juarez consulted the MSDS sheets for | 65. Objection to the extent the |

1 Humiseal and Arathane to create
2 experimental and test batches of these
3 materials when SpaceX created the formula
it started using in PVA's machine in 2012.

4 Maxwell Dec.; Urquhart Dec.; Hwang Dec.,
5 PVA Business Records; NVOC
Specification; March, 2012 emails.

6 (Maxwell Dec., 2:23-28; Urquhart Dec.,
7 2:15-17, 28, 63, 230; Hwang Dec., 2:5-12,
35-41.)

8
9 declarants do not have personal
10 knowledge of the alleged facts
11 stated in the declarations.

12 Further, objection as to
13 relevance because Mr. Juarez
14 was not aware of the cause of
15 his injuries being the defects in
16 the PVA 350 until he was no
17 longer working with Space X as
18 a regular employee.

19 Disputed. Mr. Juarez was never
20 given MSDS sheets, he did not
21 have knowledge sufficient to
22 gain access to the MSDS sheets,
23 and he had no chemical training
24 either formal or informal to
25 understand the MSDS sheets.
26 Mr. Juarez never created
27 experimental test batches of
28 Humiseal and Arathane when
working with Space X. The
only person mixing the
chemicals was David Hwang
and Francisco would load and
mix the machines.

Pursuant to Mr. Juarez'
declaration, he never mixed any
chemical compounds.

- (Dec. Ruben Juarez, paragraph 9)
- (Dec. Christopher Mendoza)
- (Dec. Manuel Gutierrez)
- (Defense Exhibit 91)
- (Dec. Ruben Juarez)
- (Ex 1, Depo. Ruben Juarez Volume I, p. 49:22-50:8,

- 1 51:17, 52:8-16, 53:15-21,
2 54:11-55:15, 55:21-56:8,
3 56:14-18 , 57:16-23-
4 58:18, 59: 4-5, 59: 22-
24,60:17-61:20, 62:1-15)
5 • (Ex. 2 Depo. Ruben
6 Juarez Volume 2 p. 77:5-
7 78:3)
8 • (Ex 3 Depo. Ruben Juarez
9 Volume 1, 49:25-50:3)
10 • (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume
11 1: 66:19-22, 116:1-14)
12 • (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume
13 1: 108:20-109:12)
14 • (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume
15 II, 332:7-337:14)
16 • (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume
17 II, 249:22-250:12)
18 • (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume
19 II, 262:21-263: 13)
20 • (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume
21 II, 262:21-263:13)
22 • (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume
23 II, 287:6-288:3, 288:24-
24 289:6)
25 • (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume
26 II, 235:1-238: 5, 238: 19-
27 22)
28 • (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume
II, 241:4-15)
• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume
I: 123:19-124:12)
• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume
1: 55:21-56:5)
• (Ex. 1 Depo. Ruben Juarez
Volume 1 p. 60:6-25)
• (Dec. Ruben Juarez)
• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume
I: 139:17-20)
• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume

- 1 144:12-16)
- 2 • (See Exhibit 22, PVA
3 4463-4481)
- 4 • (Exhibit 22, PVA 23 and
5 24)
- 6 • (Exhibit 22 PVA 4449-
7 4452)
- 8 • (Exhibit 22 PVA 4463-
9 4481)
- 10 • (Exhibit 36 PVA 4449-
11 4452)
- 12 • (Exhibit 36)
- 13 • (Exhibit 36, PVA 1728-
14 1731)
- 15 • (Exhibit 25, PVA 7-9, 17-
16 29, 222-234, 250-251,
17 4449-4452)
- 18 • (Exhibit 31, PVA 51)
- 19 • (Exhibit 31, PVA 30-32)
- 20 • (Exhibit 31, PVA 40-41)
- 21 • (Exhibit 15, PVA 69)
- 22 • (Exhibit 16, PVA 0272)
- 23 • (Exhibit 31, PVA 30-32,
24 51)

25 *Specific factual references with*
26 *citations are set forth below for the*
27 *court's convenience disputing the*
28 *alleged facts that Mr. Juarez*
reviewed the MSDS sheets and
allegedly mixed the Arathane and
Humiseal.

29 Mr. Mendoza never saw Ruben
30 mixing chemicals at Space X. Further,
31 while being an employee at Space X.
32 Mr. Mendoza was never was never
33 trained regarding how to read,
34 interpret, and locate MSDS sheets.
35 Further, Mr. Mendoza indicated Space
36 X was using Humiseal since 2010, and

1 he was never provided any Standard
2 Operating Procedures. (Dec.
3 Christopher Mendoza)

4
5 Mr. Gutierrez indicated he never saw a
6 binder of MSDS sheets nor did he ever
7 see any MSDS sheets in the conformal
8 coating area. Further, he never saw
9 any Standard of Practice while
10 working with Space X. Moreover,
11 Mr. Gutierrez never saw Ruben mix
12 chemicals, but he saw Francisco mix
13 chemicals. (Dec. Manuel Gutierrez)

14 Initially, Mr. Juarez did not know
15 what chemicals were in the chemical
16 baths, and he found out, but the
17 chemical baths did not have anything
18 to do with the PVA 350, and when he
19 filed the worker's compensation claim
20 his claim was derived from the
21 chemical washes. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez
22 Volume 1: 66:19-22, 116:1-14)

23 Mr. Juarez called a co-worker to get a
24 list of the chemicals utilized in the
25 wash area at Space X, and he may
26 have offered to give the names of the
27 rest of the chemicals used in the
28 conformal coating area. (Ex 3 Depo.
Juarez Volume 1: 108:20-109:12)

Mr Juarez tried to get a list of
chemicals from Space X, which did
not work out. Afterwhich, Mr. Juarez
called Francisco to get a list of
chemicals he had worked with. After
Francisco provided the list of
chemicals, Mr. Juarez emailed Space
X requested the MSDS sheets for the
chemicals that Francisco listed. (Ex 4

1 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 332:7-
2 337:14)

3 Mr. Juarez filed his worker's
4 compensation claim based on his
5 belief the chemical baths were making
6 him sick as well as a solder wire until
7 he learned only alcohol was used in
8 the baths and only lead free solder
9 wire were used in the filters. (Ex 4
10 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 262:21-263:
11 13)

12 Mr. Juarez had no reason to suspect
13 any wrongdoing on behalf of PVA and
14 Space X, and he did not know the
15 reason he was getting sick when he
16 filed the worker's compensation
17 claim. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II,
18 262:21-263:13)

19 Mr. Juarez first suspected he was
20 working in a toxic work environment
21 when he received the MSDS sheets
22 from Space X after requesting the
23 MSDS sheets via email after March 3,
24 2015. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II,
25 287:6-288:3, 288:24-289:6)

26 Mr. Juarez called Francisco and
27 received a list of the chemicals that
28 Mr. Juarez was exposed to, and he
thus requested the MSDS sheets from
Space X to forward to his attorney so
that could figure out what was going
on and what was making him sick.
(Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 235:1-
238: 5, 238: 19-22)

| | |
|----|--|
| 1 | Initially, Mr. Juarez thought his problems were caused by alcohol chemical baths and solder wire, but later he found out that lead-free solder wire was used. Upon this discovery, Mr. Juarez reached out to Francisco and then to Space X to get the MSDS sheets. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 241:4-15) |
| 2 | |
| 3 | |
| 4 | |
| 5 | |
| 6 | |
| 7 | |
| 8 | |
| 9 | Mr. Juarez was trained by PVA Inc. to open the door of the machine to perform programming job duties. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 121: 22- 122:20, 122:22-123:1) Mr. Juarez believe it was unsafe for the operators to open the machine door and operate the PVA 350 because they could get their hand stuck in the machine, but he did not have a chemistry background so he did not know whether the chemicals were harmful or not, and he relied on the training provided by PVA Inc. that he could put is head safely in the machine while programming the machine. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume I: 123:19- 124:12) |
| 10 | |
| 11 | |
| 12 | |
| 13 | |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | Mr. Juarez indicated he does not have a chemistry background at his deposition on March 8, 2018. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 55:21-56:5) |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | <p style="text-align: center;"><u>PVA HAD KNOWLEDGE OF THE CHEMICALS BEING UTILIZED BY SPACE X AND WAS INVOLVED IN SUBSEQUENT MODIFICATIONS TO THE MACHINE INVOLVING VARIOUS CHEMICALS</u></p> |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| 26 | |
| 27 | |
| 28 | |

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
with the PVA 350, including the
transition to Arathane. (See
Exhibit 22, PVA 4463-4481)

- The April 11, 2012 conformal coating video with Space X boards utilized the **same chemicals as used at Space X at PVA Inc.** (See Exhibit 9 and Exhibit 22)
- On September 19, 2013, PVA Inc. made further modifications to the subject machine including reworking the dispense system on the machine to connect one cartridge to the one gallon tank for **“automatic solvent flush of Arathane 5705.”** (Exhibit 22, PVA 23 and 24)
- On July 30, 2009, PVA/Andrew Haraburda serviced the W3267 (subject PVA 350 machine) and this description of the work/changes included: adding solvent flush option (6 oz cartridge, 3 way ball valve, O-ISPSI Precision Regulator, which shows **PVA Inc. was continuing to modify and upgrade the machine.** Then **PVA Inc. specifically recommended Space X alter the solvent to a different solvent stating “Space X may need to use a different solvent (i.e. Xylene).**” (Exhibit 22 PVA 4449-4452)
- Pursuant to email chain dated March 13, 2012 Jonathan Urquhart (**PVA was aware of Space X’s use of Arathane 5750**) The email specifically states, “I think David has

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

spoken to you about our
customer Space X. They build
full blown rocket ships. They
plan on pre-mixing this
material part A part B and a
3rd part, thinner in small
batches apply to board and
the purge system because of
shelf life once mixed. Sounds
screwy to me." They were
looking for a demo on the 27th
of March. (Exhibit 22, PVA
4463-4481)

- Pursuant to email dated March 15, 2012 to Jonathan Urquhart, PVA was preparing a demo on the PVA 650 and PVA
were working with the same
chemical materials Space X
was utilizing, but PVA did not
want Space X to know and
PVA indicated they were
going to convey to Space X
that the materials were
similar, but not the exact
same. . (Exhibit 22, PVA
4463-4481)
- Pursuant to email dated March 30, 2012, the test at PVA Inc. was rescheduled to 4-11-12
to view the 3 part mixing
process. (Exhibit 22, PVA
4463-4481)
- Pursuant to email from David Gomez to Johnathan (PVA) Urquhart dated May 11, 2012 at 2:11 p.m., Space X talked about retrofitting the PVA 350, and then subsequently there was confirmation from Jon indicating Space X wanted to
duplicate what was done
during the visit. (Exhibit 22,

1 PVA 4463-4481)
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

- Jon Urquart performed **the demo for Space X on 4-11-12.** (Exhibit 22, PVA 4463-4481)
- Email dated December 2, 2014 at 9:03 a.m. Mr. Urquhart (PVA) indicated to Mr. Matupang there would be 2 demos including a coating with **Arathane 2 part mix and spray and dispensing using a 650 or Delta 6 with board head,** JDX (underfill), SVX (past), and FC100 (solder mask). (Exhibit 22)
- On November 17, 2014, Mr. Urquhart (PVA) asked if Space X wanted to mix on the fly with **5750?** (Exhibit 22)
- On January 9, 2013 at 10:38 a.m. David Gomez (PVA) emailed Brian Ignaut (Space X) **doing follow up on the “two part material application project you were working on and kindly presented us with.”** There was inquiry as to whether there were any developments in the first few months of 2013. (Exhibit 22)
- On December 3, 2012 at 12:01 PM David Gomez (PVA) wrote Scott Abarta asking for the **two part material brand and part # as well as any MSDS and data sheets.** Also, there was a request for a shot size and cycle time per part if possible. (Exhibit 22)
- On **September 10, 2013,** Mr. Gomez (PVA) indicated

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

“they only want a 20 oz cartridge retainer & cap with spray & needle valve for the pre-mix material and 6oz cartridge retainer & cap for the solvent, so it can flush the premixed material out right after doing a batch of boards.” Mr. Gomez indicated he was familiar with the “fluid delivery line” because he had done it “several times.” He also indicated they were “not there yet” for the two part coating spraying. (Exhibit 22)

- On September 9, 2013, Richard Bievenue (PVA) wrote Jonathan Connolly (ccing David Filbert, David Gomez, and Michael R. Leonard) indicating that he spoke with Duc Phan (Space X) about the 350 machine and how Space X was switching to utilizing a 2 part material including Arathane 5750. There was discussion about premising the solvents and flushing the solvent valves. Also, there was interest regarding a 2 component meter mix system. (Exhibit 22)
- On September 18, 2013 at 12:41 p.m., Mr. Gomez (PVA) indicated that this is not the first time they have done a two part coating system and he thought they were putting to many resources into this. The email specifically references Arathane 5750. (Exhibit 22)
- On September 13, 2013, from Duc Phan (Space X) to

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Jonathan Connelly, Michael R. Leonard (ccing Richard Bievenue and David Filbert) explained the process with pictures. Specifically, he indicated that Space X removed the hoses from the spray dispensing head and connected the hoses from the Arathane material and solvent to it. He further explained when the Arathane material was not in use they vaped the solvent hose and connect the Arathane material hose to the other head just for a place holder. He also explained that Arathane 5750 part A and B is mixed together and poured in the first reservoir and the second reservoir is the solvent use to thin out the mixed Arathane for spray requirement. (Exhibit 22)

- On September 13, 2013 at 6:07 a.m. Jonathan Connelly wrote Duc Phan (Space X). Michael R. Leonard and ccng Richard Bievenue and David Filbert inquiring about the process, requesting a picture, and asking if the Arathane and the solvent was being run out of the cartridges mounted on the side of the machine. (Exhibit 22)
- On September 10, 2013, Duc Phan (Space X) wrote Michael R. Leonard and Jonathan Connelly, and there was a clear indication that Space X was using Arathane 5750 material with a 2 hour cure time, and they were concerned the operator would

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

forget to purge and rinse the material when the job is done. They were looking to automate the process after coating. (Exhibit 22)

The sales order dated September 25, 2013 indicated PVA job number SPCX2115 W3267 indicated **PVA was there to provide onsite support for Mechanical Electrical Programming Fluid Delivery.** (Exhibit 22)

The sales quotation dated September 19, 2013, stated that PVA was to “**rework the dispense system on machine serial number W3267 to connect one cartridge to (1) one gallon tank for automatic solvent flush of Arathane 5705.** This was to include 1) all hoses and fittings needed to complete the integration, 2) pneumatic ball valve for automatic solvent flush, 3) hardware and software needed for integration, and one tank. Further, PVA was to travel to Space X to install the new auto solvent flush system. (Exhibit 22)

The purchase order dated September 24, 2013 issued to PVA and shipping to Space X on a Space X purchase order identified a supplier part number B72-01433 and **there is a full description of the work to be done including reworking the dispense system for an automatic flush of Arathane 5750** and in similar detail as stated above. There is

1 a separate purchase order for the
2 travel expenditures. (Exhibit 22)

3 • On July 21, 2014 at 6:48
4 p.m. Duc Phan (Space X)
5 emailed Rex indicating the
6 **current machine uses both**
7 **Humiseal and Arathane and**
8 **there are separate spray**
9 **valves for both material.** They
10 need the pendant purge button
11 to work for both materials.
12 (Exhibit 22)

13 • PVA Inc. circulated
14 diagram clearly states
15 **Arathane.** Additionally, there
16 is a quote work sheet for the 3
17 way assembly, pressure tank,
18 hoses, fittings, valves as well as
19 programming and engineering.
20 (Ex 22, PVA 340-347)

21
22
23
24
25
26
27
**BELOW ARE FACTUAL
ALLEGATIONS REGARDING
THE MANUAL NOT BEING
PRODUCED AND PVA MANUAL
BEING INEFFECTIVE AND
INSUFFICIENT**

28 • PVA specifically indicated the
1 manual was for production and
2 **operators not technical personnel,**
3 **but it might be “useful for**
4 **introduction or training.”** (Exhibit
5 31, PVA 51)

6 • The manual was **only produced**
7 **electronically.** (No hardcopy.)
8 (Exhibit 31, PVA30-32)

9 • The Operations and
10 Maintenance manual **does not warn**
11 **to wear a face mask or protection**
12 from any inhalants. (Exhibit 31, PVA

| | |
|---|---|
| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | 40-41) <ul style="list-style-type: none">• The manual indicates the PVA machines require that end users must <u>visually inspect the position of the needle with response to a calibration point and physically reposition the needle.</u> (Exhibit 15, PVA 69)• The Final Shipment Sign-Off does not indicate the operating manual was sent to Space X only machine photos were taken. Also, there is an issue of facts as to whether the <u>exhaust switch was included.</u> (Exhibit 16, PVA 0272)• The PVA manual was not <u>physically produced and there was no requirement to review it.</u> (Exhibit 31, PVA 30-32, 51) <p>Mr. Mendoza a former employee of Space X indicated that Space X was using Humiseal in 2010.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• (Dec. Christopher Mendoza, paragraph 2) <p>66. On January 20th, 2012, Juarez successfully completed SpaceX's training course entitled "Hazard Communication" during which he was taught that SpaceX's MSDS sheets were "readily accessible to employees in their work area during all work shifts" and were also "always available in our online archive as well as in the big blue MSDS books in the kitchen area."</p> <p>SpaceX Hazard Communication Training Course.</p> <p>(Dhillon Dec., 3:1-5, 61-62; Maxwell Dec., 58-59, Phan Dec., 47-48.)</p> <p>66. Objection to the extent the declarants do not have personal knowledge of the alleged facts stated in the declarations. Further, objection as to relevance because Mr. Juarez was not aware of the cause of his injuries being the defects in the PVA 350 until he was no longer working with Space X as a regular employee at the Space X location.</p> <p>Disputed. Mr. Juarez did not have access to the MSDS sheets at the time</p> |
|---|---|

of discovery of injury so his alleged ability to access the MSDS sheets while working at Space X is irrelevant. Further, it is disputed because Mr. Juarez was never given MSDS sheets, he did not have access to the MSDS sheets, and he had no chemical training either formal or informal to understand the MSDS sheets. This alleged fact is also disputed to the extent that all of the declarations submitted in support of this fact lack personal knowledge that Ruben Juarez had access to the MSDS sheet, knowledge of the MSDS sheets, and had knowledge about how to interpret toxicity information on a MSDS sheets.

- (Dec. Ruben Juarez)
- (Dec. Christopher Mendoza)
- (Dec. Manual Gutierrez)
- (Defendant Exhibit 91)
- (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 55:21-56:5)
- (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 121: 22- 122:20, 122:22-123:1)
- (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume I: 123:19-124:12)
- (Ex 1, Depo. Ruben Juarez Volume I, p. 49:22-50:8, 51:17, 52:8-16, 53:15-21, 54:11-55:15, 55:21-56:8, 56:14-18 , 57:16-23 58:18, 59: 4-5, 59: 22- 24,60:17-61:20, 62:1-15)
- (Ex. 2 Depo. Ruben Juarez Volume 2 p. 77:5-78:3)

- 1 • (Ex 3 Depo. Ruben Juarez
2 Volume 1, 49:25-50:3)
- 3 • (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume
4 1: 66:19-22, 116:1-14)
- 5 • (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume
6 1: 108:20-109:12)
- 7 • . (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez
8 Volume II, 332:7-337:14)
- 9 • (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume
10 II, 249:22-250:12)
- 11 • (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume
12 II, 262:21-263: 13)
- 13 • (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume
14 II, 262:21-263:13)
- 15 • (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume
16 II, 287:6-288:3, 288:24-
17 289:6)
- 18 • (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume
19 II, 235:1-238: 5, 238: 19-
20 22)
- 21 • (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume
22 II, 241:4-15)

23 Specific factual references with
24 citations are set forth below for the
25 court's convenience disputing the
26 alleged facts that Mr. Juarez
27 reviewed the MSDS sheets and
28 allegedly mixed the Arathane and
29 Humiseal.

30 Mr. Mendoza never saw Ruben
31 mixing chemicals at Space X. Further,
32 while being an employee at Space X.
33 Mr. Mendoza was never was never
34 trained regarding how to read,
35 interpret, and locate MSDS sheets.
36 Further, Mr. Mendoza indicated Space
37 X was using Humiseal since 2010, and
38 he was never provided any Standard

1 Operating Procedures. (Dec.
2 Christopher Mendoza)

3
4 Mr. Gutierrez indicated he never saw a
5 binder of MSDS sheets nor did he ever
6 see any MSDS sheets in the conformal
7 coating area. Further, he never saw
8 any Standard of Practice while
9 working with Space X. Moreover,
10 Mr. Gutierrez never saw Ruben mix
11 chemicals, but he saw Francisco mix
12 chemicals. (Dec. Manuel Gutierrez)

13
14 Mr. Juarez indicated he does not have
15 a chemistry background at his
16 deposition on March 8, 2018. (Ex 3
17 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 55:21-56:5)

18 Mr. Juarez was trained by PVA Inc. to
19 open the door of the machine to
20 perform programming job duties. (Ex
21 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 121: 22-
22 122:20, 122:22-123:1) Mr. Juarez
23 believe it was unsafe for the operators
24 to open the machine door and operate
25 the PVA 350 because they could get
26 their hand stuck in the machine, but he
27 did not have a chemistry background
28 so he did not know whether the
chemicals were harmful or not, and he
relied on the training provided by
PVA Inc. that he could put is head
safely in the machine while
programming the machine. (Ex 3
Depo. Juarez Volume I: 123:19-
124:12)

29 The equipment in the rooms where
30 Mr. Juarez was working was
31 constantly changing. (Ex 3 Depo.
32 Ruben Juarez Volume 1, 49:25-50:3)

33
34 Mr Juarez tried to get a list of
35 chemicals from Space X, which did
36 not work out. Afterwhich, Mr. Juarez
37 called Francisco to get a list of
38 chemicals he had worked with. After
39 Francisco provided the list of
40 chemicals, Mr. Juarez emailed Space
41 X requested the MSDS sheets for the

1 chemicals that Francisco listed. (Ex 4
2 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 332:7-
3 337:14)

4
5 Mr. Juarez filed his worker's
6 compensation claim based on his
7 belief the chemical baths were making
8 him sick as well as a solder wire until
9 he learned only alcohol was used in
10 the baths and only lead free solder
11 wire were used in the filters. (Ex 4
12 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 262:21-263:
13 13)

14 Mr. Juarez had no reason to suspect
15 any wrongdoing on behalf of PVA and
16 Space X, and he did not know the
17 reason he was getting sick when he
18 filed the worker's compensation
19 claim. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II,
20 262:21-263:13)

21 Mr. Juarez first suspected he was
22 working in a toxic work environment
23 when he received the MSDS sheets
24 from Space X after requesting the
25 MSDS sheets via email after March 3,
26 2015. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II,
27 287:6-288:3, 288:24-289:6)

29 Mr. Juarez called Francisco and
30 received a list of the chemicals that
31 Mr. Juarez was exposed to, and he
32 thus requested the MSDS sheets from
33 Space X to forward to his attorney so
34 that could figure out what was going
35 on and what was making him sick.
36 (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 235:1-
37 238: 5, 238: 19-22)

38 Initially, Mr. Juarez thought his
39 problems
40 were caused by alcohol chemical baths
41 and solder wire, but later he found out
42 that lead-free solder wire was used.
43 Upon this discovery, Mr. Juarez
44 reached out to Francisco and then to
45 Space X to get the MSDS sheets. (Ex
46 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 241:4-15)

67. Throughout this time period up until the complaint was filed, MSDS sheets, including for Arathane and Humiseal products, could be downloaded from multiple websites online.

Catalona Dec.; Webpages.

(Catalona Dec., 6:27-7:23 490, 492, 493, 495, 498, 500, 503, 505, 565, 571, 584, 603-610, 612-617.)

67. Disputed. During his employment at Space, Mr. Juarez was never given MSDS sheets, he did not have access to the MSDS sheets, and he had no chemical training either formal or informal to understand the MSDS sheets.

- (Dec. Ruben Juarez)
 - (Dec. Christopher Mendoza)
 - (Dec. Manuel Gutierrez)
 - (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 55:21-56:5)
 - (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 121: 22- 122:20, 122:22-123:1)
 - (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume I: 123:19-124:12)
 - (Ex 1, Depo. Ruben Juarez Volume I, p. 49:22-50:8, 51:17, 52:8-16, 53:15-21, 54:11-55:15, 55:21-56:8, 56:14-18 , 57:16-23- 58:18, 59: 4-5, 59: 22- 24,60:17-61:20, 62:1-15)
 - (Ex. 2 Depo. Ruben Juarez Volume 2 p. 77:5-78:3)
 - (Ex 3 Depo. Ruben Juarez Volume 1, 49:25-50:3)
 - (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 66:19-22, 116:1-14)
 - (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 108:20-109:12)
 - .(Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 332:7-337:14)
 - (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 249:22-250:12)
 - (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 262:21-263: 13)

- 1 • (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume
2 II, 262:21-263:13)
- 3 • (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume
4 II, 287:6-288:3, 288:24-
5 289:6)
- 6 • (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume
7 II, 235:1-238: 5, 238: 19-
8 22)
- 9 • (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume
10 II, 241:4-15)
- 11 • (Exhibit 31, PVA 51)
- 12 • (Exhibit 31, PVA30-32)
- 13 • (Exhibit 31, PVA 40-41)
- 14 • (Exhibit 15, PVA 69)
- 15 • (Exhibit 16, PVA 0272)
- 16 • (Exhibit 31, PVA 30-32,
17 51)

18
19 Specific factual allegations are set
20 forth below in detail for the court's
21 convenience showing even people
22 working at Space X did not have or
23 understand how to get MSDS sheets
24 for the particular chemicals utilized
25 at Space X.

26
27 Mr. Juarez indicated he does not have
28 a chemistry background at his
deposition on March 8, 2018. (Ex 3
Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 55:21-56:5)

Initially, Mr. Juarez did not know
what chemicals were in the chemical
baths, and he found out, but the
chemical baths did not have anything
to do with the PVA 350, and when he
filed the worker's compensation claim
his claim was derived from the
chemical washes. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez
Volume 1: 66:19-22, 116:1-14)

| | |
|----|--|
| 1 | Mr. Juarez called a co-worker to get a list of the chemicals utilized in the wash area at Space X, and he may have offered to give the names of the rest of the chemicals used in the conformal coating area. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 108:20-109:12) |
| 2 | |
| 3 | |
| 4 | |
| 5 | Mr Juarez tried to get a list of chemicals from Space X, which did not work out. Afterwhich, Mr. Juarez called Francisco to get a list of chemicals he had worked with. After Francisco provided the list of chemicals, Mr. Juarez emailed Space X requested the MSDS sheets for the chemicals that Francisco listed. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 332:7- 337:14) |
| 6 | |
| 7 | |
| 8 | |
| 9 | |
| 10 | |
| 11 | Mr. Juarez filed his worker's compensation claim based on his belief the chemical baths were making him sick as well as a solder wire until he learned only alcohol was used in the baths and only lead free solder wire were used in the filters. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 262:21-263: 13) |
| 12 | |
| 13 | |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | Mr. Juarez had no reason to suspect any wrongdoing on behalf of PVA and Space X, and he did not know the reason he was getting sick when he filed the worker's compensation claim. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 262:21-263:13) |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | Mr. Juarez called Francisco and received a list of the chemicals that Mr. Juarez was exposed to, and he thus requested the MSDS sheets from Space X to forward to his attorney so that could figure out what was going on and what was making him sick. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 235:1- 238: 5, 238: 19-22) |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| 26 | Initially, Mr. Juarez thought his problems were caused by alcohol chemical baths and solder wire, but later he found out that lead-free solder wire was used. Upon this discovery. |
| 27 | |
| 28 | |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|--|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Mr. Juarez reached out to Francisco and then to Space X to get the MSDS sheets. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 241:4-15)

68. In spite of all this, Juarez testified that he could not remember ever seeing the MSDS sheets, or asking to see the MSDS sheets, when he worked at SpaceX.

Juarez Depo.

(Catalona Dec., 288:22-23, 289:13-18, 290:5-7.)

68. Disputed to the extent that these alleged facts mischaracterize Mr. Juarez's testimony as cited below. Mr. Juarez was never given MSDS sheets, he did not have access to the MSDS sheets, and he had no chemical training either formal or informal to understand the MSDS sheets.

- (Dec. Christopher Mendoza)
- (Dec. Manuel Gutierrez)
- (Dec. Ruben Juarez)
- (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 55:21-56:5)
- (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 121: 22- 122:20, 122:22-123:1)
- (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume I: 123:19-124:12)
- (Ex 1, Depo. Ruben Juarez Volume I, p. 49:22-50:8, 51:17, 52:8-16, 53:15-21, 54:11-55:15, 55:21-56:8, 56:14-18 , 57:16-23- 58:18, 59: 4-5, 59: 22- 24,60:17-61:20, 62:1-15
- (Ex. 2 Depo. Ruben Juarez Volume 2 p. 77:5-78:3)
- (Ex 3 Depo. Ruben Juarez Volume 1, 49:25-50:3)
- (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 66:19-22, 116:1-14)
- (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 108:20-109:12)
- .(Ex 4 Depo. Juarez

- 1 Volume II, 332:7-337:14)
- 2 • (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume
- 3 II, 249:22-250:12)
- 4 • (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume
- 5 II, 262:21-263: 13)
- 6 • (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume
- 7 II, 262:21-263:13)
- 8 • (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume
- 9 II, 287:6-288:3, 288:24-
- 10 289:6)
- 11 • (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume
- 12 II, 235:1-238: 5, 238: 19-
- 13 22)
- 14 • (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume
- 15 II, 241:4-15)
- 16 • (Exhibit 31)
- 17 • (Exhibit 31, PVA 51)
- 18 • (Exhibit 31, PVA30-32)
- 19 • (Exhibit 31, PVA 40-41)
- 20 • (Exhibit 15, PVA 69)
- 21 • (Exhibit 16, PVA 0272)
- 22 • (Exhibit 31, PVA 30-32,
- 23 51)

24 *Specific factual references with*
citations are set forth below for the
court's convenience disputing the
alleged facts that Mr. Juarez
reviewed the MSDS sheets and
allegedly mixed the Arathane and
Humiseal.

25 Mr. Mendoza never saw Ruben

26 mixing chemicals at Space X. Further,

27 while being an employee at Space X.

28 Mr. Mendoza was never was never

trained regarding how to read,

interpret, and locate MSDS sheets.

Further, Mr. Mendoza indicated Space

X was using Humiseal since 2010, and

1 he was never provided any Standard
2 Operating Procedures. (Dec.
3 Christopher Mendoza)

4
5 Mr. Gutierrez indicated he never saw a
6 binder of MSDS sheets nor did he ever
7 see any MSDS sheets in the conformal
8 coating area. Further, he never saw
9 any Standard of Practice while
10 working with Space X. Moreover,
11 Mr. Gutierrez never saw Ruben mix
12 chemicals, but he saw Francisco mix
13 chemicals. (Dec. Manuel Gutierrez)

14 Mr. Juarez indicated he does not have
15 a chemistry background at his
16 deposition on March 8, 2018. (Ex 3
17 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 55:21-56:5)

18 Mr. Juarez was trained by PVA Inc. to
19 open the door of the machine to
20 perform programming job duties. (Ex
21 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 121: 22-
22 122:20, 122:22-123:1)

23 Mr. Juarez believe it was unsafe for
24 the operators to open the machine door
25 and operate the PVA 350 because they
26 could get their hand stuck in the
27 machine, but he did not have a
28 chemistry background so he did not
know whether the chemicals were
harmful or not, and he relied on the
training provided by PVA Inc. that he
could put is head safely in the machine
while programming the machine. (Ex
3 Depo. Juarez Volume I: 123:19-
124:12)

Mr Juarez tried to get a list of
chemicals from Space X, which did
not work out. Afterwhich, Mr. Juarez
called Francisco to get a list of
chemicals he had worked with. After
Francisco provided the list of
chemicals, Mr. Juarez emailed Space
X requested the MSDS sheets for the
chemicals that Francisco listed. (Ex 4
Depo. Juarez Volume II, 332:7-
337:14)

| | |
|--|---|
| | <p>1 Mr. Juarez had no reason to suspect 2 any wrongdoing on behalf of PVA and 3 Space X, and he did not know the 4 reason he was getting sick when he 5 filed the worker's compensation claim. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 262:21-263:13)</p> <p>6 Mr. Juarez first suspected he was 7 working in a toxic work environment 8 when he received the MSDS sheets 9 from Space X after requesting the 10 MSDS sheets via email after March 3, 11 2015. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 12 287:6-288:3, 288:24-289:6)</p> <p>13 Mr. Juarez called Francisco and 14 received a list of the chemicals that 15 Mr. Juarez was exposed to, and he 16 thus requested the MSDS sheets from 17 Space X to forward to his attorney so 18 that could figure out what was going 19 on and what was making him sick. 20 (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 235:1- 238: 5, 238: 19-22)</p> <p>21 Initially, Mr. Juarez thought his 22 problems were caused by alcohol 23 chemical baths and solder wire, but 24 later he found out that lead-free solder 25 wire was used. Upon this discovery, 26 Mr. Juarez reached out to Francisco 27 and then to Space X to get the MSDS 28 sheets. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 241:4-15)</p> |
|--|---|

21 79. In the operative complaint, plaintiffs
22 allege that the PVA 350 caused Juarez to be
23 exposed to Arathane and Humiseal because
24 it did not "sound an alarm" or provide a
25 warning "when the ventilation/exhaust is not
26 in operation," and that defendant PVA
27 "trained" Juarez "to stick his head into the
28 spraying chamber of the conformal coating
machine."

FAC.

79.Undisputed as to the allegations
listed. Disputed that these are
the only allegations listed in the
complaint against PVA Inc.

- (Exhibit 38, FAC, 2:16-18)
- (Exhibit 38, FAC, 3:22-25)
- (Exhibit 38, FAC, 3:26-27)
- (Exhibit 38, FAC, 4:9-18)
- (Exhibit 38, FAC, 4:24-27)
- (Exhibit 38, FAC, 5:1-3)

1 (Catalona Dec., 58:22-25, 59:9-12.)
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18

- (Exhibit 38, FAC, 6:19-23)
- (Exhibit 38, FAC, 8:13-14)
- (Exhibit 38, FAC, 8:15-25)
- (Exhibit 38, FAC, 8:26-9:2)
- (Exhibit 38, FAC, 9:15-27)
- (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume I: 61:21-63:14)
- (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume I: 145:25-147:2)
- (Ex 3 Depo. Ruben Juarez Volume 1 : 30 :16-18, 30 :24-31 :5, 72:9-14)
- (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 89:14-18, 90:8-11)
- (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 121:16-19)
- (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 121: 22- 122:20, 122:22-123:1)
- (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume I: 123:19-124:12)
- .(Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II: 226:12-227:6)
- (Ex. 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II232:1-7, 232: 23-25)

19 *Specific factual references with*
20 *citations are set forth below for the*
21 *court's convenience regarding the*
22 *allegations in the First Amended*
23 *Complaint.*

24 Before the sale of the PVA 350, PVA
25 had samples of the chemicals to be
26 sprayed by the PVA 350. As a result,
27 PVA knew or should have known the
28 toxicity of the chemicals to be used by
the PVA 350. (FAC, Exhibit 38, 2:16-18)

PVA 350 does not have an automatic

1 shutoff and does not sound an alarm
2 when the ventilation / exhaust is not in
3 operation. In other words, PVA 350 is
4 designed to continue to spray
5 chemicals even when the ventilation /
6 exhaust is not in operation.
7 Furthermore, there is no warning
8 anywhere about this. (Exhibit 38, FAC,
9 3:22-25)

10 [T]o add the automatic shutoff, PVA
11 only needs to include an airflow sensor
12 or something similar. (Exhibit 38,
13 FAC, 3:26-27)

14 PVA trained Plaintiff RUBNE
15 JUAREZ that in order to verify
16 whether the equipment correctly
17 sprayed the chemicals, Plaintiff
18 RUBNE JUAREZ had to stick his head
19 into the spraying chamber of the
20 conformal coating machine to verify.
21 He had to do this because the spray is
22 usually transparent and is very fine
23 with tiny thickness and therefore, a
24 naked eye cannot identify whether or
25 not certain part of the circuit board has
26 been sprayed or the thickness of the
27 spray. The only way to verify this is to
28 use a black light and poke his head
inside the PVA 350 to check. He could
not take the circuit board out of the
PVA 350 to verify this because it is wet
and thus, any handling of the board
would destroy the accuracy of the
spray. . (Exhibit 38, FAC, 4:9-18)

When the exhaust fan was not in
operation, Plaintiff RUBEN JUAREZ
unknowingly breathed in the chemicals
during the verification process because
his head was directly emerged inside
the PVA 350 with the toxic chemicals
floating inside it. (Exhibit 38, FAC,

1 4:24-27)
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

In addition, when the exhaust fan was not in operation, the chemicals leaked out of the PVA 350. Plaintiff RUBEN JUAREZ on average spent about 60% of his days standing right next to the PVA 350. (Exhibit 38, FAC, 5:1-3)

On information and belief, before a benchtop dispensing system is designed and manufactured by PVA, Space X sends samples of its toxic chemicals to PVA for customization. So, PVA knew or should have known the exact type of chemicals used by its PVA 350 and the dangerous health consequences from exposure to these chemicals if the exhaust/ventilation is not in operation. (Exhibit 38, FAC, 6:19-23)

Defendants designed, manufactured, supplied, installed, inspected, and repaired the PVA 350. (Exhibit 38, FAC, 8:13-14)

First, Defendants were negligent in designing, manufacturing, supplying, installing, inspecting, and repairing the PVA 350. In particular, Defendants failed to use the amount of care in designing, manufacturing, inspecting, installing, and repairing the PVA 350 that a reasonably careful designer, manufacturer, supplier, installer, repairer would use in similar circumstances to avoid exposing Plaintiff RUBEN JUAREZ, a programmer of the PVA 350, to a foreseeable risk of harm. Furthermore, Defendants' design violated Cal-OSHA, which requires that "When spray is automatically applied without an attendant constantly on duty, the operating control of spray apparatus shall be so arranged that spray cannot

BALABAN & SPIELBERGER LLP
11999 SAN VICENTE BOULEVARD, SUITE 345
LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90049

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
be applied unless exhaust fans are in
operation." (Title 8 of Cal. Code of
Regulations, § 5153.) . (Exhibit 38,
FAC, 8:15-25)

Second, Defendants knew or
reasonably should have known that the
PVA 350 was dangerous or was likely
to be dangerous when used or misused
in a reasonably foreseeable manner.
Defendants failed to adequately warn
of the danger. (Exhibit 38, FAC, 8:26-
9:2)

The PVA 350 contains a design defect
that it does not stop spraying toxic
chemicals or sound any alarm when the
ventilation / exhaust is not in operation.
It continues to spray toxic chemicals
even when the ventilation / exhaust is
not in operation without sounding any
alarm about it. It also requires the
operator to put his head into the
machine to check the spray. The PVA
350 also did not have warning of the
potential safety hazard when the
ventilation / exhaust is not in operation.
The PVA 350 did not perform as safely
as an ordinary consumer would have
expected it to perform when used or
misused in an intended or reasonably
foreseeable way. Plaintiffs were
harmed. The PVA's failure to perform
safely was a substantial factor in
causing Plaintiffs' harm. (Exhibit 38,
FAC, 9:15-27)

**MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED
UNCONTROVERTED FACTS**

82. Plaintiffs allege the PVA 350
contained "no warning anywhere" about the

RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION

82. Undisputed that Plaintiff makes
this allegations. Disputed to the

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED</u> <u>UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|---|
| | <p>fact that the machine was “designed to continue to spray chemicals even when the ventilation/exhaust is not in operation.”</p> <p>FAC.</p> <p>(Catalona Dec., 58:22-25, 63:15-25, 64:1-2, 64:15-21.)</p> | <p>extent that these are the only allegations against PVA Inc.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• (Ex 3 Depo. Ruben Juarez Volume 1 : 30 :16-18, 30 :24-31 :5, 72:9-14)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 89:14-18, 90:8-11)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 121:16-19)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 121: 22-122:20, 122:22-123:1)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume I: 123:19-124:12)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II: 226:12-227:6)• (Ex. 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II232:1-7, 232: 23-25)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 54:11-13)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 103:18-104:10, 104:25-105:7, 107:5-24, 108:5-13)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume I: 132:16-23)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume I: 70:20-22)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 74:22-75:7)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED</u> <u>UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|--|---|
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none">• (Exhibit 22, PVA 4463-4481)• (Exhibit 22, PVA 4463-4481)• (Exhibit 22, PVA 4463-4481)• (Exhibit 22)• (Ex 22, PVA 340-347)• (Exhibit 36 PVA 4449-4452)• (Exhibit 36)• Exhibit 25, PVA 7-9, 17-29, 222-234, 250-251, 4449-4452)• (Exhibit 31, PVA30-32)• (Exhibit 15, PVA 69)• (Exhibit 16, PVA 0272)• (Exhibit 31, PVA 30-32, 51)• (Exhibit 29, PVA 63)• (Exhibit 29, PVA 64)• (Exhibit 29, PVA 220)• (See Exhibit 9 and Exhibit 22)• Exhibit 5, Video of the PVA 350 shows the machine is far too small for someone to use while wearing a ventilator.• Video Exhibit 11 shows the conformal coating process in a PVA machine in 2010.• Video Exhibit 8 shows all the different types of coating and also shows |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED</u> <u>UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|--|--|
| | | <p>various designs that were not defective so it is great for comparison and explanation.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• (Exhibit 15, PVA 69)• (Exhibit 20)• (Exhibit 21, PVA 4537, 4538, 4540)• (Exhibit 18, PVA 40)• (Exhibit 35)• (Exhibit 17, PVA 63, 64, 219-220, 277, 279-280)• (Exhibit 24, 4458-4462)• (Exhibit 24)• (Exhibit 23, PVA 1181 (Wet Film Thickness Gauge))• (Exhibit 30) |
| | | <p><u>Specific factual references with citations are set forth below for the court's convenience supporting the dispute of fact regarding PVA Inc.'s failure to warn and design of a defective product.</u></p> |
| | | <p>Mr. Juarez was trained on the PVA 650 machine. (Ex 3 Depo. Ruben Juarez Volume 1 : 30 :16-18, 30 :24-31 :5, 72:9-14)</p> |
| | | <p>PVA Inc. trained Mr. Juarez to put his head in the PVA 350 machine and bypass the machine. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 89:14-18, 90:8-11)</p> |
| | | <p>Part of Mr. Juarez would bypass the safety switch to do the programming of the PVA 350 machine. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 121:16-19)</p> |

1 **MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED**
2 **UNCONTROVERTED FACTS**

3 **RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION**

4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
Mr. Juarez was trained by PVA Inc. to open the door of the machine to perform programming job duties. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 121: 22-122:20, 122:22-123:1)

Mr. Juarez believe it was unsafe for the operators to open the machine door and operate the PVA 350 because they could get their hand stuck in the machine, but he did not have a chemistry background so he did not know whether the chemicals were harmful or not, and he relied on the training provided by PVA Inc. that he could put is head safely in the machine while programming the machine. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume I: 123:19-124:12)

Mr. Juarez had to place his head into the machine to see what portions were covered because he would not be able to see into the machine by just looking through the window. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II: 226:12-227:6)

Mr. Juarez was trained in 2011 by PVA Inc. through a hands on approach on how to use the PVA 650 machine, but there was no hazardous chemical training. (Ex. 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II232:1-7, 232: 23-25)

Mr. Juarez testified at his deposition on March 8, 2018 that Space X did modify the machine to be able to spray other new products and new coating materials. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 54:11-13)

PVA 350 was modified when Mr. Juarez worked at Space X so it would spray a different coating material with PVA Inc.'s support over the telephone by installing hardware. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 103:18-104:10, 104:25-105:7, 107:5-24, 108:5-13)

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED</u> <u>UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|--|---|
| | | At times Mr. Juarez would pass by the PVA 350 Inc. and the bypass key would be left in the machine. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume I: 132:16-23) |
| | | There were no lights inside the PVA 350 machine. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume I: 70:20-22) |
| | | The PVA 350 was programmed by a handheld controller, and it was a rudimentary interface. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 74:22-75:7) |
| | | In the first room, the ventilation for the PVA 350 was a manual switch. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 77:21-78:2) |
| | | The PVA 350 was built so a programmer had to bypass the safety mechanisms to program the machine. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume I: 87:22-88:1) |
| | | Mr. Juarez indicated the PVA 350 is a bad design because the boards alter in size so the programmer has to put their head inside the machine to make sure the valve is aligned. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 156:15-157:2) |
| | | The PVA 350 was defectively designed because a programmer would have to put their head inside the machine to look where the machine was spraying. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 158:3-9) |
| | | <u>PVA HAD KNOWLEDGE OF</u> <u>THE CHEMICALS BEING</u> <u>UTILIZED BY SPACE X AND</u> <u>WAS INVOLVED IN</u> <u>SUBSEQUENT</u> <u>MODIFICATIONS TO THE</u> <u>MACHINE INVOLVING</u> <u>VARIOUS CHEMICALS</u> |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED</u> <u>UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|--|---|
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none">PVA Inc was aware of the chemicals being utilized with the PVA 350, including the <u>transition to Arathane</u>. (See Exhibit 22, PVA 4463-4481)The April 11, 2012 conformal coating video with Space X boards utilized the <u>same chemicals as used at Space X at PVA Inc.</u> (See Exhibit 9 and Exhibit 22)On September 19, 2013, PVA Inc. made further modifications to the subject machine including reworking the dispense system on the machine to connect one cartridge to the one gallon tank for <u>“automatic solvent flush of Arathane 5705.”</u> (Exhibit 22, PVA 23 and 24)On July 30, 2009, PVA/Andrew Haraburda serviced the W3267 (subject PVA 350 machine) and this description of the work/changes included: adding solvent flush option (6 oz cartridge, 3 way ball valve, O-ISPSI Precision Regulator, which shows <u>PVA Inc. was continuing to modify and upgrade the machine.</u> <u>Then PVA Inc. specifically recommended Space X alter the solvent to a different solvent stating “Space X may need to use a different solvent (i.e. Xylene).”</u> (Exhibit 22 PVA 4449-4452)Pursuant to email chain |

1 **MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED**
2 **UNCONTROVERTED FACTS**

3 **RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION**

4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
dated March 13, 2012 Jonathan Urquhart (**PVA**) was aware of **Space X's use of Arathane 5750** The email specifically states, "I think David has spoken to you **about our customer Space X**. They build full blown rocket ships. **They plan on pre-mixing this material part A part B and a 3rd part, thinner in small batches apply to board and the purge system** because of shelf life once mixed. **Sounds screwy to me.**" They were looking for a demo on the 27th of March. (Exhibit 22, PVA 4463-4481)

- Pursuant to email dated March 15, 2012 to Jonathan Urquhart, PVA was preparing a demo on the PVA 650 and **PVA were working with the same chemical materials Space X was utilizing, but PVA did not want Space X to know and PVA indicated they were going to convey to Space X that the materials were similar, but not the exact same.** . . (Exhibit 22, PVA 4463-4481)
- Pursuant to email dated March 30, 2012, the test at PVA Inc. was rescheduled to **4-11-12 to view the** 3 part mixing process. (Exhibit 22, PVA 4463-4481)
- Pursuant to email from David Gomez to Johnathan (PVA) Urquhart dated May 11,

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED</u> <u>UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|--|---|
| | | <p>2012 at 2:11 p.m., Space X talked about retrofitting the PVA 350, and then subsequently there was confirmation from Jon indicating <u>Space X wanted to duplicate what was done during the visit.</u> (Exhibit 22, PVA 4463-4481)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Jon Urquart performed <u>the demo for Space X on 4-11-12.</u> (Exhibit 22, PVA 4463-4481)• Email dated December 2, 2014 at 9:03 a.m. Mr. Urquhart (PVA) indicated to Mr. Matupang there would be 2 demos including a coating with <u>Arathane 2 part mix and spray and dispensing using a 650 or Delta 6 with board head,</u> JDX (underfill), SVX (past), and FC100 (solder mask). (Exhibit 22)• On November 17, 2014, Mr. Urquhart (PVA) asked if Space X wanted to mix on the fly with <u>5750?</u> (Exhibit 22)• On January 9, 2013 at 10:38 a.m. David Gomez (PVA) emailed Brian Ignaut (Space X) <u>doing follow up on the “two part material application project you were working on and kindly presented us with.”</u> There was inquiry as to whether there were any developments in the first few months of 2013. (Exhibit 22) |

1 **MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED**
2 **UNCONTROVERTED FACTS**

3 **RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION**

- 4 • On December 3, 2012 at
5 12:01 PM David Gomez (PVA)
6 wrote Scott Abarta asking for
7 the **two part material brand**
8 **and part # as well as any**
9 **MSDS and data sheets.** Also,
10 there was a request for a shot
11 size and cycle time per part if
12 possible. (Exhibit 22)
- 13 • On **September 10, 2013,**
14 Mr. Gomez (PVA) indicated
15 “they only want a 20 oz
16 cartridge retainer & cap with
17 spray & needle valve for the
18 pre-mix material and 6oz
19 cartridge retainer & cap **for the**
20 **solvent, so it can flush the**
21 **premixed material out right**
22 **after doing a batch of**
23 **boards.** Mr. Gomez indicated
24 he was **familiar with the “fluid**
25 **delivery line” because he had**
26 **done it “several times.”** He
27 also indicated they were **“not**
28 **there yet” for the two part**
 coating spraying. (Exhibit 22)
- On September 9, 2013,
 Richard Bievenue (PVA) wrote
 Jonathan Connelly (ccing David
 Filbert, David Gomez, and
 Michael R. Leonard) indicating
 that **he spoke with Duc Phan**
 (Space X) about the 350
 machine and how Space X
 was switching to utilizing a 2
 part material including
 Arathane 5750. There was
 discussion about premising
 the solvents and flushing the
 solvent valves. Also, there was
 interest regarding a 2
 component meter mix system.

1 **MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED**
2 **UNCONTROVERTED FACTS**

3 **RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION**

4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
(Exhibit 22)

- On September 18, 2013 at 12:41 p.m., Mr. Gomez (PVA indicated that this is not the first time they have done a two part coating system and he thought they were putting to many resources into this. The email specifically references Arathane 5750. (Exhibit 22)

- On September 13, 2013, from Duc Phan (Space X) to Jonathan Connelly, Michael R. Leonard (ccing Richard Bievenue and David Filbert) explained the process with pictures. Specifically, he indicated that Space X removed the hoses from the spray dispensing head and connected the hoses from the Arathane material and solvent to it. He further explained when the Arathane material was not in use they vaped the solvent hose and connect the Arathane material hose to the other head just for a place holder. He also explained that Arathane 5750 part A and B is mixed together and poured in the first reservoir and the second reservoir is the solvent use to thin out the mixed Arathane for spray requirement. (Exhibit 22)

- On September 13, 2013 at 6:07 a.m. Jonathan Connelly wrote Duc Phan (Space X). Michael R. Leonard and ccing

1 **MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED**
2 **UNCONTROVERTED FACTS**

3 **RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION**

4
5
6
7 Richard Bievenue and David
8 Filbert inquiring about the
9 process, requesting a picture,
10 and asking if the Arathane
11 and the solvent was being run
12 out of the cartridges mounted
13 on the side of the machine.
14 (Exhibit 22)

15 • On September 10, 2013,
16 Duc Phan (Space X) wrote
17 Michael R. Leonard and
18 Jonathan Connelly, and there
19 was a clear indication that
20 Space X was using Arathane
21 5750 material with a 2 hour
22 cure time, and they were
23 concerned the operator would
24 forget to purge and rinse the
25 material when the job is done.
26 They were looking to
27 automate the process after
28 coating. (Exhibit 22)

1 o The sales order dated
2 September 25, 2013 indicated
3 PVA job number SPCX2115
4 W3267 indicated PVA was
5 there to provide onsite
6 support for Mechanical
7 Electrical Programming Fluid
8 Delivery. (Exhibit 22)

9 o The sales quotation dated
10 September 19, 2013, stated that
11 PVA was to "rework the
12 dispense system on machine
13 serial number W3267 to
14 connect one cartridge to (1)
15 one gallon tank for automatic
16 solvent flush of Arathane
17 5705. This was to include 1) all
18 hoses and fittings needed to
19 complete the integration, 2)

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED</u> <u>UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|--|--|
| | | <p>pneumatic ball valve for automatic solvent flush, 3) hardware and software needed for integration, and one tank. Further, PVA was to travel to Space X to install the new auto solvent flush system. (Exhibit 22)</p> <p>o The purchase order dated September 24, 2013 issued to PVA and shipping to Space X on a Space X purchase order identified a supplier part number B72-01433 and <u>there is a full description of the work to be done including reworking the dispense system for an automatic flush of Arathane 5750</u> and in similar detail as stated above. There is a separate purchase order for the travel expenditures. (Exhibit 22)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• On July 21, 2014 at 6:48 p.m. Duc Phan (Space X) emailed Rex indicating the <u>current machine uses both Humiseal and Arathane and there are separate spray valves for both material.</u> They need the pendant purge button to work for both materials. (Exhibit 22)• PVA Inc. circulated diagram clearly states <u>Arathane</u>. Additionally, there is a quote work sheet for the 3 way assembly, pressure tank, hoses, fittings, valves as well as programming and engineering. (Ex 22, PVA 340-347) |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED</u> <u>UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|--|--|
| | | <p>PVA INC. INSTALLED THE PVA 350 AND CONTINUED TO MODIFIED PROGRAM THROUGHOUT THE PVA 350'S LIFETIME</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">On 6-23-2009 to 6-24-2009, PVA Inc. installed and serviced the W3267 machine at Space X. The work was performed by Andrew Haraburde. He trained Juan Sotelo and Marsha Thompson in installation and training. The installation check list specifically indicated various items were working, but there is an X versus a check mark on the pump and SEMEA boxes. The description of the work/changes including "<u>modified main program (mo2.)</u>" (Exhibit 36 PVA 4449-4452) <p>There are a series of work orders regarding modifications and sales showing PVA Inc. continued to service and support the use and modification of the PVA 350. (Exhibit 25, PVA 7-9, 17-29, 222-234, 250-251, 4449-4452)</p> <p>BELOW PLAINTIFFS ASSERT SPECIFIC DISPUTES OF FACT REGARDING THE PVA 350'S MACHINE DEFECTS, INADEQUATE SAFETY MEASURES, AND THE VENTILATION SYSTEM.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">The alleged PVA safety guide states: "Some machines are equipped with an exhaust fan. The exhaust fan is provided to exhaust fumes from the work area. The exhaust flange should |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED</u> <u>UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|--|--|
| | | <p>be connected to an appropriate ducting system that is capable of receiving 150 CFM. Insufficient airflow through the exhaust system generates an error.” However, <u>this manual was not provided in a hardcopy form to Space X based on the pictures PVA provided.</u> (Exhibit 29, PVA 63)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• The Exhaust Verification Process shows <u>some machines</u> have an auto shut down. (Exhibit 29, PVA 64)• <u>A user has to visually inspect the position of a needle with respect to a calibration point and the user may have to physically reposition the needle.</u> (Exhibit 29, PVA 69)• The PVA Product Overview indicated a number of safety items are not included on the PVA 350 automatically such as: conveyor type, passive programming camera, XY fiducial camera, exhaust blower, onboard computer, and flow monitoring. (Exhibit 29, PVA 220)• There is a picture of the module, and there appears to be an insufficient exhaust system in the back of the machine. (Exhibit 29, PVA 4537, 4538, 4539, 4540, and 4542).• The April 11, 2012 conformal coating video with Space X boards utilized the same chemicals as used at Space X at PVA Inc. (See Exhibit 9 and Exhibit 22)• Exhibit 5, Video of the PVA 350 shows the machine is far too small for someone to use while wearing a |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED</u> <u>UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|--|--|
| | | <p>ventilator.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Video Exhibit 11 shows the conformal coating process in a PVA machine in 2010.• Video Exhibit 8 shows all the different types of coating and also shows various designs that were not defective so it is great for comparison and explanation.• The comparison PVA 350 shows there is a <u>low exhaust and no conveyer</u> belt option on the PVA 350. (Exhibit 20) |
| | | <p>THE MANUAL AND PVA INC. PROVIDED AN INADEQUATE WARNING</p> <p>The PVA Manual does not warn users to use a face mask or ventilator. (Exhibit 18, PVA 40)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• The warning on the sides states, "WARNING, Hazardous voltage. Power shall be disconnected before enclosure is opened. Enclosure shall be closed before power is restored." (Exhibit 35)• <u>Not all PVA 350s have the alleged safety features including an exhaust fan/conveyer belt/an auto shut down/exhaust blower/flow monitoring, and cameras.</u> Further, pursuant to training provided by PVA Inc., there are <u>bypass systems that must be utilized so programmers can complete their jobs.</u> (Exhibit 17, PVA 63, 64, 219-220, 277, 279-280) |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED</u> <u>UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|--|--|
| | | <p>PVA INC. PROVIDED TRAINING AND TRAINED MR. JUAREZ TO PUT HIS HEAD INSIDE THE PVA MACHINE WITHOUT ANY PROTECTIVE GEAR</p> <p>Ruben Juarez attended training at PVA Inc., and PVA trained him to put his head inside the machine while spraying without any protective gear. He attended these trainings while working for EMI and Space X. (Exhibit 24, 4458-4462)</p> <p>END USERS MUST PUT THEIR HEAD INTO THE PVA 350 MACHINE TO CHECK THE THICKNESS OF THE CHEMICAL COATING AND TO SPRAY THE PROPER AREAS OF THE CIRCUIT BOARD</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">The Wet Film Thickness Gauge shows how Mr. Juarez <u>had to measure the level of the fluid on the boards and is relevant to show he had to stick his head in the machine.</u> (Exhibit 23, PVA 1181 (Wet Film Thickness Gauge))Pursuant to email dated December 15, 2014 on 11:47 a.m. Bievenue emailed to Matupang indicating the <u>only way to know for sure the mix ratio is coming out of a spray valve is with flow monitors and if you don't have flow monitors you are "spraying blind."</u> (Exhibit 30) <p><i><u>Specific citations are listed below for the court's convenience from the First Amended Complaint.</u></i></p> |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED</u> <u>UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|--|---|
| | | <p>Before the sale of the PVA 350, PVA had samples of the chemicals to be sprayed by the PVA 350. As a result, PVA knew or should have known the toxicity of the chemicals to be used by the PVA 350. (FAC, Exhibit 38, 2:16-18)</p> <p>PVA 350 does not have an automatic shutoff and does not sound an alarm when the ventilation / exhaust is not in operation. In other words, PVA 350 is designed to continue to spray chemicals even when the ventilation / exhaust is not in operation. Furthermore, there is no warning anywhere about this. (Exhibit 38, FAC, 3:22-25)</p> <p>[T]o add the automatic shutoff, PVA only needs to include an airflow sensor or something similar. (Exhibit 38, FAC, 3:26-27)</p> |
| | | <p>PVA trained Plaintiff RUBEN JUAREZ that in order to verify whether the equipment correctly sprayed the chemicals, Plaintiff RUBEN JUAREZ had to stick his head into the spraying chamber of the conformal coating machine to verify. He had to do this because the spray is usually transparent and is very fine with tiny thickness and therefore, a naked eye cannot identify whether or not certain part of the circuit board has been sprayed or the thickness of the spray. The only way to verify this is to use a black light and poke his head inside the PVA 350 to check. He</p> |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED</u> <u>UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|--|--|
| | | could not take the circuit board out of the PVA 350 to verify this because it is wet and thus, any handling of the board would destroy the accuracy of the spray. . (Exhibit 38, FAC, 4:9-18) |
| | | When the exhaust fan was not in operation, Plaintiff RUBEN JUAREZ unknowingly breathed in the chemicals during the verification process because his head was directly emerged inside the PVA 350 with the toxic chemicals floating inside it. (Exhibit 38, FAC, 4:24-27) |
| | | In addition, when the exhaust fan was not in operation, the chemicals leaked out of the PVA 350. Plaintiff RUBEN JUAREZ on average spent about 60% of his days standing right next to the PVA 350. (Exhibit 38, FAC, 5:1-3) |
| | | On information and belief, before a benchtop dispensing system is designed and manufactured by PVA, Space X sends samples of its toxic chemicals to PVA for customization. So, PVA knew or should have known the exact type of chemicals used by its PVA 350 and the dangerous health consequences from exposure to these chemicals if the exhaust/ventilation is not in operation. (Exhibit 38, FAC, 6:19-23) |
| | | Defendants designed, manufactured, supplied, installed, inspected, and repaired the PVA 350. (Exhibit 38, FAC, 8:13-14) |
| | | First, Defendants were negligent in designing, manufacturing, supplying, |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED</u> <u>UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|--|--|
| | | <p>installing, inspecting, and repairing the PVA 350. In particular, Defendants failed to use the amount of care in designing, manufacturing, inspecting, installing, and repairing the PVA 350 that a reasonably careful designer, manufacturer, supplier, installer, repairer would use in similar circumstances to avoid exposing Plaintiff RUBEN JUAREZ, a programmer of the PVA 350, to a foreseeable risk of harm. Furthermore, Defendants' design violated Cal-OSHA, which requires that "When spray is automatically applied without an attendant constantly on duty, the operating control of spray apparatus shall be so arranged that spray cannot be applied unless exhaust fans are in operation." (Title 8 of Cal. Code of Regulations, § 5153.) . (Exhibit 38, FAC, 8:15-25)</p> <p>Second, Defendants knew or reasonably should have known that the PVA 350 was dangerous or was likely to be dangerous when used or misused in a reasonably foreseeable manner. Defendants failed to adequately warn of the danger. (Exhibit 38, FAC, 8:26-9:2)</p> <p>The PVA 350 contains a design defect that it does not stop spraying toxic chemicals or sound any alarm when the ventilation / exhaust is not in operation. It continues to spray toxic chemicals even when the ventilation / exhaust is not in operation without sounding any alarm about it. It also requires the operator to put his head into the machine to check the spray. The PVA 350 also did not have</p> |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|--|--|
| | warning of the potential safety hazard when the ventilation / exhaust is not in operation. The PVA 350 did not perform as safely as an ordinary consumer would have expected it to perform when used or misused in an intended or reasonably foreseeable way. Plaintiffs were harmed. The PVA's failure to perform safely was a substantial factor in causing Plaintiffs' harm. (Exhibit 38, FAC, 9:15-27) |

11
12 **III. STATEMENT OF GENUINE DISPUTES IN OPPOSITION TO
DEFENDANT'S PARTIAL MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT (Central
District) BASED ON PLAINTIFFS' STRICT PRODUCT LIABILITY CAUSE
OF ACTION**

13
14

| 15 16 <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|--|--------------------------------------|
| 17 1. Plaintiffs reside in Granada Hills, California, in the County of Los Angeles. 18 Complaint, Notice of Removal and First Amended Complaint. 19 (Declaration of Alex P. Catalonia ("Cataluna Dec."), 12:22-23, 23:11-12, 57:2- 4.) | 1. Undisputed. |
| 20 2. PVA is headquartered and incorporated in the State of New York. 21 Notice of Removal and Declaration of Jonathan Urquhart. 22 (Cataluna Dec., 23:13-15, 24:17-19; Declaration of Jonathan Urquhart ("Urquhart Dec."), 1:4-7.) | 2. Undisputed. |

1 3. The PVA 350 is a conformal coating
2 machine manufactured by PVA which is
known in the industry as a “workcell.”

3 Urquhart Dec. and PVA manual.

4 (Urquhart Dec., 2:4-5, 77.)

5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
3. Undisputed as to the PVA 350
being a conformal coating machine
manufactured by PVA. However,
disputed to the extent the fact infers
the PVA 350 is not a selective
conformal coating machine. This
selective conformal coating machine
required Mr. Juarez to place his head
in the machine to verify the spray
was only sprayed on the selected
areas versus a conformal coating
machine that would not have
required that step.

- (Dec. of Ruben Juarez)

Disputed to the extent the PVA350 is
a work cell. The PVA 350 is a
standalone device, which is a
mechanism or system that can
perform its function without the need
of another device, computer, or
connection. In contrast, a work cell
is a term used in the manufacturing
industry for an arrangement of
resources in manufacturing to
improve quality, speed, and the costs
of the processes.

- (Dec. Ruben Juarez)
- Mr. Juarez never called the
machine a work cell. (Ex 4
Depo. Juarez Volume II:
204 :4-5)

4. PVA sold a single PVA 350 to
SpaceX in 2009.

Urquhart Dec. and March, 2018 Deposition
of Ruben Juarez (“Juarez Depo.”), and
exhibits.

(Urquhart Dec., 1:11-18, 1:25-27, 5-6, 8, 12-

4. Undisputed to the extent PVA
sold a PVA 350 to Space X in
2009.

Disputed to the extent PVA
Inc. attempts to infer that PVA
Inc. only sold a single PVA

1 14, 23; Catalona Dec., 265:21-24, 266:13-18,
2 267:19-24, 359-61.).
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10

350 to Space X in 2009 and
disappeared and never
interacted with Space X again.
In fact, PVA Inc. sold
additional equipment, parts,
and machines to Space X and
had ongoing communications
with Space X after 2009 and
continued to service and
modify the PVA 350 without
ever warning the user of the
dangers of the product.
(Exhibit 25, Sales Documents,
Order, and Quotations)

- (Exhibit 25, PVA 222-234)
- (Exhibit 25, PVA 226)
- (Exhibit 25, PVA 228)
- (Exhibit 25, PVA 230-232)
- (Exhibit 32)
- (Exhibit 33)
- (Exhibit 34, PVA 420-421, 422, 423, 1183-1304)
- (Exhibit 34, PVA 423)

The evidence below demonstrates
the ongoing relationship between
PVA and Space X regarding the
additional parts, machines, and
services provided by Space X.
Specific factual references with
citations are set forth below for the
court's convenience.

PVA Invoices and Sales Docs to
SpaceX are attached hereto. (Exhibit
25, PVA 222-234)

On 5-29-12, PVA purchase order
included the FC100-MC (front

| | |
|----|---|
| 1 | closing dispenser), metal cartridge 2 retainer, mounting brackets, fitting 3 package to connect one cartridge 4 retainer to one valve, 6oz plastic 5 cartridge, one gallon pressure tank for 6 flushing solvent, hoses and fittings. 7 (Exhibit 25) |
| 8 | Invoice dated 5/23/13 shows the 9 purchase of a rework kit (B72-1242) 10 and a side levan window number 11 114-3546. (Exhibit 25, PVA 226) |
| 12 | Purchase order dated 5/7/13 shows a 13 purchase for a B72-1242 for a wire 14 two black LED strips with one off 15 switch and lexon windows. (Exhibit 16 25, PVA 228) |
| 17 | Invoice dated 10/11/13 shows a 18 solvent flush machine for W3267 19 B72-01433 as well as the purchase 20 orders. (Exhibit 25, PVA 230-232) |
| 21 | PVA INC. HAD AN ONGOING 22 RELATIONSHIP WITH SPACEX 23 AND SOLD MORE MACHINES 24 THAN PVA 350 |
| 25 | On December 7, 2012, Mr. Ignaut 26 from SpaceX sent an email to David 27 Gomez and Scott Abarta (PVA) 28 inquiring as to how many dispense head (PC200) they can install on a single “PVA3000?” (Exhibit 33) |
| 29 | On December 7, 2012 at 8:59 A.M. there is an email from David Gomez (PVA) to Brian Ignaut (Space X) and Scott Abarta asking Space X to review the budget proposal for the MX3000 and PVA 3000 robot indicating if there is a 1 to 1 mix proportion, low viscosity, and no fillers or corrosive chemicals in it, the equipment configuration will do just fine. (Exhibit 33) |

| | |
|---|---|
| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <p>On December 3, 2012 Scott Abarta emailed David Gomez (PVA) with what appears to be a cc to Brian Ignaut with Space X, but the email is indicating it is from Brian Ignaut (Responsible Engineer with Solar Arrays) requesting the need for a quote for the following PVA equipment: Mx 3000 MMD machine, PVA 200 dispense valve, and PVA 3000 dispense robot. (Exhibit 33)</p> <p>BILLS OF MATERIALS SHOW ONGOING RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN PVA INC. AND SPACE X</p> <p>There are PVA Materials being ordered by Space X in 5/6/03, 4/3/06, 5/8/09, 6/14/12, 10/14/13, 10/8/13, and 12/30/10. (Exhibit 34, PVA 420-421, 422, 423, 1183-1304) Specifically, PVA Bill of Material dated 6/14/12 showed a purchase of PVA Inc products including the following gallons, fittings, hose, ball valve, cap, cartridge, fitting, fitting, tubing, regulator, air pressure gauge, felon tube, FCS300-ES extended spray, and FC100 MS dispense valve. (Exhibit 34, PVA 423)</p> |
| 5. This machine coats printed circuit boards with a thin polymeric film that “conforms” to the board’s contours to protect against moisture, dust, chemicals and temperature extremes. Urquhart Dec. (Urquhart Dec., 1:14-17.). | 5. Undisputed. |
| 6. When it was manufactured, SpaceX specified that the machine would spray Electrolube “NVOC,” a 100% solids content material that did not contain solvent material (such as Humiseal or Arathane.) Urquhart Dec., and exhibits. | 6. Disputed to the extent this statement attempts to infer that PVA Inc. was unaware how the PVA 350 was utilized by Space X with chemicals (such as Arathane and Humiseal) as set forth in |

1 (Urquhart Dec., 28, 30, 63-64.)
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

numerous emails and even on
plans produced by PVA Inc.

- (Exhibit 22)
- (See Exhibit 22, PVA 4463-4481)
- (See Exhibit 9 and Exhibit 22)
- (Exhibit 22, PVA 23 and 24)
- (Exhibit 22 PVA 4449-4452)
- (Exhibit 22 PVA 4463-4481)

On July 30, 2009, PVA Inc. employee Andrew Haraburda serviced the W3267 and recommended that Space X **utilize a different solvent because the “needle is still clogging in the solvent cup” and he recommended Xylene.** The MSDS sheet for **Xylene** shows extensive toxic effects on individuals. PVA Inc. was aware the selective conformal coating machines were often utilized with toxic chemicals.

- (Exhibit 22, PVA 4449-4452)
- (Exhibit 28, Exemplar MSDS sheet for Xylene)

Specific factual references with citations are set forth below for the court's convenience.

PVA HAD KNOWLEDGE OF THE CHEMICALS BEING UTILIZED BY SPACE X AND WAS INVOLVED IN SUBSEQUENT MODIFICATIONS TO THE

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

**MACHINE INVOLVING
VARIOUS CHEMICALS**

- PVA Inc was aware of the chemicals being utilized with the PVA 350. including the transition to Arathane. (See Exhibit 22, PVA 4463-4481)
- The April 11, 2012 conformal coating video with Space X boards utilized the same chemicals as used at Space X at PVA Inc. (See Exhibit 9 and Exhibit 22)
- On September 19, 2013, PVA Inc. made further modifications to the subject machine including reworking the dispense system on the machine to connect one cartridge to the one gallon tank for “automatic solvent flush of Arathane 5705.” (Exhibit 22, PVA 23 and 24)
- On July 30, 2009, PVA/Andrew Haraburda serviced the W3267 (subject PVA 350 machine) and this description of the work/changes included: adding solvent flush option (6 oz cartridge, 3 way ball valve, O-ISPSI Precision Regulator, **which shows PVA Inc. was continuing to modify and upgrade the machine. Then PVA Inc. specifically recommended Space X alter the solvent to a different solvent stating “Space X may need to use a different solvent** (i.e. Xylene.)” (Exhibit 22 PVA 4449-4452)

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25
- 26
- 27
- 28
- Pursuant to email chain dated March 13, 2012 Jonathan Urquhart (**PVA was aware of Space X's use of Arathane**)
5750 The email specifically states, “I think David has spoken to you about our customer Space X. They build full blown rocket ships. They plan on pre-mixing this material part A part B and a 3rd part, thinner in small batches apply to board and the purge system because of shelf life once mixed. Sounds screwy to me.” They were looking for a demo on the 27th of March. (Exhibit 22, PVA 4463-4481)

- Pursuant to email dated March 15, 2012 to Jonathan Urquhart, PVA was preparing a demo on the PVA 650 and **PVA were working with the same chemical materials** **Space X was utilizing, but PVA did not want Space X to know and PVA indicated they were going to convey to Space X that the materials were similar, but not the exact same.** . . (Exhibit 22, PVA 4463-4481)

- Pursuant to email dated March 30, 2012, the test at PVA Inc. was rescheduled to 4-11-12 to view the 3 part mixing process. (Exhibit 22, PVA 4463-4481)

- Pursuant to email from David Gomez to Johnathan (PVA) Urquhart dated May 11, 2012 at 2:11 p.m., Space X talked about retrofitting the

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

PVA 350, and then subsequently there was confirmation from Jon indicating **Space X wanted to duplicate what was done during the visit.** (Exhibit 22, PVA 4463-4481)

- Jon Urquart performed the demo for Space X on 4-11-12. (Exhibit 22, PVA 4463-4481)
- Email dated December 2, 2014 at 9:03 a.m. Mr. Urquhart (PVA) indicated to Mr. Matupang there would be 2 demos including a coating with **Arathane 2 part mix and spray and dispensing using a 650 or Delta 6 with board head,** JDX (underfill), SVX (past), and FC100 (solder mask). (Exhibit 22)
- On November 17, 2014, Mr. Urquhart (PVA) asked is Space X wanted to mix on the fly with **5750?** (Exhibit 22)
- On January 9, 2013 at 10:38 a.m. David Gomez (PVA) emailed Brian Ignaut (Space X) **doing follow up on the “two part material application project you were working on and kindly presented us with.”** There was inquiry as to whether there were any developments in the first few months of 2013. (Exhibit 22)
- On December 3, 2012 at 12:01 PM David Gomez (PVA) wrote Scott Abarta asking for the **two part material brand and part #** as

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

well as any MSDS and data sheets. Also, there was a request for a shot size and cycle time per part if possible. (Exhibit 22)

• On September 10, 2013, Mr. Gomez (PVA) indicated “they only want a 20 oz cartridge retainer & cap with spray & needle valve for the pre-mix material and 6oz cartridge retainer & cap for the solvent, so it can flush the premixed material out right after doing a batch of boards.” Mr. Gomez indicated he was familiar with the “fluid delivery line” because he had done it “several times.” He also indicated they were “not there yet” for the two part coating spraying. (Exhibit 22)

• On September 9, 2013, Richard Bievenue (PVA) wrote Jonathan Connelly (ccing David Filbert, David Gomez, and Michael R. Leonard) indicating that he spoke with Duc Phan (Space X) about the 350 machine and how Space X was switching to utilizing a 2 part material including Arathane 5750. There was discussion about premising the solvents and flushing the solvent valves. Also, there was interest regarding a 2 component meter mix system. (Exhibit 22)

• On September 18, 2013 at 12:41 p.m., Mr. Gomez (PVA) indicated that this is not the first time they have

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

done a two part coating system and he thought they were putting to many resources into this. The email specifically references Arathane 5750. (Exhibit 22)

• On September 13, 2013, from Duc Phan (Space X) to Jonathan Connelly, Michael R. Leonard (ccing Richard Bievenue and David Filbert) explained the process with pictures. Specifically, he indicated that Space X removed the hoses from the spray dispensing head and connected the hoses from the Arathane material and solvent to it. He further explained when the Arathane material was not in use they vaped the solvent hose and connect the Arathane material hose to the other head just for a place holder. He also explained that Arathane 5750 part A and B is mixed together and poured in the first reservoir and the second reservoir is the solvent use to thin out the mixed Arathane for spray requirement. (Exhibit 22)

• On September 13, 2013 at 6:07 a.m. Jonathan Connelly wrote Duc Phan (Space X). Michael R. Leonard and ccng Richard Bievenue and David Filbert inquiring about the process, requesting a picture, and asking if the Arathane and the solvent was being run out of the cartridges mounted on the side of the

machine. (Exhibit 22)

- On September 10, 2013, Duc Phan (Space X) wrote Michael R. Leonard and Jonathan Connolly, and there was a clear indication that Space X was using Arathane 5750 material with a 2 hour cure time, and they were concerned the operator would forget to purge and rinse the material when the job is done. They were looking to automate the process after coating.

(Exhibit 22)

- o The sales order dated September 25, 2013 indicated PVA job number SPCX2115 W3267 indicated PVA was there to provide onsite support for Mechanical Electrical Programming Fluid Delivery. (Exhibit 22)

o The sales quotation dated September 19, 2013, stated that PVA was to **“rework the dispense system on machine serial number W3267 to connect one cartridge to (1) one gallon tank for automatic solvent flush of Arathane 5705.** This was to include 1) all hoses and fittings needed to complete the integration, 2) pneumatic ball valve for automatic solvent flush, 3) hardware and software needed for integration, and one tank. Further, PVA was to travel to Space X to install the new auto solvent flush system. (Exhibit

BALABAN & SPIELBERGER LLP
11999 SAN VICENTE BOULEVARD, SUITE 345
LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90049

| | |
|--|---|
| | <p>22)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> o The purchase order dated September 24, 2013 issued to PVA and shipping to Space X on a Space X purchase order identified a supplier part number B72-01433 and <u>there is a full description of the work to be done including reworking the dispense system for an automatic flush of Arathane 5750</u> and in similar detail as stated above. There is a separate purchase order for the travel expenditures. (Exhibit 22) • On July 21, 2014 at 6:48 p.m. Duc Phan (Space X) emailed Rex indicating the <u>current machine uses both Humiseal and Arathane and there are separate spray valves for both material.</u> They need the pendant purge button to work for both materials. (Exhibit 22) • PVA Inc. circulated diagram clearly states <u>Arathane</u>. Additionally, there is a quote work sheet for the 3 way assembly, pressure tank, hoses, fittings, valves as well as programming and engineering. (Ex 22, PVA 340-347) <p>17. SpaceX is a designer and manufacturer of advanced rockets and spacecraft.</p> <p>18. Undisputed.</p> <p>Declaration of Gregory Maxwell.</p> |
|--|---|

| | | |
|----|---|--|
| 1 | (Declaration of Gregory Maxwell (“Maxwell 2 Dec.”), 1:5-6.) | |
| 3 | 18. After purchasing the PVA 350 in 4 2009, SpaceX did not begin to use Humiseal 5 and Arathane materials, which were not originally specified for the machine, until 6 2012 at the earliest. 7 Urquhart Dec., and exhibits; Declaration of 8 David Hwang (“Hwang Dec.”) and exhibits. 9 (Urquhart Dec., 3:15-17, 28, 63, 230; Hwang 10 Dec., 2:6-12, 35-41.) | 18. Disputed. Humiseal was being utilized before Mr. Juarez started working with Space X in 2010. • (Dec. Christopher Mendoza, paragraph 2) • (Exhibit 46) • (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume I: 113 :1-3) • (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II: 224:14-17) • (See Exhibit 22, PVA 4463- 4481) • (See Exhibit 9 and Exhibit 22) • (Exhibit 22, PVA 23 and 24) • (Exhibit 22 PVA 4449-4452) • (Exhibit 22 PVA 4463-4481) • (Exhibit 22) • (Exhibit 36 PVA 4449-4452) • (Exhibit 36) • (Exhibit 36, PVA 1727-1731) • (Exhibit 25, PVA 7-9, 17-29, 222-234, 250-251, 4449-4452) |
| 11 | | <i>Specific factual references with citations are set forth below for the court's convenience.</i> |
| 12 | | Mr. Mendoza a <u>former employee of</u> <u>Space X indicated that Space X</u> <u>was using Humiseal in 2010.</u> |
| 13 | | • (Dec. Christopher Mendoza, paragraph 2) |
| 14 | | |
| 15 | | |
| 16 | | |
| 17 | | |
| 18 | | |
| 19 | | |
| 20 | | |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | | |
| 26 | | |
| 27 | | DEPOSITION TESTIMONY REGARDING MR. JUAREZ' UNDERSTANDING IN 2015 OF THE DIFFERENT CHEMICALS HE WAS EXPOSED TO WHILE WORKING WITH PVA INC. |
| 28 | | |

1 Mr. Juarez utilized HumiSeal and
2 Arathane with the PVA 350. (Ex 3
Depo. Juarez Volume I: 113 :1-3)

3 Mr. Juarez was exposed to Arathane
4 products at Space X while
5 programming the machine. (Ex 4
Depo. Juarez Volume II: 224:14-17)

6 **PVA HAD KNOWLEDGE OF THE**
7 **CHEMICALS BEING UTILIZED**
8 **BY SPACE X AND WAS**
INVOLVED IN SUBSEQUENT
MODIFICATIONS TO THE
MACHINE INVOLVING
VARIOUS CHEMICALS

- 10 • PVA Inc was aware of
11 the chemicals being utilized
12 with the PVA 350. including
13 the **transition to Arathane.**
(See Exhibit 22, PVA 4463-
4481)
- 14 • The April 11, 2012
15 conformal coating video with
16 Space X boards utilized the
17 **same chemicals as used at**
Space X at PVA Inc. (See
Exhibit 9 and Exhibit 22)
- 18 • On September 19, 2013,
19 PVA Inc. made further
20 modifications to the subject
21 machine including reworking
22 the dispense system on the
23 machine to connect one
cartridge to the one gallon tank
for "**automatic solvent flush**
of Arathane 5705." (Exhibit
22, PVA 23 and 24)
- 24 • On July 30, 2009,
25 PVA/Andrew Haraburda
26 serviced the W3267 (subject
27 PVA 350 machine) and this
description of the
work/changes included:
adding solvent flush option (6

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
oz cartridge, 3 way ball valve, O-ISPSI Precision Regulator, which shows PVA Inc. was continuing to modify and upgrade the machine. Then PVA Inc. specifically recommended Space X alter the solvent to a different solvent stating “Space X may need to use a different solvent (i.e. Xylene).” (Exhibit 22 PVA 4449-4452)

• Pursuant to email chain dated March 13, 2012 Jonathan Urquhart (PVA was aware of Space X’s use of Arathane 5750) The email specifically states, “I think David has spoken to you about our customer Space X. They build full blown rocket ships. They plan on pre-mixing this material part A part B and a 3rd part, thinner in small batches apply to board and the purge system because of shelf life once mixed. Sounds screwy to me.” They were looking for a demo on the 27th of March. (Exhibit 22, PVA 4463-4481)

• Pursuant to email dated March 15, 2012 to Jonathan Urquhart, PVA was preparing a demo on the PVA 650 and PVA were working with the same chemical materials Space X was utilizing, but PVA did not want Space X to know and PVA indicated they were going to convey to Space X that the materials were similar, but not the exact same. . (Exhibit 22,

1 PVA 4463-4481)

2 • Pursuant to email dated
3 March 30, 2012, the test at
4 PVA Inc. was rescheduled to
5 **4-11-12 to view the** 3 part
mixing process. (Exhibit 22,
PVA 4463-4481)

6 • Pursuant to email from
7 David Gomez to Johnathan
8 (PVA) Urquhart dated May 11,
9 2012 at 2:11 p.m., Space X
10 talked about retrofitting the
11 PVA 350, and then
12 subsequently there was
13 confirmation from Jon
14 indicating **Space X wanted to**
15 **duplicate what was done**
16 **during the visit.** (Exhibit 22,
PVA 4463-4481)

17 • Jon Urquart performed
18 **the demo for Space X on 4-**
19 **11-12.** (Exhibit 22, PVA 4463-
20 4481)

21 • Email dated December
22 2, 2014 at 9:03 a.m. Mr.
23 Urquhart (PVA) indicated to
24 Mr. Matupang there would be
25 2 demos including a coating
26 with **Arathane 2 part mix and**
27 **spray and dispensing using a**
28 **650 or Delta 6 with board**
head, JDX (underfill), SVX
(past), and FC100 (solder
mask). (Exhibit 22)

• On November 17, 2014,
Mr. Urquhart (PVA) asked is
Space X wanted to mix on the
fly with **5750?** (Exhibit 22)

• On January 9, 2013 at
10:38 a.m. David Gomez
(PVA) emailed Brian Ignaut
(Space X) **doing follow up on**

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
the “two part material application project you were working on and kindly presented us with.” There was inquiry as to whether there were any developments in the first few months of 2013. (Exhibit 22)

- On December 3, 2012 at 12:01 PM David Gomez (PVA) wrote Scott Abarta asking for the two part material brand and part # as well as any MSDS and data sheets. Also, there was a request for a shot size and cycle time per part if possible. (Exhibit 22)

- On September 10, 2013, Mr. Gomez (PVA) indicated “they only want a 20 oz cartridge retainer & cap with spray & needle valve for the pre-mix material and 6oz cartridge retainer & cap for the solvent, so it can flush the premixed material out right after doing a batch of boards.” Mr. Gomez indicated he was familiar with the “fluid delivery line” because he had done it “several times.” He also indicated they were “not there yet” for the two part coating spraying. (Exhibit 22)

- On September 9, 2013, Richard Bievenue (PVA) wrote Jonathan Connelly (ccing David Filbert, David Gomez, and Michael R. Leonard) indicating that he spoke with Duc Phan (Space X) about the 350 machine and how

Space X was switching to utilizing a 2 part material including Arathane 5750.
There was discussion about premising the solvents and flushing the solvent valves.
Also, there was interest regarding a 2 component meter mix system. (Exhibit 22)

- On September 18, 2013 at 12:41 p.m., Mr. Gomez (PVA) indicated that this is not the first time they have done a two part coating system and he thought they were putting to many resources into this. The email specifically references Arathane 5750. (Exhibit 22)

- On September 13, 2013, from Duc Phan (Space X) to Jonathan Connelly, Michael R. Leonard (ccing Richard Bievenue and David Filbert) explained the process with pictures. Specifically, he indicated that Space X removed the hoses from the spray dispensing head and connected the hoses from the Arathane material and solvent to it. He further explained when the Arathane material was not in use they vaped the solvent hose and connect the Arathane material hose to the other head just for a place holder. He also explained that Arathane 5750 part A and B is mixed together and poured in the first reservoir and the second reservoir is the solvent use to thin out the mixed Arathane for spray

requirement. (Exhibit 22)

- On September 13, 2013 at 6:07 a.m. Jonathan Connelly wrote Duc Phan (Space X). Michael R. Leonard and cc'ing Richard Bievenue and David Filbert inquiring about the process, requesting a picture, and asking if the Arathane and the solvent was being run out of the cartridges mounted on the side of the machine. (Exhibit 22)

- On September 10, 2013, Duc Phan (Space X) wrote Michael R. Leonard and Jonathan Connolly, and there was a clear indication that Space X was using Arathane 5750 material with a 2 hour cure time, and they were concerned the operator would forget to purge and rinse the material when the job is done. They were looking to automate the process after coating.
(Exhibit 22)

- o The sales order dated September 25, 2013 indicated PVA job number SPCX2115 W3267 indicated **PVA was there to provide onsite support for Mechanical Electrical Programming Fluid Delivery.** (Exhibit 22)

- o The sales quotation dated September 19, 2013, stated that PVA was to **“rework the dispense system on machine serial number W3267 to connect one cartridge to (1) one gallon**

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

tank for automatic solvent flush of Arathane 5705. This was to include 1) all hoses and fittings needed to complete the integration, 2) pneumatic ball valve for automatic solvent flush, 3) hardware and software needed for integration, and one tank. Further, PVA was to travel to Space X to install the new auto solvent flush system. (Exhibit 22)

o The purchase order dated September 24, 2013 issued to PVA and shipping to Space X on a Space X purchase order identified a supplier part number B72-01433 and **there is a full description of the work to be done including reworking the dispense system for an automatic flush of Arathane 5750** and in similar detail as stated above. There is a separate purchase order for the travel expenditures. (Exhibit 22)

• On July 21, 2014 at 6:48 p.m. Duc Phan (Space X) emailed Rex indicating the **current machine uses both Humiseal and Arathane and there are separate spray valves for both material.** They need the pendant purge button to work for both materials. (Exhibit 22)

• PVA Inc. circulated diagram clearly states **Arathane.** Additionally, there is a quote work sheet for the 3 way assembly, pressure tank,

| | |
|---|---|
| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <p>hoses, fittings, valves as well as programming and engineering. (Ex 22, PVA 340-347)</p> <p>PVA INC. INSTALLED THE PVA 350 AND CONTINUED TO MODIFIED PROGRAM THROUGHOUT THE PVA 350'S LIFETIME</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• On 6-23-2009 to 6-24-2009, PVA Inc. installed and serviced the W3267 machine at Space X. The work was performed by Andrew Haraburde. He trained Juan Sotelo and Marsha Thompson in installation and training. The installation check list specifically indicated various items were working, but there is an X versus a check mark on the pump and SEMEA boxes. The description of the work/changes including "modified main program (mo2)." (Exhibit 36 PVA 4449-4452) <p>There are a series of work orders regarding modifications and sales showing PVA Inc. continued to service and support the use and modification of the PVA 350. (Exhibit 25, PVA 7-9, 17-29, 222-234, 250-251, 4449-4452)</p> |
|---|---|

| <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|--|---|
| 83. The MSDS sheets for Arathane and Humiseal materials referenced and incorporated into plaintiffs' allegations in the complaint show that these chemicals were manufactured and supplied by third parties. FAC; | 83. Disputed to the extent this fact infers Plaintiffs' claims are derived from the chemicals. It is the negligence of PVA Inc. as well as the defects in the PVA 350 that created dangerous conditions for end |

| 1 2 <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|
| (Catalona Dec., 60:4-26; 628, 644, 646, 659, 666, 668, 673.) | <p>users.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• (Ex 3 Depo. Ruben Juarez Volume 1 : 30 :16-18, 30 :24-31 :5, 72:9-14)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 89:14-18, 90:8-11)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 121:16-19)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 121: 22-122:20, 122:22-123:1)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume I: 123:19-124:12)• (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II: 226:12-227:6)• (Ex. 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II232:1-7, 232:23-25)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 54:11-13)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 103:18-104:10, 104:25-105:7, 107:5-24, 108:5-13)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume I: 132:16-23)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume I: 70:20-22)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 74:22-75:7)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 77:21-78:2)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume I, 79:25-80:4)• (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|---|
| | | 4481) <ul style="list-style-type: none">• (Exhibit 22)• (Ex 22, PVA 340-347)• (Exhibit 36 PVA 4449-4452)• (Exhibit 36)• Exhibit 25, PVA 7-9, 17-29, 222-234, 250-251, 4449-4452)• (Exhibit 31, PVA 30-32)• (Exhibit 15, PVA 69)• (Exhibit 16, PVA 0272)• (Exhibit 31, PVA 30-32, 51)• (Exhibit 29, PVA 63)• (Exhibit 29, PVA 64)• (Exhibit 29, PVA 220)• (See Exhibit 9 and Exhibit 22)• Exhibit 5, Video of the PVA 350 shows the machine is far too small for someone to use while wearing a ventilator.• Video Exhibit 11 shows the conformal coating process in a PVA machine in 2010.• Video Exhibit 8 shows all the different types of coating and also shows various designs that were not defective so it is great for comparison and explanation.• (Exhibit 15, PVA 69)• (Exhibit 20) |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|--|
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none">• (Exhibit 21, PVA 4537, 4538, 4540)• (Exhibit 18, PVA 40)• (Exhibit 35)• (Exhibit 17, PVA 63, 64, 219-220, 277, 279-280)• (Exhibit 24, 4458-4462)• (Exhibit 24)• (Exhibit 23, PVA 1181 (Wet Film Thickness Gauge))• (Exhibit 30) <p><i><u>Specific factual references with citations are set forth below for the court's convenience regarding PVA Inc.'s negligence and liability based on its actions and knowledge of the use of the PVA Inc. with the dangerous chemicals with design defects, inadequate warnings, and inadequate product training.</u></i></p> |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 |
|---|---|
| <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
| | Mr. Juarez believe it was unsafe for the operators to open the machine door and operate the PVA 350 because they could get their hand stuck in the machine, but he did not have a chemistry background so he did not know whether the chemicals were harmful or not, and he relied on the training provided by PVA Inc. that he could put his head safely in the machine while programming the machine. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume I: 123:19-124:12) |
| | Mr. Juarez had to place his head into the machine to see what portions were covered because he would not be able to see into the machine by just looking through the window. (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II: 226:12-227:6) |
| | Mr. Juarez was trained in 2011 by PVA Inc. through a hands on approach on how to use the PVA 650 machine, but there was no hazardous chemical training. (Ex. 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II232:1-7, 232: 23-25) |
| | Mr. Juarez testified at his deposition on March 8, 2018 that Space X did modify the machine to be able to spray other new products and new coating materials. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 54:11-13) |
| | PVA 350 was modified when Mr. Juarez worked at Space X so it would spray a different coating material with PVA Inc.'s support over the telephone by installing hardware. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 103:18-104:10, 104:25-105:7, 107:5-24, 108:5-13) |
| | At times Mr. Juarez would pass by the PVA 350 Inc. and the bypass key would be left in the machine. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume I: 132:16-23) |
| | There were no lights inside the PVA 350 machine. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume I: 70:20-22) |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|---|
| | | The PVA 350 was programmed by a handheld controller, and it was a rudimentary interface. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 74:22-75:7) |
| | | In the first room, the ventilation for the PVA 350 was a manual switch. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 77:21-78:2) |
| | | In the second room, there was an automated ventilation system that was on all the time. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume I, 79:25-80:4) |
| | | The PVA 350 was built so a programmer had to bypass the safety mechanisms to program the machine. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume I: 87:22-88:1) |
| | | Mr. Juarez indicated the PVA 350 is a bad design because the boards alter in size so the programmer has to put their head inside the machine to make sure the valve is aligned. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 156:15-157:2) |
| | | The PVA 350 was defectively designed because a programmer would have to put their head inside the machine to look where the machine was spraying. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 158:3-9) |
| | | Mr. Juarez' job was to program the machine not to operate the machine, and he would spend 8 to 10 hours developing a program and working inside the machine itself. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 58:4-6, 58:12-59:4) |
| | | Mr. Juarez would program the PVA 350, set up a circuit board in the machine, make sure the pattern is correct, do a dry run, do a wet run, make sure his head was inside to check the thickness of the layer, clean it up, rerun it again until they got the desired thickness and width. The programmer has to fine tune the thickness of the conformal coating. To facilitate the fine tuning process, the machine has to |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 |
|---|--|
| <p><u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u></p> | <p><u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u></p> <p>switch to a bypass door so a programmer can put their head inside the machine to make sure the spraying head is parallel to the door. Then a programmer does a dry run, a wet run, and checks the thickness of the layer. The layer has to be about 1.5 thousandths of an inch. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 61:21-63:14)</p> <p>Mr. Juarez would program the machine, check the thickness of the PVA 350 spray, ensure the tanks had enough materials, make sure the materials were consistent, and deal with the fixtures involved in the process. (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume I: 145:25-147:2)</p> <p><u>PVA HAD KNOWLEDGE OF THE CHEMICALS BEING UTILIZED BY SPACE X AND WAS INVOLVED IN SUBSEQUENT MODIFICATIONS TO THE MACHINE INVOLVING VARIOUS CHEMICALS</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• PVA Inc was aware of the chemicals being utilized with the PVA 350. including the <u>transition to Arathane</u>. (See Exhibit 22, PVA 4463-4481)• The April 11, 2012 conformal coating video with Space X boards utilized the <u>same chemicals as used at Space X at PVA Inc.</u> (See Exhibit 9 and Exhibit 22)• On September 19, 2013, PVA Inc. made further modifications to the subject machine including reworking the dispense system on the machine |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|--|
| | | <p>to connect one cartridge to the one gallon tank for "<u>automatic solvent flush of Arathane 5705.</u>" (Exhibit 22, PVA 23 and 24)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• On July 30, 2009, PVA/Andrew Haraburda serviced the W3267 (subject PVA 350 machine) and this description of the work/changes included: adding solvent flush option (6 oz cartridge, 3 way ball valve, O-ISPSI Precision Regulator, which shows <u>PVA Inc. was continuing to modify and upgrade the machine.</u> Then PVA Inc. specifically recommended Space X alter the solvent to a different solvent stating "<u>Space X may need to use a different solvent (i.e. Xylene).</u>" (Exhibit 22 PVA 4449-4452)• Pursuant to email chain dated March 13, 2012 Jonathan Urquhart (<u>PVA was aware of Space X's use of Arathane 5750</u>) The email specifically states, "I think David has spoken to you <u>about our customer Space X.</u> They build full blown rocket ships. <u>They plan on pre-mixing this material part A part B and a 3rd part, thinner in small batches apply to board and the purge system</u> because of shelf life once mixed. <u>Sounds screwy to me.</u>" They were looking for a demo on the 27th of March. (Exhibit 22, PVA 4463-4481)• Pursuant to email dated |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 |
|---|---|
| MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS | RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION |
| | <p>March 15, 2012 to Jonathan Urquhart, PVA was preparing a demo on the PVA 650 and PVA were working with the same chemical materials Space X was utilizing, but PVA did not want Space X to know and PVA indicated they were going to convey to Space X that the materials were similar, but not the exact same. . (Exhibit 22, PVA 4463-4481)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Pursuant to email dated March 30, 2012, the test at PVA Inc. was rescheduled to 4-11-12 to view the 3 part mixing process. (Exhibit 22, PVA 4463-4481)• Pursuant to email from David Gomez to Johnathan (PVA) Urquhart dated May 11, 2012 at 2:11 p.m., Space X talked about retrofitting the PVA 350, and then subsequently there was confirmation from Jon indicating Space X wanted to duplicate what was done during the visit. (Exhibit 22, PVA 4463-4481)• Jon Urquart performed the demo for Space X on 4-11-12. (Exhibit 22, PVA 4463-4481)• Email dated December 2, 2014 at 9:03 a.m. Mr. Urquhart (PVA) indicated to Mr. Matupang there would be 2 demos including a coating with Arathane 2 part mix and spray and dispensing using a 650 or Delta 6 with board head, JDX (underfill), SVX (past), and |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 |
|---|---|
| MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS | RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION |
| | <p>FC100 (solder mask). (Exhibit 22)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• On November 17, 2014, Mr. Urquhart (PVA) asked if Space X wanted to mix on the fly with <u>5750</u>? (Exhibit 22)• On January 9, 2013 at 10:38 a.m. David Gomez (PVA) emailed Brian Ignaut (Space X) <u>doing follow up on the “two part material application project you were working on and kindly presented us with.”</u> There was inquiry as to whether there were any developments in the first few months of 2013. (Exhibit 22)• On December 3, 2012 at 12:01 PM David Gomez (PVA) wrote Scott Abarta asking for the <u>two part material brand and part # as well as any MSDS and data sheets</u>. Also, there was a request for a shot size and cycle time per part if possible. (Exhibit 22)• On <u>September 10, 2013</u>, Mr. Gomez (PVA) indicated “they only want a 20 oz cartridge retainer & cap with spray & needle valve for the pre-mix material and 6oz cartridge retainer & cap <u>for the solvent, so it can flush the premixed material out right after doing a batch of boards.</u>” Mr. Gomez indicated he was <u>familiar with the “fluid delivery line” because he had done it “several times.”</u> He also indicated they were “<u>not there yet</u>” for the |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 |
|---|---|
| | <p><u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u></p> <p><u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u></p> <p><u>two part coating spraying.</u> (Exhibit 22)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">On September 9, 2013, Richard Bievenue (PVA) wrote Jonathan Connelly (ccing David Filbert, David Gomez, and Michael R. Leonard) indicating that <u>he spoke with Duc Phan (Space X) about the 350 machine and how Space X was switching to utilizing a 2 part material including Arathane 5750. There was discussion about premising the solvents and flushing the solvent valves.</u> Also, there was interest regarding a 2 component meter mix system. (Exhibit 22)On September 18, 2013 at 12:41 p.m., <u>Mr. Gomez (PVA) indicated that this is not the first time they have done a two part coating system and he thought they were putting to many resources into this. The email specifically references Arathane 5750.</u> (Exhibit 22)On September 13, 2013, from Duc Phan (Space X) to Jonathan Connelly, Michael R. Leonard (ccing Richard Bievenue and David Filbert) explained the process with pictures. <u>Specifically, he indicated that Space X removed the hoses from the spray dispensing head and connected the hoses from the Arathane material and solvent to it. He further explained when the Arathane material was not in use they vaporized the</u> |

| <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|--|--|
| | <p><u>solvent hose and connect the Arathane material hose to the other head just for a place holder.</u> He also explained that Arathane 5750 part A and B is mixed together and poured in the first reservoir and the second reservoir is the solvent use to thin out the mixed Arathane for spray requirement. (Exhibit 22)</p> |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • On September 13, 2013 at 6:07 a.m. Jonathan Connelly wrote Duc Phan (Space X). Michael R. Leonard and ccng Richard Bievenue and David Filbert inquiring about the process, requesting a picture, <u>and asking if the Arathane and the solvent was being run out of the cartridges mounted on the side of the machine.</u> (Exhibit 22) |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • On September 10, 2013, Duc Phan (Space X)wrote Michael R. Leonard and Jonathan Connelly, <u>and there was a clear indication that Space X was using Arathane 5750 material with a 2 hour cure time, and they were concerned the operator would forget to purge and rinse the material when the job is done. They were looking to automate the process after coating.</u> (Exhibit 22) <p>o The sales order dated September 25, 2013 indicated PVA job number SPCX2115 W3267 indicated <u>PVA was there to provide onsite support</u></p> |

**MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED
UNCONTROVERTED FACTS**

RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION

**for Mechanical Electrical
Programming Fluid Delivery.**
(Exhibit 22)

o The sales quotation dated September 19, 2013, stated that PVA was to “rework the dispense system on machine serial number W3267 to connect one cartridge to (1) one gallon tank for automatic solvent flush of Arathane 5705. This was to include 1) all hoses and fittings needed to complete the integration, 2) pneumatic ball valve for automatic solvent flush, 3) hardware and software needed for integration, and one tank. Further, PVA was to travel to Space X to install the new auto solvent flush system.
(Exhibit 22)

o The purchase order dated September 24, 2013 issued to PVA and shipping to Space X on a Space X purchase order identified a supplier part number B72-01433 and there is a full description of the work to be done including reworking the dispense system for an automatic flush of Arathane 5750 and in similar detail as stated above. There is a separate purchase order for the travel expenditures. (Exhibit 22)

• On July 21, 2014 at 6:48 p.m. Duc Phan (Space X) emailed Rex indicating the current machine uses both Humiseal and Arathane and there are separate spray valves for both material. They need

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|--|--|
| | | <p>the pendant purge button to work for both materials. (Exhibit 22)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">PVA Inc. circulated diagram clearly states Arathane. Additionally, there is a quote work sheet for the 3 way assembly, pressure tank, hoses, fittings, valves as well as programming and engineering. (Ex 22, PVA 340-347) |
| | <p>PVA INC. INSTALLED THE PVA 350 AND CONTINUED TO MODIFIED PROGRAM THROUGHOUT THE PVA 350'S LIFETIME</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">On 6-23-2009 to 6-24-2009, PVA Inc. installed and serviced the W3267 machine at Space X. The work was performed by Andrew Haraburde. He trained Juan Sotelo and Marsha Thompson in installation and training. The installation check list specifically indicated various items were working, but there is an X versus a check mark on the pump and SEMEA boxes. The description of the work/changes including "modified main program (mo2.)" (Exhibit 36 PVA 4449-4452) <p>There are a series of work orders regarding modifications and sales showing PVA Inc. continued to service and support the use and modification of the PVA 350. (Exhibit 25, PVA 7-9, 17-29, 222-234, 250-251, 4449-4452)</p> <p>BELOW ARE FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS REGARDING THE MANUAL NOT BEING PRODUCED AND PVA MANUAL</p> | |

| <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|--|---|
| | <p>BEING INEFFECTIVE AND INSUFFICIENT</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• PVA specifically indicated the manual was for production and <u>operators not technical personnel, but it might be “useful for introduction or training.”</u> (Exhibit 31, PVA 51) <li>• The manual was <u>only produced electronically.</u> (No hardcopy.) (Exhibit 31, PVA 30-32) <li>• The Operations and Maintenance manual <u>does not warn to wear a face mask or protection</u> from any inhalants. (Exhibit 31, PVA 40-41) <li>• The manual indicates the PVA machines require that end users must <u>visually inspect the position of the needle with response to a calibration point and physically reposition the needle.</u> (Exhibit 15, PVA 69) <li>• The Final Shipment Sign-Off does not indicate the operating manual was sent to Space X only machine photos were taken. Also, there is an issue of facts as to whether the <u>exhaust switch was included.</u> (Exhibit 16, PVA 0272) <li>• The PVA manual was not <u>physically produced and there was no requirement to review it.</u> (Exhibit 31, PVA 30-32, 51) <p>Mr. Mendoza a former employee of Space X indicated that Space X was using Humiseal in 2010.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• (Dec. Christopher Mendoza, paragraph 2) |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|---|
| | | <p>BELOW PLAINTIFFS ASSERT SPECIFIC DISPUTES OF FACT REGARDING THE PVA 350'S MACHINE DEFECTS, INADEQUATE SAFETY MEASURES, AND THE VENTILATION SYSTEM.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• The alleged PVA safety guide states: "Some machines are equipped with an exhaust fan. The exhaust fan is provided to exhaust fumes from the work area. The exhaust flange should be connected to an appropriate ducting system that is capable of receiving 150 CFM. Insufficient airflow through the exhaust system generates an error." However, <u>this manual was not provided in a hardcopy form to Space X based on the pictures PVA provided.</u> (Exhibit 29, PVA 63)<li>• The Exhaust Verification Process shows <u>some machines</u> have an auto shut down. (Exhibit 29, PVA 64)<li>• <u>A user has to visually inspect the position of a needle with respect to a calibration point and the user may have to physically reposition the needle.</u> (Exhibit 29, PVA 69)<li>• The PVA Product Overview indicated a number of safety items are not included on the PVA 350 automatically such as: conveyor type, passive programming camera, XY fiducial camera, exhaust blower, onboard computer, and flow monitoring. (Exhibit 29, PVA 220)<li>• There is a picture of the module, and there appears to be an insufficient exhaust system in the back of the machine. (Exhibit 29, PVA 4537, 4538, |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 |
|---|--|
| <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
| | <p>4539, 4540, and 4542).</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• The April 11, 2012 conformal coating video with Space X boards utilized the same chemicals as used at Space X at PVA Inc. (See Exhibit 9 and Exhibit 22)• Exhibit 5, Video of the PVA 350 shows the machine is far too small for someone to use while wearing a ventilator.• Video Exhibit 11 shows the conformal coating process in a PVA machine in 2010.• Video Exhibit 8 shows all the different types of coating and also shows various designs that were not defective so it is great for comparison and explanation.• The comparison PVA 350 shows there is a <u>low exhaust and no conveyer</u> belt option on the PVA 350. (Exhibit 20) <p>FAILURE TO WARN/HAZARD COMMENTS</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• The PVA Manual does not warn users to use a face mask or ventilator. (Exhibit 18, PVA 40)• The warning on the sides states, "WARNING, Hazardous voltage. Power shall be disconnected before enclosure is opened. Enclosure shall be closed before power is restored." (Exhibit 35)• <u>Not all PVA 350s have the alleged safety features including an exhaust fan/conveyer belt/an auto shut down/exhaust blower/flow</u> |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|---|
| | | <p><u>monitoring, and cameras.</u> Further, pursuant to training provided by PVA Inc., there are <u>bypass systems that must be utilized so programmers can complete their jobs.</u> (Exhibit 17, PVA 63, 64, 219-220, 277, 279-280)</p> |
| | | <p>PVA INC. PROVIDED TRAINING AND TRAINED MR. JUAREZ TO PUT HIS HEAD INSIDE THE PVA MACHINE WITHOUT ANY PROTECTIVE GEAR</p> |
| | | <p>Ruben Juarez attended training at PVA Inc., and PVA trained him to put his head inside the machine while spraying without any protective gear. He attended these trainings while working for EMI and Space X. (Exhibit 24, 4458-4462)</p> |
| | | <p>END USERS MUST PUT THEIR HEAD INTO THE PVA 350 MACHINE TO CHECK THE THICKNESS OF THE CHEMICAL COATING AND TO SPRAY THE PROPER AREAS OF THE CIRCUIT BOARD</p> |
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none">The Wet Film Thickness Gauge shows how Mr. Juarez <u>had to measure the level of the fluid on the boards and is relevant to show he had to stick his head in the machine.</u> (Exhibit 23, PVA 1181 (Wet Film Thickness Gauge)) |
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none">Pursuant to email dated December 15, 2014 on 11:47 a.m. Bievenue emailed to Matupang indicating the <u>only way to know for sure the mix ratio is coming out of a spray valve is with flow monitors and if you don't have flow monitors you are “spraying blind.”</u> (Exhibit |

| 1 <u>MOVING PARTY'S ALLEGED</u> <u>UNCONTROVERTED FACTS</u> | 2 <u>RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION</u> |
|---|---|
| 3 | 30) |

4

5

6 **IV. PLAINTIFFS' AMENDED TO STATEMENT OF UNCONVERTED FACTS**

7

8 Opposing party also contends that the following other material facts are in
9 dispute: *[set forth issue and evidence]*

10

| 11 <u>Uncontroverted Facts</u> | 12 <u>Supporting Evidence</u> |
|---|--|
| 12 84.PVA Inc. manufactured, designed, and 13 sold the PVA 350 to Space X in 2009. | (Exhibit 36, PVA 4449-4452) (Exhibit 22) (Exhibit 34) (Exhibit 31) (Dec. Glen Stevick) |
| 19 20 85.After selling the PVA 350 to Space X in 2009, PVA Inc. continued to service 21 the PVA 350, modify the PVA 350, provide support services to Space X, 22 maintain the PVA 350, and train end users of the PVA 350. | (Exhibit 22) (Exhibit 36) (Exhibit 25) (Exhibit 13) (Exhibit 34) |
| 25 26 86.Before working for Space X, Ruben Juarez attended a PVA Inc. training while working with his former employer EMI where he was trained to 27 use the PVA 350 by placing his head | (Exhibit 24) |

| <u>Uncontroverted Facts</u> | <u>Supporting Evidence</u> |
|--|---|
| inside the machine without any protective gear. | |
| 87.On October 2011, Mr. Juarez traveled to PVA Inc., while working with EMI to undergo a 4 day training to program the PVA Inc. machine. | (Dec. Ruben Juarez, paragraph 4) (Exhibit 24) (Ex 3 Depo. Ruben Juarez Volume 1 : 30 :16-18, 30 :24-31 :5, 72:9-14) |
| 88.Mr. Juarez was trained by PVA Inc. to put his head inside the PVA machine with the door open to check various spraying parameters on the circuit boards, operate and fine tune the fixtures inside the PVA machine, and check the chemical levels without the ventilation system being operational. | (Dec. Ruben Juarez, paragraph 4) (Exhibit 24) |
| 89.Ruben Juarez worked at Space X from January 2012 to May or June 2014 as a programmer. | (Dec. Ruben Juarez, paragraph 2) |
| 90.While working with Space X, Ruben Juarez' job duties were changed to include both AOI programming and SMT programming | (Dec. Ruben Juarez, paragraph 2) |
| 91.While employed at Space X, Ruben Juarez was never given MSDS sheets, he did not have access to the MSDS sheets, and he had no chemical training either formal or informal to understand the MSDS sheets. | (Dec. Ruben Juarez, paragraph 2) (Dec. Ruben Juarez, paragraph 3) (Dec. Manuel Gutierrez, paragraph 4) |
| 92.There were no Standards of Practice documents available in the conformal coating area. Further, there were no | (Dec. Manuel Gutierrez, paragraph 4) |

Uncontroverted Facts

Supporting Evidence

MSDS sheets in the conformal coating area or an MSDS binder.

93. While working at Space X, Ruben Juarez started to experience various health problems including headaches.

94. A physician initially suspected Mr. Juarez had vertigo and later suspected his aneurysm was the cause of his condition.

95. Only after a successful surgery in 2013 and subsequent checkups did Mr. Juarez realize that he had recovered from the aneurysm

96. But because Mr. Juarez' symptoms persisted, he realized the aneurysm was not causing his symptoms.

97. Mr. Juarez thought his symptoms were caused by his aneurysm or because he was just unusually sensitive to the chemicals in the chemical baths.

98. The Workers Compensation Claim was filed due to "repetitive and continuous exposure to electronics parts cleaning (the chemical bath down the hall) & Lead SO (the filters with the lead wiring).

99. At this time, Mr. Juarez guessed that the cause of his injuries was lead solder wires and unknown chemicals used in the chemical cleaning baths at the Space X facility unconnected to the

(Dec. Ruben Juarez, paragraph 3)

(Dec. Ruben Juarez, paragraph 3)

(Dec. Ruben Juarez, paragraph 3)

(Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 246:12-18)

(Dec. Ruben Juarez, paragraph 3)

(Dec. Ruben Juarez, paragraph 8)

(Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 235:1-238: 5, 238: 19-22)

(Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 241:4-15)

(Exhibit 49)

(Dec. Ruben Juarez, paragraph 3)

(Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 287:6-288:3, 288:24-289:6)

| <u>Uncontroverted Facts</u> | <u>Supporting Evidence</u> |
|--|--|
| PVA 350. | |
| 100. At the time Mr. Juarez filed his Workers Compensation Claim, there were no physicians who suggested Plaintiff examine all the chemicals utilized at Space X—there were no physicians telling Plaintiff to avoid anything or any place at work—there was no one informing Plaintiff that the PVA 350 was not working properly or that it was dangerous to program the PVA 350—Plaintiff did NOT see any MSDS sheets or Manual associated with the PVA 350—nor did Plaintiff see any Standard Operating Procedures issued by his employer regarding the use of MSDS sheets and/or the use of the PVA 350. | (Exhibit 49) (Dec. Ruben Juarez, paragraph 2) (Dec. Ruben Juarez, paragraph 3) (Dec. Manuel Gutierrez, paragraph 4) (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 262:21-263:13) |
| 101. During the work up of Mr. Juarez' claim, he was sent to see Dr. Regev. | (Dec. Ruben Juarez, paragraph 3) (Exhibit 48) |
| 102. Dr. Regev requested that Mr. Juarez obtain the MSDS sheets for the lead solder wire and chemical cleaning baths. | (Dec. Ruben Juarez, paragraph 3) (Exhibit 48) |
| 103. No doctor before Dr. Regev ever requested that Mr. Juarez obtain any MSDS sheets or suspected his illness was related to chemical exposure. | (Dec. Ruben Juarez, paragraph 3) (Exhibit 48) |
| 104. During this appointment, Dr. Regev never indicated Mr. Juarez thought any of the chemicals he was working with were dangerous, and Dr. Regev did not tell Mr. Juarez to stay away from any chemicals. | (Dec. Ruben Juarez, paragraph 3) (Exhibit 48) |

| <u>Uncontroverted Facts</u> | <u>Supporting Evidence</u> |
|---|---|
| 105. In the “DISCUSSION” portion of 2 the Regev Medical Records, Dr. Regev 3 confirms Plaintiffs History by noting 4 that: “The patient relates of frequent 5 headaches at work and he believed they 6 were associated with chemicals to 7 clean electrical parts [the chemical 8 baths down the hall]. He also believes 9 he was exposed to lead [the filters with 10 the lead wiring in the building]. Dr. 11 Regev then advised that Plaintiff see a 12 toxicologist and obtain the MSDS 13 sheets from Space X so a toxicologist 14 could review said sheets and advised 15 Plaintiff “I suggest the patient be seen 16 by a toxicologist with the MSDS and 17 working environment analysis.” | (Exhibit 48) |
| 106. Pursuant to Dr. Regev’s request, 15 Plaintiff requested the MSDS sheets 16 and made an appointment with a 17 toxicologist. | (Dec. Ruben Juarez, paragraph 3) (Exhibit 48) |
| 107. Pursuant to Dr. Regev, Mr. Juarez 19 called Space X and requested a list of 20 chemicals in the chemical baths and the 21 lead wires attached the filters, but they 22 did not provide Mr. Juarez with a list so 23 Mr. Juarez called Francisco (a co- 24 worker at Space X) in early March of 25 2015 and during the conversation, he 26 did not remember who suggested this, 27 but Francisco ended up giving Mr. Juarez not only the list of chemicals involved in the cleaning baths and lead wire, but also the names of the chemicals that Mr. Juarez worked with when programming PVA 350. | (Dec. Ruben Juarez, paragraph 3) (Exhibit 48) (Exhibit 46) (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 332:7- 337:14) (Ex 3 Depo. Ruben Juarez Volume 1, 43:12-46:19) |

| 1 <u>Uncontroverted Facts</u> | Supporting Evidence |
|---|--|
| 2 108. Mr. Juarez emailed Space X on 3 March 3, 2015 asking for the MSDS sheets that Francisco indicated that Mr. 4 Juarez worked with, and on March 3, 5 2015, Jane Malubag with Space X did not send Mr. Juarez the MSDS sheets 6 but indicated Space X's insurance would send them to Mr. Juarez' 7 workers' compensation attorneys, and on March 12, 2015 she indicated that 8 the MSDS sheets were forwarded to Mr. Juarez' attorney and insurance company. 10 | (Dec. Ruben Juarez, paragraph 3) (Exhibit 46) |
| 11 109. After receipt of the MSDS sheets, 12 Mr. Juarez saw a toxicologist on March 13 25, 2015, Mr. Zlotolow M.D., and provided the MSDS sheets for review. | (Dec. Ruben Juarez, paragraph 3) (Exhibit 47) |
| 14 110. It was at the appointments with 15 the toxicologist, starting March 2015 16 Plaintiff was first told his reactive airways disease and rhinitis were 17 probably caused by industrial exposure on the job 18 | (Exhibit 47) (Ex 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II, 249:22- 250:12) |
| 19 111. Nobody else at Space X was 20 getting sick so Mr. Juarez did not think anyone had done anything wrong. | (Dec. Ruben Juarez, paragraph 3) |
| 21 112. Only after Mr. Juarez reviewed 22 the MSDS sheets did Mr. Juarez realize 23 that the solder wire was actually lead- 24 free and the chemical used in the chemical baths is only isopropyl 25 alcohol. | (Dec. Ruben Juarez, paragraph 3) (Exhibit 28) |
| 26 113. Plaintiff was deposed on three 27 occasions during the Workers Compensation Action—on March 30, 28 | (Exhibit 1) (Exhibit 2) |

1 **Uncontroverted Facts**

1 **Supporting Evidence**

2 2015 and May 20, 2015 and October
3 21, 2015.

(Exhibit 53)

4 114. During these depositions, counsel
5 for Space X continued to ask questions
6 to Plaintiff about the PVA 350—the
7 manner in which Plaintiff operated the
8 PVA 350—the training Plaintiff
9 received about the PVA 350 and about
10 any warnings associated with the PVA
11 350. It was this series of questions
12 which led Plaintiff to investigate the
13 safety of the PVA 350 and which
14 triggered Plaintiffs actual suspicion
15 that his injury was might have been
16 caused by defects associated with the
17 PVA 350.

(Exhibit 1, 51:17-24, 53:1-24, 59:20-
24, 60:17-25, 61:12-25, 62:1-12)

(Exhibit 2)

(Exhibit 3)

14 115. In April 2012, Mr. Juarez traveled
15 to PVA Inc. as a Space X employee
16 with John Pena to see if the PVA
17 machines could spray various
18 chemicals.

(Dec. Ruben Juarez, paragraph 4)

(Exhibit 24)

19 116. Mr. Pena and Mr. Juarez were at
20 PVA Inc. for 2 days, and during this
21 time, PVA Inc. employees did not wear
22 any safety gear.

(Dec. Ruben Juarez, paragraph 4)

(Exhibit 24)

23 117. Mr. Juarez always followed PVA
24 Inc.'s exact training protocols while
25 programming the PVA 350 including
26 their instructions to bypass systems to
27 ensure Mr. Juarez could open the door
28 and examine the circuit boards and
 fixtures.

(Dec. Ruben Juarez, paragraph 4)

(Ex. 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II 232:1-7,
232: 23-25)

26 118. Nobody at Space X ever told Mr.
27 Juarez the way he worked with the
28 PVA 350 was dangerous.

(Dec. Ruben Juarez, paragraph 4)

1 **Uncontroverted Facts**

1 **Supporting Evidence**

2 119. No other co-workers at Space X
3 ever appeared to get sick while Mr.
4 Juarez was working there.

(Dec. Ruben Juarez, paragraph 4)

5 120. The doctors never told Mr. Juarez
6 that the chemicals from the PVA 350
7 were making him sick.

(Dec. Ruben Juarez, paragraph 4)
(Exhibit 48)

8 121. Mr. Juarez worked about 60 hours
9 a week while working at Space X.

(Dec. Ruben Juarez, paragraph 5)

10 122. As part of Mr. Juarez' job duties,
11 Mr. Juarez would work in the
12 conformal coating room programming
13 the PVA 350 machine, at his work
14 station next to the chemical baths, and
15 Mr. Juarez would clean the work
16 station filters.

(Dec. Ruben Juarez, paragraph 5)

(Ex. 2 Depo. Ruben Juarez Volume 2
p. 77:5-78:3)

17 123. Mr. Juarez had additional duties
18 such as ordering parts, and maintaining
19 other equipment, and cleaning various
20 parts.

(Dec. Ruben Juarez, paragraph 5)

(Ex 1, Depo. Ruben Juarez Volume I,
p. 49:22-50:8, 51:17, 52:8-16, 53:15-
21, 54:11-55:15, 55:21-56:8, 56:14-18 ,
57:16-23-58:18, 59: 4-5, 59: 22-
24,60:17-61:20, 62:1-15)

21 124. The PVA 350 is a selective
22 conformal coating machine. PVA 350
23 is not a “work cell.”

(Dec. Ruben Juarez, paragraph 6)

24 125. The PVA 350 is a standalone
25 device, which is a mechanism or
26 system that can perform its function
27 without the need of another device,
28 computer, or connection. In contrast, a
 work cell is a term used in the
 manufacturing industry for an
 arrangement of resources in
 manufacturing to improve quality,

(Dec. Ruben Juarez, paragraph 6)

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>Uncontroverted Facts</u> | <u>Supporting Evidence</u> |
|---|--|---|
| | speed, and the costs of the processes. | |
| 126. | There were a lot of standalone devices at Space X other than the PVA 350. | (Dec. Ruben Juarez, paragraph 6) |
| 127. | The PVA 350 is known as a selective conformal coating machine, which means a user can program the equipment to skip or avoid designated areas. | (Dec. Ruben Juarez, paragraph 6) |
| 128. | Further, the PVA 350 machine dispenses, sprays, and applies different chemicals on circuit boards. | (Dec. Ruben Juarez, paragraph 6) |
| 129. | The PVA 350 is not equipped with a positive or negative air pressure. | (Dec. Ruben Juarez, paragraph 6) |
| 130. | Mr. Juarez was never provided the equipment manual and the manual was not available in the computer connected to the PVA 350. | (Dec. Ruben Juarez, paragraph 7) (Dec. Christopher Mendoza) (Dec. Manuel Gutierrez) (Exhibit 31) |
| 131. | The PVA 350 is a standalone machine, the manual was not uploaded to the company network so he could not read the manual and it was not in the computer. | (Dec. Ruben Juarez, paragraph 7) (Exhibit 31) (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 91:3-93:24, 96:10-19, 98:20-21, 100:4-9) |
| 132. | PVA Inc. specifically trained Mr. Juarez to bypass the safety systems so the machine could be utilized as it was designed defectively and could not be utilized otherwise. | (Dec. Ruben Juarez, paragraph 7) (Exhibit 13) (Exhibit 17) |
| 133. | The PVA 350 does a quick check at the start up or a "0" check. However, | (Dec. Ruben Juarez, paragraph 7) |

| 1 | <u>Uncontroverted Facts</u> | <u>Supporting Evidence</u> |
|----|---|--|
| 2 | PVA Inc. trained users to bypass this system with the bypass key easily. | (Exhibit 13) (Exhibit 17) |
| 3 | 134. For years, Mr. Juarez worked with the PVA 350 without any suspicion that Space X or PVA Inc. were doing anything wrong. | (Dec. Ruben Juarez, paragraph 8) |
| 4 | 135. Mr. Juarez had no formal or informal chemical training, and he did not know about the toxicity of the chemicals he was working with. | (Dec. Ruben Juarez, paragraph 8) |
| 5 | 136. Also, Mr. Juarez did not know the PVA 350 was defective. | (Dec. Ruben Juarez, paragraph 8) |
| 6 | 137. Now, Mr. Juarez believes Space X originally began using the chemicals Humiseal before he worked there as the machine was purchased before Mr. Juarez' time and the chemical containers only changed once, which was set up by Space X with the assistance of PVA Inc. in the end of 2011/2012. | (Dec. Ruben Juarez, paragraph 9) (Dec. Christopher Mendoza) |
| 7 | 138. Space X began using Humiseal in 2010. | (Dec. Christopher Mendoza, paragraph 2) |
| 8 | 139. A new chemical, which Mr. Juarez later found out was Arathane was introduced at Space X with the assistance of PVA Inc. and David Hwang replaced the original chemical, which Mr. Juarez later found out was Humiseal. | (Dec. Ruben Juarez, paragraph 9) (Exhibit 22) |
| 9 | 140. PVA Inc. was aware of this transition, and David Hwang indicated he purchased parts to the PVA 350 to spray Arathane. | (Dec. Ruben Juarez, paragraph 9) (Exhibit 22) |
| 10 | 141. PVA Inc. had knowledge of the chemicals being utilized by Space X and was involved in subsequent modifications to the machine involving | (Exhibit 36) (Exhibit 25) |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | Uncontroverted Facts | Supporting Evidence |
|---|---|--|
| | various chemicals. | (Exhibit 22) |
| 142. | PVA Inc. recommended that Space X utilized a toxic chemicals such Xylene instead of a NVOC. | (Exhibit 22, 4449-4452) |
| 143. | PVA Inc. was involved in the modifications to the PVA 350 and the use of Arathane. | (Exhibit 22) |
| 144. | Mr. Juarez had no formal or informal chemistry training so he was not allowed to mix these chemical compounds. | (Dec. Ruben Juarez, paragraph 9) |
| | | (Dec. Christopher Mendoza) |
| | | (Dec. Manuel Gutierrez) |
| | | (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 55:21-56:5) |
| 145. | Although Mr. Juarez would sometimes stir a single container with chemicals to get the proper viscosity to be placed in a machine to flush the lines and make sure the machines were spraying the correct amount of material, Mr. Juarez would not mix multiple chemicals and definitely would not have been involved in creating new compounds. | (Dec. Ruben Juarez, paragraph 9) |
| | | (Dec. Christopher Mendoza) |
| | | (Dec. Manuel Gutierrez) |
| | | (Exhibit 22) |
| 146. | Francisco was the operator of the PVA 350. | (Dec. Ruben Juarez, paragraph 9) |
| | | (Dec. Manuel Gutierrez, paragraph 5) |
| 147. | Mixing the chemicals was part of Francisco's job duties. | (Dec. Ruben Juarez, paragraph 9) |
| | | (Dec. Manuel Gutierrez, paragraph 5) |
| 148. | David Hwang also mixed the chemicals since he was the main person to substitute Humiseal to Arathane. | (Dec. Ruben Juarez, paragraph 9) |
| | | (Exhibit 22) |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | <u>Uncontroverted Facts</u> | <u>Supporting Evidence</u> |
|---|--|--|
| | 149. Mr. Juarez is not the process engineer or materials engineer, and those were the individuals that would have mixed the chemicals. | (Dec. Ruben Juarez, paragraph 9) (Dec. Christopher Mendoza, paragraph 4) (Exhibit 22) |
| | 150. Per David Hwang's instructions and with the support of PVA Inc, Mr. Juarez only installed the separate dispensing canisters and materials lines, and he did not mix any chemical compounds. | (Dec. Ruben Juarez, paragraph 9) (Dec. Manual Gutierrez, paragraph 5) (Exhibit 22) |
| | 151. Mr. Juarez was never provided with a Standard Operating Procedure ("SOP") for programmers for any assembly area in Space X. | (Dec. Ruben Juarez, paragraph 10) (Dec. Christopher Mendoza, paragraph 5) (Dec. Manuel Gutierrez) (Ex. 4 Depo. Juarez Volume II: 172:17-25) |
| | 152. The subject machine, a PVA 350, was manufactured, designed, and sold by PVA Inc. | (Dec. Glen Stevick, paragraph 7) (Exhibit 22) (Exhibit 35) (Exhibit 34) |
| | 153. The purpose of the subject machine was to safely coat circuit boards with a layer of coating material after which the circuit boards were to be placed inside rockets. | (Dec. Glen Stevick, paragraph 7) |
| | 154. PVA Inc. also manufactures a number of other PVA models for larger operations and plant conformal coating operations as well as small hand-held machines. | (Dec. Glen Stevick, paragraph 8) |
| | 155. Each PVA model machine is different, and the PVA 350 machine does not contain vital safety features | (Dec. Glen Stevick, paragraph 8) |

| 1 <u>Uncontroverted Facts</u> | <u>Supporting Evidence</u> |
|--|--|
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 for its intended purposes as on other models including a conveyor belt, a heighted above-board clearance inside the machine, an exhaust blower (also known as a PVA Blower), flow monitoring, additional chemical supply heads, and an external on board computer and each safety measure was readily available at the time the PVA 350 was manufactured. | (Exhibit 12) (Exhibit 29) (Exhibit 11) |
| 8 9 10 11 156. Following the sale of the PVA 350 machine, the purchasers and their designated employees undergo training to learn how to operate the PVA machines. | (Dec. Glen Stevick, paragraph 9) (Exhibit 24) (Exhibit 31) |
| 12 13 14 157. Based on the statements of Ruben Juarez, he was trained by PVA Inc. to put his head inside the PVA 350 machine without a ventilation mask. | (Dec. Glen Stevick, paragraph 9) (Exhibit 24) (Exhibit 31) |
| 16 17 18 19 158. The PVA manual does not warn individuals working the PVA machines to wear facemasks with ventilators. | (Dec. Glen Stevick, paragraph 9) (Exhibit 31) (Exhibit 16) (Exhibit 15) |
| 20 21 22 159. Further, based on the dimensions of the machine, the use of the PVA 350 machine with a ventilation face mask is not feasible. | (Dec. Glen Stevick, paragraph 9) (Exhibit 15) (Exhibit 18) (Exhibit 16) (Exhibit 31) |
| 23 24 25 26 27 28 160. However, other PVA models are taller and thus users are able to utilize a ventilation face mask inside those machines. | (Dec. Glen Stevick, paragraph 9) (Exhibit 31) |

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | Uncontroverted Facts | Supporting Evidence |
|---|---|---|
| | | (Exhibit 18) |
| 161. | After installing the machine, PVA Inc. continued to service the machine, make modifications to the machine, and assist Space X to make modifications to the machine. | (Dec. Glen Stevick, paragraph 10) (Exhibit 36) (Exhibit 25) (Exhibit 34) (Exhibit 22) |
| 162. | PVA Inc. did not ensure the safety of end users. | (Dec. Glen Stevick, paragraph 10) |
| 163. | Indeed, PVA Inc. through its continued support and maintenance of the machine knew of Space X's use of toxic sealants in the conformal coating process. | (Dec. Glen Stevick, paragraph 10) (Exhibit 36) (Exhibit 25) (Exhibit 34) (Exhibit 22) |
| 164. | It is the custom and practice for manufacturers to anticipate a reasonable degree of misuse and take this into account in designing their product. As such, PVA Inc. has a duty to protect end users from anticipatable misuse. | (Dec. Glen Stevick, paragraph 11) |
| 165. | The PVA 350 was defectively designed as the risk of the design outweighed any potential benefits and also a reasonable consumer would not expect to be harmed while using the PVA 350 as instructed. | (Dec. Glen Stevick, paragraph 12) |
| 166. | PVA Inc. failed to warn about the dangers of using the machine. | (Dec. Glen Stevick, paragraph 12) (Exhibit 18) (Exhibit 35) (Exhibit 31) |

1 **Uncontroverted Facts**

2 **Supporting Evidence**

3

4

5 167. PVA Inc. was aware how the
6 machine was being utilized and did not
7 take steps to ensure the safety of the
8 end users.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

(Exhibit 29)

(Exhibit 15)

(Dec. Glen Stevick, paragraph 12)

(Exhibit 22)

(Exhibit 15)

(Exhibit 23)

(Exhibit 31)

(Exhibit 30)

13 168. The PVA 350 is defective in
14 design because PVA Inc. should have
15 taken steps during the manufacturing
16 and design process to mitigate the risk
17 of harmful exposure by foreseeable use
18 of the end users including the various
19 available coating chemicals. This
20 could have been easily done by:

- 21 (i) altering the design of the
22 PVA 350 so that the interior
23 can be monitored with
24 cameras and/or mirrors so
25 that the end user need not
26 place their head within the
27 confined space;
- 28 (ii) equipping the PVA 350 with
interlocks that prevent the
operation of the PVA 350
unless the ventilation system
is operating. Air flow sensors
are commonly used to ensure
ventilation systems are
operating;

(Dec. Glen Stevick, paragraph 13)

(Exhibit 22)

(Exhibit 12)

(Exhibit 36)

(Exhibit 31)

(Exhibit 15)

(Exhibit 16)

(Exhibit 29)

(Exhibit 9)

(Exhibit 5)

(Exhibit 11)

(Exhibit 8)

(Exhibit 15)

(Exhibit 20)

| <u>Uncontroverted Facts</u> | <u>Supporting Evidence</u> |
|--|---|
| (iii) equipping the PVA 350 with interlocks that prevent opening the PVA 350 until the ventilation system has cleared the interior airspace of the PVA 350 of harmful vapors. This is commonly done with timers and/or chemical sensors; and, (iv) eliminating possible overriding systems of bypass/interlocks at the PVA 350. | (Exhibit 21) (Exhibit 18) (Exhibit 35) (Exhibit 25) (Exhibit 13) (Exhibit 17) (Exhibit 10) (Exhibit 34) (Exhibit 24) (Exhibit 23) (Exhibit 30) (Exhibit 11) (Exhibit 33) (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 158:3-9) |
| | (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 121:16-19) (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 156:15-157:2) (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume 1: 127:1-129:4) (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume I: 70:20-22) |
| 169. PVA Inc. likewise failed to provide proper warnings to its end users as to the use of toxic materials and proper ventilation/protection. | (Dec. Glen Stevick, paragraph 14) (Exhibit 10) (Exhibit 35) |

| <u>Uncontroverted Facts</u> | <u>Supporting Evidence</u> |
|--|--|
| | (Exhibit 18) |
| 170. Specifically, the PVA 350 failed to have an adequate warning because PVA Inc. failed to take steps to warn its customers and end users that the PVA 350 machine could leak toxic chemicals or exposure could occur while using the PVA 350. | (Dec. Glen Stevick, paragraph 14) (Exhibit 35) (Exhibit 18) |
| 171. PVA Inc. should have provided warnings and instruction that the PVA 350 should thus either not be utilized with dangerous chemicals -- and a more robust model would be more suitable for use with Space X; or the end user must use breathing protection during its operation. | (Dec. Glen Stevick, paragraph 14) (Exhibit 31) (Exhibit 15) (Exhibit 16) |
| 172. PVA Inc. was negligent in the manufacture, design, testing, warnings, sale, and service of the PVA Inc. 350. | (Dec. Glen Stevick, paragraph 15) (Exhibit 31) (Exhibit 29) (Exhibit 22) (Exhibit 9) (Exhibit 5) (Exhibit 11) (Exhibit 8) Exhibit 15 (Exhibit 20) (Exhibit 21) (Exhibit 18) (Exhibit 13) (Exhibit 35) |

1 **Uncontroverted Facts**

1 **Supporting Evidence**

2 173. As PVA Inc. knew, the PVA 350
3 Inc. lacked various safety features,
4 PVA Inc. failed to adequately train and
5 warn end users of the danger in their
6 operation manual, during training
7 sessions, or on the machine itself.

8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15 (Dec. Glen Stevick, paragraph 15)
16 (Exhibit 31)
17 (Exhibit 15)
18 (Exhibit 16)
19 (Exhibit 29)
20 (Exhibit 22)
21 (Exhibit 9)
22 (Exhibit 11)
23 (Exhibit 8)
24 (Exhibit 15)
25 (Exhibit 20)
26 (Exhibit 21)

27
28
15 174. Further, PVA Inc. knew how
16 Space X was utilizing the machine and
17 the chemicals utilized during the
18 conformal coating process, but PVA
19 Inc. failed to provide sufficient safety
20 features or warn of the danger and thus
21 the PVA 350 caused dangerous and
22 possibly life-threatening exposure and
23 injuries.

24
25
26
27
28
15 (Dec. Glen Stevick, paragraph 15)
16 (Exhibit 22)
17 (Exhibit 25)
18 (Exhibit 34)
19 (Exhibit 24)
20 (Exhibit 33)

15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
15 175. PVA Inc. should have never sold
16 this PVA 350 to Space X because it was
17 involved in the avionics industry which
18 often requires the use of dangerous
19 chemicals in the coating process, but
20 PVA Inc. sold and maintained this
21 machine while in the service of Space
22 X with the knowledge that the end
23 users could easily be injured.

24
25
26
27
28
15 (Dec. Glen Stevick, paragraph 15)
16 (Exhibit 22)
17 (Exhibit 25)
18 (Exhibit 34)
19 (Exhibit 29)
20 (Exhibit 5)

| <u>Uncontroverted Facts</u> | <u>Supporting Evidence</u> |
|---|--|
| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | (Exhibit 9) (Exhibit 11) |
| 176. The PVA 350 was defective because it lacked adequate warnings and the only warning on the device pertained to the voltage hazard. 177. Specifically, there needed to be a warning of the risk of serious injury or death if an end user uses this machine in the avionics industry due to the use of the dangerous chemicals used in the conformal coating process. | (Dec. Glen Stevick, paragraph 16) (Exhibit 35) (Dec. Glen Stevick, paragraph 16) (Exhibit 22) (Exhibit 29) |
| 178. Further, PVA Inc. failed to adequately design and train its employees – and hence its end users -- how to safely utilize the bypass system. | (Dec. Glen Stevick, paragraph 16) (Exhibit 13) (Exhibit 17) (Exhibit 22) (Exhibit 29) (Exhibit 9) (Exhibit 5) (Exhibit 11) (Exhibit 8) (Exhibit 15) (Exhibit 20) (Exhibit 21) (Exhibit 18) (Exhibit 24) (Exhibit 23) |

1 **Uncontroverted Facts**

2 **Supporting Evidence**

3

4 179. Further, PVA Inc. failed to equip
5 the PVA 350 with design protections,
6 and failed to warn users how to safely
7 operate the machine.

(Exhibit 30)

(Exhibit 33)

(Dec. Glen Stevick, paragraph 16)

(Exhibit 22)

(Exhibit 29)

(Exhibit 9)

(Exhibit 5)

(Exhibit 11)

(Exhibit 8)

(Exhibit 15)

(Exhibit 20)

(Exhibit 21)

(Exhibit 18)

(Exhibit 24)

(Exhibit 23)

(Exhibit 30)

(Exhibit 33)

180. It was clearly foreseeable that end
users such as those in the Avionics
industry would utilize the PVA 350
with dangerous chemicals utilized in
the conformal coating process, and
PVA Inc. was aware of the specific
chemicals being utilized by Space X as
stated in the emails/Declarations.

(Dec. Glen Stevick, paragraph 16)

(Exhibit 22)

(Exhibit 28)

181. There should have been a stamp
on the PVA 350 as well as a hardcopy
set of instructions in the box
specifically warning customers not to

(Dec. Glen Stevick, paragraph 16)

(Exhibit 35)

| <u>Uncontroverted Facts</u> | <u>Supporting Evidence</u> |
|--|---------------------------------|
| use the PVA 350 with dangerous chemicals commonly utilized in the avionics conformal coating process, or PVA should have provided sufficient safety measures to ensure its safe operating conditions under these circumstances. | |
| 182. Thus, Plaintiff timely filed his Complaint on 2/28/17—less than two years after the product of his reasonable investigation occurred in March of 2015. | (Exhibit 38) |
| 183. PVA Inc was aware of the chemicals being utilized with the PVA 350. including the transition to Arathane. | (See Exhibit 22, PVA 4463-4481) |
| 184. The April 11, 2012 conformal coating video with Space X boards utilized the <u>same chemicals as used at Space X at PVA Inc.</u> | (See Exhibit 9 and Exhibit 22) |
| 185. On September 19, 2013, PVA Inc. made further modifications to the subject machine including reworking the dispense system on the machine to connect one cartridge to the one gallon tank for " <u>automatic solvent flush of Arathane 5705.</u> " | (Exhibit 22, PVA 23 and 24) |
| 186. On July 30, 2009, PVA/Andrew Haraburda serviced the W3267 (subject PVA 350 machine) and this description of the work/changes included: adding solvent flush option (6 oz cartridge, 3 way ball valve, O-ISPSI Precision Regulator, which shows PVA Inc. was continuing to modify and upgrade the machine. Then PVA Inc. specifically recommended Space X alter the solvent to a different | (Exhibit 22 PVA 4449-4452) |

1 **Uncontroverted Facts**

2 **Supporting Evidence**

3 solvent stating “Space X may need to
4 use a different solvent (i.e. Xylene).”

5 187. Pursuant to email chain dated
6 March 13, 2012 Jonathan Urquhart
7 (PVA) was aware of Space X’s use of
8 Arathane 5750. The email specifically
9 states, “I think David has spoken to you
10 about our customer Space X. They
11 build full blown rocket ships. They
12 plan on pre-mixing this material part A
13 part B and a 3rd part, thinner in small
14 batches apply to board and the purge
15 system because of shelf life once
16 mixed. Sounds screwy to me.” They
17 were looking for a demo on the 27th of
18 March.

19 (Exhibit 22, PVA 4463-4481)

20 188. Pursuant to email dated March 15,
21 2012 to Jonathan Urquhart, PVA was
22 preparing a demo on the PVA 650 and
PVA were working with the same
chemical materials Space X was
utilizing, but PVA did not want
Space X to know and PVA indicated
they were going to convey to Space X
that the materials were similar, but
not the exact same.

23 (Exhibit 22, PVA 4463-4481)

24 189. Pursuant to email dated March 30,
25 2012, the test at PVA Inc. was
26 rescheduled to 4-11-12 to view the 3
27 part mixing process. (Exhibit 22, PVA
28 4463-4481)

29 (Exhibit 22, PVA 4463-4481)

30 190. Pursuant to email from David
31 Gomez to Johnathan (PVA) Urquhart
32 dated May 11, 2012 at 2:11 p.m., Space
33 X talked about retrofitting the PVA
34 350, and then subsequently there was
35 confirmation from Jon indicating
Space X wanted to duplicate what
was done during the visit.

36 (Exhibit 22, PVA 4463-4481)

| <u>Uncontroverted Facts</u> | <u>Supporting Evidence</u> |
|---|-----------------------------|
| 191. Jon Urquart performed the demo for Space X on 4-11-12. | (Exhibit 22, PVA 4463-4481) |
| 192. On December 3, 2012 at 12:01 PM David Gomez (PVA) wrote Scott Abarta asking for the <u>two part</u> <u>material brand and part # as well as</u> <u>any MSDS and data sheets.</u> Also, there was a request for a shot size and cycle time per part if possible. | (Exhibit 22) |
| 193. On September 18, 2013 at 12:41 p.m., <u>Mr. Gomez (PVA) indicated</u> <u>that this is not the first time they</u> <u>have done a two part coating system</u> <u>and he thought they were putting to</u> <u>many resources into this. The email</u> <u>specifically references Arathane</u> 5750. | (Exhibit 22) |
| 194. On September 13, 2013, from Duc Phan (Space X) to Jonathan Connelly, Michael R. Leonard (ccing Richard Bievenue and David Filbert) explained the process with pictures. <u>Specifically,</u> <u>he indicated that Space X removed</u> <u>the hoses from the spray dispensing</u> <u>head and connected the hoses from</u> <u>the Arathane material and solvent to</u> <u>it. He further explained when the</u> <u>Arathane material was not in use</u> <u>they vaped the solvent hose and</u> <u>connect the Arathane material hose</u> <u>to the other head just for a place</u> <u>holder. He also explained that</u> <u>Arathane 5750 part A and B is mixed</u> <u>together and poured in the first</u> <u>reservoir and the second reservoir is</u> <u>the solvent use to thin out the mixed</u> <u>Arathane for spray requirement.</u> | (Exhibit 22) |
| 195. The sales order dated September 25, 2013 indicated PVA job number | (Exhibit 22) |

| <u>Uncontroverted Facts</u> | <u>Supporting Evidence</u> |
|---|----------------------------|
| SPCX2115 W3267 indicated PVA was there to provide onsite support for Mechanical Electrical Programming Fluid Delivery. | |
| 196. PVA Inc. circulated diagram clearly states Arathane . Additionally, there is a quote work sheet for the 3 way assembly, pressure tank, hoses, fittings, valves as well as programming and engineering. | (Ex 22, PVA 340-347) |
| 197. The Exhaust Verification Process shows some machines have an auto shut down. | . (Exhibit 29, PVA 64) |
| 198. A user has to visually inspect the position of a needle with respect to a calibration point and the user may have to physically reposition the needle. | (Exhibit 29, PVA 69) |
| 199. The PVA Product Overview indicated a number of safety items are not included on the PVA 350 automatically such as: conveyor type, passive programming camera, XY fiducial camera, exhaust blower, onboard computer, and flow monitoring. | (Exhibit 29, PVA 220) |
| 200. Exhibit 5, Video of the PVA 350 shows the machine is far too small for someone to use while wearing a ventilator. | (Exhibit 5) |
| 201. Pursuant to email dated December 15, 2014 on 11:47 a.m. Bievenue emailed to Matupang indicating the only way to know for sure the mix ratio is coming out of a spray valve is with flow monitors and if you don't have flow monitors you are "spraying blind." | (Exhibit 30) |
| 202. The system debug dated 5-1-09 indicates the machine needed the | (Exhibit 13, PVA 277) |

1 **Uncontroverted Facts**

1 **Supporting Evidence**

2 material lines, fixed or replaced the
3 **Lexon side**, valve/2 micrometer needs
4 to be, and they needed **to add a camera**
5 **cable in the back of the machine**.
6 Further, PVA Inc. presents no evidence
7 the Lexon glass door was timely
8 replaced or the camera cord was ever
9 installed.

10 203. Not all PVA 350s have the alleged
11 safety features including an exhaust
12 fan/conveyer belt/an auto shut
13 down/exhaust blower/flow monitoring,
14 and cameras. Further, pursuant to
15 training provided by PVA Inc., there
16 are bypass systems that must be
17 utilized so programmers can complete
18 their jobs.

19 (Exhibit 17, PVA 63, 64, 219-220, 277,
20 279-280)
21 (Ex 3 Depo. Juarez Volume I: 145:25-
22 147:2)

23 204. The manual was **only produced**
24 **electronically**. (No hardcopy.)

25 (Exhibit 31, PVA30-32)

26 205. On 6-23-2009 to 6-24-2009, PVA
27 Inc. installed and serviced the W3267
28 machine at Space X. The work was
1 performed by Andrew Haraburde. He
2 trained Juan Sotelo and Marsha
3 Thompson in installation and training.
4 The installation check list specifically
5 indicated various items were working,
6 but there is an X versus a check mark
7 on the pump and SEMEA boxes. The
8 description of the work/changes
9 including “modified main program
10 (mo2).”

11 (Exhibit 36 PVA 4449-4452)

12 206. The PVA Manual does not warn
13 users to use a face mask or ventilator.

14 (Exhibit 18, PVA 40)

15 207. The warning on the sides states,
16 “WARNING, Hazardous voltage.
17 Power shall be disconnected before
18 enclosure is opened. Enclosure shall be
19 closed before power is restored.”

20 (Exhibit 35)

| 1 <u>Uncontroverted Facts</u> | <u>Supporting Evidence</u> |
|---|----------------------------|
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60 61 62 63 64 65 66 67 68 69 70 71 72 73 74 75 76 77 78 79 80 81 82 83 84 85 86 87 88 89 90 91 92 93 94 95 96 97 98 99 100 101 102 103 104 105 106 107 108 109 110 111 112 113 114 115 116 117 118 119 120 121 122 123 124 125 126 127 128 129 130 131 132 133 134 135 136 137 138 139 140 141 142 143 144 145 146 147 148 149 150 151 152 153 154 155 156 157 158 159 160 161 162 163 164 165 166 167 168 169 170 171 172 173 174 175 176 177 178 179 180 181 182 183 184 185 186 187 188 189 190 191 192 193 194 195 196 197 198 199 200 201 202 203 204 205 206 207 208 209 210 211 212 213 214 215 216 217 218 219 220 221 222 223 224 225 226 227 228 229 230 231 232 233 234 235 236 237 238 239 240 241 242 243 244 245 246 247 248 249 250 251 252 253 254 255 256 257 258 259 259 260 261 262 263 264 265 266 267 268 269 270 271 272 273 274 275 276 277 278 279 280 281 282 283 284 285 286 287 288 289 289 290 291 292 293 294 295 296 297 298 299 300 301 302 303 304 305 306 307 308 309 309 310 311 312 313 314 315 316 317 318 319 319 320 321 322 323 324 325 326 327 328 329 329 330 331 332 333 334 335 336 337 338 339 339 340 341 342 343 344 345 346 347 348 349 349 350 351 352 353 354 355 356 357 358 359 359 360 361 362 363 364 365 366 367 368 369 369 370 371 372 373 374 375 376 377 378 379 379 380 381 382 383 384 385 386 387 388 389 389 390 391 392 393 394 395 396 397 398 399 399 400 401 402 403 404 405 406 407 408 409 409 410 411 412 413 414 415 416 417 418 419 419 420 421 422 423 424 425 426 427 428 429 429 430 431 432 433 434 435 436 437 438 439 439 440 441 442 443 444 445 446 447 448 449 449 450 451 452 453 454 455 456 457 458 459 459 460 461 462 463 464 465 466 467 468 469 469 470 471 472 473 474 475 476 477 478 479 479 480 481 482 483 484 485 486 487 488 489 489 490 491 492 493 494 495 496 497 498 499 499 500 501 502 503 504 505 506 507 508 509 509 510 511 512 513 514 515 516 517 518 519 519 520 521 522 523 524 525 526 527 528 529 529 530 531 532 533 534 535 536 537 538 539 539 540 541 542 543 544 545 546 547 548 549 549 550 551 552 553 554 555 556 557 558 559 559 560 561 562 563 564 565 566 567 568 569 569 570 571 572 573 574 575 576 577 578 579 579 580 581 582 583 584 585 586 587 588 589 589 590 591 592 593 594 595 596 597 598 599 599 600 601 602 603 604 605 606 607 608 609 609 610 611 612 613 614 615 616 617 618 619 619 620 621 622 623 624 625 626 627 628 629 629 630 631 632 633 634 635 636 637 638 639 639 640 641 642 643 644 645 646 647 648 649 649 650 651 652 653 654 655 656 657 658 659 659 660 661 662 663 664 665 666 667 668 669 669 670 671 672 673 674 675 676 677 678 679 679 680 681 682 683 684 685 686 687 688 689 689 690 691 692 693 694 695 696 697 697 698 699 699 700 701 702 703 704 705 706 707 708 709 709 710 711 712 713 714 715 716 717 718 719 719 720 721 722 723 724 725 726 727 728 729 729 730 731 732 733 734 735 736 737 738 739 739 740 741 742 743 744 745 746 747 748 749 749 750 751 752 753 754 755 756 757 758 759 759 760 761 762 763 764 765 766 767 768 769 769 770 771 772 773 774 775 776 777 778 779 779 780 781 782 783 784 785 786 787 788 789 789 790 791 792 793 794 795 796 797 797 798 799 799 800 801 802 803 804 805 806 807 808 809 809 810 811 812 813 814 815 816 817 818 819 819 820 821 822 823 824 825 826 827 828 829 829 830 831 832 833 834 835 836 837 838 839 839 840 841 842 843 844 845 846 847 848 849 849 850 851 852 853 854 855 856 857 858 859 859 860 861 862 863 864 865 866 867 868 869 869 870 871 872 873 874 875 876 877 878 879 879 880 881 882 883 884 885 886 887 888 889 889 890 891 892 893 894 895 896 897 897 898 899 899 900 901 902 903 904 905 906 907 908 909 909 910 911 912 913 914 915 916 917 918 919 919 920 921 922 923 924 925 926 927 928 929 929 930 931 932 933 934 935 936 937 938 939 939 940 941 942 943 944 945 946 947 948 949 949 950 951 952 953 954 955 956 957 958 959 959 960 961 962 963 964 965 966 967 968 969 969 970 971 972 973 974 975 976 977 978 979 979 980 981 982 983 984 985 986 987 988 988 989 989 990 991 992 993 994 995 996 997 997 998 999 999 1000 1001 1002 1003 1004 1005 1006 1007 1008 1009 1009 1010 1011 1012 1013 1014 1015 1016 1017 1018 1019 1019 1020 1021 1022 1023 1024 1025 1026 1027 1028 1029 1029 1030 1031 1032 1033 1034 1035 1036 1037 1038 1039 1039 1040 1041 1042 1043 1044 1045 1046 1047 1048 1049 1049 1050 1051 1052 1053 1054 1055 1056 1057 1058 1059 1059 1060 1061 1062 1063 1064 1065 1066 1067 1068 1069 1069 1070 1071 1072 1073 1074 1075 1076 1077 1078 1079 1079 1080 1081 1082 1083 1084 1085 1086 1087 1088 1088 1089 1089 1090 1091 1092 1093 1094 1095 1096 1097 1097 1098 1099 1099 1100 1101 1102 1103 1104 1105 1106 1107 1108 1109 1109 1110 1111 1112 1113 1114 1115 1116 1117 1118 1119 1119 1120 1121 1122 1123 1124 1125 1126 1127 1128 1129 1129 1130 1131 1132 1133 1134 1135 1136 1137 1138 1139 1139 1140 1141 1142 1143 1144 1145 1146 1147 1148 1149 1149 1150 1151 1152 1153 1154 1155 1156 1157 1158 1159 1159 1160 1161 1162 1163 1164 1165 1166 1167 1168 1169 1169 1170 1171 1172 1173 1174 1175 1176 1177 1178 1179 1179 1180 1181 1182 1183 1184 1185 1186 1187 1188 1188 1189 1189 1190 1191 1192 1193 1194 1195 1196 1197 1197 1198 1199 1199 1200 1201 1202 1203 1204 1205 1206 1207 1208 1209 1209 1210 1211 1212 1213 1214 1215 1216 1217 1218 1219 1219 1220 1221 1222 1223 1224 1225 1226 1227 1228 1229 1229 1230 1231 1232 1233 1234 1235 1236 1237 1238 1239 1239 1240 1241 1242 1243 1244 1245 1246 1247 1248 1249 1249 1250 1251 1252 1253 1254 1255 1256 1257 1258 1259 1259 1260 1261 1262 1263 1264 1265 1266 1267 1268 1269 1269 1270 1271 1272 1273 1274 1275 1276 1277 1278 1279 1279 1280 1281 1282 1283 1284 1285 1286 1287 1288 1288 1289 1289 1290 1291 1292 1293 1294 1295 1296 1297 1297 1298 1299 1299 1300 1301 1302 1303 1304 1305 1306 1307 1308 1309 1309 1310 1311 1312 1313 1314 1315 1316 1317 1318 1319 1319 1320 1321 1322 1323 1324 1325 1326 1327 1328 1329 1329 1330 1331 1332 1333 1334 1335 1336 1337 1338 1339 1339 1340 1341 1342 1343 1344 1345 1346 1347 1348 1349 1349 1350 1351 1352 1353 1354 1355 1356 1357 1358 1359 1359 1360 1361 1362 1363 1364 1365 1366 1367 1368 1369 1369 1370 1371 1372 1373 1374 1375 1376 1377 1378 1379 1379 1380 1381 1382 1383 1384 1385 1386 1387 1388 1388 1389 1389 1390 1391 1392 1393 1394 1395 1396 1397 1397 1398 1399 1399 1400 1401 1402 1403 1404 1405 1406 1407 1408 1409 1409 1410 1411 1412 1413 1414 1415 1416 1417 1418 1419 1419 1420 1421 1422 1423 1424 1425 1426 1427 1428 1429 1429 1430 1431 1432 1433 1434 1435 1436 1437 1438 1439 1439 1440 1441 1442 1443 1444 1445 1446 1447 1448 1449 1449 1450 1451 1452 1453 1454 1455 1456 1457 1458 1459 1459 1460 1461 1462 1463 1464 1465 1466 1467 1468 1469 1469 1470 1471 1472 1473 1474 1475 1476 1477 1478 1479 1479 1480 1481 1482 1483 1484 1485 1486 1487 1488 1488 1489 1489 1490 1491 1492 1493 1494 1495 1496 1497 1497 1498 1499 1499 1500 1501 1502 1503 1504 1505 1506 1507 1508 1509 1509 1510 1511 1512 1513 1514 1515 1516 1517 1518 1519 1519 1520 1521 1522 1523 1524 1525 1526 1527 1528 1529 1529 1530 1531 1532 1533 1534 1535 1536 1537 1538 1539 1539 1540 1541 1542 1543 1544 1545 1546 1547 1548 1549 1549 1550 1551 1552 1553 1554 1555 1556 1557 1558 1559 1559 1560 1561 1562 1563 1564 1565 1566 1567 1568 1569 1569 1570 1571 1572 1573 1574 1575 1576 1577 1578 1579 1579 1580 1581 1582 1583 1584 1585 1586 1587 1588 1588 1589 1589 1590 1591 1592 1593 1594 1595 1596 1597 1597 1598 1599 1599 1600 1601 1602 1603 1604 1605 1606 1607 1608 1609 1609 1610 1611 1612 1613 1614 1615 1616 1617 1618 1619 1619 1620 1621 1622 1623 1624 1625 1626 1627 1628 1629 1629 1630 1631 1632 1633 1634 1635 1636 1637 1638 1639 1639 1640 1641 1642 1643 1644 1645 1646 1647 1648 1649 1649 1650 1651 1652 1653 1654 1655 1656 1657 1658 1659 1659 1660 1661 1662 1663 1664 1665 1666 1667 1668 1669 1669 1670 1671 1672 1673 1674 1675 1676 1677 1678 1679 1679 1680 1681 1682 1683 1684 1685 1686 1687 1688 1688 1689 1689 1690 1691 1692 1693 1694 1695 1696 1697 1697 1698 1699 1699 1700 1701 1702 1703 1704 1705 1706 1707 1708 1709 1709 1710 1711 1712 1713 1714 1715 1716 1717 1718 1719 1719 1720 1721 1722 1723 1724 1725 1726 1727 1728 1729 1729 1730 1731 1732 1733 1734 1735 1736 1737 1738 1739 1739 1740 1741 1742 1743 1744 1745 1746 1747 1748 1749 1749 1750 1751 1752 1753 1754 1755 1756 1757 1758 1759 1759 1760 1761 1762 1763 1764 1765 1766 1767 1768 1769 1769 1770 1771 1772 1773 1774 1775 1776 1777 1778 1779 1779 1780 1781 1782 1783 1784 1785 1786 1787 1788 1788 1789 1789 1790 1791 1792 1793 1794 1795 1796 1797 1797 1798 1799 1799 1800 1801 1802 1803 1804 1805 1806 1807 1808 1809 1809 1810 1811 1812 1813 1814 1815 1816 1817 1818 1819 1819 1820 1821 1822 1823 1824 1825 1826 1827 1828 1829 1829 1830 1831 1832 1833 1834 1835 1836 1837 1838 1839 1839 1840 1841 1842 1843 | |